Compiled outputs from the 63 Constitutional Workshops hosted across the globe during 2024.

Table of Contents

Workshop	Page Number
Accra, Ghana	3
Addis Ababa, Ethiopia	47
Asuncion, Paraguay	87
Austin, USA	127
Bandung, Indonesia	183
Bangalore, India	217
Batumi, Georgia	262
Belgrade, Serbia	294
Berlin, Germany	341
Bobo Dioulasso, Burkina Faso	393
Bogota, Colombia	446
Bologna, Italy	475
Buea, Cameroon	504
Buenos Aires, Argentina 1	530
Buenos Aires, Argentina 2	566
Caracas, Venezuela	590
Chicago, USA	641
Colombo, Sri Lanka	688
Dar es Salaam, Tanzania	746
Den Haag, Netherlands	757
Dubai, United Arab Emirates	802
Edinburgh, Scotland	846
Fort Myers, USA	876
Goma, Democratic Republic of Congo	924
Harper, Liberia	971
Ho Chi Minh City, Viet Nam	1014
Johannesburg, South Africa	1060
La Paz, Bolivia	1100
Lagos, Nigeria	1131
Lima, Peru	1161
Lisbon, Portugal	1200
London, United Kingdom	1239
Luquillo, Puerto Rico	1271
Mexico City, Mexico	1313
Nairobi, Kenya	1350
Osaka, Japan	1408
Oslo, Norway	1455
Paranaque City, Metro Manila, Philippines	1488
Perth, Australia	1524
Philadelphia, USA	1553
Port Louis, Mauritius	1595
Quito, Ecuador	1630
Rio de Janeiro, Brazil	1667
Saint Julien en Genevois, France	1715
San Diego, USA	1775
Santander, Spain	1837
Santiago, Chile	1866
Sao Paulo, Brazil	1894
Seoul, South Korea	1928
Singapore, Singapore	1933
Sydney, Australia	1965
Taipei, Taiwan	2005
Tallin, Estonia	2058
Tamale, Ghana	2101
Tauranga, New Zealand	2165
Thessaloniki, Greece	2202
Tokyo, Japan	2239
Toronto, Canada	2273
Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia	2320
Vancouver, Canada	2346
Varna, Bulgaria	2407
Vienna, Austria	2453
Washington DC, USA	2497

WELCOME!

Cardano ACCRA, GHANA Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules



Let's get started 🚀

30 MINS - VOTING RIGHTS

3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should they be allowed to appoint designees?

Allowing for appointed designees to control voting rights is a potential way to handle the new voting rights associated with ada. This would enable owners of ada to determine whether or not they want to authorize a designee, balancing the need to protect voting rights from unauthorized use by third parties, but also permitting it if ada o

5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

15 MINS - VOTING RIGHTS

3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should they be allowed to appoint designees?

The constitution should limit on chain governance participation to only ADA holders to ensure the interests of Holders are protected

Only ADA owners should be allowed to vote , since they will the ones directly affected for any decision they vote for.

Governance should be limited to only Ada owners to increase productivity since you'll need to have a particular Ada to have the power to be involved in governance. Group C

There should be an option to appoint a designate to vote based on a strict criteria. A certain level of expertise is required for one to be appointed as a designate.

The constitution should allow ada holders to appoint designees to boost participation due to lack of technical knowledge of some ada holders , whilst ensuring 2 factor authentication for credibility .

If only ADA owners can govern, holders on exchanges and those who've converted parts of their ADA may be excluded. Allowing owners to designate participation ensures all community members' particition

Yes- Allowing for appointed designees to control voting rights is key since it gives the opportunity for small Ada holders to have a voice in decision making through this mechanism.



Break ☕

30 MINS - VOTING MODEL

5. Should the Constitution specify or endorse a specific voting model?

The Constitution recognizes that voting rights are in proportion to the ada owned but is otherwise silent as to voting models or specific consensus mechanisms.

This means that future changes to the on-chain voting model will require a constitutional amendment and hard fork.

5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

5. Should the Constitution specify or endorse a specific voting model? If yes, what specific voting model should be added. If no, why not?

No, because when a new specific voting model is introduced, we will spend more money trying to modify it in the future

Keep to one Ada one vote but have some measures and restrictions to honour the value of blockchain which is decentralisation.

Yes. This will make the voting choice of every voter be fairly considered.

Yes because using the specific voting model will increase the efficiency of members of the Cardano blockchain community

Yes . For effective participation the one Ada one vote voting model should be maintained

yes The Constitution should enshrine a stake-based voting model ("one ada, one vote") but incorporate safeguards to mitigate centralisation and promote broad participation. Implement value limits

Yes One Ada one vote to ensure transparency. Individuals with more Ada can have a bigger say which will violate transparency.

Yes, there should be a specific voting model. For example, one Ada equals one vote hence a voting limit must be observed, for example, a limit of 500000Ada as the maximum voting power.

15 MINS - VOTING MODEL

5. Should the Constitution specify or endorse a specific voting model? If yes, what specific voting model should be added. If no, why not?

Yes. The constitution should endorse a specific voting model. The voting model should be 1 ada 1 vote but with a limit on the maximum amount of ada that can be utilised.

No The one Lovelace one vote in itself is unfair as it fails to capture the majority. However, we could consider other alternatives and then decide on the best amongst them

Yes To allow for lesser ada holders to also have a say in the final decision being made

No, because it will not be cost effective

Yes. The voting model needs to be changed. Using one like a quadratic voting model would reduce centralization by making placing more votes expensive .

No, if a specific voting mechanism is endorsed now, probably the 1 Lovelace 1 vote mechanism, it will cost the ecosystem extra budget to make any additions to suit other governance actions.

Yes. The constitution should specify not only one but more voting models with emphasis on Ada power, active membership scores and other key factors.

Unsure. It is good to enshrine what we have now ie one Ada one vote but also make sure that we make allowance for new voting models easily in future without new major amendments to the constitution

5. Should the Constitution specify or endorse a specific voting model? If yes, what specific voting model should be added. If no, why not?

Yes. 1 ADA, one vote model should be added but have a limit on the amount of ADA one can vote with.

Yes, a specific voting model should be added in the sense that voting wouldn't be skewed as a result of having more ada to influence the voting in one's favour.

No The provisions rather should be made flexible for future amendments in the event that the system that is being used currently over time does not satisfy current voting needs.

No- Since the Cardano blockchain in itself is evolving it's good to make pilots and in future make changes to more suitable models that works best and is acceptable by the community.

Yes. The Constitution should specify a specific voting model. 1 ada, 1 vote should be maintained. However, there must be a limit or cap on the amount of Ada that can vote say 50000 Ada.

Yes, I believe this will encourage inclusivity but there should be weights attached to a number of ada. This is to prevent skewing of votes in favor of an individual.

No, this is to ensure the availability of multiple voting models that will fit the community ecosystem as time goes on along technological advancement.

No! Allowing the community to propose and test different voting models could grow the ecosystem.

5. Should the Constitution specify or endorse a specific voting model? If yes, what specific voting model should be added. If no, why not?

No. I think other voting models should be considered for better governance and to ensure participation from everyone.

Yes there should be a specific voting model provided in the Constitution. There can however be a system to ensure those with more ada do not have too much power in voting

Lunch 


30 MINS - DPReps

11. Should the Constitution include any guidelines as to the requirements that must be included by DReps in their respective codes of conduct?

The draft constitution currently states: "DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps and make such codes of conduct publicly available."

5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

11. Should the Constitution include any guidelines as to the requirements that must be included by DReps in their respective codes of conduct?



11a. If you answered yes to question 11, what requirements for DReps and their respective codes of conduct be included in the Constitution?

Yes, I think the Constitution should include guidelines for DReps' codes of conduct to ensure transparency, accountability, fairness, public engagement, and regular review.

Competence (Knowledge, Resources) Organization (Affiliation) Fairness (Principles)

Transparency Cultural differences Fairness Accountability

There should be proof of competence, fairness, and representation.

Unsure should not go into the constitution but there should be a general guidelines for

While guidelines can provide a framework, they should also allow for some flexibility so DReps can adapt their codes to specific contexts or evolving challenges.

DReps should have their code of conduct but doing so in accordance to the constitution.

I believe the constitution should add guidelines in terms of competence , organization and fairness

11a. If you answered yes to question 11, what requirements for DReps and their respective codes of conduct be included in the Constitution?

Unsure. If endowed into the constitution any amendments will require a a constitutional amendment. There needs to be some requirements but it shouldn't be in the Constitution

Yes People should be able to prove their competence and transparency in their decision also

Unsure if the constitution should include the guidelines because future amendments to the constitution will be difficult. It'd be better if it was represented at appendix

1. Drep must have experienced voting at least twice 2. Drep must be an active member in the cardano community for at least three funds.

There should be flexibility to the provision however so that it can be easy to amend in the future when the need arises. Some elements to consider for DReps guidelines should include, good reputation

Yes, DReps should have a predefined rules that they are all suppose to abide by. This will enhance order and fairness

I think what should be included in the constitution as part of Dreps governance should be that of competence, active participation and engagements with community.

DReps should make their beliefs and values be known. This will prevent confusion and disagreement in the community. In general all they do should enhance fairness, transparency and should be flexible.

11a. If you answered yes to question 11, what requirements for DReps and their respective codes of conduct be included in the Constitution?

The requirements should include competency, fairness, experience and involvement in the Cardano ecosystem and accountability.

Yes, there should be a guideline or certain requirement in the constitution that the code of conduct of dreps should meet to ensure that it aligns with the code of conduct of the ecosystem

Yes the constitution should set guidelines for DReps based on expertise not necessarily educational background but ability to think outside the box

It should be flexible enough to respect individual cultural differences.

They should be able to prove that they are competent, reliable, fair, knowledgeable and available.

Some requirements for the DReps capacity, expertise and knowledge in the ecosystem should be included.
Requirement on how DReps are to conduct their responsibilities.
To ensure efficiency.


Yes They should be credible people, committed members of the cardano community with deep understanding of policies .

Yes the constitution should include reputation systems, minimum standards, and guidance not mandates

11b. Should there be one code of conduct for all DReps or should each DRep be allowed to adopt its own code of conduct?



11c. Should the Constitutional Committee determine whether DRep code(s) of conduct are consistent with the Constitution?





Break ☕


30 MINS - SEPARATION OF POWERS

23. Should the Constitution include a requirement that ada owners who are both DReps and SPOs refrain from voting in both capacities or disclose?

CIP-1694 separates out the voting roles of SPOs from DReps to create a balance of powers. Technically and socially, there is nothing in place that stops an SPO from voting as a DRep and vice versa, although they can easily lose delegation if ada holders are unhappy with their actions.

5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

23. Should the Constitution include a requirement that ada owners who are both DReps and SPOs refrain from voting in both capacities or disclose?



23. Should the Constitution include a requirement that ada owners who are both DReps and SPOs refrain from voting in both capacities or disclose?

Yes to prevent conflict of interest

Yes they should refrain from voting. To prevent conflict of interest

Yes, they should disclose so they do not exploit the treasury. It will also ensure reduced conflict of interest and encourage voting on one governance action in one capacity.

Yes disclose. This would ensure transparency and would deal with a greater portion of conflict of interest

Yes. They have to refrain from voting to prevent multiple count of vote and conflict of interest

Yes, but to avoid conflict they have dislose who they are

Yes, the Constitution should require disclosure for people who are both DReps and SPOs to prevent conflicts of interest and maintain the balance of powers intended by CIP-1964.For Transparency

Yes. So that rewards are fair enough distributed to everybody or everyone. And also, everyone has equal chances at voting.

23. Should the Constitution include a requirement that ada owners who are both DReps and SPOs refrain from voting in both capacities or disclose?

It should be disclosed so we track the direction to which the decision made is going and who it eventually would be favoring

Yes. To prevent any bias and make the voting processes fair.

Yes.. Dual roles should be obliged to disclose their status before they qualify to vote in both capacities..

SPOs and DReps disclosing their identities would help the community members and the ecosystem to know whether their decision is influenced or not towards their interest.

Disclose. The disclosure will help prevent an entity from voting as both a DRep and SPO at the same time on a governance action. This will help protect the Cardano treasury.

Yes, they should disclose their roles as both DReps and SPOs. This is to allow them exercise their rights in both capacities but also to ensure transparency and fairness in the voting process.

Yes to prevent conflict of interest. They should disclose

No. SPOs and DReps should disclose and vote. This allows us to know the magnitude and impact of decision making and influence from each group so as to build consensus for greater community growth.

23. Should the Constitution include a requirement that ada owners who are both DReps and SPOs refrain from voting in both capacities or disclose?

Yes, the Constitution should include a requirement that ada owners who are both DReps and SPOs refrain from voting in both capacities. This will protect the community funds.

Yes, these individuals should disclose. It ensures fairness in the community.

Yes Ensure that power is not misused. The option to vote must be measured.

No. They should rather disclose who they are voting as, this way it can be known who influence the decision

No they shouldn't be refrained from voting. They should be allowed to vote in both capacities since they have assumed such positions however they should disclose.

Yes. They should disclose so we are aware of their status. However there should be a clause so they cast votes as either DReps or SPOs and allow for a fair voting regime.

Yes, disclose. As of now, we can't enforce not voting in both capacities. Also, in early days of governance, there might not be enough dreps who not SPOs so we might be hamstringing ourselves if can't

Yes, they should be able to disclose and vote. This will help to know who is making what desitions


23. Should the Constitution include a requirement that ada owners who are both DReps and SPOs refrain from voting in both capacities or disclose?

Yes, they should be allowed to vote but not in both capacities to prevent conflict of interest and ensure fairness

Yes, this is to ensure the fairness in decision making when voting and not be skewed in favor of those with more voting power

Yes, disclose because it brings about fairness and helps both Dreps and SPOs can vote and represent us

23a. Should the Constitution include other conflicts of interest provisions?



23b. If you answered yes to question 23a, what other conflict of interest provisions should the Constitution include?

Developers and investors

Fair and candid Exercise impartial voting

Unsure, because should there be the need for any review it will be costly

Yes Community Review levels

Voting based on merit

Constitutional Committee members who are SPOs and dReps

Also If these DReps represent other groups and hold similar roles, it needs to be addressed.

Yes, Cultural differences , biases, fairness etc

23b. If you answered yes to question 23a, what other conflict of interest provisions should the Constitution include?

These provisions should require all governance participants to disclose any potential conflicts in expertise and experience

Yes, Require disclosure, voting restrictions, and recusal to prevent conflicts of interest and maintain decentralization.

Voting should be done based on proven track and merit

Yes based on the seperation of powers and influence on votes.

Yes, unbiased voting

Yes, to promote utmost fairness

Duty of recuse Enforcement and consequences Periodic review and updates Disclosure requirements

Powers of voting should be separated to disallow manipulation of the system.

23b. If you answered yes to question 23a, what other conflict of interest provisions should the Constitution include?

Clearly define the consequences or penalties for failing to disclose conflicts of interest or not recusing oneself when required.

Transparency in decision making.

consider adding disclosure requirements.



Break ☕

30 MINS - Amendments

24. Should the Constitution include a provision preventing “Article VII. Amendments” from being amended or allowing any governance action?

5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

24. Should the Constitution include a provision preventing “Article VII. Amendments” from being amended or allowing any governance action?

Yes

Yes . This would maintain the relevance of the Article VII Amendments over the long run

No . I think the constitution should be rigid enough to prevent frequent disruptions and amendments of mini issues that may come up

Yes, consider adding a provision to protect the amendment process itself, ensuring that fundamental governance structures cannot be altered unilaterally. This safeguards the Constitution's integrity.

No, every provision must be subject to change as time goes by in order to fit into current demands.

No. In order to create a way out during times where the article vii amendments need to be amended in times of importance or emergencies.

Yes, it should be prevented Because the section 2 provides the flexibility for amendment in the section 1 already. So there won’t be any need to take an additional governance action

No. This is to allow for modifications whenever necessary

24. Should the Constitution include a provision preventing “Article VII. Amendments” from being amended or allowing any governance action?

No but the current article needs rephrasing.

Unsure, but belive the ammendment need to be rewritten to make it more specific to issues be it technical or otherwise

Yes, a provision should be included to prevent the amendment of article 7, this would prevent frequent amendments to the constitution thereby making it irrelevant.

No. As we go forward, some provisions may not suffice for the times we find ourselves in. However there should be clarification as to the levels of sensitivity of these provisions.

No. There should be processes to ensure that amendments can be carried out easily based on certain scenarios.

No. There should be a complete overhaul of the amendment procedures to reflect specific issues. Including how the ammendment commences in the first place.

No. Until the amendment is rewritten

No I think the amendment needs to be vivid and put in clauses to make it flexible for future revisions as time goes on

24. Should the Constitution include a provision preventing “Article VII. Amendments” from being amended or allowing any governance action?

No. There should be an amendment provision for Article VII. Time evolves and there might be need in the future

Yes. The amendments must be protected because they will preserve core principles and they will avoid vulnerability to manipulation.

Yes, this article provides for general amendments of other provisions and so should not be easily tampered with.

No, The amendment of the policy should be filled with resourceful policies which cut across and satisfies various need which does not permit regular amendment

No. Amendments are necessary for ensuring that policies are updated for the the time, even the amendments. However, we need to ensure that amendments are absolutely necessary.

Unsure, Restricting amendments to ensures stability, whiles allowing changes under strict conditions for balanced flexibility and integrity.

Yes. Such provision should be made available to prevent “Article VII Amendments” from being amended. Article VII should be classified to accommodate several provisions that support various guardrails.

Yes. The amendment provision should not be allowed to be amended. However, we have a blanket provision allowing all sections to be amended with 67% threshold which does not classify the provision.

Delegate Election 

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

No, I provided every thought I had.

The constitution must have entrenched and non entrenched provisions and we must have 80% threshold for entrenched and 60-67% for non entrenched provisions during amendment.

Oh i believe most areas of concern was addressed.

N/A

I'd like to reiterate the importance of balancing rigidity and flexibility in the Constitution.Ensuring that essential principles are enshrined while allowing for adaptability to evolving circumstance

The constitution should have the ability to adapt as times goes on along with the community needs and as well as it's technological advances

The constitution shoukd clear define voting nodel and allow for the community to veto a decision if the implementation of the decision is not practical, and challenging to implement

I must commend Cardano and Intersect for making sure this process was inclusive. I also want to commend Accra Resource Center and Wada for ensuring this event happened.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

The timing of the workshop was a bit late Incentives like ADA could be shared as for those who come early to workshops so it motivates others to likewise in subsequent workshops

A great workshop session. I liked the breaks in between. Could be a bit more flexible with the structure of the questions next time.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas (changes) do you have for the workshop?

It was a great experience.Learning and making governance decisions

Sessions should be a bit more flexible. Since this would allow us to be able to come out a bit more

The group discussion went well and just had issues with internet which made it difficult to work smoothly

ADA can be added as incentives to participants

This was inclusive and very well put together. I would change the time for discussions and shorten them. I would also take some of the steps out and streamline it.

It was a great session and I think it's a step on the right direction. Hope to see a larger community in the next sessions.

I like the fact that we've been involved in the governance processes and hope to have several of such engagements

The workshop was great. But we can consider spreading the program within 2 days next time due to participants feeling exhausted during the session.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas (changes) do you have for the workshop?

It was a very good learning experience with interactive discussions. Looking forward to attending more workshops I.

I love the coordination and how things were set up. Laptops must be given to ensure productivity. Wish participants at the work should receive ADA

Um we want we want more food but the Wi-Fi services better machines laptops for each and every participants

An impressive initiative We hope our feedback would be greatly considered

This is my first time, and i love it. It was a learning experience for me. Maybe i get to win a laptop in our next meeting 😊

None

We should have printed workshop materials and resources to further carry on with the education to other members. More time for discussion.

Very Engaging.

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

47 responses



Great work! 🙌

Mentimeter

WELCOME!

Cardano Ethiopia Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Mentimeter

What we hope to achieve or get out of todays experience

I wan to gain new connections and gain new experience since it is my first time at this event.

To gain knowledge about Cardano

To work on cardano projects

To get familiarized with the governance of cardano, and to familiarize myself with the environment

Learn new experience about cardano and it's ecosystem. Meet like minded people and expand my network.

To lean more about cardano governance

To learn about cardano governance and participate in the governance process

Contribute to the workshop and learn from it.

What we hope to achieve or get out of todays experience

I hope to get the different prespectives on the Constitution from the community

To participate in cardano Hackathons

To know about cardano blockchain consistitution

I hope i will gain some knowledege about the new cardano constitution and i will have the know how of how the ecosystem will act in the future

Networking and a chance to participate on the constitutional workshop

To be part of this cardano ecosystem and add some points into the constitution
To network with new friends

Understand more about cardanos constitution and how we can participate and have a vote in it as being part of the ecosystem

To get better understanding of the cardano blockchain system, and understand the new draft constitution , and contribute my perspective on that matter

Mentimeter

What we hope to achieve or get out of todays experience

I want to make a networking with cardano Co Founders, Advocates and Peoples that Love and want Cardo to grow or replenish

To Know more about Ecosystem and deep dive in to cardano

To participate in cardano Governance

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules

Mentimeter

Let's get started 🚀

50 MINS - Voting Model

[5] Should the Constitution specify or endorse a specific voting model?

The Constitution recognizes that voting rights are in proportion to the ada owned but is otherwise silent as to voting models or specific consensus mechanisms. Should the Constitution specify or endorse a specific voting model?

1) 5 Mins - Alone: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair up and Share your notes with a partner.
3) 10 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input. note
4) 10 Min -All debrief
5) 5 Min -Add to Menti.com

Voting model

5a. If you answered YES to question 5, what specific voting model should be added to the constitution?

No, Constitution should allow flexibility in how voting models are developed and implemented. Locking in a specific model might hinder future innovation and adaptability. Governance mechanisms should

Yes, but no specific voting model. Opening a chance for voting on which model to chose in future and not restrictive. Delegation is our first pick

Yes. The Constitution should specify a flexible voting model to enable the community to adapt and evolve the voting process as needed.

no specific voting model will allow flexibility so we can change or update voting methods as needed, which might be better for adapting to new ideas or technologies.

Yes. We need to propose other voting mechanism, that involves the community and avoid monopoly. At the same time protecting the ecosystem.

Yes, a different voting model should be endorsed because the one that is being used creates gaps on the capability to vote

Mentimeter

5b. If you answered NO to question 5, why do you think we should not specify a specific voting model in the Constitution?

Mentimeter

Break ☕


Mentimeter

Qn 3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees

[Note that this is not a reference to delegation to DReps.]

1) 5 Mins - Alone: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair up and Share your notes with a partner.
3) 10 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input. Scribe note
4) 10 Min -All debrief
5) 5 Min -Add to Menti.com

Qn 3: Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees?



40 MINS - Voting Rights

Q4. How do we address participation by institutions? Should holders of ada who are not owners, such as exchanges, be allowed to vote? [1-2-4-AI]

Why question 4 is important: There is concern about the relationship between institutions and voting rights. On one hand, with consent and improved tooling, centralized exchanges could facilitate voting on behalf of their account holders. On the other hand, without consent they may permanently abstain or decide to vote for their needs with the power of their account holders. Without being more explicit about what is allowed, this dynamic will evolve organically and influence the voting dynamics

4. If you answer YES or No to question 4, please provide your choice and reasoning.

No, I think a limited or fixed threshold should be implemented to prevent large exchanges from centralizing governance.

No - most people deposit their ada on exchange regardless of the institution intention

Allowing exchanges to vote may create conflicts of interest, favoring institutions over individual ADA holders. To preserve Cardano's decentralized governance, only ADA owners should have voting right

Yes as long as they have the appropriate experience and knowledge

Yes, Assuming institutions facilitate a transparent voting onbehalf of account holders.

No they shouldn't cause institutions can use customers accumulated ada for they own favour this can result more centralization of the system

No, centralized exchanges hold large amount of ada and this would skew the winning proposal in favor of the centralized exchange instead of the ada owners

No, the reason being these exchanges are holders of other people's ada so they shouldn't be allowed to use it as voting right and this will inturn turn it more to being centralized

4. If you answer YES or No to question 4, please provide your choice and reasoning.

NO. I think this will make the decision making more centeralized by allowing big CXE to impose their agenda.

No, i dont think institutions like exchanges should be allowed to vote because they might influence the voting and decision making to their benefits

No, because the exchanges can vote for their needs with the power of their account holders which is not fair. Also as most holders are using exchanges

Yes, I perfer the easy way.

No. Holders of ADA voting directly could lead to centralization of power


No the institution might favor there interest with out considering those holders of ADA if there is tool that the ADA holders can participate it might be considerable

No. Any centralized exchangedls should be discouraged

No, maybe it bias the voting b/c no time to get full info regarding the project

5 Min

Qn 4. How do we address participation by institutions? Should holders of ada who are not owners, such as exchanges, be allowed to vote?



Lunch Break



Qn 12. Should the Constitution mandate compensation for DReps?

Please provide additional thoughts explain your choice. Consider: Should the Constitution specify how compensation is determined? Should the Constitution include a cap on compensation?

1) 5 Mins - Alone: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair up and Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input. note
4) 15 Min -All debrief
5) 5 Min -Add to Menti.com

Mentimeter

12a. If your answer is YES or No to 12, please provide additional thoughts. Consider: how compensation is determined and Cap on compensation?

Yes, but it should be limited to prevent exploitation.

No, it might ruin the income generating sustem

No, compensation would be gamed and lose the intention for monetry gain

Yes, the compensation will help to incentive active and responsible participation in governance.

Yes, it's good to set specific task and to know what's the reward and also may put aa good KPI easily

Yes, it incentivizes DReps to take the responsibility more seriously

Compensating DReps ensures their contributions are valued, fostering a more committed and professional governance. Compensation should be fair, transparent, and reflect their responsibilities.

Yes. Because the designees must get some compensation for their work Because they have spent their time and energy

12a. If your answer is YES or No to 12, please provide additional thoughts. Consider: how compensation is determined and Cap on compensation?

Yes, compensation should be calculated in a standard format and also a cap should be in place so that there won't be any bias or misuse of having multiple Dreps

No, what the person or entity will use it to there own interest and I may not agree with there vote.

Yes, the compensation must be proportionate to the voting power a DReps have.

Yes, its advantageous for the designees

No , those Drep's should work without any compensation Am already gave to them my voting power so thats compensation

Yes, some kind of compensation is required for dreps

No additional compensation should not be given since delgating and giving them power that allows them to vote for what they agree. So in my view no reason to compensate them for voting what they want

Am not sure

Mentimeter


12a. If your answer is YES or No to 12, please provide additional thoughts. Consider: how compensation is determined and Cap on compensation?

No, I think delegate my ADA by itself is a compensation. It should be for recognition and community service.

Yes - There needs to be a limit to it

Not sure, the constitution should specify a standardized compensation system that doesn't discourage ada holders from delegating their vote instead of voting themselves

Qn 12. Should the Constitution mandate compensation for DReps?



Delegated representatives (DReps)

Qn 14. Should the Constitution include term limits for DReps?

Think and share the reason for your answer

1) 3 Mins - Alone: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair up and Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input. note
4) 15 Min -All debrief
5) 5 Min -Add to Menti.com

14a. If you answered YES or NO to question 14, please provide your reasoning.

Yes, there should be a term limit for DReps. I think two years would be enough. After that, their power should be revoked or renewed. If they want to be a DRep again, they have to be re-elected.

Without term limits, experienced leaders provide stability and continuity, ensuring governance benefits from consistent, knowledgeable leadership.

Yes with term limits, and open for reelection

Yes, there should be limit because of certain amount of negative impacts

Yes, for active partipation and maintaining decentralized on-chain governance re-election should happen routinly

Yes, there should be term limit so that the Dreps would be able to exercise their right effectively and it will also give the owner to rethink about his/her Dreps

No - a trusted and hard working Drep shold be able to serve without a time limitation.

Yes. It will allow to create a fair utilization of power for the DReps.

14a. If you answered YES or NO to question 14, please provide your reasoning.

Yes. Because it will create more responsibility .

Yes there should be a term limit for Dreps in order to avoid overusing the deligation power

Yes, concerning giving a chance for new dreps it should limited however they may have a chance to participate in every election

Yes, term limit prevents concentration of power and promotes accountability

No, there is shouldn't be a limit for our Drep Why we limit them ?

Yes, term limit prevents concentration of power and promotes accountability

Yes, but the limit should be long term (example: 2 years). Because the DReps can already be delegated or un-delegated by Ada holders. The term would be a safe guard from any kind of abuse from pouplar

No, if the owner wants new designee then he should swap them out rather than loosing a trusted designee

Mentimeter

14a. If you answered YES or NO to question 14, please provide your reasoning.

No, but ADA holders must have the power to change DReps at any time.


No, dreps shouldnt have term limits, they should be able to work without any limit because the person that they represent should have the power to revoke or continue to let them represent

Yes, it will make sure the people who are active can stay active. The ones who are not can move.

yes because there should be terms and limit.

No, because when someone has term limits, the assigner or owner could face trouble. If a delegate works for many years but is subject to term limits, the assigner would lose a loyal, experienced perso

Qn 14. Should the Constitution include term limits for DReps?



Mentimeter

Mentimeter

Break ☕

Delegate Election 

Mentimeter

Introduction to Voting

"We are about to begin the process of voting for Constitutional Convention Candidates. It will be your job as Workshop Participants to choose a Delegate and an Alternate to travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina, this December, to represent our group and this region at the Cardano Constitutional Convention.

Participants who attended a majority of today's workshop are eligible to vote. When you receive your ballots please write down your name and email address so that we can validate votes in case of an audit. You will then have a chance to write up to 5 names of individuals that you feel would represent us well at the Constitutional Convention. Each candidate you list will benefit from your vote. The top name on your list will receive 5 points, the second name will receive 4 points, the

Mentimeter

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

By running as a candidate to become a Constitutional delegate or alternate, you are agreeing that if elected, you will fulfill these duties. Duties marked with an asterisk are NOT applicable to the Alternate.

Agree to the Code of Conduct
You will represent your constituents and the Cardano Community's best interests, rather than promoting any personal agenda.
Your constituents are the Cardano Community members who attended the workshop that elected you, the Cardano community at-large in your region, and any Cardano Community members who reach out to you to represent their needs in some way.
You will not do anything to harm other attendees at the Cardano Constitutional Convention
You agree to follow the Intersect code of conduct

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

Before the Constitutional Convention

*Contact the Alternate and agree how to support each other.
Ex) work together to review constituent feedback.
Attend an online delegate synthesis workshop where you meet other delegates and share your region's constitution feedback.

*Provide your name and contact information publicly for constituents to contact you

*Review constituent feedback and create a summary
Complete Constitutional Delegate training ahead of travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina

Obtain visas and flights necessary to arrive in Argentina for the first week of December 2024. Flight, accommodation, transfers and food costs during the event will be covered for Constitutional Delegates and Alternates selected at Constitutional Workshops.


Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

At the Constitutional Convention

Attend all scheduled sessions (3 days)
*Vote on whether to approve the Cardano Constitution

After the Constitutional Convention

Optionally: Become a DRep to vote on-chain to ratify the approved constitution
Optionally: Encourage the DReps and Cardano Community to vote on the approved constitution


constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/auth/signin
Mentimeter
INTERSECT
Cardano Workshop Login
Enter your email to log in or create an account.
Email
name@example.com
We'll send a magic link to this email.
Send Magic Link

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

We need an airdrop for this workshop sir?

Outside the given document; A way for staking pools and other token holders to participate in governance, like DeFi lquidity providers

It was a really amazing workshop. I already discussed the things I wanted to say on the spot. Thank you, everyone.

Was hoping to discuss more on how the governance will affect the ethiopian communiy specificallly.

Target will seated for Drep

Am little confused and didn't get about the limit of drep

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s (worked well) and deltas (could be improved) do you have for the workshop?

Perfect 👌

Everything was perfect, loved every moment !

It was amazing session including the lunch break 😊

Need to increase the community however all things are done well

I have never seen an amazing workshop like this ever but we need an improvement like ada airdrop for workshop participants and cardano stickers for our pc and mobile

The networking The knowlege sharing The engagement Went very well.

As a first-timer, I'd say this went very well and we should have more of such workshop frequently. Keep it up!!

Great workshop, I enjoyed my time

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s (worked well) and deltas (could be improved) do you have for the workshop?

An amazing workshop except few participants

The workshop was amazing the MC has done a great job in conducting the workshop. It was very engaging and productive as well as entertaining!! Thank you ኤ.ዲ 🥳

Loved the Ugandan man and his accent as well

Just need little management of time specially when we start. But the other things goes well and perfect ow lunch was also nice

it was very good

It was more engaging

Airdrop is must sir

Workshop Quality✅
Active engagement ✅
Lunch 🤩

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s (worked well) and deltas (could be improved) do you have for the workshop?

It would have been nice if we could have gotten the draft constitutional document prior to the workshop.

The discussion was informative and a learning experience. As an improvement, i suggest a quick overview on the constitution before the workshop begins

Also we need cardano hackathons and jobs and projects

Amazing facilitator. Yh

+ve: great tech, interactive thanks

Informative

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

42 responses



Mentimeter

Great work! 🙌

Welcome!

Taller Constitucional de la Comunidad Cardano Paraguay

Esperamos incluir sus perspectivas únicas en 4/5 temas focales de la Constitución. Estamos recopilando comentarios de la comunidad a través de talleres en todo el mundo para dar forma al borrador de la constitución antes de la Convención Constitucional en diciembre.

Accede al borrador de la constitución en:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Acuerdos de grupo

Aportaciones para la Constitución de Cardano

Contribuye con tu presencia.
Intenta comprender antes de persuadir.
Comparte el tiempo: Participa, pero da espacio a los demás. Sé honesto y profundo sin extenderte demasiado.
Busca el progreso antes que la perfección.
Sigue las Reglas de Chatham House.

Empecemos 🚀

1-2-4-ALL

Proceso de Discusión

1) Individual: Genera ideas y opiniones en silencio, de manera individual. (5 min)

2) Pareja: Comparte tus notas con un compañero. (10 min)

3) Grupo de cuatro: Discutan sus ideas y anoten los temas claves de su discusión en Menti. (15 min)

4) Grupo completo: Compartan los temas de sus discusiones en grupo pequeño y debatan en grupo grande. (15 min)

5) Votación: Cada uno registrará su voto final. (10 min)

2. ¿Debería haber un principio adicional que aborde la sostenibilidad financiera del ecosistema Blockchain de Cardano?

Si

Si, para evitar que ocurra como ocurre en la política tradicional, en donde los gobiernos gastan más de lo que deberían, vaciando las arcas de los estados.

Si

Las sostenibilidad financiera es clave en cualquier proyecto, y mas aun en este caso, por lo que si es muy importante que incluir el tema financiero, su uso y control.

We should have a treasury managment during market cycles, storing a bit of the treasury on algorithmic stable coins in the ecosystem. On top of that a balance of spending vs transactions over time.

Si, debe tener un regulador limitante de los usos de recurso

Sí, definitivamente considero que añadir un principio adicional que aborde la sostenibilidad financiera del ecosistema Blockchain de Cardano sería muy beneficioso.

Si. Se debe incluir un límite absoluto en la oferta circulante de ADA. También establecer las reglas claras sobre el uso de los fondos del Treasury como también sobre la rendición de los fondos.

2. ¿Debería haber un principio adicional que aborde la sostenibilidad financiera del ecosistema Blockchain de Cardano?

Si, el cual deberá abordar en la elaboración de todo presupuesto del tesoro y /o de la gestión que deberá ser accesible durante cada ciclo presupuestario, asi dar de sostenibilidad a todo proyecto.

si, la sostenibilidad es indispensable en relacion a que de seguridad legal a las tansacciones existente para cardano

Sin un fondo para implementar proyectos no se puede evolucionar como red global

- La política monetária tine que estar en la Consituición - Que haya un lastro financiero digital, como el aUSDT / Bitcoin. Diversificacin / ETF Alts - Que haya un techo de gastos por departamientos

Si. Sería interesante tener un sistema de trazabilidad de creación de valor, que sea correlacionado al uso de ADAs del Treasury. Auditable, medible, estimulable.

Si, La educación financiera es importante para un manejo sostenible.

Descentralización de la Constitución, en diferentes políticas para definir limitaciones en todos los ámbitos económicos del tesoro de Cardano

Una identidad digital un voto

2. ¿Debería haber un principio adicional que aborde la sostenibilidad financiera del ecosistema Blockchain de Cardano?

SI debería haber La sostenibilidad financiera aporta viabilidad a largo plazo a la blockchain de Cardano. No deben malgastarse los recursos	Incentivos para la participación: Diseñar incentivos que fomenten la participación activa de los poseedores de Ada y otros actores del ecosistema, contribuyendo a su sostenibilidad.	Modelos de negocio sostenibles: Promover el desarrollo de modelos de negocio sostenibles dentro del ecosistema Cardano, que generen valor y contribuyan a su crecimiento financiero.	Modelos de negocio sostenibles: Promover el desarrollo de modelos de negocio sostenibles dentro del ecosistema Cardano, que generen valor y contribuyan a su crecimiento financiero.
Verificar la identidad de los votantes	Si, definitivamente es muy importante la sostenibilidad de la Red Global apoyando proyectos que permitan evolucionar	Si	Si, asi para seguir manteniendo esa sostenibilidad del tesoro y aumentar el monto para futuros proyectos para el crecimiento de la comunidad

2. ¿Debería haber un principio adicional que aborde la sostenibilidad financiera del ecosistema Blockchain de Cardano?

Sí, declaración clara del propósito del tesoro

Si, considero que debería de considerarse el limite, a modo de no repetir lo que ocurre. Además debería de lo que ya cuenta hasta este momento se tendría que realizar un seguimiento y control.

Si, si se puede ver de organizar un comite o un Dpto de que controle o verifique los proyectos presentados de acuerdo a los ahorros programdos que tienen y disponibiliad del Tesoro.! Asi dar vialbilid

2a. Si respondió que sí, considere si esta nueva doctrina debería incluir un límite absoluto en el suministro circulante de ada.

Aduitoria limite para proyectos Y cada etapa debe ser auditara Por fases desembolso Avance proyecto, justificar el avance Aunque este dentro del limite del proyecto Jurídicamente, deacuerdo a la vola

Sí, debe haber un límite absoluto

Debería ser limitado, y establecer % anuales para casos, y vivir en ahorro y no en deuda como están acostumbrado las naciones. Lo países viven en deuda y se deben a los acreedores. Ahorro = autocontr

Así mismo

deberia de incluir un limite absoluto, pero con posibilidades de ampliar ese limite segun el crecimiento del tesoro o porcentuar el limite sin tener en cuenta el crecimiento del tesoro

Si, al considerar la inclusión de un límite absoluto en el suministro circulante de Ada dentro de la nueva doctrina de gobernanza de Cardano, es crucial evaluar los impactos y beneficios.


Sí. Para la credibilidad, sostenibilidad del sistema y despues para alavancar su utilización de la red el límite es una ancla fundamental.

Sí, considero que debe estar incluido el límite circulante pero debemos prever un mecanismo para modificarlo según la necesidad.

2a. Si respondió que sí, considere si esta nueva doctrina debería incluir un límite absoluto en el suministro circulante de ada.

Yes, we should cap in 45b, and only a constitutional governance action can change that again.

2. ¿Debería haber una doctrina adicional que aborde la sostenibilidad financiera del ecosistema Cardano Blockchain?



8. ¿Debería la Constitución especificar cómo se administrará el Presupuesto de Cardano, identificar quién haría esto, especificar un límite?

Si, reglas claras para cuentas claras.

Si, debe ser así , y además de fácil lectura y acceso a la auditoría pública

Si. Totalmente. En la constitución se puede citar los lineamientos básicos o principales vinculandolo luego a una política con todos los detalles sobre la administración financiera de Cardano.

Si. Limites pre establecidos por committee/finalidad. Medible, auditable por vetted/reputable oracles.

Sí. Todas las reglas, responsables y sus responsabilidads, participantes y principalmente, la governanza deste proceso deben estar claramente definidas en la Constituición.

Sí, considero que la Constitución debería abordar de manera más específica la administración del Presupuesto. Esto proporcionaría mayor transparencia sobre cómo se gestionarán los fondos del tesoro.

La Constitución debería especificar la administración del presupuesto de Cardano pero debería delegar el como para que dicha constitución no sea extendida innecesariamente.

efinir límites o topes para la cantidad de fondos que pueden asignarse en un período determinado o para un proyecto específico. También establecer mecanismos de control y auditoría.

8. ¿Debería la Constitución especificar cómo se administrará el Presupuesto de Cardano, identificar quién haría esto, especificar un límite?

Si, Asigna tareas fácilmente y prioriza lo más importante para el equipo. Establece cronogramas y metas del proyecto, haz el seguimiento del progreso y gestiona toda la carga de trabajo de tu equipo

onsiderar mecanismos para involucrar a la comunidad en el proceso de toma de decisiones sobre el presupuesto, como consultas públicas o votaciones, para fomentar la participación y la legitimidad.

Si, debe redactar una instrucción de administración entre todos los. delegados de países integrantes , y que ellos sean los responsables de las modificaciones

Sí, debería especificar la administración de Cardano, pero debería delegar los límites en la siguiente jerarquía posterior a la construcción.

NEECSARIAMENTE debe haber un poder Ejecutivo y un Presupuesto General Aprobado para un determinado ejercicio.

Sí debería, con presupuesto con límite, sabiendo quien lo haría, con auditoría externa Identificando el retorno, la sostenibilidad Controles para aprobación Hay que definir quien lo haría

Limite Si, y debe haber una especificación de uso de los fondos, la administración de los fondos debería estar bajo un Smart Contract, que se crea para cada proyecto aprobado, que controle el uso.

Si, pero de debe limitar no solo el límite sino también se debe determinar cómo se elije quien hará, quiénes son elegibles.

8. ¿Debería la Constitución especificar cómo se administrará el Presupuesto de Cardano, identificar quién haría esto, especificar un límite?

No, but it should be validated and approved by the community with the same treshold of constitutional change action. Any legit member based organization of the community could present.

si, limites si

Sí, límite en forma porcentual


Definir el Presupuesto de Cardano, segregando el monto asignado para el año, para no tomar el total del Tesoro como base de presupuesto.

Si

8a.Si es así, Debería la Constitución especificar cómo se administrará el Presupuesto de Cardano, identificar quién haría esto, especificar un límite?

Deberia de especificar el administrador del presupuesto, votar por quien lo administraria e indentificarlo en la constitucion y especificar el limite con criterios razonables que perdure en un periodo

8. ¿Debería la Constitución proporcionar más detalles sobre el proceso presupuestario de Cardano?



Almuerzo 

1-2-4-ALL

Proceso de Discusión

1) Individual: Genera ideas y opiniones en silencio, de manera individual. (2 min)

2) Grupo de 5 or 6: Discutan sus ideas y anoten los temas claves de su discusión en Menti. (8 min)

4) Grupo completo: Compartan los temas de sus discusiones en grupo pequeño y debatan en grupo grande. (15 min)

5) Votación: Cada uno registrará su voto final. (10 min)

DReps

11. ¿Qué debería requerir la constitución en relación con los códigos de conducta de los DReps? (selecciona todas las que apliquen):

(a) Los códigos de conducta de los DReps deberían estar en la cadena
(b) Un solo código de conducta para todos los DReps
(c) Flexibilidad para que cada DRep adopte su propio código de conducta
(d) El Comité Constitucional debería determinar si los códigos de conducta de los DReps son consistentes con la Constitución
(e) Otras directrices para los códigos de conducta de los DReps
(f) Ninguna de estas

11. ¿Qué debería requerir la constitución en relación con los códigos de conducta de los DReps? (selecciona todas las que apliquen).

e) I believe we need other directions, Dreps should be able to select their own code of conduct and inform in public, in a platform to question the actions of drep and let the delegators to decide.

E. Deben haber directrices, pero no limitativas. La Constitución debería definir la existencia de un Código que deberá definirse dinamicamente.

a y b

E.

a y b

E)

A y B

A

11. ¿Qué debería requerir la constitución en relación con los códigos de conducta de los DReps? (selecciona todas las que apliquen).

D.	D	a y b	D
e	A y B	A y E	Opcion e

11. ¿Qué debería requerir la constitución en relación con los códigos de conducta de los DReps? (selecciona todas las que apliquen).

e)

A y b

D

A y B

D

D

D

E. Deberíamos definir un código de ética general, fuera de la constitución y que los drepps elijan si adhieren o no

11. ¿Qué debería requerir la constitución en relación con los códigos de conducta de los DReps? (selecciona todas las que apliquen).

E

Para que sea algo estandarizado y aplicado de forma transparente

D. No deberían ir encontra de la constitución de Cardano

diversidad

Pienso que el código de ética debe estar en la Blockchain y que siempre debe quedar espacio para poder implementar mejoras.

Debería tener un código de ética mixto es decir una línea base y luego cada drep puede tener algo personal, deberá el comité validar con la constitución y la línea base

Debería haber un código Base, el que podría ser mejorado con el consenso de la comunidad

D

11. ¿Qué debería requerir la constitución en relación con los códigos de conducta de los DReps? (selecciona todas las que apliquen).

a y b Porque los DReps deben reponder a lo que se han comprometido

D

D

D

11. ¿Qué debería requerir la constitución en relación con los códigos de conducta de los DReps? (selecciona todas las que apliquen):



Separación de poderes

23. ¿Qué debería decir la Constitución, si acaso, sobre los Holders de ADA que votan sobre la misma acción de gobernanza tanto como DRep como SPO?

(a) Prohibir la votación sobre la misma acción de gobernanza que un DRep y una SPO

(b) Requerir divulgación pero no prohibir votar sobre la misma acción de gobernanza que un DRep y una SPO

(c) Incluir disposiciones especiales sobre conflictos de intereses

d) No es necesario abordarlo en la Constitución

(e) Inseguro

23. ¿Qué debería decir la Constitución, si acaso, sobre los Holders de ADA que votan sobre la misma acción de gobernanza tanto como DRep como SPO?

B. La Constitución podría exigir que los poseedores de ADA que voten en ambas capacidades declaren abiertamente su doble rol. Esto permitiría a la comunidad evaluar la posible influencia de sus votos.

B, the conflict of interest should be a disclamer and not a blocker for participation. Participation during the descentralization part of the governance layer is importat bcause we´re forming tech ppl

B. Es imposible llegar a un sistema perfecto, pero sería bueno apoyar a casos donde se de la posibilidad a los que quieran ser transparentes

B, pero en la constitución debería contener que no sea doble voto como Drep y como SPO. sin conflictos de intereses, segun el comite tecnico responsable!

B. No debe tener la posibilidad del doble voto.

D

B

d - parece muy dificil enforzar las otras opciones

Separación de poderes

23. ¿Qué debería decir la Constitución, si acaso, sobre los Holders de ADA que votan sobre la misma acción de gobernanza tanto como DRep como SPO?

E. Inseguro

Se debería evitar el doble voto, o Drep o SPO. permitir ambos escenarios, por definición, es un conflicto de interés.

en ningun marco normativo existe el doble voto

No es necesario agregar a la constitución pero si pedir que los que son ambos declaren

A. Drep o SPO.

A, porque no conozco democracia donde una persona pueda votar 2 veces

B , Discriminar los vos de ambos de acuerdo a sus ámbitos.

Considero que se debe permitir y restringir un único voto por participante de la comunidad. Sería conveniente verificar las categorías de acciones de gobernanza para evitar solapamientos.

Separación de poderes

23. ¿Qué debería decir la Constitución, si acaso, sobre los Holders de ADA que votan sobre la misma acción de gobernanza tanto como DRep como SPO?


No se deberian de prohibir los votos a los DRep y SPO, pero se deberia de incluir disposiciones especiales para que no se generen conflictos de intereses

establecer un mecanismo fuera de la constitucion para que sea mas especifico los puntos establecidos

B, deberíamos dejar que la mayor cantidad de personas participen, y entender que los SPOs son concientes de la importancia del equilibrio en los parametros

No considero necesario prohibir, pero si debería de consensuar

23. ¿Qué debería decir la Constitución, si acaso, sobre los Holders de ADA que votan sobre la misma acción de gobernanza tanto como DRep como SPO?



(a) Prohibir la votación sobre la misma acción de gobernanza que un DRep y una SPO

(b) Requerir divulgación pero no prohibir votar sobre la misma acción de gobernanza que un DRep y una SPO

(c) Incluir disposiciones especiales sobre conflictos de intereses

d) No es necesario abordarlo en la Constitución

(e) Inseguro

Elección de Delegados 



https://constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/

¿Hubo alguna idea o tema que no tuvo la oportunidad de compartir?

Todo fue dicho!! Felicitaciones a Cardano en Paraguay

Muy grato participar en este taller en donde las ideas de cada uno son escuchadas

Cómo brindar información de manera mas fácil y practica,como adentrar en la educación!! excelente todo

Fantástico el evento! Tenemos muy buenos representantes que sin dudas aportarán lo mejor de nuestra comunidad. Éxitos para CARDANO!

Todo bien

The risk of individuals running for the CC

Me ha gustado el grupo que hay aquí, buen grupo esperanzador en Paraguay, Me hubiese gustado poder tener una reunión previa al workshop para conversar más sobre Cardano.

¿Qué le ha gustado y qué cree que se podría mejorar en el taller?

Excelente todo

La participación de todos

Que se repita

Muy bueno el taller! Muy buena dinámica, entretenido y participativo. Debemos seguir realizando estos eventos con frecuencia. Gracias!

No se hizo en guaraní

La disponibilidad de un exchange para adquiri Ada de cardano

La participación, el interés y la disposición de los participantes.

Excelente todo

¿Qué le ha gustado y qué cree que se podría mejorar en el taller?

Todo excelente, en el listado de votación hace falta que se actualicen las posiciones al mover los candidatos

🙌🙌🙌

✡️

Todo bueno 👍

👍👌🇵🇾

Excelente Organización, les esperamos nuevamente pronto. 👋👍

Todo muy bien. Aplauso al equipo y todo. Vamos Cardano y vamos Paraguay 🇵🇾

Exelente todo !!

¿Qué le ha gustado y qué cree que se podría mejorar en el taller?

👏👏👏

Todo perfecto, vamos Cardano!!!!

Excelente hiperactividad en relacion a la constitución de cardano y los aportes recibidos

👏👏👏

Un briefing mas aprimorado antes de cada pregunta, una contextualizacion.

Aprendizaje

Participación

Equipo inteligente 💪🏆

¿Qué le ha gustado y qué cree que se podría mejorar en el taller?

Equipo

I think we could have work better explanation about how to deal with conflict of interest as an introdutory topic for the question 23.

Equipo

Reflexión y Conclusión

¿Qué palabra o frase describe mejor lo más importante que se lleva del taller?

16 responses



¡Buen trabajo! 🙌🙌🙌🙌

Hora de una foto de grupo

📷

Merienda ☕

WELCOME!

Cardano Austin Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

FLOW OF THE DAY

Cardano Constitutional Workshop Agenda

Welcome and Why You Are Here

Ground Rules

Explore Focal Constitution Question #1

Explore Focal Constitution Question #2

Explore Focal Constitution Question #3

Lunch

Explore Focal Constitution Question #4

Explore Focal Constitution Question #5

Delegate Election

Feedback and Close

GROUND RULES

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.

Seek to understand first rather than persuade.

Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.

Seek progress over perfection

Adhere to Chatham House Rules



Let's get started 🚀

1-2-4-ALL

Discussion Process

1) Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone. (5 min)

2) Pair: Share your notes with a partner. (10 min)

3) Four: Generate key themes and take notes for input into Menti later (15 min)

4) Whole Group: Debrief on key themes, points of intersection, and points of differences. (15 min)

5) Input thoughts into Menti and vote. (10 min)

45 MINS – FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY OF CARDANO

6. Should the Constitution mandate dispute resolution provisions for contractual obligations related to ada from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury?

Discuss your thoughts.

6a. Please provide your answer and rationale for Question 6.

Yes / No / Unsure

6. Should the Constitution mandate dispute resolution provisions for contractual obligations related to ada from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury?

No. Proposals that are based on community grants need to be built around on chain metrics and conditionally released in a trustless manner. Immutability is a core tenet and lawyers are expensive.

This question might fit better into Article III, Section 6, where required expectations for a proposal are defined. Might be we require that a proposal specifies dispute resolution mechanism?

Yes. Tor clarity and fairness in how disputes are managed for anyone to see, maybe even on a dashboard. Should be baked into the contract across the board as part of the contingency area

No. It should only be referenced in the constitution, but having provisions in the constitution itself bogs it down. It should be the responsibility of the parties involved.

It's potentially counter-productive to say the constitution "should mandate" dispute resolution without rigorously defining what this means

Yes, I think that the constitution should contain a statement that reflects a requirement for any amount of $ADA dispersed to have some dispute resolution.

Dispute mechanics should kick in at lower than 1M Ada

- Yes, inorder to ensure that cardano assests like the treasury are protected and that the community is protected from fraud and other malicious activities.

6. Should the Constitution mandate dispute resolution provisions for contractual obligations related to ada from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury?

The constitution should include a blanket statement that each treasury withdrawal over this amount shall have a dispute resolution process.

The constitution should include a provision of who can enforce entering into the dispute resolution process. Maybe this power should be given to the ICC.

What is the result of a dispute? Does it relate to future funding? Or to tiered release of funding (over milestones or successive proposals)?

yes Representatives from the community should be involved in the dispute resolution process to ensure that the community's interests are represented.

Yes. There should be a provision in the Constitution that ensures any 'contract' (regardless of the amount of ADA requested) includes Dispute Resolution Conditions.

Representatives should empowered to holt and continue the distributio of funds from the treasury until the dispute is resolved. There should budgetary constraints to ensure that the dispute resolution

No, the constitution is not the document for this. This is more of a CIP/code of conduct issue to me.

What proportion of treasury funds should be used to create the bureaucratic rails necessary to have the kind of dispute resolution we imagine?

6. Should the Constitution mandate dispute resolution provisions for contractual obligations related to ada from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury?

No. Outside the scope of the Constitution and un-enforceable. May refer to a process or governing body in charge of litigation and dispersing of funds Could foresee the need for a CIP or gov action

No, there should be a seperate cip with parameters covering all budget provisions. The constitution should avoid over indexing and instead point towards the cip, just as we currently do with cip1694.

No: it should be referenced for the sake of continuity, these provisions should be detailed somewhere other than the constitution as it seems to be outside the scope & would also bloat the document.

The consideration of Milestones should be adopted, Milestones should be provided by the organization/entity requesting a Treasury withdrawal. Milestones are therefore subject to the contract D/R provs

Yes, 1. There should be a notation in the constitution ref the Dispute Res process, then create a written process for arbitration, exclude an Amt threshold. This should apply to any region.

Yes But the constitution should merely state that proposals need an explicit well defined dispute resolution provision that describes how disputes will be handled outside of court wherever possible

No. Successful proposals should, if there are reasons to believe disputations are possible, include arbitration features in the proposal. Ideally, the larger community develops best practices models.

No - the constitution should be kept as lean and minimal as possible. Push as much to the edges as possible - dReps/ADA holders ultimately responsible for dispersing funds through effective channels.

6. Should the Constitution mandate dispute resolution provisions for contractual obligations related to ada from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury?

There should be a portion in the CC that references dispute resolution provisions for contractual obligations on a per instance basis -- more so as protections than as enforceable "law."

Yes. But only dreps, icc, and contracted parties can initiate disputes. The regular ada holder cannot, but can voice their opinion to their drep

How can we start small? What experiments can we do now to discover what might scale to the global blockchain level

So there is no ambiguity surrounding what a entities rights and more importantly responsibilities are.

Yes. By mandating that withdrawals have contractual agreements covering disputes resolution allows each contract to be tailored for each case for proper resolution measures.

We need a Constitutional Provision that states parties to a Smart Contract on the Cardano Blockchain agree they cannot sue in any court of law over elements of that contract and agree Code is Law.

Yes, although the dispute resolution is vague there ought to be a mechanism to ensure Cardano users have a level of guaranteed rights/options to fair dispute resolutions despite their nationality.

Yes it should be mandated. The proviions and dispute frameworks should be referenced outside of the constitution

6. Should the Constitution mandate dispute resolution provisions for contractual obligations related to ada from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury?

A constitutional mandate of arbitration is unenforceable and can easily get complicated. Referencing a process for redress is reasonable, how difficult would this be to standardize across the chain?

No, the Constitution shouldn't mandate dispute resolution for ADA. Local laws and communities will vary globally. Organic systems should handle disputes, discourage bad actors, and adjust based on ADA

Yes this should be mandated with provisions and arbitration frameworks should b3 referenced outside the constitution

6. Should the Constitution mandate dispute resolution provisions for contractual obligations related to ada from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury?



Break ☕

7. Discuss if the Constitution should mandate that budgets include a contingency fund, an indemnity fund, and admin funds to cover costs of the CC.

Should the Constitution mandate that the Budget must include (select all that apply). Please make your selection and provide rationale.

(A) A contingency fund to cover unexpected costs not otherwise specified in the Cardano Budget
(B) An indemnity fund to cover potential claims against governance participants such as DReps and Constitutional Committee Members
(C) Funds to cover administrative costs of the CC
(D) None of these

7. Discuss if the Constitution should mandate that budgets include a contingency fund, an indemnity fund, and admin funds to cover costs of the CC.

D, Budgets can contain all of these things, but the constitution should not mandate what goes into a budget.

D. The constitution should not mandate that excess funds be set aside for any reason as this impacts the longevity of Success for the Cardano Blockchain Ecosystem.

Volunteerism is dangerous. It's hard to be an impactful technical contributor and to volunteer for all unfinished "social" work in the same lifetime

D. This should also not belong in the constitution. The constitution should not be mandating what should go in the budget. Leave it to the community i.e. MBOs, DREPs, etc.

D. The purpose of a constitution is not to provide budget items, but the principles from which budgets can be built.

D None of these should be in the constitution

D. These should not be mandated by the Constitution. Individual budgets should include these when they are deemed necessary by the community

Deliverables and outcomes of CC should be established before any budget line item is considered

7. Discuss if the Constitution should mandate that budgets include a contingency fund, an indemnity fund, and admin funds to cover costs of the CC.

B,C The constitution should mandate that the budgets include an indemnity fund, and admin funds to cover the CC

D No payment without first clearly outlining the responsibilities and process for CC seat holders. I support the volunteerism idea of Volunteer Only CC

No, mandates implies enforceability which seems outside the scope of the constitution being that there are no means of enforcing and such mandates

D, less is more. No budget should be allocated, outline or suggested by the constitution. Budgets will become targets for bad actors, while real necessary efforts can be founded by the community.

It might be nice to give some sort of legal protection to dReps...but at what cost?

D. While I think paying and protecting dreps and paying CC is very very important, I do not think it should be included in the constitution and should rather be voted upon via budget proposals

Any one of these slush funds will be the entire budget in a short amount of time. That is the nature of lawyers and bureaucracy. The first judge will to see this will crush it.

D. I think at this point there's no clear lines defined that justifies having this constitutionalized. There's needs to be more structure and a common denominator to clearly define and future refine.

7. Discuss if the Constitution should mandate that budgets include a contingency fund, an indemnity fund, and admin funds to cover costs of the CC.

D. Adding mandates to the constitution carries the potential of becoming Catalyst. In other words, allowing anyone to have the access to submit proposals for Treasury funds, undermines the established

D - Unsure, the Constitution could require a a combination of the 3. No higher than 5%, but I fear we need to know more. For me, it comes down to maintaining quality. Not sure what is the scope

Bruh

D A mandate for any of these is impractical - especially an "unspecified" mandate that is unenforceable - 1 ADA for each fund passes constitutionality but is impractical. Ends up in proposals anyway

There needs to be a strong incentive to work efficiently and with making new work

C. The constitution should mandate a budget for the CC to operate properly. There needs to be a mandate to have a process for deciding the budget.

D. but there should be wording about how the budget is to be approved and decided.

no way! i feel that the responsibilities of the CC and Dreps need to be clearly outlined in order for us to determine when and if a budget is appropriate, D

7. Discuss if the Constitution should mandate that budgets include a contingency fund, an indemnity fund, and admin funds to cover costs of the CC.

D. At this point the foundation needs defined for the future to refine.

D, the constitution should be lean, focusing on guiding principles, not future budgets. If the CC needs funds, the community will rally behind any existential threat to Cardano and its constitution.

A. Yes Setting up committees for success is key to the future of those committees B. Indemnity should be included to protect those who may not have the resources necessary to protect themselves,

D, the need of fund here, points to a failure at a different level of the system.

as long as our decentralized governance system requires a cc to function propertly, the must be a mandate to fund this body structure if we want to alligned with the ethos of inclusivity.

then you limit who can participate. C. Yes as it then allows for a prudent reserve again in order to manage unexpected issues. This should also be fleshed out as to the amounts and whats acceptable.

D. Any funds that would be included in budget that are unexpected or changed should come in the form of an additional proposal. The CC funding should be a proposal, not a tax on applicants.

D. Budgets may not require such funds. The proficiency of how well proposals are written/projected should be criteria on which they are voted in, and may include these budgets, albeit not required

7. Discuss if the Constitution should mandate that budgets include a contingency fund, an indemnity fund, and admin funds to cover costs of the CC.


Yes, but a cip for the budget must contain the specifics on these ammounts and the cardano community should approve it. Mandating this will asure no budget gets passed without funds alocated to the cc

D. This is outside the scope of constitution. A competing budget process would effectively accomodate unforseen expenses going forward.

D) Its premature to enshrine budgets for expenses before it is know if the expense warrant being included in the constitution. Later, if the costs are deemed significant enough, it can be added later.

10 MINS – FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY OF CARDANO

7. Discuss if the Constitution should mandate that budgets include a contingency fund, an indemnity fund, and admin funds to cover costs of the CC.



A) A contingency fund to cover unexpected costs not otherwise specified in the Cardano Budget

B) An indemnity fund to cover potential claims against governance participants such as DReps and Constitutional Committee members

C) Funds to cover administrative costs of the CC

D) None of these

Break ☕

45 MINS – DREPS

11. Review: “DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps, make such codes publicly available."

Please provide your answer(s) and rationale for Question 11. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each item you selected in Question 11.

(A) DRep codes of conduct should be on-chain
(B) One code of conduct for all DReps
(C) Flexibility for each DRep to adopt its own code of conduct
(D) The Constitutional Committee should determine whether DRep code(s) of conduct are consistent with the Constitution
(E) Other guidelines for DRep codes of conduct
(F) None of these

11. Review: "DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps, make such codes publicly available."

F in the chat

FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
FFFFFFFF

Intersect must have a CoC. The Constitution absolutely should not

F, Codes of Conduct are not enforceable or needed in a permissionless system.

We want Codes of Conduct. We want ways to say "people like us do things like this" -- but that is NOT the role of a constitution

F this is completely unenforceable.

Constitutions are not the place for "guidelines"

Codes of conduct are completely unenforceable. Unenforceable rules undermine systems at a fundamental level. People will break the CoC and there will be no consequences and people will ignore it all.

10 MINS – DREPS

11. Review: “DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps, make such codes publicly available."

F. The code of conduct can exist and the community can uphold that standard, but not in the constitution because correct incentives are in place.

F. Guidelines are important but ultimately, unenforceable actions are useless. Delegators decide what kind of power DREPs have.

Having a code of conduct infers a centralized enforcement mechanism and gives the SEC a lever. It also opens Intersect up to legal liability on an individual level.

It's worthwhile to be able so say why "F" is your answer in 18 words or less.

F NO!

F. There is no need to put unenforceable ideas into the constitution. In fact, doing so could have unintended negative consequences.

F. There are too many variables in this code of conduct to specify any solidarity that be explained in the constitution

C. Let them decide what code of conduct they want to follow. Let people vote with their wallets. Is there a dashboard to showcase all the DReps what they stand for, and what their code of conduct is?

10 MINS – DREPS

11. Review: "DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps, make such codes publicly available."

Unenforceable laws destroy communities

F. Delegation of stake makes this unnecessary. The community of stakeholders has control, and should retain control per previously stated guidelines.

C. Enforceable or not they should commit to what they believe in to at least let people know how they will represent themselves

F, not sure this should be in the constitution. I am concerned with how this will be enforced. Delegation isn't always the best as some people are busy and don't keep up with their dREP. NFT, AI?

F, the code of conduct for DReps does not belong on the constitution.

F. This is totally outside the scope of the constitution. Having standardized codes of conduct is fine and dandy - ADA holders hold dreps+CC accountable, Just not via the constitution!!!

F This shouldn't be in the constitution. We can still have a code of conduct. Either way it is not enforceable .

F seems to be the consensus. Unenforceable items do not belong in the COC. Especially not in a permissionless system.

11. Review: “DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps, make such codes publicly available."

IF, a code of conduct is desired/agreed to, it should be ONE code of conduct, enforceability is not the question at this time.

The more irrelevant stuff we add to this constitution the less meaningful the important parts will be

F, it would seem that the issue is already resolved by the notion of delegation.

F implies C BUT NOT THE OTHER WAY AROUND

F Doesnt change outcome and is unenforceable

Fine to say Dreps should say what they believe in, but mandating that in the constitution will destroy Cardano

F. Code of conducts are very helpful but without the ability to enforce them there is no point in making them enforceable.

F delegation solves this

10 MINS – DREPS

11. Review: "DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps, make such codes publicly available."

The discussion of needing a body to arbitrate enforcement, since a cod of conduct could be in or referred to by the Constitution requires clarity of whether or not a group should enforce, or the comm.

F, the constitution needs to be restricted to no more than 5-10 pages

The code of conduct should be a set a values that community members can easily identify for self-policing when acting outside the goals of governing activities.

C Dreps should be required to post their own CoC so that the community can hold them to account tro delegation

IF a code of conduct exist then it ought to be on-chain Ideally there would an enforceable set of standards to ensure DReps avoid conflicts of interest, but without an enforcement it becomes useless

10 MINS – DREPS

11. Review: “DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps, make such codes publicly available."



Lunch 🍲

Let's Get a Group Photo!

📷

17. The Constitutional Guardrails specify a min/max size for the CC and min/max term lengths but doesn't address details on the composition of the CC.

17a. Please provide your answer(s) and rationale for Question 17. What size or term length do you have in mind? Should these values be fixed, relational, or determined by on-chain voting?

(A) How the number of CC members are determined
(B) A fixed number of CC members (consistent with the Guardrails)
(C) How term limits for CC members are determined
(D) A fixed term limit for the CC members (consistent with the Guardrails)
(E) Other
(F) None of these

17. The Constitutional Guardrails specify a min/max size for the CC and min/max term lengths but doesn't address details on the composition of the CC.

9 members. 200 Epoch Terms. 3 members elected every 200 epochs. This will build stability into the system. Hardcode this so we don't have to talk about it anymore.

We need to have a community based system that will ensure representation is spread around the world.

We need all three: (1) CC size, (2) CC term LENGTH and (3) limit to total number of CC anyone can serve

E. We need to determine number in CC and be able to determine length, with staggers

AD odd # of members that is determined by on chain. The term limits should be fixed to ensure that the CC is not controlled by a small group of individuals. with staggered terms to ensure that the CC

with staggered terms to ensure that the CC is not completely replaced at once.

B+D: The composition of the CC can be changed by an amendment to the constitution

A The parameters should be decided by an on chain vote

10 MINS - CONSTITUTIONAL COMMITTEE (CC)

17. The Constitutional Guardrails specify a min/max size for the CC and min/max term lengths but doesn't address details on the composition of the CC.

B, D in my experience, 5 is best, and 7 starts to get a bit (3/5 and 5/7) Two years, the space moves fast

C Parameters should be decided by an on chain vote

E. A,B,C,D, Rationale should be provided for A & C. Fixed limits should be on-chain vote. Staggered elections should occur. If mid-cycle, current elecs will run to end of prev agreed term

Please keep it odd.

A and C, require an on-chain vote to decide the number and term limits. Nothing else needs to be in the constitution, these decisions will be enacted by a community vote.

E. There are good arguments for different combinations of these answers. We have nearly a year before the ICC's term is over. This should be decided with the larger community during this period.

A and C since the Constitution can outline the process and that it be up to the community vote to set and adjust any parameters Originally my choice was F due to lack of data, but I see need for A/C

A&C, we should say there is an on chain vote to decide these parameters. I also like the idea of saying the # of CC members should be odd.

17. The Constitutional Guardrails specify a min/max size for the CC and min/max term lengths but doesn't address details on the composition of the CC.

A+C: Change these 3 parameters (size, term-limit, term-length) by "on-chain vote", the details of which are TBD

It's too early in the game to make any legitimate calls. I vote F. Let's give it a minute to warm up, gather some data, then make informed calls.

After discussion, A & C seem logical, but if the community determines the `term limits`, each term

A & C - on chain votes for determining CC size & term lengths E - odd #'s only for CC, and staggered elections so we have a mix of old & new faces every election (except in case of no-confidence).

A, C, E; on-chain vote for number of members on-chain vote for length of term on-chain vote for term limit (none for no limits, or number of terms allowed)

A, C) I believe that a varying number of members can be used to give more groups a voice and I believe it should be a consistent term limit but staggered to prevent lame unproductive periods.

E. There shouldnt be a fixed number of candidates due to the potential expnsn of the eco. Definitely should be an odd number and also have it staggered to insure all seats are not vacated at same t

`Keep the CC odd`

17. The Constitutional Guardrails specify a min/max size for the CC and min/max term lengths but doesn't address details on the composition of the CC.

B, D it's important to define the details of the composition of the cc. How it gets determined doesn't need to be set in stone and allow room for exploration.

E: too early to be too specific. should be an on-chain vote to determine the envelope threshold (not a specific number but more-so a range). although, odd-numbers essential to avoid gridlock.

F not enough data on the processes that the CC operates on

Limiting the number of relections (terms)??

A & C, these need to be in the constitution, by on chain vote. Not ready to determine # of CC as cardano could grow but needs to be odd number. I like the thoughts of each continent having a CC.

7 or 9 Members, 1.5 - 2 year terms. On-chain votes. The constitution should refer to the decision. The decisions should be made/updated directly after any future Constitution edits.


A, C. The CC size should be defined by on-chain vote. Each seat should align with a vertical or core value, with a mechanism for community representation ensuring ada holders' voices are heard.

Guardrails. Stagger terms. The number of members should be fixed. Unsure why you want people changing frequently that are responsible for determining if something is constitutional.

17. The Constitutional Guardrails specify a min/max size for the CC and min/max term lengths but doesn't address details on the composition of the CC.

B C E On chain vote Odd number of cc members Staggered term elections

17. The Constitutional Guardrails specify a min/max size for the CC and min/max term lengths but doesn't address details on the composition of the CC.



19. The Constitution expects the CC to adopt a code of conduct but does not specify how it should be established or who should be included.

**19a. Please provide your answer(s) and rationale for Question 19. You may submit multiple responses. Submit one response for each item you selected in Question 19.

Consider: How would any of the selected requirements be enforced?**

(A) The CC code of conduct should be on-chain
(B) The Cardano Community should have a role in approving the CC's code of conduct
(C) Other guidelines for the CC code of conduct
(D) None of these

19. The Constitution expects the CC to adopt a code of conduct but does not specify how it should be established or who should be included.

I am an A and B man. Sorry,

A+B: The Cardano Community needs a check against the Constitutional Committee

(A) (B) This enhances transparency and accountability, making verifying adherence easier for the community.

D; I'm with he changed my mind; not needed

I need that energy

D, thanks

D. My dad's name is Jerry, and in this moment he sounds like my dad.

A. the CC should be on chain, established by DReps

19. The Constitution expects the CC to adopt a code of conduct but does not specify how it should be established or who should be included.

A+B will make it more likely that community members have on-ramps for participation

was a racecar driver; D

A and B made a good point but didnt get us

D Big Energy. I can make these decisions without it being in the constitution

Who the hell is ?

We need a way for Dreps to remove a CC member through a Governance action no questions asked.

B. Give the Cardano Community the power to hold the CC accountable to a set of standards.

D

19. The Constitution expects the CC to adopt a code of conduct but does not specify how it should be established or who should be included.

DDDDDDDDDDDDDD DDDDDDDDDDDDDD DDDDDDDDD ·

Codes of Conduct do not belong in a "Constitution" for any reason.

My experience has been keeping the values as a code of conduct. Goodwill, Integrity, Collaboration, Intentionality. These values should be outlined in the constitution, not the code of conduct

A, B, & C can be easily looped together. This reinforces the agreement of CC Member's to abide by an accepted standard. The community should then have opportunity to provide suggestions as needed.

A and B Its necessary in order to provide a simple guideline

A,B,C when the CC is elected they are enterin into a contract with the community. Accountability is key

The CC CoC should be on chain. The community already has power to approve/disapprove CoC by issuing/not issuing No Confidence proposals.

D This should not be a requirement of the constitution.

10 MINS - CONSTITUTIONAL COMMITTEE (CC)

19. The Constitution expects the CC to adopt a code of conduct but does not specify how it should be established or who should be included.

D, is possible. The caveat is that it requires the Community to agree that CIP-1694 upholds the perceived values to be exhibited by any and every 'candidate' for the CC.

D, less words in the constitution, stronger government.


A & B if it's necessary to be in constitution.... As pointed out for legal reasons it would be beneficial to keep it out, but there should be a code of conduct.

A,B,C, This returns to the ideals of basic social agreements. Placing it on-chain demonstrates good faith. In de-cent. Gov. the community should always have an opinion.

D: expecting anyone to adopt a code of conduct of any sort raises the question of who decide, who decides who decides & how do you insure insure that the code properly reflects the community sentiment

A, B) Only because it serves a set of standards in which the community can rally around to more easier gain support for actions to remove a CC member.

19. The Constitution expects the CC to adopt a code of conduct but does not specify how it should be established or who should be included.



A) The CC code of conduct should be on-chain


B) The Cardano Community should have a role in approving the CC's code of conduct

C) Other guidelines for the CC code of conduct

D) None of these


Break ☕


Delegate Election 


Register for a New Workshop
Enter the registration code provided by the workshop administrator.
Registration Code
f57r4t37
Your name
George Washington
Run as candidate for constitutional delegate
Register



https://constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/


constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/auth/signin
INTERSECT
Cardano Workshop Login
Enter your email to log in or create an account.
Email
name@example.com
We'll send a magic link to this email.
Send Magic Link


INTERSECT
My Workshops
Register for Workshop
Workshops
Search by name or short ID
All Statuses
Name
Short ID
Status
Memphis Workshop
memphis
Not Started

Candidate Speeches

2 minutes each

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

I appreciate all of the effort the people who organized this put into this process.

It was great

This is how it happens y'all - let's keep these sorts of global sessions running

Awesome Workshop. Sharing the ideas was quite smooth. Interested to see the culmination of feedback from all of the CCW's.

Really great energy in this space

na

No

Great job, excellent execution.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

Y!!!

I'd like to thank for being great facilitators. I'd also like to thank all of the participants, we have a wonderful community.

Negative

I think we need to very careful in keeping the constitution as "minimally viable" as possible. No extraneous or unenforceable content, with as little ambiguity as possible. Initial conditions MATTER

no

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

not much - good workshop

Consider a multi-day workshop. Would have enjoyed going over some additional questions.

Really well done - we got thru 5 questions! Nice job sticking just enough to protocol while keeping the vibe loose

Great seeing the community

none

moar food

Timelines were super helpful, the group did a great job of respecting each others opinions and inputs.

strong passion was great to see

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

Great workshop

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

25 responses



Draft Constitution Feedback Form

If you would like to provide feedback on other questions, please do so here: https://forms.gle/6HJVPxQe9CPriHRN6



GROUP PICTURE

Great work! 

Mentimeter

WELCOME!

Cardano Community Bandung, Indonesia Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Mentimeter

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules

Mentimeter

Let's get started 🚀

10 MINS - PRINCIPLES & TENENTS

2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem? [Section 2.2 Economic Parameters.]



2a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 2.

- From a legal perspective, it is important for the Cardano ecosystem to ensure compliance with global financial regulations, protect user rights, and maintain transaction transparency. Complying with AML
- unsure, because we don't know yet how Cardano's performance is, whether it is effective or not. But what must be considered is long-term stability and preventing ADA inflation
- Yes, technological developments are increasingly advanced and financial transactions will be increasingly rapid using digital means that there needs to be an ecosystem like Cardano.
- Because we don't know exactly what cardano is
- Including a tenet on financial sustainability is essential for ensuring the Cardano Blockchain's long-term viability, because it can prevent economic challenges in the future, such as inflation.
- Because I don't really understand, but someone has explained that I quite understand. Basically, if you want to create a must control principle, there is a system so we can prevent inflation
- agree, because it affects the economic aspects of blockchain operations and in it there are transaction fee parameters, the minimum ADA balance required for staking or transacting.
- Yes, in order to maintain the stability of the Cardano economic system on the blockchain in the long term.
- unsure, I feel unsure because actually financial sustainability in general is important. but in this context I don't understand how cardano works.
- I feel unsure but for financial sustainability in general I agree because it is important, but in this context I don't understand how cardano works
- Yes, because one of Cardano's focuses is sustainability. Cardano also aims to provide reliable and secure financial services to people who currently do not have access.
- unsure, because most of us still don't know for sure about cardano. However, after listening to the explanation from aa in front, cardano could be important because of technological advances later
- Unsure, cause it is not yet visible in real terms whether it will be effective or not, but this is a commitment to long-term growth and stability in the currency sector.
- In this new principle we need to set a maximum limit because it will affect Cardano in the future.
- Yes because adding principles of financial sustainability can help ensure long-term stability and good governance of the cardano ecosystem.
- elements, because it is related to the constitution that binds Cardano itself.
- Unsure, because most of us still don't know for sure about Cardano, but it needs to be analyzed further.
- I don't understand the purpose yet
- Unsure. Because we don't know how Cardano works in the long term, how safe is it for the current financial/economic stability?
- Yes, because to ensure that the Cardano ecosystem can continue in the long term, there needs to be a new principle that will allow it to

- elements, because it is not certain whether this principle can have a positive influence or not
- No. Because every financial deregulation must continue, the block chain must have good regulation.
- Cardano blockchain. ..useful for the future....
- We need to know what the security is like so that we feel safe when using it.
- It is necessary to prevent monopoly.
- Because I don't really understand clearly what Cardano is? please explain
- Because I don't really understand clearly what Cardana is and/or I haven't received an explanation.
- Because I don't really understand clearly what Cardano is? please explain
- Adding financial sustainability principles to the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem could be very useful to ensure long-term stability and growth.
- Yes, adding financial stability tenets to the Cardano blockchain ecosystem is essential to increase transparency, meet regulatory standards, and minimize operational risks.
- Necessary (yes). Adding a principle about financial sustainability can help ensure long-term stability and effective management of the Cardano ecosystem.
- Yes, because the sustainability of any blockchain ecosystem, including Cardano, depends heavily on its financial stability.
- Yes, so that we know the principles better and advance them.
- Because one of the focuses of cardano is sustainability. And its goal is also to provide a fair and resilient infrastructure for financial and social applications on a global scale.
- can't understand yet because I still don't understand what CARDANO is....
- Setting a maximum limit on the circulating supply of ADA (Cardano) is a complex decision and depends on many factors, including economic goals, market stability, and network policies.
- Deregulation must be controlled
- Yes, if you want to create a sustainable financial ecosystem, Cardano needs to create additional tenets so that there are limits and rules that need to be adhered to so that goals can be easily achieved.
- Unsure, because I myself don't really know what ADA is, and the discussion about Cardano is still too basic so there are still many things that still feel gray to me.
- unsure, because Cardano will definitely need more time than what we have now, especially when viewed from an asset management perspective, in the future it will become a reference for asset appraisers.
- Yes, because the addition of principles is necessary for economic stability, but the principles that are established later must still be observed in order to move in a positive direction.
- The maximum limit on the circulating supply is an advantage of cryptocurrency. If it is not limited, it will benefit one party and is no different from fiat.

- Yes, it is necessary to consider new principles
- Yes, it is very necessary
- Yes. Financial sustainability is essential to maintaining the long-term stability of the Cardano ecosystem. It helps ensure that resources are always available to support development, incentives and
- Unsure, because he doesn't know how the carduno principle actually works
- Yes, cardano should implement additional regulations to enhance user safety and security
- yes, because the main concern about crypto is security
- Yes, the maximum limit can help control inflation, ensuring that the amount of ADA in circulation does not continue to increase, this can keep the value of ADA stable and avoid a decrease.
- Unsure, because I don't fully know what Cardano is and what the basic topics are
- Focus on the main principles
- Yes, cardano should implement additional regulations to enhance user safety and security
- Yes, because most people are still unfamiliar with the Cardano ecosystem rules and steps, as well as the risks involved.
- yes, there is a need for long-term sustainable regulations
- need to add new principles and think more about the long term
- yes, cardano should implement additional regulations to enhance user safety and security
- Setting a maximum limit on the circulating supply of ADA (Cardano) is a complex decision and depends on many factors, including economic goals, market stability, and network policies.
- Yes, with clear principles, the Cardano ecosystem can grow sustainably and not just rely on hype or short-term trends.
- Yes, because it avoids irregularities in donations such as money laundering, besides that this system helps legal transparency to protect user rights.
- Yes, whatever the new principle, many additional systems are still needed to realize financial sustainability
- unsure, because I still don't understand the principles in cardano
- Yq.. need to set the maximum limit at what?
- Yes, because setting a maximum limit on the circulating supply of ADA can be a controller of inflation, economic stability, and balance with the existing economic model.
- Yes, because it will increase the transparency and security of the Cardano currency itself, of course restrictions on the amount of ADA supply in circulation must be included so as not to reduce its v
- The answer is yes, because the flexibility possessed by Cardano must be balanced by the applicable constitution. such as decision making in article const 4 & amendment point article 6

-
- Yes, the limit here can be used as a reference so that it is like the initial goal of Cardano which is targeting countries that are plagued by corruption, so that a limit is needed so as not to make people greedy.
- Yes, because ADA as Cardano's native currency has a central role in securing the network, encouraging participation, and facilitating transactions.
- Imo, it's yes. The principle is firm, but it also follows the times. So, it can grow with the adjustment of the times that continue to develop.
- Needless to say, with many projects and initiatives relying on funding for development and operations, it is important to explain how this ecosystem will support long-term interests.
- Yes, because financial sustainability ensures the long-term viability of the Cardano ecosystem by maintaining incentives for developers, stakers, and participants. It supports continuous development.
- Yes, because financial sustainability ensures the Cardano ecosystem can keep growing, rewarding participants, and staying secure over the long term.
- Yes financial needs are very important for sustainability
- Yes, adding a principle would help ensure long term sustainability of effective management
- Yes, so that we know more about the principles and how to advance it
- Yes
- No changes needed
- Yes, because Cardano has principles that can maintain the stability of the financial economy in our country, even though it is not free from the risks that may exist in Cardano itself.
- Yes, because I need it for financial needs... hopefully it can develop with lots of ADA
- Spy we can know Cardano more clearly and for its benefits
- Yes, because (Cardano) is an addition of principles to the financial economy to make it more stable even though there are some risks to market and network stability.
- Yes, the considerations that I convey are principles and are only a maximum after the global market has become increasingly limitless.

30 MINS - VOTING RIGHTS

3. Apakah Konstitusi harus membatasi partisipasi tata kelola on-chain hanya kepada pemilik ADA atau harus diizinkan bagi pemilik ADA untuk menunjuk

perwakilan yang kemudian berhak berpartisipasi dalam tata kelola on-chain? [Catatan: Ini bukan referensi untuk delegasi ke DReps. Pasal II Bagian 2, Pasal III Bagian 4 dan 8, Pasal IV Bagian 2, Pasal VI Bagian 1, Pasal VII Bagian 1.]

5 Menit - Sendiri: Hasilkan ide/tanggapan secara diam-diam, sendirian.
10 Menit - Berpasangan: Bagikan catatan Anda dengan pasangan.
15 Menit - Kelompok: Hasilkan tema-tema utama dan siapkan masukan.

10 MINS - VOTING RIGHTS

3. The Constitution should:



(a) Batasi partisipasi tata kelola on-chain hanya kepada pemilik ADA.

(b) Izinkan pemilik ADA untuk menunjuk perwakilan yang kemudian berhak berpartisipasi dalam tata kelola on-chain.

(c) Tidak yakin.

3a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

- A
- The constitution does not need to limit governance to ADA owners. Allowing delegation allows for broader participation (inclusivity) so everyone can have an equal opportunity.
- This approach aligns with the principle of "skin in the game." By requiring individuals to have a direct financial stake in the network through ADA ownership, it ensures that decisions made will be in
- A limit on chain governance
- ADA owners should be allowed to appoint others to participate in on-chain governance because of better transparency and accountability in decision-making. By allowing ADA owners to elect the right peo
- In my opinion, it's just the ADA owners because they are the ones who probably understand better and know what needs to be added or reduced from Cardano's governance itself.
- The constitution should have transparency regarding this, because every activity cannot be carried out carelessly, it must have the right direction.
- B, to allow for overall participation
- Allow ADA owners to appoint designees for governance but with clear rules for transparency and limits to prevent misuse. Encourage direct participation with education and tools to keep the system fair
- (a) Limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada
- B. Because we are flexible: ADA owners can focus more on other things, and can be handed over to those who are authorized and efficient and effective. But transparency and accountability need to be considered.
- The decision to allow ADA owners to appoint designees for governance comes down to a balance between inclusivity and flexibility versus direct accountability and simplicity. If the goal is to maximize
- B, because the one who knows what the needs are is THERE
- Combine between a and b , cause government should be also aware about Cardano platform system to protect the investment
- The constitution needs to limit governance to property owners only, because people who do not own property may not know what and how property is governed.
- In fact, it needs to be limited and all parties can be followers to participate, but in accordance with the applicable rules.
- Unser.Deciding whether the constitution should limit on-chain governance participation to ADA holders only or allow them to appoint representatives involves considerations about inclusivity.
- If there is deregulation, the policy must be regulated for security, so that consumers have a clear legal umbrella that is safe.
- No, because on-chain must ensure the security of the existing data so that if possible it can only be accessed by ADA owners. However, their

- There is no need to limit it, because as a form of democracy, not only leaders can make decisions, but the entire existing ecosystem.
- There is no need to limit on-chain governance to ADA owners. Because all members also have the right to fully participate in decision-making and it will be more efficient.
- Cardano ecosystem governance refers to the decision-making process involving ADA (Cardano's cryptocurrency) holders to advance and develop the Cardano blockchain network.
- A, because the one who knows the condition and needs is THERE
- The constitution allows ADA owners to appoint for transparency purposes, but this needs to be accompanied by certain regulations.
- A, in my opinion it should be limited because with this limitation it is considered safer in terms of security and more focused and faster in decision making if only ADA owners
- Limiting governance participation can help mitigate the risks of spam
- for wider cardano coverage, there is no need to limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ADA to be more efficient
- agree with the existence of representation because in Cardano itself there are implications of transparency, every ADA holder participates in decision making either directly,
- The constitution does not have to limit governance to ADA alone, this is because in my opinion it is to create flexibility and expand relations, but it is accompanied by several regulations.
- There should be a limit for those who can vote in order to keep the data to the owner of ADA
- the need for boundaries to ensure better security
- the constitution does not need to limit governance to ADA holders alone because electing representatives can improve the quality of decision-making.
- In my opinion, there is no need to limit it so that everyone can have it, but there must be individual permission.
- b. Because everyone can participate
- agree with the existence of representation because in Cardano itself there are transparency implications, every ADA holder participates in decision making either directly,
- A
- I agree if there are 3 people to be selected to participate because experts can also participate in maintaining
- A, it needs to be limited because with this limitation on participants it is considered safer.
- Agree to the condition that the representative has knowledge of the ADA
- the constitution does not need to limit governance to ADA holders alone because electing representatives can improve the quality of decision-making.
- (B.) Allowing designees enhances efficiency in governance, ensuring that decision-making processes are not slowed down by individual owners who may not want to participate in every vote.

- Allow owners to appoint desugnees who are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance because we need transparency, but security must also be considered.
- Yes, allow ada owners to oppoint designees who are then entirled to paricipa in on chain government. Obviously to avoid fraud and this requires laws to protect it.
- The owner can be represented by a designated person to increase flexibility but still with limitations and transparency, in order to better maintain security.
- Allowing ADA owners to appoint designees in on-chain governance increases participation and ensures informed decision-making. It gives holders without the time or expertise a voice while promoting dec
- C
- B. It must also be limited so that the rules are clear.
- Allow ADA owners to appoint designees who are entitled to participate in on-chain governance, because in addition to having people who help us manage on-chain with high protection.
- The constitution does not need to limit governance only to the existing owners, but there must be one person who is trusted and understands the governance, so that the governance is maintained.
- A. Because it covers all groups and there is fear of social jealousy
- If it is allowed for all groups to participate and security rights are protected by law
- Actually, don't limit it. That's why everyone can be flexible
- There is no limit to on-chain participation, as it reduces future risks in technology and other risks, by expanding access to ADA owners and representatives.
- (b) ADA owners to represent on-chain governance to encourage participation and ensure better decision-making.
- Limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada
- Allow owners to appoint designees who are then entitled to participate in on-chain
- Allowing ADA owners to appoint representatives in on-chain governance increases participation and ensures better decision-making. This gives ADA owners something they don't
- there should be no limits to its governance
- A
- Proposal by representative > review by user > finalization by representative
- Cardano ecosystem governance refers to the decision-making process involving ADA (Cardano's cryptocurrency) holders to advance and develop the Cardano blockchain network.
- Cardano ecosystem governance refers to the decision-making process involving ADA (Cardano's cryptocurrency) holders to advance and develop the Cardano blockchain network.

30 MINS - FINANCIAL STABILITY

8. Komunitas Cardano diharapkan untuk mengajukan, tidak kurang dari satu kali setahun, anggaran untuk pemeliharaan berkelanjutan dan pengembangan masa

depan Blockchain Cardano. Diskusikan apakah proses terperinci untuk mengembangkan dan menyetujui Anggaran Cardano harus ditentukan dalam Konstitusi. [Berkaitan dengan: Pasal III Bagian 8]
Karena proses pembuatan Anggaran Cardano tunggal akan menjadi baru, tidak pasti ba

5 Menit - Sendiri: Hasilkan ide/tanggapan secara diam-diam, sendirian.
10 Menit - Berpasangan: Bagikan catatan Anda dengan pasangan.
15 Menit - Kelompok: Hasilkan tema-tema utama dan siapkan masukan.

10 MINS - FINANCIAL STABILITY

8. Apakah Konstitusi harus memberikan detail yang lebih besar tentang proses anggaran Cardano?



8a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 8.

- The Constitution needs detailed budget processes to ensure transparency, financial sustainability, and community trust. Clear guidelines will protect Cardano's future and empower all stakeholders in d
- Yes, the constitution should provide greater detail on Cardano's budget process. The main reason is to increase transparency and accountability in the use of funds, ensuring part
- Because it is important for transparency and affects the level of community trust.
- Yes
- Elements
- Yes
- Yes
- Yes
- - decision making processes
- Yes
- The constitution should often identify how the Cardano budget will be managed in general. This could include the mechanism for allocating funds, the budget submission process, and transparency principles.
- Yes
- Elements
- No
- A constitution should be balanced, providing enough general principles without being too detailed to be flexible. However, if it is too vague, it can threaten transparency, accountability, and trigger future conflict.
- No
- Elements
- Of course, because transparency and detailed identification need to be carried out.
- No
- Yes
- yes
- resource allocation.
- The constitution must provide very specific details about the budget process, including in the context of blockchain ecosystems like Cardano.
- yes of course it must be

- Yes
- No
- Yes
- Yes, because by identifying there will be a sense of customer trust in Cardano itself.
- Yes, to increase transparency and accountability in budget use.
- It should be more detailed for budget management.
- The constitution should provide greater detail on Cardano's budget process. The main reason is to increase transparency and accountability in the use of its funds.
- Yes
- Yes of course
- Yes, More detail in the Constitution about the budget process would increase transparency, accountability, and consistency.
- Yes, because Cardano aims to ensure that the budget is managed effectively, transparently, and in line with the platform's long-term goals.
- A constitution that is too detailed in managing the state budget can hinder the government in adjusting fiscal policy according to dynamic economic conditions, such as an economic crisis or
- Yes, the Cardano Constitution should identify the principles of budget management and the responsible parties. This is important to ensure transparency and accountability in the implementation of the
- Yes
- That's right, it has to be
- Budget procedures that are too regulated in the constitution can slow down decision-making, especially when a quick response is needed to emergency situations, such as natural disasters or health crises.
- Yes, the Constitution should explain clear rules on how the money owned by Cardano will be used. This is important so that everyone knows that the money is well managed.
- Elements
- A clearly defined budget process will support better financial planning.
- No, a constitution that knows the detailed budget of cardano would violate the principle of decentralization.
- Budget management details are better regulated through laws or government regulations which are easier to revise than the constitution. This makes technical regulations easier to adjust without
- To maintain should need a budget and control the budget need monitoring based on constitutional regulation to ensure transparency, accountability, and certainty in the management of funds. The constitution should also determine clear limits on the budget so that funds are used effectively.

- Yes, it is important to be transparent in order to gain trust.
- Because it will be more transparent in financial matters. Trust in the community will be better.
- Yes, the Constitution should identify how the Cardano Treasury will be managed and who will be responsible for managing it.
- Im not sure about that, because i still dont understand many things about Cardano.
- Yes, the constitution needs to provide more in-depth details on Cardano's budget process. This is important to increase transparency and accountability in the allocation of funds.
- Yes, we need transparency.
- The constitution should outline how the budget will be managed, including basic principles such as transparency, accountability and reporting.
- Yes, it would be better if the constitution identified budget management and who has the right to manage it so that it is transparent.
- Yes
- Yes, if the constitution aims to ensure transparency and accountability in budget management, then it is important to establish basic mechanisms or guidelines on how the budget is managed.
- ensuring accountability and transparency increases community trust in decisions taken and ensures that budgets are used efficiently for development and innovation.
- Hello I didn't get what the main topic is because I came late, but I do have a little knowledge about crypto. But for Cardano, it's a lil bit new to me. But I'm willing to learn it today.
- Agree
- Yes, because maintenance requires costs/budget and the use of the budget must be monitored and must comply with constitutional regulations.
- Managed based on the number of projects to be accepted and the number of coins to be issued
- However, like constitutions in general, the Cardano constitution only provides general principles about financial governance while the budget details will be managed according to specific and designed governance processes.
- Because it is important for a constitution to identify how the budget is managed to create transparency and clarity about who is responsible for the budget.
- , is important. The constitution should clearly outline the budget management process, including how funds are allocated, used, and monitored. This will ensure transparency.
- Increase transparency and accountability in the use of funds, reduce the risk of misuse and inefficiency in budget use.
- Yes, because this is important for transparency and accountability to avoid misuse.
- Yes, providing greater detail about the budget process can help increase transparency and accountability in the management of funds. It can also provide clearer guidelines

- Elements
- Yes, the constitution must identify who will manage the budget. The reason is to increase transparency and accountability in the use of funds.
- Yes, the constitution should outline the principles and processes for managing the budget. This includes how funds are allocated, the procedures for budget approval, and guidelines for expenditures.
- As for contributions in general, Cardano may only provide general principles of financial governance.
- Yes, the Cardano constitution includes guidelines for budget management, this can provide a clear structure and legitimacy for sustainable

30 MINS - DReps


014. Apakah Konstitusi harus mencakup mekanisme yang menyebabkan pemegang ADA memilih kembali DReps mereka dari waktu ke waktu ?

[Berkaitan dengan: Pasal IV.]

5 Menit - Sendiri: Hasilkan ide/tanggapan secara diam-diam, sendirian.
10 Menit - Berpasangan: Bagikan catatan Anda dengan pasangan.
15 Menit - Kelompok: Hasilkan tema-tema utama dan siapkan masukan.

10 MINS - DREPS

Apakah Konstitusi harus mencakup mekanisme yang menyebabkan pemegang ADA memilih kembali DReps mereka dari waktu ke waktu? [Berkaitan dengan: Pasal IV



014a. Please provide your answer (Y/N/Not Sure) and reason for Question 014. If you answered Yes, please explain what you would like to add...

- no
- Not sure
- Yes, the re-election process allows for transparency in management and decision-making. It also encourages active participation from ADA holders in the management and direction of the project.
- Periodic re-elections help prevent the centralization of power in the hands of certain individuals or groups, maintaining decentralization and distribution of power within the Cardano ecosystem.
- Yes, the Constitution should include a mechanism that allows ADA holders to periodically re-elect DReps.
- DReps are an integral part of Cardano governance. They provide an effective way for the community to participate in shaping the future of the network.
- Yes, the DReps re-election mechanism is important to keep them accountable to ADA holders. With re-election, ADA holders can replace representatives who no longer meet their interests.
- This situation is complex and depends on other factors, such as existing accountability mechanisms, DReps transparency, and community needs. There are various ways to maintain accountability other than re-election.
- Not sure
- The answer is no. The constitution should not include a mechanism that forces ADA holders to periodically re-elect DReps. The reason is that frequent re-elections can create discontent.
- I would add term limits for DReps and guidelines for their replacement to ensure accountability, transparency, and prevent power concentration.
- I would add term limits for DReps and guidelines for their replacement to ensure accountability, transparency, and prevent power concentration.
- Not sure
- Yes, the constitution should include it.
- Yes, I would add term limits for DReps and guidelines for their replacement to ensure accountability, transparency, and prevent power concentration.
- because Maintaining Accountability of Dreps Preventing Concentration of Power Increasing Community Participation Accommodating Changing Preferences
- A mechanism that requires ADA holders to periodically vote for DReps should be included in the constitution.

- Of course, ADA holders should be given the right to vote periodically on DReps.
- The Constitution should mandate ADA holders to re-select their DReps over time, ensuring accountability, attracting new voices, preventing power concentration, and reflecting changing views & ideas
- Yes, because it ensures the sustainability and legitimacy of the government.
- Yes, because it is important to ensure accountability and renew trust and maintain relevance. The sign of re-election will be the risk of stagnation in the leadership of dreps
- Yes, this mechanism can avoid holding power for too long, so that regular replacement of DReps can provide opportunities for evaluation and new ideas for the community.
- Yes
- Yes, because it ensures the sustainability of the drops
- yes
- to ensure that DReps continues to represent the interests of the community. With re-election, the community can evaluate DReps' performance and make changes, maintaining the dynamics and legitimacy of its ecosystem.
- Yes, because to ensure sustainability and to re-elect the drops periodically
- DReps must have integrity criteria.
- Yes, the Constitution should include a mechanism for ADA holders to re-elect their DReps from time to time.
- Yes
- Yes, Here are some important reasons for such a mechanism: Accountability, Flexibility and Political Dynamics, Active Community Participation, Transparency and Performance of DReps, Preventing Centralization
- Yes
- Yes, but it has to be right and can convince that Cardano is safe for individuals and communities.
- Yes, because D Reps are needed to represent the interests of the community.
- Yes, because it can maintain the decentralization of power.
- Yes. Ideally, the cardano constitution by a similar platform should include a mechanism for re-electing Dreps from time to time to ensure accountability while maintaining the right balance between stability.

- Yes, because the reason is that including a mechanism that allows ADA holders to re-elect their DReps from time to time in the Constitution can ensure transparency and accountability in
- You can find out whether the performance of the drapes is at its maximum or not periodically.
- Yes, because it can encourage participation from ADA holders in project management.
- These term limits are important to ensure better leadership regeneration and provide opportunities for new ideas.
- As technology advances, digital privacy has become a fundamental aspect of personal freedom and security. The current Constitution was drafted before the digital age, and thus does not explicitly addr
- Well...it's important for progress.
- Yes
- Yes
- Yes
- Yes, because by periodically replacing DReps, Cardano can ensure sustainability and diversity in better decision-making for the ecosystem.
- Yes, the Constitution should include a mechanism that allows ADA holders to re-elect their Delegated Representatives (DReps) from time to time.
- ADA holders' preferences and priorities may change over time, depending on market conditions, technical innovations, or changes in the Cardano project's goals.
- Yes
-

30 MINS - SPOs


16. 16. Mengingat bahwa SPO kini memiliki peran pemungutan suara yang diamanatkan secara konstitusional pada tindakan tata kelola tertentu,

haruskah SPO tunduk pada kode etik (CoC) yang diamanatkan Konstitusi? Diskusikan pemikiran Anda mengenai SPO yang diwajibkan untuk mengadopsi kode etik dan apakah kode etik tersebut layak dimasukkan dalam Konstitusi. [Berkaitan dengan: Pembukaan Paragraf 5-7, Pasal V, Pagar Pembatas: PARAM-03 dan 04.]

5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

10 MINS - SPOS

16. Persyaratan apa, jika ada, yang harus ditetapkan oleh Konstitusi untuk kode etik SPO (pilih semua yang sesuai)?



16a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and reason for Question 16. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response.

- If implemented in Indonesia, SPOs need to be subject to a code of ethics (CoC) to maintain accountability and prevent conflicts of interest.
- A
- (a) SPOs are expected to implement a code of conduct to maintain their integrity in the on-chain voting process.
- A
- There needs to be strong oversight and regulatory flexibility for the CoC to be effective without hindering innovation.
- A
- b
- B
- By designing a fair and transparent system, and implementing effective oversight mechanisms, we can minimize the risk of concentration of power and ensure that the interests of members are protected.
- It must be consistent in its implementation so that it is carried out well
- C
- Of course, SPO must implement and follow the code of ethics that has been determined.
- in general to maintain accountability and prevent conflict but the SPO must be consistent and structured
- SPO is expected to be able to implement it
- A
- A
- A
- It is expected that SPO can follow the code of ethics to prevent conflict.
- A
- Spo must implement and follow the code of ethics
- Code of ethics must be implemented and become the basis for SOP
- A ... SPOs not only serve as node managers to validate transactions and maintain network security, but they also have the right to vote in the voting process.
- The code of conduct must be on-chain to be transparent and verifiable by the community.
- The code of ethics must be designed to be evaluated periodically and revised if necessary to remain relevant to changing conditions and needs.
- (c) The Constitutional Committee shall review and determine whether the code of ethics is in accordance with the constitution, to ensure that

- Because I think the SPO code must be consistent with the constitution.
- A. The code of ethics ensures that SPOs act ethically and transparently, which is essential for integrity and public trust in decision-making.
- Not sure
- c
- answer: A, B, & C. rationale: SPOs have a critical role in both network security and governance, requiring clear ethical standards to manage potential conflicts of interest
- A B C
- A
- A
- A
- SOP must implement a code of ethics to maintain integrity and be able to run according to applicable regulations.
- yes abc
- The constitution must determine the guidelines and governance that are implemented
- What does it mean that there must be an implementation of SOP and code of ethics in the form of on chain?
- Spo must be consistent
- a
- B
- c
- A
- A
- C
- a
- b
- B
- a
- Because each activity requires its own code of ethics so that its implementation can run smoothly.
- A
- SPO in the context of Cardano refers to Stake Pool Operator. An SPO is an individual or entity responsible for the management and maintenance of stake pools on the Cardano blockchain network.
- A

- A, spo are expected to implement a code of ethics as they play a vital role in the blockchain governance and security ecosystem.
- A
- C Always be consistent and must follow continuously
- A
- A
- A
- A
- B
- C
- SPOs hold great power in ensuring the security and sustainability of the Cardano network. As key actors, they must adhere to high ethical standards to ensure decisions
- C

Mentimeter

Delegate Election 

Are there any thoughts (regarding the Constitution) that you haven't had a chance to share?

- Yes
- None.
- enough
- Enough
- None
- None
- None
- Enough.
- Enough.
- Enough
- There isn't any
- enough
- Enough.
- Enough
- Enough
- Enough
- Enough
- Enough
- enough
- Enough
- Enough
- enough, THANKYOU VERY MUCH CARDANO FROM ASSET MANAGEMENT ❤
- ABSOLUTELY there are lots of thoughts about this constitution, especially regarding Blockchain in general. Hopefully there'll be plenty of opportunities for me to gain knowledge as much as possible
- Nothing
- Enough
- Yes, we can gain a lot of knowledge and we can learn about the Cardano network.
- Enough
- Thank you, that's clear
- enough
- Enough
- Enough ❤ 💗
- That's the great experience to join this workshop
- There isn't any
- Enough
- Enough
- There isn't any
- None
- Enough
- Enough
- The constitution is all good, it just needs to be implemented well for the public and increase polling & sampling. Thank you.
- Lack of public understanding, implementation is always inconsistent.
- Enough
- The Function of the Constitution in Driving Innovation As a growing blockchain, Cardano must continue to drive innovation in its ecosystem. The Constitution can support this by establishing mechanisms
- Enough
- enough (☆☆ ▽)
- Not yet
- enough
- Not yet
- There isn't any
- ❤️❤️❤️❤️

What +s and deltas (changes) do you have for the workshop?

- There isn't any
- hope the resource person is from abroad and there are lots of prizes from the workshops.
- Knowledge and experience.
- interesting discussion
- Nothing, it's already perfect
- I like the moderator
- + interactive - full seating
- Really like today's event, but unfortunately I didn't attend from the beginning 💔
- Enough, It's already perfect.
- The time
- obviously I've gained sooo much knowledge and insights from this workshop and the most exciting change is that now I have networks of
- curiosity about blockchain things that I'm really passionate about
- Lack of Snacks and Coffee, No Money for Transportation, Already Far Away
- Interesting thing
- There are games and getting to know each other!
- I got a lot of new knowledge.
- knowledgeee
- I want to discuss fundamental analysis or tricks for trading, so you can make more money
- So far so good
- 👍👍👍
- The think that I like from this event is the team of cardano is very fun people.
- In-depth Knowledge of Cardano's Technology:Practical Demonstrations:
- So I understand the problem of blockchain-based cryptocurrencies
- Cool
- truly so much new knowledge and it's very insightful
- Policy regulations must be balanced with the entities and economic needs of each party. Thank you.
- Lope to moderator
- wow
- SO COOL 😍🤩
- WELLLL(≧ ≦ ▽)

Satu kata atau frasa apa yang paling tepat untuk merangkum hal-hal penting yang Anda dapatkan dari lokakarya ini?

81 responses



Mentimeter

Great work! 🙌

Thank you!

Mentimeter

WELCOME!

Cardano Bangalore, India (Virtual) Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

What we hope to achieve or get out of todays experience

Im a beginner so im basically learning all the amazing cardano related stuff today.The community and people are amazing and I'm enjoying it already.

Understand Cardano in core level and contribute to the constitution accordingly

I want to understand the core constitutional principles of Cardano and contribute towards a better on-chain governance. -

Learn a bit about the interim Constitution and see the flow of how it works. Getting familiar with the things waiting ahead for cardano

Understand the Cardano constitution deeper and have meaningful conversation with like minded folks to share thoughts.

Learn more and involve with the cardano ecosystem

Help in building cardano governance and be a part of this revolutionary Voltaire phase

Unite Cardano community and brainstorm the ideas to develop Cardona eco-system

What we hope to achieve or get out of todays experience

Contribute to a decentralised future and set a benchmark as pioneers on this path for others to work up on as a base , and develop more towards inclusive development

Share feedback on the cardano constitution

I really love the idea of Cardano Constitution. I've come here today yo learn more about this constitution in detail.

Being involved in shaping the Constitution and representing the voice of India.

In today's constitutional workshop, we hope to gain a deeper understanding of the key principles and values that form the foundation of the constitution

Understand the constitution and the guardrails better so I can make informed decisions if I want to vote for myself as a DRep. And raise concerns if the draft doesn't resonate & think it will do harm.

To get involved in governance of cardano that moves cardano forward and understand its idea

I hope to understand how cardano governance is going to be implemented and revolutionize the blockchain space

What we hope to achieve or get out of todays experience

A new experience of gaining a knowledge about cardano community and stepping into the community.

Good governance with help of Cardano

Cardano gonna give a something special experience.

Become a part of true decentralization, Step into cardano community and explore opportunities, gain insights on importance of cardano and networking!

Mentimeter

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules

Mentimeter


Let's get started 🚀

50 MINS - Principals & Tenets

1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?

1) 5 Mins - Alone: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 7 Mins - Pair up and Share your notes with a partner.
3) 10 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input. note
4) 5 Min -All debrief
5) 5 Min -Add to Menti.com

1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?



Voting model

1a. Please provide your answer (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1.

Anyone should not be stopped from building on cardano unreasonably? So what are the reasons to stop. It should remain as open as possible imo + In future we might need a uncapped supply

Social and technical based guardrails are addressed and hence I strongly agree with article 1 and section 1

I neither agree or disagree, because it lacks a humanity point of view, it's too technical based, without taking the human pov , and how a community and individual needs to be represented in Article 1

It sets the essential conditions for governance to start. Since constitution is allowed to evolve in future, what we have is good.

Restrictions on building will cause an issue future.

I agreed the Section 1 covers all aspects of a blockchain ethos. However there are few things that needs to be looked into 1) The cultural aspect - too corporate 2) Cardano Blockchain pricing model

I agree. But to tackle the speed of storing information on cardano blockchain, the layer solutions needs to be more focused like Hydra solutions. We need solutions db of cardano also more speed sync

Kinda agree with it. Might come up a little controversial in the sense that we focus so much on decentralization and giving community control. But at the same time we need a set of rules to functional

Voting model

1a. Please provide your answer (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1.

Clear explanation about... "Shall not lock in an ada owner's value without an owner's consent". And lil brief explanation on decenterlization

Unsure

The transaction fee should follow supply and demand algorithms. In case of predictable and reasonable fees, on-chain load will increase during high demands; not increasing fee would be unsustainable

I disagree as, there should be a formula defining the price of transaction fee depending on supply and demand. More people = more fee & vice versa. We can stop attacks on caradno, if we achieve it

I agree with it because it covers the basic rules and ethos of decentralization/ blockchain. But this should also mention a basic tag line to let individual connect with tenet!.

So it's pretty clear that without the owner's concern you shall not lock in an area's owner's value .

There should be more elaboration on 'unreasonably' in p4. This might become a weak spot where institutions define its meaning in their favour against small players.

Need more clarity on- Anyone desiring to develop and deploy applications on the Cardano Blockchain should not unreasonably be prevented from developing and deploying such applications as intended.

Voting model

1a. Please provide your answer (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1.

I agree most of them

Yes I agree , should be focused on Cardano blockchain community consistent and long term sustainability and vaibility and multi-resource consensus and democratic participation by members of the cardan

Does treated equally in the cardano blockchain means all who hold ada more or less , does it depend on portfolio or anything else , please elaborate thank you!!

If cardano blockchain shall be treated equally taking into account, does it depend on ada holding, multiple wallet counts or the portfolio?

Hi

Hook

1

Lunch Break



11. The draft constitution currently states: “DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps

The draft constitution currently states: “DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps and make such codes of conduct publicly available.” [Pertains to Article III, Article IV.]

1) 5 Mins - Alone: Generate ideas/responses silently.
2) 8 Mins - Pair up and Share your notes with a partner.
3) 10 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input. note
4) 5 Min -All debrief & Add to Menti.com

Mentimeter

30 MINS - Delegated representatives (DReps)

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?



11a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each

Code of conduct should be onchain that it can be verified by anyone and could be flexible to every drep. Delegators can have the freedom of agree or disagree on that to put their amount to that drep

A/B/D on chain 100% -> everyone can see it one code of conduct(CoC) to all dreps -> allow conclusion of CoC Constitutional Committee must check all CoC

Code of Conduct has to be on chain for sanctity. Need a common yardstick for all DReps, so same code for all. Of course, DRep code should never violate constitutional provisions.

So I think it's the flexibility for each Drep to adopt its own a code of conduct each by their respectives

Onchain = increased transparency & safety

I think it's the option "C" t o provided the flexibility for each drep to adopt it's own code to conduct

Code of conduct should be on chain so anyone can be verify.

One code of conduct for all DReps

11a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each

Onchain = increased transparency & security

E - basic code of conduct to be made in chain which allow flexibility so they can adjust accordingly

On chain code of conduct is required but do they need to follow it.

A) on-chain code of conduct for all Dreps for fair and transparent procedures and voting rationales

c,d,e good conducts will become obvious as community chooses among different code of conducts. Strongly believe conducts shouldn't fall outside of the constitution. Guidelines should be established.

It should be on chain it captures the ethos of decentralisation being verified by everyone

a) DRep codes of conduct should be on-chain. It is transparent

a, e

Mentimeter

11a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each

Code of conduct should be onchain for enabling the verification for all delegators and it needs to be flexible so that delegator have the freedom to agree or disagree to put the ada


SPOs

16. Given that SPOs will now have a constitutionally-mandated voting role on certain governance actions, should SPOs be subject to a Constitutionally-

16. Given that SPOs will now have a constitutionally-mandated voting role on certain governance actions, should SPOs be subject to a Constitutionally-mandated code of conduct (CoC)? Discuss your thoughts on SPOs being required to adopt a code of conduct and whether it merits inclusion in the Constitution. [Pertains to: Preamble Paragraphs 5-7, Article V, Guardrails: PARAM-03 and 04.]

What requirements, if any, should the Constitution specify for SPO codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

16. What requirements, if any, should the Constitution specify for SPO codes of conduct (select all that apply)?



16a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 16. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each

b&c An on-chain code of conduct should ensure fairness and prevent tampering, while a Constitution Committee provides decentralized oversight and accountability, protecting the community's interests.

E, Maybe SPOs should power the network and dRep handles the voting part behalf of delegators

If SPO codes of conduct should be on-chain it can be verified

If spo codes of conduct is on chain,then it will be easy to verify

B, on- chain so that its transperent and verifable for any stake pool operators

Because of this ir can verify

SPOs should determine their own coc, which should be implemented onchain for integrity

B & C

16a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 16. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each

A, B , c

B and C.

B., being on chain is true decentralization

SPOs are not delegated voting stake. So they need not have code of conduct. Flexible is good there.

Spo codes of conduct should be on chain to maintain authentic

B/C/D - a basic code of conduct like we may have for dreps, but also having certain guidelines specific for spos because they run the chain , but without not giving too much power to one side

B) SPOs should have on-chain codes of conduct, but no other parties should interfere in their working.

a,c,d any decision that should effect on the ecosystem should definitely act with a coc. That decision should lie within constitution. Simple guidelines could be established for making it easier.

16a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 16. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each

Yes any on chain code should on chain, but we need a separate voting around this

a/b/c No checking on SPO and people in SPO double voting

B - record and transparency C - definitely, to avoid any gaps

Mentimeter

Break ☕

Mentimeter

Delegate Election 

Mentimeter

Introduction to Voting

"We are about to begin the process of voting for Constitutional Convention Candidates. It will be your job as Workshop Participants to choose a Delegate and an Alternate to travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina, this December, to represent our group and this region at the Cardano Constitutional Convention.

Participants who attended a majority of today's workshop are eligible to vote. When you receive your ballots please write down your name and email address so that we can validate votes in case of an audit. You will then have a chance to write up to 5 names of individuals that you feel would represent us well at the Constitutional Convention. Each candidate you list will benefit from your vote. The top name on your list will receive 5 points, the second name will receive 4 points, the

Mentimeter

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

By running as a candidate to become a Constitutional delegate or alternate, you are agreeing that if elected, you will fulfill these duties. Duties marked with an asterisk are NOT applicable to the Alternate.

Agree to the Code of Conduct
You will represent your constituents and the Cardano Community's best interests, rather than promoting any personal agenda.
Your constituents are the Cardano Community members who attended the workshop that elected you, the Cardano community at-large in your region, and any Cardano Community members who reach out to you to represent their needs in some way.
You will not do anything to harm other attendees at the Cardano Constitutional Convention
You agree to follow the Intersect code of conduct

Mentimeter

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

Before the Constitutional Convention

*Contact the Alternate and agree how to support each other.
Ex) work together to review constituent feedback.
Attend an online delegate synthesis workshop where you meet other delegates and share your region's constitution feedback.

*Provide your name and contact information publicly for constituents to contact you

*Review constituent feedback and create a summary
Complete Constitutional Delegate training ahead of travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina

Obtain visas and flights necessary to arrive in Argentina for the first week of December 2024. Flight, accommodation, transfers and food costs during the event will be covered for Constitutional Delegates and Alternates selected at Constitutional Workshops.


Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

At the Constitutional Convention

Attend all scheduled sessions (3 days)
*Vote on whether to approve the Cardano Constitution

After the Constitutional Convention

Optionally: Become a DRep to vote on-chain to ratify the approved constitution
Optionally: Encourage the DReps and Cardano Community to vote on the approved constitution


constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/auth/signin
Mentimeter
INTERSECT
Cardano Workshop Login
Enter your email to log in or create an account.
Email
name@example.com
We'll send a magic link to this email.
Send Magic Link

REFLECTION & CLOSE

How do we address participation by institutions? Should holders of ada who are not owners, such as exchanges, be allowed to vote?*

Article 3 section 4 , we should not just define Ada power by Ada holding this stops people from using Ada as a currency, there should be defining what Ada stake power means incl. NFTs, defi, stable

- Exchanges should do an internal voting among their users and share their final voting decision as SPOs

Yes

Any centralized institutions should have a max cap of voting power and should be kyc compliant. And above a certain threshold onchain privacy preserving kyc should be amended to prevent manipulation

Can be a bit tricky, since they hold large amounts of ADA. what we can do is we limit it to people who actually own ADA

We need to have a voting procedure for institution and exchanges to be followed which differentiate the custodian and voting power of the held Ada

How can we regulate or manage participation of institutions? For example,exchanges like binance or coindcx who hold ada to be allowed to vote?

No

REFLECTION & CLOSE

How do we address participation by institutions? Should holders of ada who are not owners, such as exchanges, be allowed to vote?*

It needs a specific voting and few workshops on it, to come to a conclusive answer

Absolutely not. They will just game the system.

Yes !

Institutions should have permission from ADA holders or businesses associated with them before practising their voting rights

Ada held by Exchange and wealth management institution , should be be shared according to the holders , data can be shared on a privacy chain like midnight

I really liked this constitutional workshop. Gained knowledge and meet nice people in this event

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

Drep working group and drep meta tags for focused work group -

A more diverse meaning for Ada as a crypto currency with the effect on its native token with the power on governance could be a mandatory question for which the community feedback is necessary

We need more community activity around governance with in real-life events, so people are more educated on which direction cardano is moving and make decisions.

No not all it was a socializing good enough session to speak with everyone

The questions should include page numbers when referencing the constitution.

Happy with the result but can be easily rigged considering team members can/may vote for their peers. Popularity contest. Voting should be done via unbiased jury.

It is useful to have more offline meetings like what we had today. It's a good to promote and educate Cardano to the public.

DID has to be incorporated to build individual reputation

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

Me not get voting :D , i want to got Argen Tina

I am more empowered than before getting chance to Vote 🗳️ my ADA power to represent on-chain governance. Delegate your ADA wisely or vote independently

Ready to deep dive and become a DRep. Thanks cardano community for all the hard work that have went into getting coming to this point.

Missed to register as a delegate 🥲

It was a great workshop for cardano, lets go!

LFG Cardano

Intersect is the best!

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s (worked well) and deltas (could be improved) do you have for the workshop?

All solo generate and group discussions were fun..

The connecting part really worked well. I don't think anything could be improved. It's all good enough

Good thing is, you did not make it boring for such a long session. I feel it's all good as of now, no immediate improvements required.

I am happy with the workshop! All good!

Meeting new people from different part of the community, diverse representation of thought and discussion to understand to make a better decision.

Loved the event overall full positive

Perfect workshop, great engagement with the community and the participants. Gained valuable insights indeed

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

22 responses



Mentimeter

Great work! 

Mentimeter

Great work! 

50 MINS - Voting Rights

3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees who are







Mentimeter

3a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

40 MINS - Voting Rights

The draft constitution currently states: “DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps and make

Why question 4 is important: There is concern about the relationship between institutions and voting rights. On one hand, with consent and improved tooling, centralized exchanges could facilitate voting on behalf of their account holders. On the other hand, without consent they may permanently abstain or decide to vote for their needs with the power of their account holders. Without being more explicit about what is allowed, this dynamic will evolve organically and influence the voting dynamics


Mentimeter

4. If you answer YES or No to question 4, please provide your choice and reasoning.

Mentimeter

5 Min

Qn 4. How do we address participation by institutions? Should holders of ada who are not owners, such as exchanges, be allowed to vote?



Mentimeter

Break ☕

Delegated representatives (DReps)

23. Currently, there is no rule against voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO. Discuss your thoughts on whether voting on the same

23. Currently, there is no rule against voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO. Discuss your thoughts on whether voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO creates unique concerns that need to be addressed in the Constitution. [Pertains to: Article III Section 2, Article IV, Article V.]

What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?

Mentimeter

23. What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?

0	0	0	0	0
(a) Prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.	(b) Require disclosure but do not prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.	(c) Include special conflicts of interest provisions	(d) Does not need to be addressed in the Constitution	(e) Unsure

Cardano Batumi, Georgia Community Constitutional Workshop (In Person)

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules



Let's get started 🚀

30 MIN - FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY


Should the Constitution require these dispute resolution provisions?

06. Contractual obligations governing the use of ada received from the Cardano Blockchain treasury could be mandated to include dispute resolution provisions. Discuss your thoughts on if the Constitution should require these dispute resolution provisions. Pertains to: Article 3, Section 8

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

Why question 6 is important: While on-chain approval to disburse funds from the Cardano Treasury is well defined, the process to oversee the use of funds is not. In a decentralized ecosystem like Cardano, there is little precedent for handling commercial disputes, and we may want to define some guidance in the Constitution. Keep in mind that dispute resolution provisions can still be included in contracts if not mandated in the Constitution, but may be deemed so important that it must be stated in the Constitution. Also keep in mind that even if the Constitution does mandate the use of dispute resolution provisions, there may be no way to actually enforce such a requirement.

06. Should the Constitution require these dispute resolution provisions?



6a. Please explain your reasoning for question 06.

Idea is good but 1.000.000 number should be changeable as voted by community. It should also be guideline, not constitution imo.

I am a yes in the end because I think that some review and dispute process should be available for larger catalyst fundings however, I am concerned that this layer of bureaucracy could be exploited

Even though the dispute resolution provision could be seen as an extra beaurocracy layer it still helps establishing internal rules of ecosystem and providing "more protective" aspect to the user.

Yes: a) risk of disputes seems high enough; b) the very idea of blockchain involves independence from government jurisdiction; c) even if not legally enforceable, its good to outline best practices

i voted yes because introducing clear rules can decrease the number of unhealthy startups approaching therefore elaving more room for a good projects

While dispute resolution ensures consistency and fairness, I'm not certain if it should be mandated by the Constitution, as there could be other mechanisms or flexibility needed in different cases.

Transparency in expectation management during the grant process between key stakeholders can improve reputational health and aid in resolving disputes, particularly in high-budget projects.

Yes, creating this governance layer offers an opportunity to add a dataset to improve the disbursement of funds over time. This public information will improve projects within the ecosystem



Break ☕

07. Discuss your thoughts on if the Constitution should mandate that budgets include, for example...

a contingency fund, an indemnity fund and funds to cover administrative costs of the CC.

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

Context:

See Cardano Constitutional Workshop Topics and Questions

Batumi, Georgia - Tuesday, August 20

https://docs.google.com/document/d/1q5l-gPt0T2myGXMY77C48dLRiZrdBO6kQ1IcHQpWX3o/edit


Draft Cardano Blockchain Ecosystem Constitution

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1SYFzjrRjf7rkBrLRjPi51AyzbZBajrmZ/view

Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include (select all that apply)

- A contingency fund to cover unexpected costs not otherwise specified in the Cardano budget. *Pertains to: Article III Section 8*
- An indemnity fund to cover potential claims against governance participants such as DReps and Constitutional Committee members. *Pertains to: Article III Section 8*
- Funds to cover administrative costs of the CC. *Pertains to: Article VI, Guardrails appendix: Governance Action Lifetime (GAL), Maximum Constitutional Committee Term (CMTL), The minimum size of the Constitutional Committee (CMS)*
- None of these

07. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include (select all that apply)



07a. If you selected items to mandate in the Cardano Budget in question 07, please explain your reasoning. Consider: How would it work? Who could it be used for? Who would administer it?

07b. If you selected “None of these” in question 07, please explain your reasoning. Consider: Did you agree with the need for funding, but disagree that it must be mandated within the Constitution?

15 MINS - FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY

07a-b.

Budgeting for these two is recommended for avoiding deficit

None of these should be in the constitution imo; funding and committee structures should be proposed by anyone in the community, with voting on separate committee members.

No to contigency, no need plus risk of possible abuse. No to indemnity, no need. Yes for funding CC, because the oversight committee should have guaranteed source of funding.

-yes to 1 + 3 for proactive financial management. The budget can be voted from one epoch to the next and the overage can % -no to 2 because the ideal of personal responsibility on behalf of community

I believe we should constitutionally mandate funding for the committee because if it is not specified it could be politically cut from funding and thus not executed at all. I voted no for the others.

Yes to administrative costs - ensures smooth operations, supports core functions, long term viability. Individuals responsible for strategic planning, decision-making.

Mandating contingency and administrative funds will ensure financial sustainability by providing buffers for unexpected costs and operational needs securing long term stability

Yes: I would issue contingency funds and administrative funds upon pre-written conditions immediately to streamline the porcesses but monitor vie reports quarterly/yearly and demand results.

15 MINS - FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY

07a-b.

Cover unexpected costs, claims against governance participants (merged article), and administrative expenses, including finance and legal expertise.

An administrative fund in constitution is important to ensure operational sustainability, supporting ongoing development. It should promote transparency and accountability

Clear budget allocations for administrative costs will simplify financial management and ensure that necessary resources are functioning effectively.



Break ☕

8. Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process?

Why question 8 is important:

Because the process of creating a single Cardano Budget will be new, it is uncertain how it will work, and what influences will be at play. As a result, some details may or may not be desirable to mandate to ensure the process keeps the needs of the ecosystem paramount.

8. Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process?

As discussed above, the Cardano community is expected to propose, not less than on an annual basis, a budget for the ongoing maintenance and future development of the Cardano Blockchain. Discuss whether a detailed process for developing and approving the Cardano budget should be specified in the Constitution.

Pertains to: Article III Section 8

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and

15 MINS - FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY


8. Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process? Report Key Ideas from your group.

This is not a constitutional issue, the budgetary process should be performance-based to optimize the growth of existing & stakeholders. The budgetary process should be outlined separately

Most of the group voted 'Yes' for clearer budget structure and community education, while a few voted 'No' due to excessive details.

What should be outlined in the constitution is how to start work on the blockchain code (and possibly receive funding as voted by ADA holders).

8. Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process?



8a. If you answered yes to question 8, please provide additional thoughts. 8b. If you answered no to question 8, please explain your reasoning.

Yes, for the sake of transparency and also the educational function of showing how budgets and administration work.

Yes, constitution should identify how cardano budget will be administrated and who will administer it, as well as mention cap of the annual budget

No, shouldn't be in the constitution. Budgeting process should be a different (ideally also) transparent document.

I said unsure because I feel it is unclear what the total process is exactly. Are we saying that the chain should govern this process or what we should add a bureaucratic layer to further govern?

As Cordano is a Public company the budgeting process should be transparent. It is important to included the main principles of the budgeting, but I'm not sure about the details

Detailed budgeting should not be mentioned in the Constitution. Instead, there should be general ideas and plans about budgeting, as details cannot always align due to unforeseen external factors.

As a core process, it should be described and published to the community as part of our transparency commitment. It's important not to overwhelm with too many details.

Yes, because of the transparency, clear rationale behind key decisions, and opportunities for community feedback and involvement.

8a. If you answered yes to question 8, please provide additional thoughts. 8b. If you answered no to question 8, please explain your reasoning.

the only part of the that should be included in the constitution is the various buckets where budget should be allocated to develop a healthy ecosystem. The budget amount should to be performance base

the Constitution should ensure transparency and accountability in the administration of the Cardano budget, given the core values they should carry.



Break ☕

Why question 016 is important:

SPOs gain a new role of voting on-chain with CIP-1694. Ada owners are free to delegate and un-delegate from SPOs, but this was previously based on the network services provided by SPOs, not necessarily their decision making. This question addresses whether SPOs should be held to additional behavioral standards in their new role.

16. Given that SPOs will now have a constitutionally-mandated voting role on certain governance actions, should SPOs be subject to a Constitutionally-mandated code of conduct?

Discuss your thoughts on SPOs being required to adopt a code of conduct and whether it merits inclusion in the Constitution. Pertains to: Preamble Paragraphs 5-7, Article V, Guardrails: Parameters that are Critical to the Operation of the Blockchain (PARAM)


1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.

2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.

3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

10 MINS - SPOx

What requirements, if any, should the Constitution specify for SPO codes of conduct (select all that apply)?



16a. Please explain your reasoning for question 16

What can be enforced on-chain should. Else stake pools can approve literally any funding request.

on chain code of conduct is necessary to make sure large stake pools accountable

Delegate Election 

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

Everything that isn't on-chain enforceable shouldn't be in the constitution, but rather in a separate guidelines doc imo

Cardano needs a longer more detailed roadmap that provides better guidance which adheres to performance criteria based on transactions within the network

Cardano is doing great work by building the Constitution based on the ideas from the specific sector.

SPO codes of conduct should be on-chain to ensure transparency and accountability, as it provides a permanent, publicly accessible record of the rules that they should follow

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

1 more interaction going over the questions, format and confusions prior to the workshop so that participants could have some clarity on some of the questions

+ great meetup, great prep - some questions need to be reworded / additional context likely needed - delegate voting is super wonky, more admin tools needed and metrics needed

Voting admin tools aren't great. Lengthy and hard to focus. Facilitator & breaks help. Mintee works well. Questions can be leading or lack context (person / gpt to help would be good for clarity).

a committee member could be present during the work session to clarify any of the questions that were ambiguous

+ Understanding Cardano's internal workings, engaging in open discussions, and exploring diverse opinions. - Lack of context on some questions

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

17 responses



Great work! 🙌

Mentimeter

WELCOME!

Cardano Belgrade Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

What we hope to achieve or get out of todays experience

I hope to learn more about Cardano ecosystem and how will it be facilitated through new Constitution

I would like to: a. help provide direct input for the Cardano constitution. b. further improve understanding of the Cardano constitution locally c. discuss some of the key issues

I hope that people learn more about how this system is managed through multiple pillars of governance, especially CC.

To meet more community members and to share more thoughts about new governance participation and to learn more for the voting rights

Get more clear picture of the roadmap, actions and actors

I don't know a lot about this topic and I hope that I'll get knowledge about everything that we'll talk here, as im a lawyer

To take a part in completing the first Cardano Constitution

Learn new things, as well as further extend the knowledge about Cardano eco-system.

Mentimeter

What we hope to achieve or get out of todays experience

to learn how the gouvernanace will be

To be a part of the Cardano's journey, provide inputs, and meet Cardano community.

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules

Mentimeter

Let's get started 🚀

WORKSHOP FORMAT: 1-2-4-ALL

1) 5 Mins - Alone: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair up and Share your notes with a partner.
3) 10 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input. note
4) 10 Min -All debrief
5) 5 Min -Add to Menti.com

Mentimeter

50 MINS - Voting Model

[3] Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees?


designees who are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance? [Notes: This is not a reference to delegation to DReps. Pertains to: Article II Section 2, Article III Section 4 and 8, Article IV Section 2, Article VI Section 1, Article VII Section 1.]

Mentimeter

Why Question 03 is important:

Allowing for appointed designees to control voting rights is a potential way to handle the new voting rights associated with ada. This would enable owners of ada to determine whether or not they want to authorize a designee, balancing the need to protect voting rights from unauthorized use by third parties, but also permitting it if ada owners would like.

[3] Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees?



Voting model

3a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

Allow delegated voting. 1 ADA -> 1 VOTE 1 Delegation per topic

ADA governance should be reserved to only to the ADA holders and selected DReps, as the undue influence of large centralized exchanges and third-party non-owners may have a negative influence

b. Possibility to appoint designees should definitely exist but there should be some rules to it as well.

I think Ada holders should be allowed to designated people because it can cause more people voting.

Ada holders should have a right to designate a person to vote on their behalf with a right to revoke it, since many people want to participate but don't have enough knowledge or time on the subject.

A. Allowing anyone to participate, regardless of whether they own ADA, could undermine the quality and integrity of decision-making.

b . if you own Ada than you can vote, dont see reason to let to vote someone who does`n own it

I voted "b"; It makes sense to me that I delegate my vote to somebody if I am not able to vote, or I believe that the designee is more knowledgable than me on the subject

Voting model

3a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

Allowing designees provide flexibility for ada owners who may need more time oe experance to engage directly on governance. It promotes broader participation,

c

Only owners have the best interests of Cardano governance at heart, not centralized exchanges or third-party companies.

I vote for (a). We have examples in voting where dapps and DEX's,use ADA, which is custodialy staked for their services,like their voting power. We have Dreps for votes delegations.

Mentimeter

Break ☕

Mentimeter

50 MINS - Voting Model

07. Discuss your thoughts on if the Constitution should mandate that budgets include, e.g., a contingency fund, an indemnity fund and to cover admin

[Pertains to: Article III Section 8, Article VI.]


Mentimeter

Why Question 07 is important:

There are some aspects of the Cardano ecosystem that may be deemed to be so important that we want to guarantee fund availability by mandating the Cardano budget must account for it.

5 Min

Q7 Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include (select all that apply):



07a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d) and rationale for Q7. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each item

/

All but if in unexpected costs is definied what exact can be part of unexpected costs.

I put a, c - because I think a covers all unexpected costs, b as well. Every budget has to have funds for unexpected costs.

Given that the budget process is new and untested, it may be best to allow the budget process to develop naturally and it may include a/b/c. These provisions are not needed in the Constitution

The bugdet should have reserved funds for unexpected costs (contingency fund), but I also believe that indemnity funds are under contingency fund. Also, CC admin costs should be in the Constitution.

a There should be a fund for covering unexpected costs perhaps additional explanation should be added as to what kind of costs that might be.

a/c In essence, both items are covered under point A, and even point B, as it relates to unforeseen expenses

a,c there must be budget for unexpected costs...and for administrative also...

07a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d) and rationale for Q7. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each item

c) and a). - selected b) as something that can and should be budgeted - selected a) as any budget should have a provision for Unexpected Costs. I am not against b), but in my opinion it is part of a)

A contingency fund would be a set amount in the Cardano budget reserved for unexpected expenses, managed by CC. The community would the approve the expenditure through a vote.

Lunch Break



30 MINS - Delegated representatives (DReps)

[Q14] Should the Constitution include a mechanism that causes ada holders to re-select their DReps over time?

[Pertains to: Article IV.]

Mentimeter

Why Question 14 is important:

Ada holders are free to change delegation to any DRep at any time, or to never change their delegation. This question asks whether this model is expected to create conditions for a representative class of DReps over time, or if the Constitution should include additional constraints.

30 MINS - Delegated representatives (DReps)

014. Should the Constitution include term limits for DReps? [Pertains to: Article IV.]



14a. Please provide your answer and rationale for Q14. If you answered Yes, please explain what you would add to the Constitution and why?

It should define if there is a limit of “terms” for dreps.

It promotes active participation and helps maintain a dynamic and responsive governance structure.

Include mechanism for forcing re-election and voting power.

I voted "Yes", as it is base of any democratic system for a voter "to change its mind"

There should be a mechanism for dReps to be reselected every 12-18 months because there might be situations in which people lose access to their accounts (or forget) and don't change their dRep.

yes, it is basic righ to change you opinion, and to make new choices

For successful governance, ADA holders should be involved. A periodic reminder that they have a DRep representing their interests is healthy and re-selecting your DRep is beneficial to all

I will add that need to motivate delegator to change Drep with excluding him and that we need to have view in number of delegators on exact Drep.

14a. Please provide your answer and rationale for Q14. If you answered Yes, please explain what you would add to the Constitution and why?

Including a mechanism that requires ADA holders to periodically re-select their DReps would promotes active participation and prevent situation where small group holds power.

Being able to re-select or select new dReps can result in healthier eco-system with people investing themselfs and devote in the Cardano world.

/

Mentimeter

Break ☕

Delegated representatives (DReps)

[Q18]. The community may wish to experiment with different approaches to electing CC members. Alternatively, community may wish to specify criteria


We now have experience electing members to an interim CC but, going forward, the Constitution is silent as to the process for electing future members of the CC

Mentimeter

Why Question 18 is important:

The Constitutional Committee has a vital role in enforcing the constitution. If the process of electing the members becomes compromised, the constitution could be undermined by members who are non-serious, unqualified or intentionally hiding their identity and intentions. This question asks if there are some requirements that are clear and essential enough to enshrine now, or alternately if it should be open so the community can adjust more dynamically as they learn across election cycles.

Q18: Should the Constitution include an explicit process for the election of members of the CC?



18a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 18

It should make sure that code of conduct is applied to CC members when electing them.

I think that the requirements might be needed, however I have not yet been able to formulate the requirements and how to prove them. So, I remain uncertain because of this limitation.

Yes, it should be detailed process around election of CC members - the thorough background check should be done on each CC member, along with their expertise and how will they contribute to Const.

Yes, members joining constitutional comitee should be well known to the rest of the community.

Yes maybe connection with Cardano,what impact have by then on Cardano blockchains.Qualifications and expertises profile too

I voted Yes, as IMO a CC member should be proven to be a human (pass Reverse Touring Test) and not be Anonymous

While flexibility is valuable, some foundational rules should be established to safeguard and provide a consistent framework.

Include additional details and define mechanism for taking care of PII, local laws and person. consent.

18a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 18

Yes, because this would eliminate any potential conflicts of interest and esure CC members are well known.

Yes, it is necessary to have some conditions, considering that this person will be responsible for the defense of the constitution.

Mentimeter

Break ☕

50 MINS - Voting Model

Q23. Discuss your thoughts on whether voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO creates unique concerns that need to be addressed in C


Currently, there is no rule against voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO. [Pertains to: Article III Section 2, Article IV, Article V.]

Mentimeter

Why Question 23 is important:

CIP-1694 separates out the voting roles of SPOs from DReps to create a balance of powers. Technically and socially, there is nothing in place that stops an SPO from voting as a DRep and vice versa, although they can easily lose delegation if ada owners are unhappy with their actions.

Q23. What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?



Mentimeter

23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

Limit conflicts of interests but maintain voting power of ADA holders.

It should include special conflict of interests provisions to avoid corruption and disbelief in governance.

Prohibitions can be circumvented. Disclosure requirements are difficult to enforce. Including special conflicts of interests provisions will provide much needed guidance and recommendations.

e I think I would be needing greated knowledge of both entities, SPO and dReps in order to answer this question proprely.

d That should be regulated by other acts and procedures.

c i thing tis is the most elegant way

c; There should be a conflict of interest provisions to avoid corruption and misusing SPO or dRep position. For GA that falls under these categories, a person should choose to vote as SPO or dRep

As stated in CIP - 1694, Each governing Body has distinct roles to balance power within the ecosystem. These roles should be separated to avoid conflicts of interes.

Mentimeter

23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

c,yes same voting power ca be delegated to both SPO and Dreps and can double the votes for some of delegators,not for all,which can make impact on results, so all SPO's need to register like Dreps

Including specific conflict of interest provisions could provide a balanced approach, addressing potential issues without overly restricting participation.

Mentimeter

Break ☕

Delegate Election 

Mentimeter

Introduction to Voting

"We are about to begin the process of voting for Constitutional Convention Candidates. It will be your job as Workshop Participants to choose a Delegate and an Alternate to travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina, this December, to represent our group and this region at the Cardano Constitutional Convention.

Participants who attended a majority of today's workshop are eligible to vote. When you receive your ballots please write down your name and email address so that we can validate votes in case of an audit. You will then have a chance to write up to 5 names of individuals that you feel would represent us well at the Constitutional Convention. Each candidate you list will benefit from your vote. The top name on your list will receive 5 points, the second name will receive 4 points, the

Mentimeter

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

By running as a candidate to become a Constitutional delegate or alternate, you are agreeing that if elected, you will fulfill these duties. Duties marked with an asterisk are NOT applicable to the Alternate.

Agree to the Code of Conduct
You will represent your constituents and the Cardano Community's best interests, rather than promoting any personal agenda.
Your constituents are the Cardano Community members who attended the workshop that elected you, the Cardano community at-large in your region, and any Cardano Community members who reach out to you to represent their needs in some way.
You will not do anything to harm other attendees at the Cardano Constitutional Convention
You agree to follow the Intersect code of conduct

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

Before the Constitutional Convention

*Contact the Alternate and agree how to support each other.
Ex) work together to review constituent feedback.
Attend an online delegate synthesis workshop where you meet other delegates and share your region's constitution feedback.

*Provide your name and contact information publicly for constituents to contact you

*Review constituent feedback and create a summary
Complete Constitutional Delegate training ahead of travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina

Obtain visas and flights necessary to arrive in Argentina for the first week of December 2024. Flight, accommodation, transfers and food costs during the event will be covered for Constitutional Delegates and Alternates selected at Constitutional Workshops.

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

At the Constitutional Convention


Attend all scheduled sessions (3 days)
*Vote on whether to approve the Cardano Constitution

After the Constitutional Convention

Optionally: Become a DRep to vote on-chain to ratify the approved constitution
Optionally: Encourage the DReps and Cardano Community to vote on the approved constitution

Mentimeter




constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/auth/signin
Mentimeter
INTERSECT
Cardano Workshop Login
Enter your email to log in or create an account.
Email
name@example.com
We'll send a magic link to this email.
Send Magic Link

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

No, I'm good.

No, thanks. I was wonderful meeting.

Everything was covered! If new questions arise, we gathered knowledge to find the answers! :)

No. Thanks

nu

/

great

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s (worked well) and deltas (could be improved) do you have for the workshop?

Split in smaller batches per day

+ worked well

Everything was excellent.

Worked well

Everything was fine.

+s 1,2,4,all was amazing delta - nothing particular, it was great!

- I think some additional background knowledge of Cardano Constitution and entire eco-system is required to be able to answer these questions properly.

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

13 responses



Mentimeter

Great work! 🙌

Mentimeter

WELCOME!

Cardano Berlin Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

What we hope to achieve or get out of todays experience

get a better understanding about decentralized governance system

meet new cool people

Deeper understanding and participation

foster collaboration within the cardano community

Good discussions about the constitution, gaining deeper understanding of the content and connecting with the community

Learn about Cardano Governance and discuss contention topics as well as stupilate things which I believe we should have in Cardano Constitution.

get a deeper understanding of the Constitution through discussions

Are really fruitful discussion on the draft constitution and Cardano's governance model in general!

What we hope to achieve or get out of todays experience

exchange of knowledge

give profound & constructive feedback

connect with other community members

have a good day with likeminded people

I hope we discuss and walk through the draft version of the cardano constitution today, apply changes on the go and send them upstream.

First, I would like to learn more about the Cardano Constitution & the Governance (CIP-1694) in more detail. Other than that, we would like to discuss about the constitution together and give feedback

Mentimeter

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules

Mentimeter

Let's get started 🚀

WORKSHOP FORMAT: 1-2-4-ALL

1) 5 Mins - Alone: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair up and Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input. note
4) 15 Min -All debrief
5) 5 Min -Add to Menti.com

50 MINS - Voting Model

[1] Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?

The tenets arose to define limits to how Cardano Blockchain development can progress so that the ecosystem principles are not violated. If the community feels that the tenets do not uphold the principles of the Cardano community, a tension between development work and the constitution could develop


Mentimeter

Why Question 01 is important:

The tenets arose to define limits to how Cardano Blockchain development can progress so that the ecosystem principles are not violated. If the community feels that the tenets do not uphold the principles of the Cardano community, a tension between development work and the constitution could develop.

Mentimeter

Q1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?



Voting model

1a. Please provide your answer (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1.

Remove sharding from tenet 2 and replace with replace with security.

I do agree, but some areas like financial sustainability and contributor incentives could be better addressed to strenghten the alignment with the community needs

Agree, but make the difference and process more clear when discussing Principles and Tenets. When do we refer to which one? And what level should they be put on?

Agree, but only if security parameters are defined better. Also, I'm missing a definition for "unnecessarily spend resources": "The Cardano Blockchain shall not unnecessarily spend resources."

Agree, but add somewhere that the security will be the highest value of the system (e.g. sharding only if security is not comprimised)

I agree, with some more detail that sharding should not be in the layer 1, but in layer 2, due to the compromise in the security area. Other than that, I am good with the other information in tenets

I agree with the fundamental sentiment, but believe blalancing the specifics and leaving out some details is important. Currently, I see too many details specified which might block us in the future.

1/N I believe that the tenets in largely reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain, emphasizing decentralization, security, efficiency, and inclusivity. The tenets offer a robust framework that..

Voting model

1a. Please provide your answer (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1.

I agree, but there should be some kind of clarification that the behavior of the users should be of mutual respect, or similar in some different words.

Add eUTxO, determinism, sharding but without reducing L1 security. Dynamoc pricing ok or leave it out. Multi resource consensus ok but without PoW. Add hard cap to 45 bln ADA in the constitution.

Have better understanding of the textual and meaning interpretation of the descriptions.

General question about the depth and existence of ethics in the the tenets.

2/N ..ensures the sustainable development of the Cardano ecosystem while maintaining alignment with the foundational principles outlined in the preamble. However, I feel that the tenets could go a ...

3/N further in addressing ethical concerns around transactions or data involving sensitive information, such as NFTs or media that may infringe upon individual privacy rights without consent.

4/N Including a tenet that aspires to ensure Cardano's ecosystem does not facilitate unethical practices, while still upholding freedom of speech, could provide necessary safeguards against ..

5/5 necessary safeguards against potential misuse of the blockchain. This would strengthen the ecosystem's commitment to ethical governance and privacy while maintaining its openness and inclusivity.

Mentimeter

Break ☕

50 MINS - Voting Model

Q2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano blockchain ecosystem?

If no, provide your reasoning. If yes, provide your reasoning. Consider: Should this new tenet include an absolute cap on the circulating supply of ada?

The tenets arose to define limits to how Cardano Blockchain development can progress so that the ecosystem principles are not violated. If the community feels that the tenets do not uphold the principles of the Cardano community, a tension between development work and the constitution could develop


Mentimeter

Why Question 02 is important:

This question stems from the importance of ada to the network's operation. Because it is so foundational, it may be worth codifying as a tenet, and may be done so in many different ways. The example on the cap to circulating ada is here because it has already been of interest to the community. We distinguish between the total ada supply and circulating ada, recognizing that in the future, there may be ada locked in places that take it out of circulation.

Mentimeter

Q2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem?



Mentimeter

Please provide your answer and rationale for Q2. If you answered yes, consider if this new tenet should include a cap on circulating supply of ada

Discussion wether the treasury is allowed to take on debt.

No inflation after the max supply of 45B has been reached!

Agree. Add aspects like fixed ADA supply (no caveat), defined treasury withdrawal limits and: The Cardano Blockchain shall not unnecessarily spend resources "and act economically sustainable."

Yes there must be a cap but in the future it must not be impossible to change this but it would have to go via constitution change process, e.g. to allow 1% fixed inflation but for now fixed.

Yes, it should. It should also bring in another factor I call "risk-awareness" when it comes to spendings, considering the inflow and outflow of the treasury.

New tenets must leave room to be able to adjust fixed ADA supply.

We need to add statement that we should have fixed cap of 45 billions ADA in the tenet. And need to add that treasury should be used only for developing Cardano blockchain.

Yes, an economic framework the ensures the nerwork remains healthy is important.

Please provide your answer and rationale for Q2. If you answered yes, consider if this new tenet should include a cap on circulating supply of ada

Define properly what unnecessarily means: "The Cardano Blockchain shall not unnecessarily spend resources. " As well as "financial sustainability".

Define a specific percentage that can only be withdrawn from the treasury each year.

Maximum supply of 45 Billion ADA should always be fixed.

Yes I think there needs to be more precise definition of the tenets. It should include more details about what constitutes “unnecessarily spent” means. And could include “risks” as a framework of use

1/2 Yes, a hard-capped max supply of 45bn ADA should now be written down in the constitution. If this needs to change in the future due to security concerns, the constitution can be changed or amended

The circulating amount is more difficult, should be included according to the general philosophy of spending. More important to have a framework of discussion & not an explicit info of what rules are

We need a sensible risk adjusted treasury without silly deals like 500k USD for a new logo. There is way too much unreasonable pay 2 play in crypto.

2/2 Furthermore, fin. sustainability in general should be address by incl. economic sustainability in the "the cardano blockchain should not spend resources unnecessarily" paragraph to give boundaries

Mentimeter

Please provide your answer and rationale for Q2. If you answered yes, consider if this new tenet should include a cap on circulating supply of ada

fix cap of max suppy, can be modified in the future.

Lunch Break



[Q11] What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct?

The draft constitution currently states: “DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps and make such codes of conduct publicly available.” [Pertains to: Article III, Article IV.]


Mentimeter

Mentimeter

Why Question 11 is important:

DReps will act as a voice of ada owners and will be empowered to vote for ada owners who have put faith in the DReps they delegate to. Additionally, DReps are a new governing body for Cardano and we will learn much over the next few years as to how the DRep process works. Therefore, it may be important to address DRep conduct in the Constitution. On the other hand, da owners are free to delegate and un-delegate from DReps to signal their (dis)approval of a DRep at any time. Therefore, market forces may themselves be sufficient to govern DRep behavior.. This question addresses whether the Constitution itself should include specific behavioral standards applicable to DReps.

Q11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?



11a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each

Transparency is good and important (a)

a) ensures transparency & accountability with immutable records that are publicy available

Individual (private) DReps should not be required to have a code of conduct.

b) minimum baseline that all dReps have to follow

I choose answer A because we need some guideline that most of the DRep could follow and it helps for these new DRep to become a good DRep or have a good behaviour in the system. We could not enforce

b Establishing one clear conduct rules could provide consistency and protect the interests of ADA holders

(F) DReps should make their CoC process and argumentation clear as it relates to the principles of the constitution.

d) fix conduct, predetermined templates for initialise the guidelines.

11a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each

d) ensiure code of conduct aligns with the constitutional principles, providing oversight.

e) somme community-driven input, periodic reviews, being able to see if a dRep is only voting for him/her-self or on behalf of other; if the latter: being able to see how number of delegates

Minimum code of conduct can help to prevent, e.g. move fast and break things mentality and what to do in case keys are lost. DReps code of conduct should be short to allow for various opinions.

As Cardano grows and matures, establishing foundational standards for DRep behavior will contribute to the long-term stability of the governance system. It prevents potential misconduct or conflicts

(b, c) Should be minimalistic guidelines that enable DReps, help navigate and let them build their own principles on top of them.

(a) Yes, for transparency.

Pre-approved code of conducts can be used to form a modular framework where DReps can be flexible.

Each dRep can have its own code of conduct and it may rvrn be revommended to allow gor various views and points.

Mentimeter

11a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each

One minimal CoC for all would ensure consistency & provide clear ethical guidelines that all DReps must follow. Ex.: It should focus on acting with integrity, maintaining transparency & accountability

f: code of conducts shouldn't be constitutionally required. Said that, I'd like to see a minimal code of conduct DReps can acknowledge on-chain, but not mandatory. Delegators will see this.

Mentimeter

Break ☕

Mentimeter

50 MINS - Voting Model

Q14 Should the Constitution include a mechanism that causes ada holders to re-select their DReps over time?

[Pertains to: Article IV.]

Mentimeter


Why Question 14 is important:

Ada holders are free to change delegation to any DRep at any time, or to never change their delegation. This question asks whether this model is expected to create conditions for a representative class of DReps over time, or if the Constitution should include additional constraints.

Mentimeter

5 Min

Q14a Should the Constitution include a mechanism that causes ada holders to re-select their DReps over time? [Pertains to: Article IV.]



Q14a Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale. If you answered Yes, please explain what you would add to the Constitution.

There should be a timebased undeligation with the option to opt out.

1/2 The experience with stake pool delegation over the past four years shows that once ada holders delegate their stake, they rarely switch pools, leading to stagnation in pool distribution.

2/2 If the same behavior emerges with DRep delegation, the governance of Cardano could become stale, with the same representatives holding power indefinitely.

I vote no, because it's stated in the Article IV section 2 that ADA holder can seamlessly select among DReps, register as DRep, and change their delegation at any time.

No. Though, I'd like to see a mechanism to enforce re-delegation that goes beyond blocking the withdrawal of staking rewards, but this could just be vote on with a governance action.

Yes. According to Constitution, barriers should be low to participate in governance. Instate trigger to reselect, e.g. if DRep has been inactive for X time and notify delegates.

no mechanism in order to foster an open and collaborative ecosystem

No, no on the constitution level. The constitution already had the point in it that enables delegates to change whenever they want.

Mentimeter

Q14a Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale. If you answered Yes, please explain what you would add to the Constitution.

Yes, a system reviewing the votes 🗳️ to change de drep determined by time

Mechanism options: 1) notification to re-delegate, 2) auto re-delegate to auto abstain, 3) auto un-delegate or 4) opt-out of delegation expiration Triggers: time passed, DRep is inactive

Yes i think there should be a mechanism to enforce re-delegation and that must be clearly stipulated by the constitution

Mentimeter

Break ☕

Delegated representatives (DReps)

Q23. Discuss your thoughts on whether voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO creates concerns that need addressed in Constitution

Currently, there is no rule against voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO. [Pertains to: Article III Section 2, Article IV, Article V.]

Mentimeter

Why Question 23 is important:

CIP-1694 separates out the voting roles of SPOs from DReps to create a balance of powers. Technically and socially, there is nothing in place that stops an SPO from voting as a DRep and vice versa, although they can easily lose delegation if ada owners are unhappy with their actions.

Mentimeter

Q23. What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?

A) Prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.	B) Require disclosure but do not prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.	C) Include special conflicts of interest provisions	D) Does not need to be addressed in the Constitution	E) Unsure
0	0	0	0	0

23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

b) to ensure transparency

c) this adds a bit of complexity, however it helps to provide targeted solutions to prevent a too high concentration of power

1/N While the Cardano governance model allows DReps and SPOs to serve distinct roles, the potential for individuals to inhabit both roles creates a concentration of voting power.

Maybe add a provision that if somebody is an SPO and part of a DRep team, it has to be disclosed or the person can only have a certain role in the team.

2/N This means that some participants can vote on every governance action, which undermines the principle of balanced decision-making. By prohibiting individuals from voting on the same governance...

Transparency should always be at the core of the discussion and the processes.

There has to be method to counter act the centralizing effect of delegators delegating to a person in two roles SPO and DRep

3/N ...action as both a DRep and an SPO, we can preserve the intended separation of powers and ensure that no one person or entity holds disproportionate influence.

23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

The Constitution should providing clear role distinctions, transparency requirements and conflict-of-interest safeguards to ensure a balanced and decentralized governance system

I choose b & c, because it's two different delegation. SPO gets their voting right from stake pool delegation. The DRep gets their voting right from voting right delegation.

4/4 This safeguard would help maintain community trust and ensure more balanced outcomes in governance decisions.

Not all SPOs will have high delegations as DReps and vice versa, but transparency is needed to give community all infos to vote (e.g. highlighted note on DRep profile & tooltip explanation).

The Constitution should providing clear role distinctions, transparency requirements and conflict-of-interest safeguards to ensure a balanced and decentralized governance system (b) & (c)

Participation of both DReps and SPOs in governance is critical and voting on the same actions could pose risks to decentralization and fairness for that matter.

d) it could be part of a code of conduct as a guideline, but not part of the constitution. Same goes for the CC role that could be a DRep and SPO at the same time.

Community should be encouraged to vote responsibily & be given the infos & tool for it. Educate about pros and cons of same-role actors. Community has responsibility, too.

Mentimeter

23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

If we can find technical solution that SPO and dRep cannot double vote we should do it. For now it can be part of guideliness and north star and recommendations or code of conduct.

It's not just about SPOs that are DReps and vice versa. CC members that are SPOs and/or DReps need to be "flagged" as well.

Mentimeter

Break ☕

Mentimeter

Delegate Election 

Mentimeter

Introduction to Voting

"We are about to begin the process of voting for Constitutional Convention Candidates. It will be your job as Workshop Participants to choose a Delegate and an Alternate to travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina, this December, to represent our group and this region at the Cardano Constitutional Convention.

Participants who attended a majority of today's workshop are eligible to vote. When you receive your ballots please write down your name and email address so that we can validate votes in case of an audit. You will then have a chance to write up to 5 names of individuals that you feel would represent us well at the Constitutional Convention. Each candidate you list will benefit from your vote. The top name on your list will receive 5 points, the second name will receive 4 points, the

Mentimeter

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

By running as a candidate to become a Constitutional delegate or alternate, you are agreeing that if elected, you will fulfill these duties. Duties marked with an asterisk are NOT applicable to the Alternate.

Agree to the Code of Conduct
You will represent your constituents and the Cardano Community's best interests, rather than promoting any personal agenda.
Your constituents are the Cardano Community members who attended the workshop that elected you, the Cardano community at-large in your region, and any Cardano Community members who reach out to you to represent their needs in some way.
You will not do anything to harm other attendees at the Cardano Constitutional Convention
You agree to follow the Intersect code of conduct

Mentimeter

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

Before the Constitutional Convention

*Contact the Alternate and agree how to support each other.
Ex) work together to review constituent feedback.
Attend an online delegate synthesis workshop where you meet other delegates and share your region's constitution feedback.

*Provide your name and contact information publicly for constituents to contact you

*Review constituent feedback and create a summary
Complete Constitutional Delegate training ahead of travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina

Obtain visas and flights necessary to arrive in Argentina for the first week of December 2024. Flight, accommodation, transfers and food costs during the event will be covered for Constitutional Delegates and Alternates selected at Constitutional Workshops.

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

At the Constitutional Convention

Attend all scheduled sessions (3 days)
*Vote on whether to approve the Cardano Constitution


After the Constitutional Convention

Optionally: Become a DRep to vote on-chain to ratify the approved constitution
Optionally: Encourage the DReps and Cardano Community to vote on the approved constitution

Mentimeter



VOTING APP QR CODE


constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/auth/signin
Mentimeter
INTERSECT
Cardano Workshop Login
Enter your email to log in or create an account.
Email
name@example.com
We'll send a magic link to this email.
Send Magic Link

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

About Designees: Only with a well structured guideline/set of rules - Could be used as a loophole by others to “sell” their voting power to other parties

Would love to have discussed the process to agree on a Cardano Treasury Budget.

A person or group of people must not be allowed to be in CC, SPO and dRep to avoid massive concentration of power. We should focus on adoption as priority number 1 since governance of no sense.

1) In general I think the constitution should be kept short and clear without many restrictions 2)Currently a member of the CC who is also a DRep and SPO at the same time can vote as a single entity.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s (worked well) and deltas (could be improved) do you have for the workshop?

+good structure and positive energy all around

+s Great convo and a warm atmosphere. Great organization and opportunity to network with experience minds in the ecosystem.

Workshops thrive or break with the moderator. [redacted] has been excellent in maintaining a focused session and motivated us to engage. Thank you!

+ great discussions, getting a better understanding about Cardano, but also the process of decentralized governance

Great facilitation, good process of getting discussions going and taking on a lot of information.

- more time for discussions and consensus finding + food and organisation exceptional - more marketing so more people come

- too litte time sometimes to fully discuss certain topics

+Food was good and in abundance tho haha

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s (worked well) and deltas (could be improved) do you have for the workshop?

- great facilitator (Dirk)

+ great structure to enable discussions - too little time on each item and overall, should be 3 day workshop :D

Always good to have asynchronous possibilities to add comments and feedback to questions that we're not covered in the workshop.

+ Good format of the workshop
+ Good moderation from the host & Voltaire team - I don't think we need to do pair discussion, could directly go to group discussion instead to save time

+ open discussions, participants shared knowledge

+ met plenty of new cool people

Compared to the pre-workshop edition, it was much better organized and the pre-training was very noticeable.

- vote upfront the workshop on which questions we would talk about

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s (worked well) and deltas (could be improved) do you have for the workshop?

+ super enganged discussions + high commitment by the participants + well prepared from all sides + very well facilitated + deep knowledge by participants


- Only 50% of sign-ups came to the workshop - Mentimeter should allow more characters in the quali respones - 8 hours is a very long time with such dense topics

🎉

Mentimeter

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

11 responses



Mentimeter

Great work! 🙌

WELCOME!

Cardano Burkina Faso (Virtual) Community Constitutional Workshop

Nous espérons inclure vos perspectives uniques sur 4 thèmes centraux de la constitution. Nous recueillons les retours de la communauté lors d'ateliers mondiaux afin de façonner le projet de constitution avant la Convention constitutionnelle en décembre.

Accédez au projet de constitution à l'adresse suivante :
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Des liens, des thèmes, des surprises, des points communs que vous avez remarqués...

Yes

Non nous avions déjà une même compréhension du sujet

Oui!des values en connaissance

non,nous avons une comprehension du sujet

Non, nous avons déjà une bonne compréhension du sujet

Non, car nous avions déjà des prénotions et nous étions donc au même niveau de compréhension.

Oui nous avons une belle compréhension du sujet

Oui nous avons remarqué des points communs. Il s'agit de faire partir de la cette communauté. Mais aussi de bien comprendre ce système de la blockchian

Des liens, des thèmes, des surprises, des points communs que vous avez remarqués...

Non : En effet je suis novice dans les cryptos et mon interlocuteur non. Du coup il n'y a rien eu de commun ou de surprenant.

Oui, parce que mon binôme aussi est dans la crypto sphère comme moi, et nous sommes tous là pour accroître nos connaissances sur le cardano et ses perspectives.

Oui Nous avons déjà des notions sur la thématique.

Non, car j'ai déjà quelques notions sur la blockain et Cardano alors que l'autre veut connaître davantage la blockain de cardano.

Oui 1- Nous sommes tous curieux de mieux comprendre la blockchain, la constitution cardano.

Oui... Car on vient de découvrir la blockchain et on veut avoir des connaissances sur blockchain a la sortie

Oui nous avons des points communs notamment en ce qui concerne nos attentes qui sont entre autres la soif d'apprendre plus sur Cardano

Oui , nous avons tous une idée sur l écosystème cardano.

Des liens, des thèmes, des surprises, des points communs que vous avez remarqués...

Non nous avons déjà une connaissance du sujet

Oui! A la fin de cet atelier, nous voudrions avoir un plus value. C'est dire pourvoir mieux appréhender les concepts de la blochaine cardano.

Oui, Nous avions a peux près les même compression! Connaitre davantage la Cardona

Oui.les points communs, ce sont nos apports sur la constitution de cardano. Maîtriser l'écosystème cardano

We had equal understanding of the theme.

Oui, notamment la performance de la blockchain et sa sécurité et en Afrque c'est la seule blockchain qui se fait entendre dans les conférences

Oui, j'ai appris de nouveaux concernant Cardano et la blockchain. Nous avons eu des reponses communs

Non Nous avons déjà une même compréhension du sujet

Des liens, des thèmes, des surprises, des points communs que vous avez remarqués...

Non. En effet je suis novice dans les cryptos et mon interlocuteur non. Du coup il n'y a rien eu de commun ou de surprenant.

Oui, avons des points communs dont la curiosité. Nous eu des difficultés de réponse et de compréhension.

Non nous avions déja le même niveau

Oui lors de nos discussions j'ai appris l'objectif de la blokchain e

Oui nous avons la même compréhension

Yes, we have had common visions, but I had more remarks for the success of the meeting. Making geeat proposals for Cardano more impact and perfect constitution success, the fact I knew it since 2022

Oui nous avons des points communs dont la curiosité. Nous avons eu des difficultés de réponses et de compréhension. Nos aspirations sont les mêmes.

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribuez par votre présence.
Cherchez d'abord à comprendre plutôt qu'à persuader.
Entrez en jeu. Reculez. Recherchez l'honnêteté et la profondeur sans vous étendre.
Cherchez le progrès plutôt que la perfection
Adhérez aux règles de Chatham House

Commençons!

30 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

1) 5 minutes - En solo : générez des idées/réponses en silence, seul.
2) 10 minutes - En binôme : partagez vos notes avec un partenaire.
3) 15 minutes - En groupe : générez des thèmes clés et préparez vos contributions.

3. La Constitution devrait-elle limiter la participation à la gouvernance aux propriétaires d'Ada ou devraient-ils être a nommer des désignées?

Permettre aux personnes désignées de contrôler les droits de vote est une façon potentielle de gérer les nouveaux droits de vote associés à l'ADA. Cela permettrait aux propriétaires d'ADA de déterminer s'ils souhaitent ou non autoriser une personne désignée, en équilibrant la nécessité de protéger les droits de vote contre toute

30 MINS - Droit de vote

3. La Constitution devrait-elle limiter la participation à la gouvernance aux propriétaires d'Ada ou devraient-ils être a nommer des désignées?

1) 5 minutes - En solo : générez des idées/réponses en silence, seul.
2) 10 minutes - En binôme : partagez vos notes avec un partenaire.
3) 15 minutes - En groupe : générez des thèmes clés et préparez vos contributions.

10 MINS - Droit de vote

3. La Constitution devrait :



3.Veuillez fournir votre réponse (a/b/c) et la justification de la question 3.

Pour augmenter l'audience et préserver la Survie de la chaîne

Permettre le renforcement de la gouvernance decentraliser de l'ecosysteme

La réponse B, augmenté l'audience, attirer de nouveaux investisseurs, mieux contrôler les droits de vote, l'équilibre, la transparence, la confiance,Impacté dans les décisions, disponibilité à suivre

b. Principe de la décentralisation et de la démocratie : favorise l'inclusion d'une communauté plus large, avec des idées plus riches pouvant être avantageux pour l'innovation dans la Blockchain.

car il ya des détenteurs de ada qui n'ont pas une parfaite connaissance dans la cryptomonnaie et la blockchain qui sont just des holders du coup ils peuvent permettre à d'autre personne de parcitucipe

L'équilibre et la disponibilité des personnes désignées à superviser les votes et les décisions. Impacté dans les prises de décision en réunissant les petits quantités d'Ada,renforcer les compétences

Il est possible aussi que le détenteurs ait des connaissances mais pas le temps pour participer de façon constante à la gouvernance dans ce cas il peut déléguer quelqu'un de confiance

Thême 1 : Gouvernance démographique Thême 2 : Force basé sur la communauté soutenir la création, la maintenance..., Budget élaboré par elle. Cardano gagnerais a nommer des designées pour être bien.

3.Veuillez fournir votre réponse (a/b/c) et la justification de la question 3.

Option B : Éviter un monopole et permettre un mode de décision démocratique. Cela inclus les experts

Renforcer la sécurité de la blockchain. Attirer de nouveaux investisseurs et adhérents à la communauté.

La réponse est b. Car la Constitution est basée sur : -Le consentement démocratiques, la décentralisation, sect II et 4,les détenteurs de ADA vote sur le processus décisionnel de gouvernance onchain

la reponse B. - la décentralisation - prendre des décisions autonomes, importantes en toute impartialité - la prévention des abus de pouvoirs

b. Certaines personnes peuvent contribuer à des décisions importantes par leurs idées. Mais ne possédant pas d'adas, ces derniers ne pourraient pas participer et cela ne profite pas à la communauté.

Theme 1 : GOUVERNANCE DEMOCRATIQUE *Les membres de la communauté qui détiennent des Ada processus décisionnels.

-Le renforcement de la transparence et de la responsabilité; - la diversité des perspectives ; - la gouvernance participative ; - l'entrée des probables investisseurs au profit de la blokchain;

Pour éviter l'anarchie et le Monopole, il faut mettre en place des balises et gardes foux, conduit par le Comité Constitutionnel et les Dreps selon,l'écosystème et la blockchain Cardano et au CIP-1694

3.Veuillez fournir votre réponse (a/b/c) et la justification de la question 3.

Au regard de toute c'est thématiques et réponses nous pouvons conclure que Cardano gagnera a nommer et désignées pour êtres plus démocratique et opérationnel dans le monde de la crypto.

Apport de nouvelles idées pour la gouvernance

Reponse (b) Justificatifs 1 - Alignement des intérêts financiers des détenteurs d'ADA

b

A) B)

Pause ☕

07. La Constitution devrait-elle imposer que le budget de la blockchain Cardano comprenne des fonds spécifiques ?


Les budgets périodiques de la blockchain Cardano seront élaborés et approuvés par la communauté. Il est prévu que la communauté approuve périodiquement les budgets par le biais d'actions de gouvernance sur la chaîne. La Constitution précise qu'aucun décaissement du Trésor Cardano ne peut être approuvé par des actions de gouvernance sur la chaîne, à moins qu'au moment du décaissement, un budget approuvé par la communauté ne soit alors en place. . Cependant, la Constitution laisse à la communauté le soin de décider de ce qui doit réellement être inclus dans un budget, du degré de détail d'un budget et de la manière dont les budgets doivent être élaborés et approuvés.

30 MINS - Durabilité financière

07. La Constitution devrait-elle imposer que le budget de la blockchain Cardano comprenne des fonds spécifiques ?

1) 5 minutes - En solo : générez des idées/réponses en silence, seul.
2) 10 minutes - En binôme : partagez vos notes avec un partenaire.
3) 15 minutes - En groupe : générez des thèmes clés et préparez vos contributions.

07. Si la Constitution impose que le budget de la blockchain Cardano comprenne (sélectionnez toutes les réponses applicables) :



a) Un fonds de réserve pour couvrir les coûts imprévus non spécifiés dans le budget Cardano

(b) Un fonds d'indemnisation pour couvrir les réclamations potentielles contre les participants à la gouvernance (DReps et les membres du CC

c) Fonds destinés à couvrir les frais administratifs du CC.

(d) Aucune de ces réponses

07. Veuillez fournir votre/vos réponse(s) (a/b/c/d) et la justification de la question 07

To avoid overspending

A:Car un fond de réserve garantie la performance de l'écosystème en cas d'imprévus qui peuvent provoquer une paralysie financière.

yes

C: car il faut une immobilisations corporel et incorporels pour le bon fonctionnement d'une administration et cela nécessite des fonds.et pour ça il est nécessaire de voter un budget annuel

Les fonds doivent servir à la maintenance, à l'administration.

(a) Un fonds de réserve pour couvrir les coûts imprévus non spécifiés dans le budget Cardano

A : Fonds de réserve (a) : La nature décentralisée de Cardano peut amener des imprévus (comme des problèmes techniques ou des menaces sécuritaires) qui nécessitent une réponse immédiate.

a/ oui ,en cas de difficulté,l'entreprise peut recourir à ce fond pour faire faces aux crises qui surviennent.

07. Veuillez fournir votre/vos réponse(s) (a/b/c/d) et la justification de la question 07

It's necessary to have a budget to cater for unforeseen occurrences.

A) Il est important de prévoir des questions de gestion des risques et des changements imprévus.

comme tout autre projet un fond doit etre voté pour garantir la performance de l'ecosysteme en couvrants les depenses imprevus

il est toujours necessaire de voté des fonds pour differents secteurs d'activé, pour l'amelioration colosale du projet

yes

Il est important de prendre en compte toutes es aspects non spécifiés qui peuvent avoir lieu.

yes

Fonds d'indemnisation (b) : Les personnes impliquées dans la gouvernance prennent des décisions importantes, parfois risquées. Un fonds d'indemnisation offrirait une protection légale.

07. Veuillez fournir votre/vos réponse(s) (a/b/c/d) et la justification de la question 07

A,B et C Les fonds de réserve serviront destiné à couvrir les frais administratifs, à assurer la continuité de et à renforcer la gouvernance. Ces fonds seront administré par le comité administratif

Justification : Il est essentiel pour tout système décentralisé de disposer d'un fonds de réserve pour gérer des coûts imprévus ou des situations d'urgence.

Prévision nécessaire de budgets, pour un projet a long terme

Since the CC functions to ensure the constitutionality of on chain governance, a budget is necessary to properly remunerate them for their hard work.

L'utilisation de ses fonds passera par le vote participatif

C) qui parle de projet parle d'administration et de contrôle technique. Cela nécessite une des fond

YES a, b, c parce-que le Comité Constitutionnel a besoin de fonds administratifs pour les activités. Il faut une réserve pour gérer les risques, les changements et aider les Dresp à bien fonctionnee

Yes

07. Veuillez fournir votre/vos réponse(s) (a/b/c/d) et la justification de la question 07

b/ oui, ce fond permettra d'encourager les probables investisseurs d'être indemnisé en cas de faillite ou de chute de la structure. En quelques sorte leur inspirer la confiance

A,b et c parce que le fonds spécifiques permettront gérer les changements, gérer les risques et contenir les imprévus.

Le bon fonctionnement du Comité Constitutionnel est vital pour garantir la conformité de toutes les actions de gouvernance avec la Constitution. Ce fonds assurerait que le Comité dispose des ressource

Nous choisissons les réponses a été c que nous jugeons importants

Justification : Les personnes impliquées dans la gouvernance de Cardano, telles que les DReps (Delegated Representatives) et les membres du Comité constitutionnel, prennent des décisions critiques qu

Yes

Les bonnes réponses sont la A, B et C Le fond de réserve est destiné a: -Soutenir le fonctionnement administratif -Assurer la continuité -Renforcer la gouvernance

c/ oui, pour permettre à la structure de prendre en charge les frais usuels pour sa bonne marche et son fonctionnement au quotidien

07. Veuillez fournir votre/vos réponse(s) (a/b/c/d) et la justification de la question 07

Oui, Un fond de réserve pour couvrir les coûts imprévus (fond d'urgence), Un fond d'indemnisation cas d'erreur, anomalie et autres et Un fond Administrative permettant le bon fonctionnement de Cardona

Le Comité constitutionnel (CC) joue un rôle central dans la gouvernance de Cardano. Pour fonctionner efficacement, ce comité aura besoin de couvrir certains frais administratifs, tels que la gestion q

Il peut avoir des réclamations par mes Dreps en cas de changement de la devise internationale. Ou en cas de besoin de surplus financier sur le terrain de réalisation des activités par les Dreps ou CC

Le fond d'indemnisation est destiné : -Protéger les acteurs de la gouvernance -

A B il est important de prévoir toujours un budget et protéger les participants avec un indemnite

C'est differents fonds sont destinés au bon fonctionnement de la communauté et de la blochain Cardona

Oui

LE LUNCH

8. La Constitution devrait-elle fournir plus de détails sur le processus budgétaire de Cardano ?


: Étant donné que le processus de création d'un budget Cardano unique sera nouveau, on ne sait pas encore comment il fonctionnera et quelles influences seront en jeu. Par conséquent, certains détails peuvent être ou non souhaitables à imposer pour garantir que le processus garde les besoins de l'écosystème au premier plan.

30 MINS - Durabilité financière

8. La Constitution devrait-elle fournir plus de détails sur le processus budgétaire de Cardano ?

1) 5 minutes - En solo : générez des idées/réponses en silence, seul.
2) 10 minutes - En binôme : partagez vos notes avec un partenaire.
3) 15 minutes - En groupe : générez des thèmes clés et préparez vos contributions.

8. La Constitution devrait-elle fournir plus de détails sur le processus budgétaire de Cardano ?



8. Veuillez fournir votre réponse (Oui/Non/Incertain) et la justification de la question 8.

Oui, la Constitution doit fournir plus de détails sur le processus budgétaire pour avoir une idée claire pour l'élaboration et l'approbation du budget annuel à fin d'assurer une bonne gestion financiè

inancière et d'éviter des dépenses inutiles. Le processus budgétaire doit répondre aux réels besoins

Oui, la constitution devrait détailler davantage le processus budgétaire pour renforcer la transparence, la responsabilité et une gouvernance plus claire.

Le budget est administré par la comité constitutionnel pour assurer une gestion responsable

Oui...Il faudrait juste de la transparence dans la gestion.

Assurer la transparence et la nonne conduite, car pour une institution normale il est primordial a communiqué a chaque fois un bilan budgétaire.

Parce qu'un budget bien detaillé permet de minimiser les risques du projet, permet le suivi budgétaire efficace

Oui, pour garantir transparence, responsabilité et une gouvernance claire Oui, pour assurer une gestion financière durable et prévisible.

8. Veuillez fournir votre réponse (Oui/Non/Incertain) et la justification de la question 8.

Oui Pour garantir transparence, contrôle des dépenses, implication de la communauté et

Le processus de création, de soumission et d'approbation du budget doit être bien structuré et documenté pour garantir la transparence.

Oui

Oui, il est il est important de fournir plus de détails budgétaires pour plusieurs raisons, notamment : - pour plus de transparence et inspirer un sentiment de confiance au sein de communauté ;

attractivité pour les investisseurs, avec un plafond budgétaire validé par des votes participatifs.

Pour renforcer la transparence, la responsabilité et encourager la participation de la communauté. Cela vas permettre d'améliorer la planification et la gestion des ressources.

La Constitution devrait définir clairement qui est responsable de l'administration et de la gestion des budgets. Une telle clarification renforcerait la responsabilité et la supervision des personnes

La constitution est la feuille de route d'un projet. Cardano doit établir un budget défini annuel

8. Veuillez fournir votre réponse (Oui/Non/Incertain) et la justification de la question 8.

Oui.Pour plus de transparence

Oui la caumunauté Cardano doit être belle et bien au courant du processus budgétaire

En spécifiant un cadre budgétaire clair, la Constitution offrirait une protection contre les abus financiers ou la mauvaise gestion des ressources de la blockchain.

La budget doit être voter par la communauté en spécifiant les coûts et les imprévus

comme tous projet dans le monde d'entier pour avoir une confiance totale en un projet les gens ont besoin un eclairçissement sur tout les plans quelqu'en soient economique(budget), sociale etc.....

Pour une gouvernance transparente, responsable, efficace, efficient. Eviter les gaspillages, gestion financière. Éviter de vider la Caisse. Permettre une sécurisation et une durabilité financière

Également : - permet de faciliter l'établissement d'un bilan annuel ; - permet de justifier la budgétisation ; - permet de classifier les objectifs ainsi que les perspectives de Cardano

yes

8. Veuillez fournir votre réponse (Oui/Non/Incertain) et la justification de la question 8.

Un plafond rigide pourrait limiter les possibilités de développement futur, surtout si les besoins de l'écosystème évoluent rapidement. Il serait plus judicieux d'introduire des mécanismes de contrôle

Oui pour gouvernance claire transparente éviter les mauvaises gestion

Pause ☕

18. La Constitution devrait-elle inclure des détails supplémentaires concernant l'élection des membres du CC ?


Le Comité constitutionnel a un rôle essentiel à jouer dans l'application de la constitution. Si le processus d'élection des membres est compromis, la constitution pourrait être mise à mal par des membres qui ne sont pas sérieux, qui ne sont pas qualifiés ou qui cachent intentionnellement leur identité et leurs intentions. Cette question demande s'il existe des exigences suffisamment claires et essentielles pour être consacrées maintenant, ou si elles devraient être ouvertes afin que la communauté puisse s'adapter de manière plus dynamique au fil des cycles électoraux.

30 MINS - DReps

18. La Constitution devrait-elle inclure des détails supplémentaires concernant l'élection des membres du CC ?

1) 5 minutes - En solo : générez des idées/réponses en silence, seul.
2) 10 minutes - En binôme : partagez vos notes avec un partenaire.
3) 15 minutes - En groupe : générez des thèmes clés et préparez vos contributions.

18. La Constitution devrait-elle inclure des détails supplémentaires concernant l'élection des membres du CC ?



8. Veuillez fournir votre réponse (Oui/Non/Incertain) et la justification de la question 18.

Oui. Car l'élection des membres doit répondre aux critères bien définis notamment l'expérience dans la blockchain, des connaissances sur l'écosystème de cardano, la qualification, être détenteurs d'Ad

d'Ada doivent être exigé a fin davoir un personnel fiables dans le comité

Oui La constitution doit inclure des détails supplémentaires concernant l'election des membres CC: *Détail suffisamment claire et essentielles pour une meilleur comprehension,

Limité le nombre d'année de renouvellement des mandats des élus. Donner la possible aux nouveaux qui remplissent les critères de bien pour prendre part au élections.

Critères d'éligibilité : Exiger que les candidats aient une expertise prouvée dans la gouvernance blockchain et qu'ils aient contribué activement à l'écosystème Cardano.

Oui, inclure des détails supplémentaires sur l'élection des membres du comité constitutionnel renforcerait la transparence, la légitimité du processus et garantirait une représentation équilibrée

Suite : *Transparence de l'information et ouvert a tous la communauté *Tracer une ligne directive décrivant tous le processus de vote des membres CC.

On pourrait également envisager un système de vérification d'identité pour éviter que des acteurs anonymes ou malveillants ne s'infiltrent.

8. Veuillez fournir votre réponse (Oui/Non/Incertain) et la justification de la question 18.

oui, il que les membres soit forcément qualifiés et qui ont une certaine connaissance axée sur la la blochaines

Être détenteurs d'adas est indispensable. Que les candidats aient une expertise dans la gestion de la blochain

Spécifier un mode d'élection, comme le vote proportionnel ou l'élection par des DReps, en vue de garantir une représentation équitable de la communauté.

Il est aussi essentiel d'inclure des règles pour les campagnes électorales afin d'éviter les manipulations.

Pour une bonne transparance, il est necessaire de devoiler des details concernant les membres qui seront democratiquement élu. pour la bonne marche d'un projet normal

La durée des mandats des membres du CC devrait être précisée, avec la possibilité d'être réélus mais dans des conditions clairement définies, afin d'éviter la concentration du pouvoir.

des parties prenantes . Critères d'éligibilité, modalités de candidature, durée du mandat, processus de vote, responsabilités des membres, transparence des résultats et mécanismes de révocation.

Donner la possibilité de voir l'historique des élus. Définir le processus et le critère d'élection La crédibilité de cardano.

8. Veuillez fournir votre réponse (Oui/Non/Incertain) et la justification de la question 18.

pour une bonne transparence d'une election l'on doit tous detaillé pour permettre a la commuauté d'avoir confiance en leur représentant (cc)

Thank you.

Avoir plus de détails sur la personnalité des élus.

Yes, Les membres du Comité Constitutionnel doivent être sérieux,Maîtriser la blockchain CARDANO Être des détenteurs de ADA(100 ADA) être transparents, qui rechechent l'intérêt Commun de la communauté

Permettre une certaine clarté et une sécurité accrue dans la désignation des membres du CC.

Penser à respecter le quota genre dans la désignation des potentiels candidats au poste de CC.

Yes, Les membres du Comité Constitutionnel doivent être sérieux,Maîtriser la blockchain CARDANO Être des détenteurs de ADA(100 ADA) être transparents, qui rechechent l'intérêt Commun de la communauté

Delegate Election 



https://constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Y a-t-il des réflexions que vous n'avez pas eu l'occasion d'exprimer ?

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Quels sont les + et les deltas (améliorations nécessaires) que vous avez pour l'atelier ?

c'etais parfait; juste qu'on a un peu depasser l'heure prevu

Quel mot ou quelle phrase résume le mieux les principaux points à retenir de l'atelier ?

68 réponses



Bravo! 🙌

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

10 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

0	0	0
Yes	No	Unsure

30 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

Things to consider:
Do you believe the Cardano community should have any role in approving the Constitutional Committee code of conduct? If so, how would that work?

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

10 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

0	0	0
Yes	No	Unsure

30 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

Things to Consider:
- Should the Constitution specify how compensation is determined?
- Should the Constitution include a cap on compensation?

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

10 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

0	0	0
Yes	No	Unsure

Welcome!

Taller Constitucional de la Comunidad Cardano Colombia

Esperamos incluir sus perspectivas únicas en 5 temas focales de la Constitución. Estamos recopilando comentarios de la comunidad a través de talleres en todo el mundo para dar forma al borrador de la constitución antes de la Convención Constitucional en diciembre.

Accede al borrador de la constitución en:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Group Agreements

Aportaciones para la Constitución de Cardano

Contribuye con tu presencia.
Intenta comprender antes de persuadir.
Comparte el tiempo: Participa, pero da espacio a los demás. Sé honesto y profundo sin extenderte demasiado.
Busca el progreso antes que la perfección.
Sigue las Reglas de Chatham House.

1-2-4-ALL

Proceso de Discusión

1) Individual: Genera ideas y opiniones en silencio, de manera individual. (5 min)

2) Pareja: Comparte tus notas con un compañero. (10 min)

3) Grupo de cuatro: Discutan sus ideas y anoten los temas claves de su discusión en Menti. (15 min)

4) Grupo completo: Compartan los temas de sus discusiones en grupo pequeño y debatan en grupo grande. (20 min)

5) Votación: Cada uno registrará su voto final. (5 min)

1. ¿Cree que las doctrinas del Artículo I, Sección 1 reflejan adecuadamente el espíritu de Cardano Blockchain?

1. Suficientemente seguro para intentar.

Considero que sí, ya que busca el buen común para sus miembros y usuarios, se enfatiza la igualdad en participación, la seguridad en el funcionamiento y operaciones de los bienes para sus usuarios.

Si, además de su carácter igualitario es pertinente la inclusividad y la innovación

Order principles trust. Resilience. Transparency, collaboration & progress Add principle of resilience. Ensure separation of powers. State the constitution shall be the highest power.

5 Si estoy de acuerdo con la sección 1, es profundamente valioso que mediante los mandatos y salvaguardas de garantice la participación de TODOS incluso sin importar que no sean poseedores de ADAs

No Cardano citizen shall be subjected to arbitrary seizure of the assets they own on the blockchain.

5, abarca la descentralización, transparencia, equidad, sostenibilidad y viabilidad.

5, después de leer la sección 1 del artículo 1, considero que estas doctrinas reflejan a cabalidad el espíritu de Cardano dado a que contemplan los pilares fundamentales del ecosistema.

1. ¿Cree que las doctrinas del Artículo I, Sección 1 reflejan adecuadamente el espíritu de Cardano Blockchain?

Considero que es una propuesta que refleja los conceptos , requerimientos y valores del sistema. Debe ser general a la hora de describir las doctrinas que sean claras para todos.

Si lo i refkeja muestra y recoge la comunidad, descentraluzación , seguridad y trasparencia pero debe ser susceptible de revisión y actualización con cierta frecuencia.

Debería ser incluirse el suministro máximo 45b

Parcialmente de acuerdo. Faltan unos conceptos. Debe ser más básica en definición ya que es el inicio de todo y el espíritu de cardano es el de una capa 1.

Mi calificación es 5, considero y ratifico, que las doctrinas del Artículo 1, Sección 1 son en su totalidad lo que fundamenta a Cardano, una vez analizadas individual y grupalmente

3 no tengo suficiente conicimiento.

1. ¿Cree que las doctrinas del Artículo I, Sección 1 reflejan adecuadamente el espíritu de Cardano Blockchain?



Descanso ☕

2. ¿Debería haber una doctrina adicional que aborde la sostenibilidad financiera del ecosistema Cardano Blockchain?

Si. Eso evitaría la pérdida de Adas por congelación o bloqueo.

Si, para garantizar la continuidad del ecosistema y el interés de sus actores

Si, las condiciones a futuro pueden cambiar lo suficiente de tal forma que justifique un cambio en los parámetros para ayudar a la sostenibilidad financiera.

Treasury should be split in purpose specific subtreasuries to adecuarely manage risk. At least one for: sovereign fund, growth fund, liquidity risk hedging fund, outside investment fund.

Treasury funds deployment (incorporation into circulating supply) speed is important. It should be managed. Not in the contitution but by an ecomonomic policy body

Sí, todo lo que mejore la sostenibilidad Financiera bienvenida sea

Ada should never be burnt. Especially treasury funds.

Si necesitamos normas muy claras al respecto ,para sostener el tesoro .y proteger a los poseedores de ADAS

2. ¿Debería haber una doctrina adicional que aborde la sostenibilidad financiera del ecosistema Cardano Blockchain?

Si, ya que claramente el tema financiero es una de las vertientes que si o sí debemos definir de manera clara

2a. Si respondió que sí: ¿Debería esta nueva doctrina incluir un límite absoluto en el suministro circulante de ada?

Yes, circulating supply shoud be stable. Even if total supply is not.

Si. Limite absoluto ---> credibilidad del ADA

Diseñar unos indicadores de usabilidad que permita quemar Adas para contrarrestar la falsa oferta o disponibilodad de Adas

Se debe identificar una proyección presupuestal, donde se puede invertir en investigación que proponga soluciones, para armonizar entre la economía y la tecnología.

No. There should be no ablsolutes. Strict guidelines that are known but that will allow the system to react to different unforeseen realities in a predictable way that maintains credibility

Si, siempre y cuando se cree un procedimiento que autorice , vigilé , emita o lo que sea necesario. Antes de un desequilibrio

La doctrina contemple fórmulas matemáticas , económicas o de algún tipo que permitan advertirr y tomar decisiónes favorable en el momento que se llegara a presentar la necesidad .

Si, y es estrictamente necesario que se realice investigación académica y especializada para poder sacar conclusiones que sirvan para la administración financiera y la toma de decisiones

2a. Si respondió que sí: ¿Debería esta nueva doctrina incluir un límite absoluto en el suministro circulante de ada?

Asociado al suministro circulante no debería incluirse ningún límite


Yes. But an expert body should be funded to research and suggest economic policy.

Yes. There should be a maximum and a minimum circulating supply

Si, es importante definir si un límite absoluto del suministro circulante de ADA sería la metodología que queremos implementar para lograr una sostenibilidad financiera, teniendo en cuenta las implica

Si

2. ¿Debería haber una doctrina adicional que aborde la sostenibilidad financiera del ecosistema Cardano Blockchain?



2a. Si respondió que sí: ¿Debería esta nueva doctrina incluir un límite absoluto en el suministro circulante de ada?



Derechos de voto

3. La Constitución debería:

(a) Limitar la participación en la gobernanza en cadena solo a los propietarios de ada

(b) Permitir que los propietarios de ada nombren personas designadas que luego tengan derecho a participar en la gobernanza en cadena

(c) Inseguro

3. ¿Debería la Constitución limitar la gobernanza en cadena a los propietarios de ADA o permitir que designen a otros para participar en su nombre?

A

Sí Dueño soberano, Descentralización, Evita poder central, Intereses alineados, Más transparencia

Si, hoy el riesgo de concentración de voto en CEX y scripts.

Si, pero tecnicamente no parece ser viable

Sí, que se designe a otro para participar en su nombre

De base la constitución debe dar gobernanza a los propietarios (no tenedores) y dejar la posibilidad de voluntariamente designar a otros.

Estoy de acuerdo que si, los Drep son muy importantes. El problema son los smart contracts y cex etcétera.

Estoy de acuerdo que la gobernanza sea únicamente para los propios de ADA.

3. ¿Debería la Constitución limitar la gobernanza en cadena a los propietarios de ADA o permitir que designen a otros para participar en su nombre?

De acuerdo que haya un representante para poder delegar, a un representante pero que el poder no sea permitodo en el poseedor de las adas , como Binance y otros exchange.

b, si debería haber la posibilidad de delegar, pero definirel como

Derechos de voto

3. La Constitución debería:



(a) Limitar la participación en la gobernanza en cadena solo a los propietarios de ada

(b) Permitir que los propietarios de ada nombren personas designadas que luego tengan derecho a participar en la gobernanza en cadena

(c) Inseguro

Almuerzo 

17. Las Salvaguardas fijan tamaño y duración del CC, pero no su composición. Selecciona qué disposiciones adicionales debería incluir la Constitución:

(a) Cómo se determina el número de miembros del CC

(b) Un número fijo de miembros del CC (consistente con las Salvaguardas)

(c) Cómo se determinan los límites de mandato para los miembros del CC

(d) Un límite de mandato fijo para los miembros del CC (consistente con las Salvaguardas)

(e) Otros

(f) Ninguno de estos

17. Las Salvaguardas fijan tamaño y duración del CC, pero no su composición. Selecciona qué disposiciones adicionales debería incluir la Constitución:

Trayectoria, compromiso, experiencia comprobable dentro de la comunidad. Trabajo significativo dentro del ecosistema

"Track record" limpio de actividades ilícitas de todo tipo. Experiencia demostrable en ecosistema Cardano

El comité debe responder a perfiles interdisciplinario, solvencia económica que asuman responsabilidades que puedan ser verificable.

Experiencia mínima, edad, entregar informes, desiciones clave, debe pertenecer a Cardano

Experiencia relevante en cardano

No estoy de acuerdo de que una ADA un voto, debería ser una mezcla de la cantidad de Ada y persona única.

diferentes representaciones. No todos deben venir o trabajar de los mismos origenes. No todos pueden venir de Spos o representar mismos intereses. Asegurar representacion de diversos intereses

Diversidad y que no respondan s un mismo interés

17. Las Salvaguardas fijan tamaño y duración del CC, pero no su composición. Selecciona qué disposiciones adicionales debería incluir la Constitución:

Varios representantes con experiencia ,conocimiento , transparencia y en pro de la comunidad

. Garantuzar que los minoristas tengan representación equitativa. Definir cómo se eligen los miembros es de suma importancia. .

a. Es vital tener una manera de clara de cómo se determina el número, habrá una fórmula matemática específico o qué modelo se va a usar

7 personas, 2 años con máximo una reelección

7 personas, 2 años con máximo una reelección

17a. ¿Qué tamaño o duración del mandato propone? ¿Deberían ser valores fijos, relacionales o decididos por votación en cadena?

2 años sin reelección. 7 personas

2 años sin reelección. 7 personas

1 año Max 9

18 meses sin reelección. Un mínimo de 5 personas y un máximo de 15

3 años con solo una reelección , 9 personas

tamaño: cantidad impar. duracion periodos fijos. 3 años. con reeleccion 1 solo periodo

7 personas, dos años con máximo una reelección

7 personas. 3 para los grandes exchange y 4 de sueños de Adas

Comité Constitucional (CC)


17a. ¿Qué tamaño o duración del mandato propone? ¿Deberían ser valores fijos, relacionales o decididos por votación en cadena?

2 años

Tres (3) años con una (1) sola reelección , nueve (9) personas

9, siempre impar por un período de 2 años máximo, con posibilidad de reelección

17. Seleccione disposiciones adicionales que la Constitución debería incluir:



Elección de Delegados 



https://constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/

Reflexión y Conclusión

¿Hubo alguna idea o tema que no tuvo la oportunidad de compartir?

No , gracias por su gran labor

Interesante oportunidad de trabajar y opinar en iniciativas disruptivas donde se siente que si se hace una diferencia.

Calidad de mentalidades ... Es lo máximo, excelente proyecto

Hay muchos temas, siento que se abordó lo más relevante.

¡Buen trabajo! 🙌

WELCOME!

Cardano Bologna, Italy Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 4 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

15 MINS - Introductions

What brought you here today? What are you hoping to get from this experience?

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules



Let's get started 🚀


30 MINS - VOTING RIGHTS

3. Allowing for appointed designees to control voting rights is a potential way to handle the new voting rights associated with ada. This would enable

owners of ada to determine whether or not they want to authorize a designee, balancing the need to protect voting rights from unauthorized use by third parties, but also permitting it if ada owners would like. 3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees who are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance?

1) 5 Mins - Solo
2) 10 Mins - Pair
3) 15 Mins - Group

3. *The Constitution should:*



3a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

A. Delegating implies trusting the person or entity to whom we are delegating. In an ecosystem that wants to be trustless, it should not be allowed. Those who abstain simply lose their voting power.

ADA holders should have the right to decide whether to delegate their voting power to third parties. If no explicit decision is made, those ADA should not be considered for voting.

A. There is no ready why an independent organization that holds ADA from other users (CEX, DEX, lending protocols, etc) should benefit from that voting power

It is unlikely that the entitled entities will be able to reflect the sentiment of the original voters Also to avoid issues related to centralization it would be best to entirely negate the possiblity

riferendomi principalmente dal punto di vista della sicurezza e l'ordine dell'ecosistema, con delle deleghe è piu semplice orientare l'opinione collettiva

No, solo i cardano in possesso nel nostro wallet devono essere usati per votare Nessuna entitá puó usare i nostri cardano in lp o stake per votare

Perché penso che soltanto chi ha uno stake in Ada e ha a cuore il il sistema deve avere la possibilità di votare.

Break ☕


10 minutes

07. Periodic Cardano Blockchain Budgets will be developed and approved by the community. It is expected that the community will periodically...

approve budgets through on-chain governance actions. The Constitution specifies that no disbursements from the Cardano Treasury can be approved through on-chain governance actions unless at the time of the disbursement, a community-approved budget is then in place. However, the Constitution leaves to the community decisions as to what should actually be included in a budget, how detailed a budget should be and how budgets should be...

1) 5 Mins - Solo
2) 10 Mins - Pair
3) 15 Mins - Group

07. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include (select all that apply):



(a) A contingency fund to cover unexpected costs not otherwise specified in the Cardano Budget. [Pertains to: Article III Section 8]

(b) An indemnity fund to cover potential claims against governance participants such as DReps and Constitutional Committee members. [Pertains to: Art

(c) Funds to cover administrative costs of the CC. [Pertains to: Article VI, Guardrails appendix: Governance Action Lifetime (GAL), Maximum...

(d) None of these

07a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d) and rationale for Question 07. You may submit multiple responses.

While a contingency fund could be very useful, it's not clear how other can of budgets can be properly quantified

A fund for contingencies must be present, it should be used if who requests for it can prove they tried their best using what they could control, but what you can't control got in the way.

A,B,C. All 3 destinations of the treasury make sense and deserve trust, they will have to be changed if the funds are misused.

Ho votato solo a) perché se stanziamo un budget per dei costi imprevisti, possiamo considerare in quello stesso budget per i reclami e per i CC .

limitare il budget aggiuntivo solamente ad un fondo imprevisti potrebbe essere un buon deterrente per arginare azioni inutili/malevole e incentivare il corretto utilizzo dell'ecosistema

A contingency fund should be included in the budget and approved by the community, with special votes called to determine whether a situation has occurred that justifies its use.

Penso che gli imprevisti debbano essere verificati, ma se ci sono stati e sono stati verificati è giusto che ci sia un fondo che possa aiutare la vittima di esso.

Lunch!

60 minutes



30 MINS - Constitutional Committee (CC)


19. The Constitutional Committee will develop a code of conduct. Further requirements can be specified to hold the Constitutional Committee to...

higher standards in the code of conduct, or be left unspecified to allow the community to learn and adapt.

The Constitution expects the CC to adopt and operate pursuant to a code of conduct but is otherwise silent as to how a code of conduct should be adopted and who it should include. [Pertains to: Article VI Section 5.]

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

19. What requirements, if any, should the Constitution include for the Constitutional Committee's code of conduct? (select all that apply)



19a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d) and rationale for Question 19. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each...

The CC would have the right to establish its own code of conduct, but for the sake of transparency and impartiality, it must be approved by the community.

The community should have a role regarding all the topics in the constitution

On-chain code of conduct could work as a transparent version control. The community should have a say on the code of conduct. CC members should not be anonymous.

Having it on chain ensures security and immutability

La costituzione puó essere messa in chain, ma allo stesso tempo votata dalla community Non ho escluso anche l'opzione c perché potrebbero essere aggiunte altre linee guida nel corso del tempo

a) Cc should be on chain to easy acces to everyone.

The community should know and vote on the guidelines to ensure transparency by the constitutional committee. Guidelines should be onchain to track changes over time and make them easily accessible

in quanto linee guida da seguire ha senso che il codice di condotta sia esposto a tutti onchain e che esso sia deciso dalla comminity come meccanismo di controllo reciproco tra entità

19a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d) and rationale for Question 19. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each...

On-chai per avere una maggiore visibilità e immutabilità.

La versione on chain puó funzionare come registro ma la community deve avere la sua parte nella votazione cosí che possa far parte della scelta

30 MINS - SEPARATION OF POWERS

23. CIP-1694 separates out the voting roles of SPOs from DReps to create a balance of powers.

Technically and socially, there is nothing in place that stops an SPO from voting as a DRep and vice versa, although they can easily lose delegation if ada owners are unhappy with their actions.

Currently, there is no rule against voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO. Discuss your thoughts on whether voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO creates unique concerns that need to be addressed in the Constitution.

1) 5 Mins - Solo
2) 10 Mins - Pair
3) 15 Mins - Group

23. What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?



23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

a) to avoid conflict of interess

If deeps and SPOs are allowed to vote on the same topic, an SPO who is also a dRep than gains double the voting power

A. I believe that double voting should be absolutely prohibited to prevent a single entity from having more power than it deserves.

Gli spo e i Drep devono essere due entità separate, nel caso di un spo diventato Drep deve comunicarlo prima della votazione Bisogna evitare il conflitto di interessi

We should remove the SPO role and allow SPO to automatically register as DRep This could also make sure that if no explicit delegation to a deep is submitted, it defaults to the SPO registered as DRep

I have no idea 😫

a patto che venga previsto un meccanismo di identificazione che accerti la stessa entità sia come SPO che DRep, è corretto che il voto sia unico ma sta all'entità decidere quali poteri utilizzare

Limitare il potere e avere accountability è molto importante

23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

DRep and an SPO should be prevented from voting on the same action in both capacities. Therefore, they should only be allowed to vote either as a DRep or as an SPO to prevent to give them too power

Gli spo e i drep dovrebbero essere due entità differenti, in modo da evitare conflitti di interesse

Draft Constitution Feedback Form

If you would like to provide feedback on other questions, please do so here: https://forms.gle/6HJVPxQe9CPriHRN6



Break!

10 minutes

Delegate Election 

Delegate Voting Tool Review

Before we proceed to vote, let's review the process in this video, 3 minutes:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JTxTj1-QwzM

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide (about anything else in the Constitution)?

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s (did you like) and deltas (improvements) do you have for the workshop?

The hosts are amazing human beings

it's a pity that a great workshop like this one counted only a dozen participants: it was really interesting

all cool

Hosts did a great job and the venue is really nice. Would have been better to have more attendees, but it's understandable that not many can do it.

Amazing hosts. I enjoyed the discussion on the questions, I would improve the questions and possible answers, to avoid them being subject to interpretation.

Workshop organizzato bene, attrezzature cibo e un super coinvolgimento ! Non vedo l'ora di farlo

ottima compagnia e organizzazione, ottimo pranzo 10/10

nice people, great talks! 🗣️🗣️🗣️

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s (did you like) and deltas (improvements) do you have for the workshop?

Really lovely day in all fronts

L'opportunità di contribuire alla creazione della costituzione di cardano e avere avuto l'opportunità di membri della comunità è stata un'esperienza molto bella non che costruttiva

Great work! 🙌

Time for a group photo!

WELCOME!

Cardano Buea Community Constitutional Workshop (Virtual)

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 4 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules



Let's get started 🚀

30 MINS - Principles and Tenets

1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?

1 - strongly disagree
2 - disagree
3 - neither agree nor disagree
4 - agree
5 - strongly agree
Unsure

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

1a. Please provide your answer (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1.


2- Cardano operates within a technological framework. This means most Africans who are not very tech savvy face a lot of difficulties obtaining the cryptocurrency.

2- disagree with the extreme security measures which hinders its acquisition

2: Desagree parceque certaines micro communauté accusent un certain retard par rapport aux informations liées à la blockhain et du coup n'en n'ont pas accès à temps, ce qui compromet l'insclusivité.

La constitution intérimaire de Cardano n'inclut que les détenteurs d'ADA dans la prise de décision, excluant les autres cryptomonnaies créées sur la blockchain. Il faut accorder ce droits à tous...

1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?



30 MINS - Principles and Tenets

2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem?

[Pertains to Art and Guardrails section 2.2 Economic Parameters.]

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

2a.Please provide your answer&rationale.If you answered yes,consider if the new tenet should include an absolute cap on the circulating supply of data

Unsure: But we think for transparency, voting powers Should be given to non ada holders; Ways of obtaining ada Should be made more sustainable by having people obtain ada at different levels.

Oui. Nous proposons que tout detenteur de cryptomonnaie creee sur la blockchain de Cardano ait les memes droits de participer a la prise de decision que les detenteurs du ADA.

Yes. Adding a tenet specifically addressing financial sustainability could be beneficial. It'll help ensure resources are allocated effectively and that the network remains viable over time.

Prevent data inflation.. Encourage data efficiency Aligns with economic principles Implement blockchain based tracking

Yes. Inclusion of the African perspective on money and value is crucial, as existing token production and distribution strategies have failed to address issues of asymmetry and inequality.

2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem?



Lunch 

30 MINS - Voting Rights

3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to...

appoint designees who are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance?

[Notes: This is not a reference to delegation to DReps. Pertains to: Article II Section 2, Article III Section 4 and 8, Article IV Section 2, Article VI Section 1, Article VII Section 1.]

The Constitution should:
(a) Limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada
(b) Allow ada owners to appoint designees who are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance
(c) Unsure

5min -Solo
10m-Pairs
15m -Group

3a. Please provide your group answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

Ada owners should be allowed to appoint designees, so as not to limit participation to a select few. This would ensure that a wide range of perspectives are represented.

B

Nous pensons que le poids de vote obtenu par délégation doit peser moins que celui des propriétaires des ADA car sont les propriétaires directs des ADA qui sont les plus concernées.

b. this will foster diversity of perspectives, increased accessibility, and community engagement. Overall a quadratic voting system is the best because of fairness, efficency allowing smaller groups

B

3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees who...



30 MINS - DReps

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

The draft constitution currently states: “DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps and make such codes of conduct publicly available.”

a) DRep codes of conduct should be on-chain
b) One code of conduct for all DReps
c) Flexibility for each DRep to adopt its own code of conduct
d) The Constitutional Committee should determine whether DRep code of conduct are consistent with the Constitution
e) Other guidelines for DRep codes of conduct
f) None

11a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale. Please submit one response for each item you selected.

C. Allows each Drep to adopt a code of conduct based on the realities of their region

d. Allows DReps to create a code of conduct that can be verified by the constitutional committee to confirm if it aligns with the platform's guidelines.

c. This would allow for different DReps to cater to different needs and constituencies. d. This would ensure that all DRep codes of conduct align with the values and principles of Cardano blockchain.

C, D Car il faudrait que chaque Drep établie ses règles selon ses réalités et le commité constitutionnel s'assure juste du respect des principes constitutionnels applicables à toutes les communautés.

C. This would allow for different DReps to cater to different needs and constituencies while still upholding general principles of ethics and transparency.

D. This would ensure that all DRep codes of conduct align with the values and principles of the Cardano blockchain.

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?



Delegate Election 

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

None exactly

No. Though I would want the opportunity to collaborate more on the Cardano ecosystem.

Most digital coins lack essential traits of true decentralized and fair tokens. While blockchain and cryptocurrencies are innovative, they often reflect outdated Western banking principles.

Non pas du tout. J'ai eu la possibilité de donner mon point de vue sur la quasi totalité des points que nous avons abordé

Oui. Je propose que chaque projet soumis à un vote doit au préalable être évalué/ étudié sur le long terme par le conseil et celui qui propose le projet avant d'être passé au vote...

Current money systems exhibit significant asymmetries and fail to address inflationary and deflationary issues. The challenge is to create money that retains value over time while allowing for growth

Nous suggérons que vous mettez un accent sur la communication et que votre plateforme soit le plus digeste possible afin de permettre à la communauté Africaine d'investir facilement dans Cardano

Je propose également que des règles pour gérer des situations de désaccord soit établis.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

French economist Stephane Laborde's relative theory of money may offer solutions to this problem of temporal and spatial asymmetry in coin distribution and subsequently decision power distribution.

Et en fin le pouvoir de décision doit prendre en compte les minorités

Nous souhaiterons que vous mettez un accent particulier sur l'information car plusieurs africains ne s'y intéressent pas fautes d'informations correctes

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

The content of the workshop was informative and relevant to the needs of the participants.

+s - It was well organized - It was very informative - Time was followed - Transparency too was upheld

Je propose plus de communication pour les futurs évènements à fin de toucher un maximum de personnes , ce qui permettra d'avoir des avis et idées diversifiées. Prévoir également plus de matériel...

I learned quite alot about Cardano and also blockchain ecosystem

The online platform used for the workshop was not user-friendly. Many users faced difficulties accessing some of the tools.

The workshop was too lengthy

+s The brainstorming exercise was great 👍. It was a streamlined process with the coordinator being as engaging as possible.

The workshop covered a wide range of topics related to Cardano governance, providing a comprehensive overview of the issues and challenges facing our community.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

The workshop provides a good opportunity to dwell in deeper into governance issues in tech but the workshop fails on fully integrating different and more locally coherent approaches to manegement.

Deltas: internet connectivity was disruptive. Hoping the next event could be better especially with participation being connected so we don't have delays.

nous suggérons que dorénavant vous fournissez le matériel digetal de qualité (smartphone, laptop....)à l'atelier pour faciliter le travail et afin gagner en temps et en qualité

Tenir compte des divergences d'opinion... Donner l'accès à la plateforme aux couches les plus vulnérables afin qu'il y'ait réelle inclusion.

The poor connectivity made the workshop very slow.

+s -Engaging presentations and discussions. -Open and collaborative atmosphere - interactive and hands-on activities -Clear workshop objectives and outcomes Delta Internet disruption

It is important to factor the local nuances in designing the procedures , logistics and management of events.

Worthy

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

8 responses



Draft Constitution Feedback Form

If you would like to provide feedback on other questions, please do so here: https://forms.gle/6HJVPxQe9CPriHRN6

GROUP PICTURE

Great work! 🙌🏾 

30 MINS - Separation of Poers

23. What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?

Currently, there is no rule against voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO. Discuss your thoughts. [Pertains to: Article III Section 2, Article IV, Article V.]

(a) Prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.
(b) Require disclosure but do not prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.
(c) Include special conflicts of interest provisions
(d) Does not need to be addressed in the Constitution
(e) Unsure

5 min- Solo
10 min - pairs
15min - Groups

23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale. Please submit one response for each item you selected.

10 MINS - Voting Rights

23. What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?

0	0	0	0	0
a) Prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.	b) Require disclosure but do not prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.	c) Include special conflicts of interest provisions	d) Does not need to be addressed in the Constitution	e) Unsure

WELCOME!

Cardano Argentina Community Constitutional Input Session

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules



Let's get started 🚀

30 MINS - VOTING RIGHTS

4. How do we address participation by institutions? Should holders of ada who are not owners, such as exchanges, be allowed to vote?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

15 MINS - VOTING MODEL

4. How do we address participation by institutions? Should holders of ada who are not owners, such as exchanges, be allowed to vote?

No. It hurts decentralization.

NOT SURE. The way to implement it will made the constitution too much utopical. But you can avoid the enterprise voting key

No. The problem is way more deeper, that $ADA should be staked by their owners to help towards network decentralization. Voting is a good way to push harder in this direction

No Las instituciones no deberían votar, ya que podrían tener conflictos de interés y concentrar demasiado poder.

No. Only individuals with DID's to assert equality amongst people.

No, concentration of representation.accelera tes entropy

No they should not vote because it brings a risk to the community due to the asymetri of voting power

Yes if it can weighed down to a cap to avoid unfair disproportion of voting power

15 MINS - VOTING MODEL

4. How do we address participation by institutions? Should holders of ada who are not owners, such as exchanges, be allowed to vote?

No because it's imposible to control exchanges

They should not vote since they only receive ADA for financial purposes, and not governance

No, exchanges should not be able to vote in behalf of other person

No, because votes come from individuals, not from exchange

NOT SO SURE ABOUT THIS ONE, the question is not clear. --> Should be allowed to vote in what ? I believe voting should not be based in TOTAL ada but DIDS. 1 verified wallet = 1 VOTE.

Yes, because the problem is deeper and this is an arbitrary and convenient patch. Who are we to classify a cardano address as a not owner if they hold the priv keys of their funds?. First principles.

No, I think voting should be done by individuals,


No.

15 MINS - VOTING MODEL

4. How do we address participation by institutions? Should holders of ada who are not owners, such as exchanges, be allowed to vote?

As ADA holders they shouldn't but as SPOs, they should have the opportunity to vote. Some tools should be implemented (DIDs)

4. How do we address participation by institutions? Should holders of ada who are not owners, such as exchanges, be allowed to vote?



30 MINS - FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY

6. The amount of ada, currently [1,000,000] in the sentence below, should be:

The draft constitution states: "Any governance action requesting ada from the Cardano Blockchain treasury in excess of [1,000,000] ada shall require an allocation of ada as a part of such funding request to cover the cost of periodic independent audits and the implementation of oversight metrics as to the use of such ada."

15 MINS - FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY

6. The amount of ada, currently [1,000,000] in the constitution, should be:

58 responses



Break ☕

30 MINS - CONSTITUTIONAL COMMITTEE

18. Should the Constitution include an explicit process for the election of members of the CC?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

18. Should the Constitution include an explicit process for the election of members of the CC? If yes, please explain. If no, please explain.

Yes. Otherwise won't be democratic.

Yes

Yes, it should, also the amount of members, the process and the positions of the founding entities.

YES A clearly defined process ensures that the election of the members of the Constitutional Committee is transparent, which increases the community's trust.

Yes. It should be transparent and explicit

Yes, it should be a explicit process for the election in order to bring trust to the cc because if its not set it could change hurting quorum for changes

Yes, Clarity is power.

Yes. Is the more transparent way to ensure that will be set in stone forever

18. Should the Constitution include an explicit process for the election of members of the CC? If yes, please explain. If no, please explain.

Yes, the basic rules of how everybody should play the game

Yes. It leads to a more robust and stable process.

Yes, because in this way new modifications can be created depending on whether you break the trust granted.

Yes, it should be included because the CC is a fundamental part of the governance process, and both are interdependent.

No

Yes, stake holders should be fully informed about how the process is defined

Yes. It's the most transparent way to elect members.

Good

15 MINS - CONSTITUTIONAL COMMITTEE

18. Should the Constitution include an explicit process for the election of members of the CC? If yes, please explain. If no, please explain.

Considere que debe estar escrito todo en formato papel, para dar más claridad y transparencia al proceso. Además no debe haber privilegios para miembros fundadores.

Yes. The Constitution should have the perfect balance between self-sufficiency and flexibility. It should include explicit mechanisms to ensure the Constitution is followed.

Yes. But is of paramount importance the guarrantee of the updatability of the constitution itself as a democratic and equalitarian process.

Yes, shoud be explicit and include posible change of number with a logical top of change number you can not change more just 10% every time...

Yes, because by being explicit, the process is more transparent and difficult to change

Yes. The main reason is too ensure the chance to choose.

Yes. It must be collusion resistant and private so as to avoid voters being bribed.

No

18. Should the Constitution include an explicit process for the election of members of the CC?



30 MINS - CONSTITUTIONAL COMMITTEE

19. Should the Constitution require that the Constitutional Committee code of conduct be on-chain?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

Things to consider:
Do you believe the Cardano community should have any role in approving the Constitutional Committee code of conduct? If so, how would that work?

15 MINS - CONSTITUTIONAL COMMITTEE

19. Should the Constitution require that the Constitutional Committee code of conduct be on-chain? If yes, please explain. If no, please explain.

Yes! It is a must

Yes!! It is a must! A code of conduct is very important

Yes, but the main issue is how to up dated. The main responsability could be an argument to stablish a motion of non confidence

Yes. Why not?

Yes. THANKS.

Yes bro

Yes....

Yes, immutability is one of the reasons we are here.

19. Should the Constitution require that the Constitutional Committee code of conduct be on-chain? If yes, please explain. If no, please explain.

Yes, because ensuring the transparency and accountability of the Constitutional Committee through the immutability and accessibility of the code of conduct on the blockchain strengthens community trus

Yes, having the code on-chain provides robustness and security wich is a must when it come down to a code of conduct.

Yes because it makes possible to hold accountable the cc for their actions, since its a big power it should be clear what are the limits

Yes, and the code of conduct must be improved and combined with the code of ethic from the Cardano Confederation (www.CardanoConfederation.org)

Yes. It brings more transparency to the government

Yes, because it serves as a benchmark to create a trustworthy environment.

Yes. I think the code of conduct should be for the entire community, and that means the Constitutional Committee should follow it too.

yes, it should be, but taking into consideration that it can be applied later as a guarantee of rights to the holders

15 MINS - CONSTITUTIONAL COMMITTEE

19. Should the Constitution require that the Constitutional Committee code of conduct be on-chain? If yes, please explain. If no, please explain.

Yes but we all agree that we want to have the right of trascend a simple hash to a txt doc offchain and have an on-chain juridic platform to execute the code among humans. Learn from Kleros please.

Yes, reliability of the whole systen is based on the blockchain, code of conduct cannot not be there. Kind of Ten Commandments.

Yes. The Constitution should give holders the RIGHT to demand that this code of conduct will be enforced via smart contracts in the mid/long term granting no-confidence motions.

Yes, that will bring more transparency

Yes. Off-chain urls are likely to break upon an undefined period of time, besides, the information within is likely to be hacked. On-chain storing it will make it immutable and perpetual.

Yes, but this can accept new changes

Si, es necesario especificar el codigo de conducta para que sepan la comunidad lo que tiene que exigirle a a los miembres del comite

Yes. Putting it on chain should be the results of the cc sessions to approve it, and it should be supported by a legal platform to validate cases against it through Smart contracts.

15 MINS - CONSTITUTIONAL COMMITTEE

19a. Do you believe the Cardano community should have any role in approving the Constitutional Committee code of conduct? If so, how would that work?

YES ! MUST BE REVIEW AFTER THE CONVENTION

Yes, by voting like we did with the ICC.

Yes, because community involvement ensures transparency and collective ownership, strengthening the overall governance. This can be implemented through periodic community votes on proposed codes.

Yes, it should be done through a voting process

Yes. Debe haber un organismo previo que estudie a los.candidatos que se postulan. Y luego si ahí se detectan irregularidades que no puedan acceder a los cargos de Intersec, u otros de Fubdación C.

Yes, on-chain voting after the Convention, same as we did with the ICC

Yes, the community should create the code or be available to participate in the creation of it, since are the rules that will hold accountable the people who got elected to work on the cc

Y

15 MINS - CONSTITUTIONAL COMMITTEE

19a. Do you believe the Cardano community should have any role in approving the Constitutional Committee code of conduct? If so, how would that work?

Yes. The community should vote directly on approving the code of conduct.

Yes, it can be applied using version control.

Yes, this role can be defined by the same community.

Yes. A referendum?

Absolutely!! The code of conduct should be designed to protect the community, so it should be created by the community.

Good

Absolutely. Anybuser can propose a motion that should be grouped into categories. There could be a period of publication and reformulation. I propose STV scrutinity, (123Meek algorithm)

Yes. The community should propose ideas/articles and via majority elect viable ones. Then the constitutional committee should be approved by majority.

15 MINS - CONSTITUTIONAL COMMITTEE

19a. Do you believe the Cardano community should have any role in approving the Constitutional Committee code of conduct? If so, how would that work?

Yes, involving the Cardano community in approving the Constitutional Committee's code of conduct can be beneficial for transparency, inclusiveness, and legitimacy.

Yes. To ensure the code of conduct represents the community

Yes,

Yes The community should approve it for transparency, accountability, inclusivity. Also...

Yes. Best way of solving unknowns is a starting point and iterations of trial and error based on results

Si

19. Should the Constitution require that the Constitutional Committee code of conduct be on-chain?



30 MINS - CONSTITUTIONAL COMMITTEE

20. Should the Constitution mandate compensation for CC members?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

Things to Consider:
- Should the Constitution specify how compensation is determined?
- Should the Constitution include a cap on compensation?

15 MINS - CONSTITUTIONAL COMMITTEE

20. Should the Constitution mandate compensation for CC members? If yes, no, or unsure please explain and provide additional thoughts?

Yes

Yea...

Yes, we cant expect dedication without compensation

Yes. So if you don't have money you can be on the CC. If not only rich will be able to govern us

Yes, they should be paid. That way, they can focus fully on their role, maximizing the chances of avoiding corruption and/or conflicts of interest.

Yes, if they work, they should be compensated for that

Yes, it should because if we want people to work in full commitment at the cardano ecosystem we need to consider compensation. And not be decided by the cc in a closed room

Yes, but should be combined with vesting with the final approvement from the community

20. Should the Constitution mandate compensation for CC members? If yes, no, or unsure please explain and provide additional thoughts?

Yes. But just a regular compensation for their work

Yes, dedication deserves compensation

No, they should be ad honorem

**Yes, because compensating CC members recognizes their work and attracts qualified individuals, enhancing governance. The constitution should specify how compensation is determined and include a cap.

Yes. It is necessary to compensate the people for their work

Yes, fixed amount in ADA as a salary, state in the constituicion

Yes, but we should look for a mechanism where they do not speculate and earn a lot of money.

Yes, but the amount should be in USD (paid in ADA equivalent) and it should be representative.

15 MINS - CONSTITUTIONAL COMMITTEE

20. Should the Constitution mandate compensation for CC members? If yes, no, or unsure please explain and provide additional thoughts?

Yes, but the community should choose the method, amount, and ceiling of the compensation, and it should be proportional to their efficiency in the role.

Yes, with continual assesment reevaluation. Basic plus paid justified expenses (receipts, KPIs...)


Yes all need some salary ... but this one need to be fix and changes needs to be aproved by the community...

Yes but - In usd paid in ada - And without reelections - For a human or non biological lifetime - probably with an in-ada vesting of final compensation of non consumed credits

Yes, include compensations based on productivity to ensure performance

Yes

20. Should the Constitution mandate compensation for CC members?



Delegate Election 

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

No, very smooth process

-

A more debate structure system

Better timing with the documentation was great, she should support the continent

Just want to say thanks to Cardano for giving us the chance to end legacy systems. Transparency and decentralization for societies around the world ✊

Receive earlier the information to celebrate the course

Hubiera sido.mejor tener con algo.mas de tiempo.las preguntas.y materiales

To discuss more about using USD value when talking about ada thresholds

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

Excelente todo muy bueno me ha gustado mucho de verdad.

As my first aproach to the community I am highly satisfied with the experience and have nothing to add. Thanks a lot.

Todo muy bien salió, el trabajo

Nothing more at this stage

Yes. Questions where superficial and first principles seemed not be discussed. Seemed we are afraid as a group of temporal patches that will last forever.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

Seria bueno contemplar el idioma de los participanes

Hacer mas corta la reunión. Para que nonsean tantas horas. Muy linda la organización del evento. Saludos cordiales

-

Cool process, very smooth and good idea to have these kind of discussions before the convention

I'd give more time to group interactions; the exchange is much richer when everyone's opinions are heard

Probably the question should be read in spanish in order to have a better understanding from the assitances I love

The format of some answers weren't very clear. Specifically the one that makes you write different amounts of ADA

Que se maneje en el lenguaje local para tener mejor expresión

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

The language should be the local

+ predisposition = would be better in native language

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

15 responses



Great work! 🙌

¡Bienvenidos al Taller Constitucional de la Comunidad Cardano Argentina! 👋

Welcome!

Taller Constitucional de la Comunidad Cardano Argentina

Esperamos incluir sus perspectivas únicas en 5 temas focales de la Constitución. Estamos recopilando comentarios de la comunidad a través de talleres en todo el mundo para dar forma al borrador de la constitución antes de la Convención Constitucional en diciembre.

Accede al borrador de la constitución en:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Group Agreements

Aportaciones para la Constitución de Cardano

Contribuye con tu presencia.
Intenta comprender antes de persuadir.
Comparte el tiempo: Participa, pero da espacio a los demás. Sé honesto y profundo sin extenderte demasiado.
Busca el progreso antes que la perfección.
Sigue las Reglas de Chatham House.

1-2-4-ALL

Proceso de Discusión

Exploraremos 5 preguntas. A cada grupo se le asignará una pregunta.

1) Individual: Genera ideas y opiniones en silencio, de manera individual. (5 min)

2) Grupos pequeños: En los grupos asignados, discutan y debatan sus ideas. Anoten los temas claves de su discusión en Menti. Asegúrate de estar en la página que contiene la pregunta asignada. (45 min)

3) Grupo completo: Cada grupo pequeño resumirá sus discusiones para el grupo completo. (30 min)

4) Votación: Cada uno registrará su voto final para cada pregunta. (10 min)

Workshop Topics

Las Preguntas de Hoy

8. ¿Debería la Constitución proporcionar más detalles sobre el proceso presupuestario de Cardano?

014. ¿Debería la Constitución incluir un mecanismo que haga que los holders de ADA vuelvan a seleccionar a sus DReps con el tiempo?

18. ¿Debería la Constitución incluir detalles adicionales relacionados con la elección de los miembros del CC?

19. ¿Qué requisitos, si los hay, debería incluir la Constitución para el código de conducta del Comité Constitucional?

23. ¿Qué debería decir la Constitución, si es que debe decir algo, sobre los Holders de ADA que votan sobre la misma acción de gobernanza tanto como DRep como SPO?

8. ¿Debería la Constitución proporcionar más detalles sobre el proceso presupuestario de Cardano?

Si. Debería haber una regla de déficit fiscal cero (que el presupuesto de gastos anual no supere los ingresos), y atribución de encargado de hacer el presupuesto, como Intersect.

Si

Si, debería, me gustaría incluir deficit 0, el problema son los savings

Si debería .para tener brindar más y mejores oportunidades de crecimiento y expancion

Si . Logico . Es necesario

(Respuesta Individual) Si, la constitución debe proporcionar detalles politicos-juridicos y de lineamento de desarrollo para la subsistencia y evolución de la red.

Si

Si debería para expandir el crecimiento y la expansión continua

8. ¿Debería la Constitución proporcionar más detalles sobre el proceso presupuestario de Cardano?

Si . Definitivamemte

Si

8a.Si es así, Debería la Constitución especificar cómo se administrará el Presupuesto de Cardano, identificar quién haría esto, especificar un límite?

.

.

Si

Si. Regla de déficit fiscal cero (no más gastos que los ingresos anuales), delegación de armado y administración del presupuesto a Intersect (Comité de Presupuesto).

Spo

DReps

014. ¿Debería la Constitución incluir un mecanismo que haga que los holders de ADA vuelvan a seleccionar a sus DReps con el tiempo?

Establecer un plazo de 100 epochs para delegaciones evita acumulación de ADA sin control y promueve análisis constante de la gobernanza, incentivando la participación y renovación de delegaciones.

No, debería ser igual al procedimiento de delegación a SPOs, sin finalización automática.

Si

DReps

014a. Si respondiste Sí, por favor explica qué agregarías a la Constitución y por qué.

No. Mismo procedimiento que con SPOs.

Descentralizacion

18. ¿Debería la Constitución incluir detalles adicionales relacionados con la elección de los miembros del CC?

Si, porque debe definir y detallar el proceso claramente, definiendo estándares y parámetros. Un estándar que debería estar en la Constitución es el criterio de selección de plataforma de la votación.

La duracion de la votacion deberia ser tomado como parametro on chain para poder ser modificado cuando sea necesario.

Si. Cantidad de miembros, duración de mandato.

Descentralizacion difi

19. ¿Qué requisitos, si los hay, debería incluir la Constitución para el código de conducta del Comité Constitucional?

Proponemos un código de conducta provisional, y luego con participación off-chain de la comunidad, uno definitivo será ratificado on-chain mediante una acción de gobierno tras un periodo de revisión

Elementos de compromiso con la función, idoneidad y responsabilidad.

Usuario de ada

23. ¿Qué debería decir la Constitución, si acaso, sobre los Holders de ADA que votan sobre la misma acción de gobernanza tanto como DRep como SPO?

A) Se debería prohibir votar sobre la misma acción de gobernanza como DREP y SPO. Si queremos independencia de poderes, no pueden haber personas que representen dos poderes a la vez.

Debería prohibir esa posibilidad.


Acoplacion

Votación 


8. ¿Debería la Constitución proporcionar más detalles sobre el proceso presupuestario de Cardano?




014. ¿Debería la Constitución incluir un mecanismo que haga que los holders de ADA vuelvan a seleccionar a sus DReps con el tiempo?



18. ¿Debería la Constitución incluir detalles adicionales relacionados con la elección de los miembros del CC?



19. ¿Qué requisitos, si los hay, debería incluir la Constitución para el código de conducta del Comité Constitucional?



(a) El código de conducta del CC debería estar en la blockchain

(b) La Comunidad Cardano debería tener un papel en la aprobación del código de conducta del CC

(c) Otras directrices para el código de conducta del CC

(d) Ninguna de las anteriores

23. ¿Qué debería decir la Constitución, si acaso, sobre los Holders de ADA que votan sobre la misma acción de gobernanza tanto como DRep como SPO?



(a) Prohibir votar sobre la misma acción de gobernanza como DRep y SPO

(b) Requerir divulgación, pero no prohibir votar sobre la misma acción de gobernanza como DRep y SPO

(c) Incluir disposiciones especiales sobre conflictos de interés

(d) No necesita ser abordado en la Constitución

(e) No estoy seguro

Almuerzo 

Debate Abierto 💬

Descanso ☕

Elección de Delegados 



https://constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/

Mentimeter



WELCOME!

Cardano Venezuala Community Constitutional Workshop

Mentimeter

WELCOME!

Cardano Venezuala Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Mentimeter



WELCOME!

Cardano Venezuala Community Constitutional Workshop

Mentimeter

¿Qué esperamos lograr o obtener de la experiencia de hoy?

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules

Mentimeter



WELCOME!

Cardano Venezuala Community Constitutional Workshop

Mentimeter

Let's get started 🚀

Mentimeter


50 MINS - Voting Model

Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?

1) 5 Mins - Alone: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair up and Share your notes with a partner.
3) 10 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input. note
4) 10 Min -All debrief
5) 5 Min -Add to Menti.com

50 MINS - Voting Model

Q1: Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?



Voting model

1a. Please provide your answer (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1.

En general estoy de acuerdo con la propuesta del artículo 1

Estoy de acuerdo, solo que falta especificar un poco más algunas lagunas legales

Estoy muy de acuerdo, Cardano actualmente cumple con lo planteado en esta constitución provisional por lo tanto me estoy de acuerdo

Se Lee una clara transparencia sobre la constitución y fácil entendimiento incluso para personas con oco conocimientos sobre blockchain

Estoy totalmente de acuerdo con la blockchain de cardano: ya que es sostenible, transparente, inclusiva y equitativa

Ambigüedades en el preámbulo, en cuanto al termino de comunidad. La comunidad está formada por las 5 organizaciones, por los integrantes de estas, por los que tienen wallet, los que tienes Adas o que?

Observo ambigüedad en la definición de términos como comunidad, usuario, individuo, los cuales deben ser aclarados

Existe ambigüedad en el preámbulo de los términos y conceptos o definiciones el no manejar o diferenciar cuando se habla de comunidad. Usuario, e individuo que debe ser aclarado

Voting model

1a. Please provide your answer (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1.

Considero está todo reflejado, aunque se pueden incluir aspectos que aclaren términos y definiciones

Ambigüedad en el preámbulo o definición de términos

Contiene los principios más importantes de la Cadena de Bloques Cardano

Ambigüedad en la definición de comunidad, no queda claro si se trata de individuos, organizaciones, billeteras, empresas. Para una discusión más asertiva debe haber unificación y claridad de términos

Estoy totalmente de acuerdo ya que nos permite la sostenibilidad al permitir cadenas asociadas! Y por otra parte la equidad al permitir la participación de usuarios sin distinción alguna!

Estoy de acuerdo con lo establecido en el artículo 1, aunque considero que se podría especificar más ciertos puntos.

Si, porque estos representan plenamente los principios de la comunidad

Por los son de carácter general y responden a variables de seguridad e independencia transaccional

Mentimeter

Break ☕

Mentimeter



WELCOME!

Cardano Venezuala Community Constitutional Workshop

Mentimeter

50 MINS - Voting Model

Discuss whether a detailed process for developing and approving the Cardano Budget should be specified in the Constitution. [Pertains to: Article II

1) 5 Mins - Alone: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair up and Share your notes with a partner.
3) 10 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input. note
4) 10 Min -All debrief
5) 5 Min -Add to Menti.com

50 MINS - Voting Rights

Q8: *Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process?*

16

Yes

0

No

0

Unsure

8a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 8.

Es necesario para la transparencia presupuestaria

Si estoy de acuerdo ya que esto ayudará a la confiabilidad de los usuarios en general!

Si es necesario que exista un proceso estipulado para determinar y aprobar un presupuesto que sea acordé a la operación. Este debe ser aprobado por la comunidad

Es relevante e importante los detalles y especificaciones de los presupuestos.

Estoy de acuerdo Es un deber para que la comunidad vea en que se invierte, mejora y se construye en Cardano, mediante Blockchain

Es necesario para garantizar la operatividad de actividades necesarias dentro del ecosistema

Es importante . Especificar y normatizar los procesos para la gestión del presupuesto.

Para poder ser más transparente , auditable los fondos y fees

8a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 8.

Al establecer el presupuesto para desarrollar la blockchain a nivel constitucional garantiza la permanencia futura de cardano

Garantiza las especificaciones presupuestarias

Es importante para la comunidad conocer el desarrollo y proceso de aprobación de presupuesto anual

Estoy de acuerdo con un presupuesto anual para el mantenimiento y futura creación de nuevas Blockchain

El nivel de detalle presupuestario permite tener claridad en que se realizará el gasto anual de la comunidad, evidencia transparencia y proyección clara y auditable de los montos del tesoro de Cardano

Es necesario para mostrar transparencia y para el conocimiento colectivo de los presupuestos

Importante incluir como se estructura y desarrolla el presupuesto en la constitución y revisarlo una vez por año.

Me parece súper necesario reflejar estos procesos para mantener la transparencia en el manejo de los fondos.

Mentimeter

Break ☕

Mentimeter


50 MINS - Voting Model

DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps and make such codes of conduct publicly available.

1) 5 Mins - Alone: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair up and Share your notes with a partner.
3) 10 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input. note
4) 10 Min -All debrief
5) 5 Min -Add to Menti.com

50 MINS - Voting Rights

Q11: *What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?*



11a: Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each

De acuerdo con las opciones seleccionado, sin embargo en la opción d , se debe cambiar determinar por convalidar.

A mí parecer los códigos de conducta deben ser únicos para todos y los mismos códigos de conducta deben ser redactados y votados por la comunidad

Es necesaria que las acciones de conducta estén onchain para que sean cumplidas por todos los dreps y el comité constitucional es el más indicado para velar que así sea

Establecer el codigo de conducta o ética de la DReps es imprescindibl y está debe ser homologada para todos ellos. Es importante que la comunidad y el comité constitucional estén de acuerdo vigilantes

Debe de existir un código alineado a todos los dreps. Sin embargo se debe de de corregir el término de determinar, por convalidar

Se sugiere cambiar la palabra "determinar" por convalidar, en la opción (d) cómo respuesta a la interrogante

El Comité Constitucional debe garantizar que el código de comportamiento este ajustado a la constitucion

En la opción (d) consideramos que en lugar de decir "determinar" debería decir "convalidar"

11a: Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each

Todo debe estar regido por la constitución para que tenga sentido y saber los términos, condiciones y regulaciones en la q esta regido los DREPS

El código de conducta debe ser unico para poder tener control sobre el comportamiento de cada Dreps...

Todo deberá estar Regino en base la constitución, y por ende su codigo de conducta

Tanto la a, b y c me parecían las más acordes para que se llegue a un código de conducta igual para todos los DReps

R

Es importante que exista un codigo de conducta homologado para que todos cumplan con el mismo

Igualdad en el código de conducta para todos los DReps

El codigo de conducta debe ser único y en la cadena para que sea de cumplimiento obligatorio

11a: Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each

El comité constitucional debe ser vigilante y que haga cumplir las mismas por parte de los DReps así como establecer las sanciones a los que no cumplan

El código de conducta debe estar en la Cadena de Bloques para hacer validación automáticas sobre algunos parámetros.

Estas 3 opciones me parecen las más sensatas. Que los DReps se rijan por un código de conducta para mantener el orden y la buena conducta

a)los códigos deben estar en la cadena para garantizar la transparencia

Los códigos de conducta tienen que ser compatibles con la constitución

Es necesario que el código de conducta esté onchain y que sea estándar para todos los Dreps y el comité constitucional es el indicado para cumplir con ello

El código conducta se debe establecer bajo los parámetros establecidos en la constitución

No aplica

Mentimeter

Break ☕


Mentimeter

50 MINS - Voting Model

Given that SPOs will now have a constitutionally-mandated voting role on certain governance actions, should SPOs be subject to a Constitutionally-mand

1) 5 Mins - Alone: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair up and Share your notes with a partner.
3) 10 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input. note
4) 10 Min -All debrief
5) 5 Min -Add to Menti.com

Q16: *What requirements, if any, should the Constitution specify for SPO codes of conduct (select all that apply)?*



16a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 16. You may submit multiple responses.

Las preguntas no coinciden con la respuesta, Sin embargo todo debe tener un código de ética

Si deben implementar el codigo de conducta que sea aprobada por la comunidad y comité constitucional

Todos dentro del mundo cardano deberían contar con un código de conducta

a) es muy importante ya que todo ente debe tener un código de conducta

Si es necesario hacer establecer en la cadena el codigo de conducta

Ninguna, porque parece ser que la respuestas posibles planteada no coinciden con la pregunta propuestas. Acomodando un poco la sintonía se podría decir que las respuestas podrían ser a,b y c

El codigo de conducta es importante para limitar el alcance y participación de cada uno, dreps y spo

b) muy importante ya que esto mantendrá la transparencia

16a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 16. You may submit multiple responses.

No se denota la relación de la pregunta con las respuestas. Sin embargo, Debe de existir un código de conducta unísono o alineado para todos los elementos de la organizacion

Todo ente dentro de la cadena debe poseer un código de conducta incluyendo a las SPO.

Si debe el comité constitucional debe ser garante de está compatibilidad del codigo de conducta para SPO

Las opciones permitidas no se corresponden a mi parecer con la pregunta

Las SPO al ser un ente tan importante en el proceso, veo necesario que se cumpla un código de conducta asociado a su papel.

Los SPO y los Dreps debe ser diferente para cada uno pero ambos se rigen bajo la constitución

A/b/c... Se espera que cada SPO debe tener un reglamento y código de conducta además debe estar en la cadena

Estoy de acuerdo con la A,B y C, que se creen Onchain y se han votadas

Mentimeter

16a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 16. You may submit multiple responses.

Si deben tener un codigo de conducta que sea aprobado por la comunidad y el comité constitucional

Si deben tener un codigo de conducta que sea aprobado por la comunidad y el comité constituciona

Si deben tener un codigo de conducta que sea aprobado por la comunidad y el comité constituciona

Ninguna. No existe correspondencia entre la pregunta y las respuestas planteadas

Mentimeter

Break ☕

Mentimeter


50 MINS - Voting Model

We now have experience electing members to an interim CC but, going forward, the Constitution is silent as to the process for electing future members

1) 5 Mins - Alone: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair up and Share your notes with a partner.
3) 10 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input. note
4) 10 Min -All debrief
5) 5 Min -Add to Menti.com

Q18: *Should the Constitution include additional details related to the election of CC members?*

15



Yes

0

No

0

Unsure

Mentimeter

18a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 18.

Conocer los detalles de la elección de todos los niveles de gobernanza es de suma importancia

Se debe realizar más esfuerzos en democratizar el proceso y establecer condiciones más participativas dentro de la elección de los miembros del CC

Se deben detallar cada uno de los requisitos

Se debe especificar a través de un reglamento.

Si, en un reglamento, que se publique a la brevedad posible

Se debe establecer a tra vez de un reglamento que debe ser publicado a la mayor brevedad posible

Si debería de haber más información debido a que es muy ambigua la que está propuesta en la constitución. Debe tener o explicar un tiempo de permanencia

Necesario incluir el tiempo de permanencia del CC condiciones para será electo y perfil de los aspirantes al comité.

18a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 18.

Se necesita especificar algunas funciones y características del comité, como es el tiempo de permanencia, perfil de los aspirante y condiciones de ser elector.

Debe haber una normativa que defina los criterios para la confirmación del CC (reglamento) A la mayor brevedad

Si por qué el tiempo de permanencia y el perfil de los miembros del CC debe estar plasmado en la Constitución

Si a través de un reglamento que otorgue lineamientos específicos

Debe haber un reglamento para este proceso, aspirando que el proceso de elección sea de carácter democrático.

Se necesita más esfuerzo en el proceso democrático y sus condiciones

Si porque el CC debe estar definido en tiempo y proceso

Mentimeter

Break ☕

50 MINS - Constitutional Committee (CC)

The Constitution expects the CC to adopt and operate pursuant to a code of conduct but is otherwise silent as to how a code of conduct should be adopt

1) 5 Mins - Alone: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair up and Share your notes with a partner.
3) 10 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input. note
4) 10 Min -All debrief
5) 5 Min -Add to Menti.com

Q19: *What requirements, if any, should the Constitution include for the Constitutional Committee's code of conduct? (select all that apply)*



Mentimeter

19a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d) and rationale for Question 19. You may submit multiple responses.

Si debe contar con un codigo de conducta que sea un marco de actuación responsable ante la comunidad

La participación es fundamental, por lo tanto el detalle es fundamental

La participación de la comunidad es fundamental para el desarrollo del ecosistema

Porque la participación de la comunidad es esencial

Si la comunidad debe ser la que apruebe en última instancia el codigo de conducta

C- de deben establecer criterios generales. A y B todos deben poder manifestar su opinión y los resultados deben ser publicos

La Comunidad debe ser quien redacte los códigos de conducta y demás reglas

Todo organismo y organización debe contar con su código de control cuando se trate de dirigir

19a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d) and rationale for Question 19. You may submit multiple responses.

La participación de la comunidad es esencial para el logro de los objetivos

La participación de la comunidad es esencial en las decisiones del ecosistema Cardano

Toda entidad dentro de la cadena debe tener un código de conducta donde la comunidad participe en su aprobación

La comunidad tiene derecho a expresarse y decidir sobre el código de conducta

Todo ente debe contar con un codigo de conducta el cual garantice el orden y sustentabilidad

Integrar a la comunidad es fundamental para establecer este código de conducta. Están en su derecho de expresar su opinión y voto al respecto.

Para generar mayor participación de la comunidad y manejo de las bases constitucionales del ecosistema y el CC es un elemento importante en cuanto a su operatividad en cuanto a toma de decisiones

Toda instancia de decisión debe contar con un codigo de ética

19a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d) and rationale for Question 19. You may submit multiple responses.

Todo en te debe contar con un codigo de etica

No

Mentimeter

Break ☕

Delegate Election 

Mentimeter

Introduction to Voting

"We are about to begin the process of voting for Constitutional Convention Candidates. It will be your job as Workshop Participants to choose a Delegate and an Alternate to travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina, this December, to represent our group and this region at the Cardano Constitutional Convention.

Participants who attended a majority of today's workshop are eligible to vote. When you receive your ballots please write down your name and email address so that we can validate votes in case of an audit. You will then have a chance to write up to 5 names of individuals that you feel would represent us well at the Constitutional Convention. Each candidate you list will benefit from your vote. The top name on your list will receive 5 points, the second name will receive 4 points, the

Mentimeter

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

By running as a candidate to become a Constitutional delegate or alternate, you are agreeing that if elected, you will fulfill these duties. Duties marked with an asterisk are NOT applicable to the Alternate.

Agree to the Code of Conduct
You will represent your constituents and the Cardano Community's best interests, rather than promoting any personal agenda.
Your constituents are the Cardano Community members who attended the workshop that elected you, the Cardano community at-large in your region, and any Cardano Community members who reach out to you to represent their needs in some way.
You will not do anything to harm other attendees at the Cardano Constitutional Convention
You agree to follow the Intersect code of conduct

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

Before the Constitutional Convention

*Contact the Alternate and agree how to support each other.
Ex) work together to review constituent feedback.
Attend an online delegate synthesis workshop where you meet other delegates and share your region's constitution feedback.

*Provide your name and contact information publicly for constituents to contact you

*Review constituent feedback and create a summary
Complete Constitutional Delegate training ahead of travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina

Obtain visas and flights necessary to arrive in Argentina for the first week of December 2024. Flight, accommodation, transfers and food costs during the event will be covered for Constitutional Delegates and Alternates selected at Constitutional Workshops.

Mentimeter




Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

At the Constitutional Convention

Attend all scheduled sessions (3 days)
*Vote on whether to approve the Cardano Constitution

After the Constitutional Convention

Optionally: Become a DRep to vote on-chain to ratify the approved constitution
Optionally: Encourage the DReps and Cardano Community to vote on the approved constitution


constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/auth/signin
Mentimeter
INTERSECT
Cardano Workshop Login
Enter your email to log in or create an account.
Email
name@example.com
We'll send a magic link to this email.
Send Magic Link

REFLECTION & CLOSE

¿Hubo alguna idea que no tuviste oportunidad de compartir?

No

No

No

No

No todo muy bien

No, todo queda expresado

No..

Todo excelente, gracias por la colaboración y participación

REFLECTION & CLOSE

¿Hubo alguna idea que no tuviste oportunidad de compartir?

No

Todo claro

Todo muy bien ☺️

Todo perfecto

Compartí todas, muchas Gracias

Mentimeter

Great work! 🙌

WELCOME!

Cardano Chicago Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules



Let's get started 🚀

30 MINS - Voting Rights

[3] Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees...

...who are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance?

a) Limit on-chain governance to only owners of ada

b) Allow ada owners to appoint designees who are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance


c) Unsure

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.

2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.

3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

[3] The Constitution should...



[3a] Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

Regarding option (b) provisions should be devised for controls against the concentration of voting power in custodial entities such as exchanges.

A - can expand upon the government once operating in stable condition to include custodianship

Ada holders need to be able to choose domain experts who will represent them on important issues.

A.... Coinbase and Minswap

Starting in the safe zone of limitation and making provisions later seems the best course of action. We can't put toothpaste back in the tube once it is squeezed out.

I answered B because I think ada holdere should have more rights not less. and im worried about how this provision would actually be enforced if A is chosen.

The option as stated is interpreted to include on chain drep registration and delegation actions, and that delegation of voting rights to a drep is considered participation in on chain governance

PoS requires ownership. Enhances the Ada utility Infant government. Perverse actors and incentives could misuse without having stake.

[3a] Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

No I wouldn't want a person who has a large amount of ada to have more authority just because they hold more of it.

All ADA owners have the right to vote, when voting on their own behalf; except for custodians and fiduciaries (defined) unless _____ (more nuanced)

(a Voting rights must be restricted to reflect the solutions of the blockchain Trilema. option b) does not lend itself to any of the Trilema's caveats.

b) ensuring engagement can be difficult if only owners can vote. Some owners may want the privacy preserving aspects of delegating votes

A... CC doesn't interpret the proposal to see if it's a "good or bad" proposal, they just interpret the Constitution.

yes we should prevent cex to vote, they may not have the same priorities as the cardano community

A - this option incentivizes ownership of ADA which increases the value of the token

we can consider some solution like limit the maximum voting power of a wallet to have more decentralised voting power.

[3a] Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

FOR a) The United States was THE successful nation state to emerge from the Atlantic Revolutions. It had the MOST restrictive governance. Nations without adequate governance become FAILED STATES.

Cardano scripts can hold Ada and can create power ways for communities to collaborate as a DRep together.

All ADA owners have the right to vote in on-chain governance, when voting on their own behalf — except for custodians and fiduciaries (defined and explicitly precluded), unless _____ (more nuanced)



Break ☕


30 MINS - Financial Sustainability of Cardano

[8] The Cardano community is expected to propose, not less than on an annual basis, a budget for the ongoing maintenance and future development...

...of the Cardano Blockchain. Discuss whether a detailed process for developing and approving the Cardano Budget should be specified in the Constitution.

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

[8] Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process?



[8a] Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 8.

No - Constitution is limiting document; ambiguity (pointing to bylaws/tenets/principles) allows for flexibility for the life of the constitution

Yes ....only on cap for annual budget

Yes, I think the constitution should be specific about how a budget is approved by the ada holder community. possibly introducing a new governence action or use the existing Info action

No, too detailed constitution doesn't have flexibility and limits possibilities, but we can have a few details in guidelines and principles

No - don't allow the process to be defined or it could be captured. However, it might be okay to say the budget must include Ada spent to advance development of the core software if phrased carefully.

No, the constitution should provide principles to constrain and guide further legislation that can be more easily changed to define specific budgetary policies. Avoid frequent constitution updates

No, the constitution should remain flexible to allow the entity tasked with budget to define their process and procedures. The constitution should define approval rules which would handle disputes

No. I believe that only when in effect and through lived experience can be identified the the limits or allowances of a budget in the Constitution; It can then be revised if desired.

[8a] Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 8.

I had voted "yes", but on further examination, I think I will say "No". The existence of the budget is important, but we need flexibility in the specific needs related to the budget.

No...we don't want to change the constitution every time we need a budget change

In the guidelines and principles we can have few details like on-chain budget process to provide transparency and accountability. we need to balance flexibility and the paramount of the community

My original "yes" vote was in the spirit of wanting to see more definition, but the need for flexibility over time and creating separate documents to guide those actions is better.

Yes; processes must prioritize transparency and stakeholder collaboration.

No in the case of having more authority as an ada holder versus needing a DRep



Break ☕


[11] The draft constitution currently states: "DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps.

...and make such codes of conduct publicly available."

What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct? (select all that apply)
a) codes of conduct on-chain
b) one code for all
c) flexibility to adopt own
d) Const. Committee determines if codes are consistent
e) other guidelines
f) none of these

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

[11] What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?



Provide your rationale for:

[11a] If you answered DREP CODES OF CONDUCT SHOULD BE ON-CHAIN, add your rationale

On-chain allows for traceability and transparency to allow delegators the ability to review DRep codes of conduct when consider who to delegate to

On-chain or linked to ipfs. Helpful to see how a DRep's code of conduct changes over time

Code of conducts on chain (or referenced in chain) provide an immutable record and the ability to quickly track variances in changes to codes of conduct

C - The text as written should not be changed. We can encourage DRep Code of Conduct development in an "Open Source" manner (like Neil suggested), where voluntary adoption drives innovation.

Yes to ensure authenticity

yes we can have a link(on chain) to the code of conduct repo, and when the drep change the content, we can know it based on git history, and delegators could subscribe to notifications of that repo

they should be on chain if there could exist some sort of mechanism to let delegators know their DReps voting habits, such that delegators may choose to pull support form a DRep

Provide your rationale for:

[11a] If you answered ONE CODE OF CONDUCT FOR ALL DREPS, add your rationale

N/A

Simple and Concise. "In the best interest of Cardano"

No - this is not permissionless

N/A

Baseline, basic, default code of conduct. DReps can add more restrictive commitments, but not less than the default

there should be a BASE code of conduct which can be extended between DReps and their constituents.

Provide your rationale for:

[11a] If you answered FLEXIBILITY FOR EACH DREP TO ADOPT ITS OWN CODE OF CONDUCT, add your rationale

N/A

The Constitution should not be specifying code of conduct. These should be determined in an open source standards and adopted voluntarily and democratically enforced by delegators.

DReps should retain the autonomy to adopt codes of conduct that constitutionally align

YES - DReps should be able to express their own codes of conduct in whatever way they see fit. The Delegators will decide who is doing it well.

ADA owners can change delegation at any time; having one code of conduct would require enforcement, whereas flexibility allows for delegators to find aligned DRep based on their CoC & follow through

In spirit of open source, DReps should be able to adopt their own code of conduct. It is the right of ADA OWNERS to delegate to whomever they believe can represent them.

Allow more restrictive commitments than standard ones

yes, flexibility allows some dreps add more commitments to compete with other dreps, and allow them to use their creativity. Like the opensource license, we could have some standards ultimately.

Provide your rationale for:

[11a] If you answered THE CONST. COMMITTEE SHOULD DETERMINE WHETHER DREP CODE(S) OF CONDUCT ARE CONSISTENT WITH THE CONSTITUTION, add your rationale

NO - Sic Semper Tyrannus

yes, cc could have a portal to collect complain from delegators to know whether there is something bad need to check and report to the community(like the wallet notification to the delegators)

This allows for checks & balances system (based on automated flagging system, ie when DRep fails to vote for 20 consecutive epochs and DRep status becomes inactive)

Yes but upon an agreed upon abundance of flagging for codes of conduct from the community to ensure the CC can operate scalable and free of risk that conduct policies are frivolously flagge/ reported

They should be allowed to determine if the codes are being followed to make sure DReps are in compliance

Don't codify what you can't enforce. Rely on social competition to reveal the best dreps and behaviors that attract delegation from community. Un/re delegation must be seamless

Provide your rationale for:

[11a] If you answered OTHER GUIDELINES FOR DREP CODES OF CONDUCT, add your rationale

N/A

A base level of conduct for DRep position — around bribery and transparency

yes, we need to have a standard, baseline or starter template cause it's new to every drep, and it's good to have something common in the beginning

Provide your rationale for:

[11a] If you answered NONE OF THESE, add your rationale

N/A

YES - The text is appropriate as written.

"Made public" language currently in the constitutuon is sufficient. However, if a dRep doesn't do this, it is currently still not enforcable.

There is no good way to enforce this on chain other than ada owners delegating away from that drep. so i think the constitution does not have to mandate drep codes of conduct.

Not enforceable, Dreps can be anonymous

We should find a separate non const way to inform voters



Break ☕

[17] The Constitutional Guardrails specify a minimum and maximum size for the CC and minimum and maximum term lengths...

...but does not otherwise address details on the composition of the CC. [Pertains to: Article VI and Guardrails Maximum Constitutional Committee Term (CMTI) and The minimum size of the Constitutional Committee (CMS).]


30 MINS - Constitutional Committee

[17] Should the Constitution include additional provisions for the number of CC members and/or term limits?

(a) How the number of CC members are determined
(b) A fixed number of CC members (consistent with Guardrails)
(c) How term limits for CC members are determined
(d) A fixed term limit for CC members (consistent with Guardrails)
(e) Other
(f) None of these

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

[17] Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include:



Provide your rationale for:

[17a] If you answered HOW THE NUMBERS ARE DETERMINED, add your rationale

N/A

Don't prescribe specific numbers, but rather set constraints that bound possibilities while remaining flexible

The flexibility of the statements in brackets currently in the constitution suffice, and the numbers are stated in the guardrails

The constitution should clarify the process of determine the number of CC members — through votes from the community to maintain malleability

To remove ambiguity from the structure and requirements of the CC role the number of delegates should be flexible to community conditions and be defined in the constitution to ensure change govenance

we need a clear guideline for the variable adjustment process in the constitution

changes to number of seats could have a set delay to avoid court packing concerns

Provide your rationale for:

[17b] If you answered A FIXED NUMBER OF MEMBERS, add your rationale

The number of members should be fixed, as the members themselves can all be councils and consortiums of variable person groups.

To stay consistent within the guardrails to keep the people who have been reliable as a member

Provide your rationale for:

[17c] If you answered HOW TERM LIMITS ARE DETERMINED, add your rationale

Language in brackets in current draft are sufficient, more specific numbers provided in guardrails

N/A

we need a clear guideline for the variable adjustment process in the constitution,and each committee member could have their own term length determined when they elected.

This section should be clarified to ensure there is transparency with in the community about when change in veto control will occur and to ensure concentration of power can be addressed if necessary

The constitution should define the process of term limit determination by community vote each term as preliminary to CC member election to maintain malleability

add language in constitution to match the guardrails

Explicitly add the language "serve such terms... not to exceed 220 epochs as consistent with the Cardano Blockchain Guardrails" and change the Guardrails to match.

Provide your rationale for:

[17d] If you answered A FIXED TERM LIMIT, add your rationale

N/A

We don't want "carreer CC members".....

The maximum and minimum term limits should be fixed allowing for flexibility.

Provide your rationale for:

[17e] If you answered OTHER, add your rationale

N/A

Placeholder to capture that provisions for replacement in the case of an even number of CC delegates would not result in any gridlock of votes being adopted. Ensures the speed of the ecosystem

we can have a periodic review of the cc structure and performance to determine whether we need to adjustment,also we can limit the max reelected terms for one individual like the u.s. president

Change:
committeeMaxTermLimit
must not exceed [220]
epochs (approximately [3]
years) CMTL-05 (x - "should")
committeeMaxTermLimit
should not exceed [146]
epochs (approximately [2]
years)

I prefer the idea that term limits and member counts are a heuristic and not necessarily enshrined in the constitution.

N/A

Provide your rationale for:

[17f] If you answered NONE OF THESE, add your rationale

N/A

the current guardrail parameters in the constitution seem good enough for MVG



Break ☕


30 MINS - Separation of Powers

[23] Currently, there is no rule against voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.

... Discuss your thoughts on whether voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO creates unique concerns that need to be addressed in the Constitution.

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

[23] What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?



Provide your rationale for:

[23a] If you answered PROHIBIT VOTING, add your rationale

N/A

If you say there's separation of powers, you should probably separate the powers

Minimum viable Governance.....aim for separation of powers.

The interim and draft Constitutions already prohibit this. The language needs clarification; whatever the outcome

Provide your rationale for:

[23b] If you answered REQUIRE DISCLOSURE, add your rationale

since conflicts of interest cannot be prevented or detected, disclosure should be required and a mechanism for maintained reputation (and privacy) should be implemented

Disclosure should be encouraged as part of code of conduct but not required by Constitution

N/A

yes, we already had a limited scope for spo voting, and with the disclosure, we can do statistics and make further decisions on whether change the scope in the future

There are no technical enforcement of parties participating in multiple government roles. Including disclosure will enable social enforcement until technical challenges are solved.

Provide your rationale for:

[23c] If you answered INCLUDE CONFLICTS OF INTEREST PROVISIONS, add your rationale

To prevent someone who is a DRep as well as an SPO from being able to vote twice.

Provide your rationale for:

[23d] If you answered DOES NOT NEED TO BE ADDRESSED, add your rationale

N/A

Disclosure & Conflict of Interest Provisions should be spelled out in other documents to allow malleability in the constitution

We must not compromise permissionlessness of the system. Any rule or mechanism meant to prevent this would likely be worse than the a person or group holding two roles.

The Constitution should remain as is and leave the door open for future solutions such as DIDs. We are no longer a tricameral government if we prohibit one of the branches from voting

no way to strictly enforce this so I think it needs to be worked out socially via delegators choosing their SPOs and dreps wisely

Provide your rationale for:

[23e] If you answered UNSURE, add your rationale

Delegate Election 

GROUP PICTURE!! 

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

Buy ADA

N/A

Discussed Alternate nominations to CC member positions in the event of successions or early term ending

The opportunity to discuss the importance of external review structures did note arise but believe should be considered prior to the convention to provide enhanced accountability

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

Great experience 👍 👍 🤝

Format and facilitation were good. Liked the format of solo -pair - group - big group. Use of mentimeter is nice

The method we used for individual, pair, group, then full room discussion worked really well for me. I remained engaged throughout and this was an excellent experience

lot of downtime for the topics with multi choice free response answers

More background + context for the specific constitutional provisions before discussion.

The format was helpful, allowing for exploration of adjacent topics while still remaining focused

Excellent facility.....but parking is a challenge

I also appreciated the break times so I could get to know thebother participants on a personal level

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

Facilitation was outstanding. More multimedia for prep such as overview videos would have been better than just reading assignments. We spent a bit of time getting everyone in the same page

N/A

Optimism

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

26 responses



Great work! 🙌

WELCOME!

Cardano Sri Lanka (In Person) Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....

Wanting a better understanding on the constitution

Understanding Blockchain and the ecosystem around it and the constitution of Blockchain

As a sri lankan I am proud to be able to voice my opinion on how a global system that will last thousands of years will be governed.

lot of cardano enthusiasts here, seem to be excited to be able to contribute

Need to more more detail about the system

To discuss about how we can improve the Cardano community being decentralized as a body, which is the very idea of block chains, and also improve our techn

help creat something new

Be at the forefront of change and ensure fairness and applocabp of the constitution

Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....

yes , most of are having some concerns regarding how te power dynamic of decision making will work

Yes to all three funds but allocating funds should be done by the budget committee.

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules



Let's get started 🚀

30 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

7. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include specific funds?


Periodic Cardano Blockchain Budgets will be developed and approved by the community. It is expected that the community will periodically approve budgets through on-chain governance actions. The Constitution specifies that no disbursements from the Cardano Treasury can be approved through on-chain governance actions unless at the time of the disbursement, a community-approved budget is then in place. However, the Constitution leaves to the community decisions as to what should actually be included in a budget, how detailed a budget should be and how budgets should be developed

30 MINS - Financial Sustainability

7. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include specific funds?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

7. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include (select all that apply):



(a) A contingency fund to cover unexpected costs not otherwise specified in the Cardano Budget.

(b) An indemnity fund to cover potential claims against governance participants such as DReps and Constitutional Committee members.

(c) Funds to cover administrative costs of the CC

(d) None of these

7. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include? Provide rationale.

Yes, better to have Indemnity budget, Admin budget & Contingency budgetary provision through the constitution itself because, it's better to have a structural mechanism to cover the basics, but it's a

also important to have a dynamic review process to ensure the mechanism evolves naturally, adapting to and enhancing community activities. Initially, with a lack of historical data, forecasting budget

Yes, all three need to be there but the amount needs to be allocated on project basis. Placing the fund amount within the project allocation rather than in the constitution allows for more flexibilit

figures will be challenging. However, reviewing the budget monthly, quarterly, and annually will provide better flexibility in the early stages and clearer data on expenditures

Yes. After a short discussion, all of us agreed upon including all three options—contingency, indemnity, and admissible costs —in the budget.

in the early stages and clearer data on expenditures, especially if the initial budget provision is insufficient.

All 3 coz A)Contingency Fund: Ensures financial flexibility for unforeseen costs. (b) Indemnity Fund: Protects governance members from legal claims. (c) Admin Costs: Ensures CC operates efficiently

Yes, I believe a contingency fund is a must as it will help with additional costs that are not forecasted during the governance process. This will provide financial flexibility and smooth management.

15 MINS - Financial Sustainability

7. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include? Provide rationale.

Contingency yes. Its hard to predict complete costs when you do a project. Indemnity fund yes. Blockchains attract legal trouble. Admin costs yes. Cant expect the cc members to pay them.

All three funds should be mandatory while administrative funds should have a threshold limit so that there won't be a mishandling of funds

Contingency fund, Indemnity fund and administrative cost should be included in the constitution. The budget committee should decide per project basis. Since the values cant be determined initially.

Yes but only the admin fund. The contingency fund and indemnity fund (legal fund) should not be included in and should instead include a community vote to decide upon these, including R&D

We feel that the constitution mandates specific funds all three to be organised and not disrupt the main funding or intertwine with other subsequent fundings

Yes all of the funds mentioned looks good, but on basis of project because if annual basis might not be sufficient or enough for the respected project.

A contingency fund in the Cardano budget is essential for addressing unforeseen expenses and ensuring governance stability. It should be managed by the Constitutional Committee or a designated body

Break ☕

8. Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process?

The Cardano community is expected to propose, not less than on an annual basis, a budget for the ongoing maintenance and future development of the Cardano Blockchain. Discuss whether a detailed process for developing and approving the Cardano Budget should be specified in the Constitution.

[Pertains to: Article III Section 8]

30 MINS - Financial Sustainability

8. Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

8. *Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process?*



8. *Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process? Yes/No/Unsure. Provide rationale.*

No! The constitution should not provide budgetary framework in greater detail. However, there should be a clear framework for the budget development.

This should include but not limited to the person/entity managing the overall budget/treasury function, setting limitation on expenditure and ensuring transparency of budget allocation.

No as greater detail is not needed, there should be some details as glide lines. ensuring transparency in the allocation of funds. As, too much detail might reduce the flexibility needed to adapt

We feel that the constitution should provide greater detail about the budgetary process although not every minute detail should be presented in the constitution which would allow the members to derail

1. There should be a guideline for the budgetary process in the constitution.

However, since the cardano blockchain is fairly new, there would be limits on understanding exact expenses and budgetary requirements such as R & D, Development and community development activities.

2. But it would be better keep more flexibility in the beginning until meets a fine-tuned workflow.

More generally, it depends on how the members of Cardano's community rank this issue, and how they see the governance of Cardano in the long run, whether to enshrine the detailed form of the budgetary

8. *Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process? Yes/No/Unsure. Provide rationale.*

Any budgeting approval needs a requirement approval from the committee

However, once the once the exercise Is repeated couple of times & process is more refined and fool proof, the High-Level budgetary framework should be included in the constitution.

While there should be a framework to provide guidelines on how to set the budget, it should not be provided in greater detail. Time is needed to decide the optimal SOP after application in real life

Yes: Detailing the budget process ensures transparency, prevents misuse, and aligns spending with Cardano's long-term goals. In order to stop someone gaming the system.

Yes.The Cardano Constitution should outline a clear budget process, including responsibility, spending caps, and transparency, to ensure effective fund management.

process in the constitution itself.

As we are speaking about the "Process" of the Budget, not the actual budget or the amounts of how its spent.

If we don't have the detailed process atleast in terms of the "Guardrails", the community can in certain scenarios create a risk of missing the budget.

8. *Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process? Yes/No/Unsure. Provide rationale.*

Yes. But we might need to add some room, flexibility for the uncounted scenarios that might come up.

Including more detailed guidelines could help ensure transparency, consistency, and accountability in how the budget is managed. It could define specific roles, processes, and limits, making it easier

No. Cant have a detailed budget in an entirely new system we haven't figured out about. Need a more general process first. That gets revised overtime. And after a while we codify it in the constitutin

Misusing*

17. Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include.


The Constitutional Guardrails specify a minimum and maximum size for the CC and minimum and maximum term lengths but does not otherwise address details on the composition of the CC. Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include: a) How the number of CC members are determined (b) A fixed number of CC members (consistent with the Guardrails) (c) How term limits for CC members are determined (d) A fixed term limit for the CC members (consistent with the Guardrails)Consider: What size or term length do you have in mind? Should these values be fixed, relational, or determined by on-chain voting?]

30 MINS - Constitutional Committee

17. Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include.

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

17. *Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include:*



17. Provide rationale for the additional provisions that the Constitution should include.

Only a) and d) should be included. a) as an odd number because it should be backed up and weighted by the size of the committee and stake pool. d) to encourage fresh perspectives with no domination (2

A) Yes. It should be pool of options according to the community

(a) Yes, we should, incase of everchanging numbers of members we should be clear about the reason why

B) No. The number of cc members : Shouldn't be fixed

C : Term limits set a clear process for rotating CC members, ensuring fresh perspectives, preventing power concentration, and keeping governance dynamic.

C)Yes. It should be define on the constitution and need to be decide according to the community.

10 members with less than 2 years term with different stakeholders would allow for both effective decision-making and broad representation, helping to ensure that the constitution is well-balanced and

D) Yes. Regular turn overs help to take fresh ideas and prevent from getting more power to an individual party

17. Provide rationale for the additional provisions that the Constitution should include.

(b) No, there is already a minimum and maximum size

(a) Flexibility in CC size allows adaptation to ecosystem needs. (b)No, (c) Clear term limits prevent power concentration. No Dictatorships!,

(c) Through Committee voting

and aligned with the community's values.

no domination (2 year limit but you can re-run). Values should be determined on-chain

D) No/Unsure because it will limit good people from re-running.

Yes, we propose having additional provisions in the constitution. We additionally propose the pre-requests for selecting a commit member.

(d) There should be a fixed term limits for CC members which is coinciding with the Guardrails. Two years should be ok

17. Provide rationale for the additional provisions that the Constitution should include.

Term should be not more than 2 years!

To ensure the committee members serves a greater purpose.

Also the proceedings of termination and resignation of committee members. Also, we propose 2-year term-for-term with community on-chain voting.

Committe size should be determined accordingly to the community size. We also prefer that to be determined by the on-chain voting.

The fixed term to ensure there are fresh ideas are and members are rotated every 2 years.

B) No, caz dynamical decisions should not be mentioned in the constitution

Fixed term of 2 Years to ensure we do not provide too much power to CC members

A. Including a way to determining the number of CC members within the Constitution provides flexibility through the voting system

17. Provide rationale for the additional provisions that the Constitution should include.

D.A fixed term limit provides a clear understanding that no individual or group can dominate the CC for an extended period.

C, establishing how term limits are determined ensures that there is a clear process for rotating members in and out of the CC,

A) Yes, one of the reason (suggestion) is that we can determine a member based on the region(eg: country). The rules and regulations can differ between regions. There should be representatives

LUNCH

30 MINS - Constitutional Committee

18. Should the Constitution include additional details related to the election of CC members?

We now have experience electing members to an interim CC but, going forward, the Constitution is silent as to the process for electing future members of the CC. The community may wish to experiment with different approaches to electing CC members. Alternatively, the community may wish to specify a specific set of criteria for those elections. [Pertains to: Article VI Section 3.]

30 MINS - Constitutional Committee

18. Should the Constitution include additional details related to the election of CC members?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

18. *Should the Constitution include additional details related to the election of CC members?*



18. Should the Constitution include additional details related to the election of CC members? Provide rationale.

No. Because cardano has to be a decentralized and inclusive organization. The constitution should not dictate the kind of person who can run. It should be up to the individual voter.

We're on the fence additional details should be included in the Constitution This would allow members who are power hungry to find loopholes to slide their ways to win the elections.

Yes, Including detailed election-related provisions in the Cardano Constitution could enhance the legitimacy, transparency, and fairness of the process by which CC members are chosen.

Yes we agreed on include additional details related to the election of CC members. The CC members need to comply with minimum qualification matrix The CC members need to form a senate Majority of 90%

Yes: Clear election guidelines ensure transparency, integrity, and qualified CC members, vital for maintaining trust in governance and prevent bad actors

Yes : To ensure fair enforcement of the Constitution, clear guidelines on CC member elections are vital, covering eligibility, voting, and transparency.

Majority of 90% or more from electing members 10% from proposed or selected from the industry experts not from community

We do feel the overview of the process and the eligible factors for a member to run in the election should be included so that it would be easier to filter

18. Should the Constitution include additional details related to the election of CC members? Provide rationale.

Yes, in fact. The constitution should to have more details on elections. Shouldn't behave as though it's interacting with gullible individuals. Elections need eligibility as well as transparency.

I am unsure We need to learn as we go to see which way will work for us as a community

yes. include some additional details in the Constitution about the election of CC members, with the possibility of refining these over time guidelines as the community's needs evolve.

Yes, because if there is no guideline set on who can be a part of the committee, it might lead to unqualified individuals having the power to make decisions

In keeping with the themes of decentralisation and democracy, everyone should be given equal opportunity to run. However, we may need to have guardrails in place to ensure voting works fairly

Incorporating these detailed guidelines in2 the constitution will not only streamline the election process but also preemptively address potential challenges thereby fostering a fair and transparent

However, it's also important to ensure that these details are flexible enough to adapt to changing circumstances and community needs. Balancing detailed guidelines with adaptability will be key to mai

No, everyone should have an equal opportunity to participate

18. Should the Constitution include additional details related to the election of CC members? Provide rationale.

Allowing the election process to remain flexible could enable the community to experiment with different methods & adapt as they learn from each election cycle as it needs to be inclusive/ democratic

It is essential that constitution includes comprehensive details regarding the election of the constitution committee. This addition should outline specific guidelines covering several crucial areas

maintaining an effective and trusted governance structure.

Yes. Every position must have a baseline.

So my answer is Yes & No therefore UNSURE

Yes. There should be a qualification to be a cc. Here we are not getting representative for a country. Its for a domain so they need to have eligibility. Eligibility doesn't means the education.

meticulously outlined voting process, whether electronic or paper-based, with secure ballot handling and precise vote counting procedures to maintain the accuracy and confidentiality of elections.

Break ☕

19. What requirements, if any, should the Constitution include for the Constitutional Committee's code of conduct?


.The Constitution expects the CC to adopt and operate pursuant to a code of conduct but is otherwise silent as to how a code of conduct should be adopted and who it should include.
[Pertains to: Article VI Section 5.]
What requirements, if any, should the Constitution include for the Constitutional Committee's code of conduct? :
(a) The CC code of conduct should be on-chain
(b) The Cardano Community should have a role in approving the CC's code of conduct
(c) Other guidelines for the CC code of conduct

30 MINS - Constitutional Committee

19. What requirements, if any, should the Constitution include for the Constitutional Committee's code of conduct?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

19. *What requirements, if any, should the Constitution include for the Constitutional Committee's code of conduct? (select all that apply)*



19. What requirements, if any, should the Constitution include for the Constitutional Committee's code of conduct? Provide rationale for your answers

Yes having the constitution on chain will ensure it is always accessible anywhere anytime in an immutable and verifiable way

Yes it need to e included (a) Need to be on chain (b) Community should have the voting right to approve/ reject CC code of conduct and propose amendments to improvements. ...

B Yes the ccs code of conduct definitely needs to be dictated by the community. It is the community that gets affected by the actions of the cc and it is the community that puts them in the council in

a) On-chain conduct: Ensures transparency and community oversight. (b) Community approval: Reinforces democratic principles in governance.

"" (c) Review the code of conduct earl and make amendments with community voting, if any CC does not adhere to the code of conduct need to terminate with immediate effect

a ,b and c, but c with more effective and compressive guidelines that can be determined by the community

3 Yes. We need to add a clause to determine how to change and how often to change these rules in the coc.

Community should have role in approving this.Including a well-defined code of conduct in the Cardano Constitution for CC members is crucial for ensuring that the committee operates with

19. What requirements, if any, should the Constitution include for the Constitutional Committee's code of conduct? Provide rationale for your answers

So answer is YES

A, B Should be there on deciding the code of conduct of CC Committee. Rational behind is that the whole idea behind block chain eco system is the decentralization nature of it and this enables da idea

a) Yes, so they can bring conducts that are relevant for the domain.

Yes, Yes, Not sure

A: Setting up on-chain helps with transparency making it accessible to the entire community. This would enable everyone to review and hold the CC responsible to the set standards.

with integrity, transparency, and accountability. This code of conduct should promote ethical behavior, respect, and a commitment to the community's best interests, while also providing mechanisms

b) Yes, to have democratic impact on this community approval by the community is a must.

By having it on chain will allow transparency and accessibility so that we are held accountable to the standards laid out. Approving the code of conduct reinforces democratic principles to share value

19. What requirements, if any, should the Constitution include for the Constitutional Committee's code of conduct? Provide rationale for your answers

for enforcement and accountability. By doing so, the code of conduct will help maintain trust in the governance process and ensure that the CC remains an effective and respected body within the

Cardano ecosystem.

c) Community should have the rights to raise their voice incase of any issues on code of conduct

Delegate Election 



https://constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

Lets make cardano better. Hi charles. 👋

This is cool

It was a really interactive workshop. Thank you Intersect for organizing the workshop and taking out input on constitution draft for Cardano Block Chain.

It's wonderful to have the community included in something as vital as the constitution

Lets make Sri Lanka with "Cardano" Great Again

It was a great workshop, which provides me great sense of sense and insight on cardano blockchain network. looking forward to grow in a valuable community. Jaya wewa!

This is very interesting event. Hope we can have a tech workshop in near future Thank you all

Power to Cardano!

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

Its a cool workshop with nice guys. Thank you guys

Very interactive workshop. Hope to see more events like this. Thank you.

I loved seeing people arguing, a fantastic event

make questions better

Its great to be a part to draft the constitution of Cardano, Its great

very good session. keep it up

Great, go ahead

For the community

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

This was a very well organised workshop. Kudos to and the entire Coin Ceylon team. The flow was engaging and the discussion insightful. Everyone was free to voice their thoughts

The questions need to be a bit more detailed. Questions were at times vague. I wish there was more context for the questions. It was a great event overall. Thanks to everyone who attended.

Very Interactive workshop where our thoughts and ideas were allowed to be expressed Freely. However, better to have the questions in English/Sinhala & Tamil would be ideal. Next time maybe

This was a very nice workshop and i learned a lot

Thank you & for taking charge on building community in Sri Lanka

Nicely organized event. Everythimgs are fine. 😍

Also, great facilitator from voltage control. Thanks everyone.

It was great that you guys did this in english and also provided Sinhala translations for each question. Its great and will be a part of this ahain anytime. Thank you

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

The workshop was conducted really well and was very easy going. The Coin Ceylon team and the facilitator did an amazing job keep the participants engaged and attentive.

good community engagement. questions need to be detailed or worded better.

Didnt feel the time passing by even on a Saturday

Very well organized and conducted workshop. Kudos to the presenters as well. they have done an excellent job. A con was, lacking a surface-level technical dive into the Cardano blockchain network

great event, and great hosts and facilitator . Would love it if you guys could also play some videos next time.

great event. get more knowledge

Nice food

I don't think we need the pair breakout. The solo and group suffice

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

Workshop very well organized. If you shared the agenda prior we can have more preparation/ homework prior participation. Also provide the outcome of the workshop for our future engagements.

Fantastic event. might wanna give more explanations with scenarios for each constitution for better understanding?

Questions could have been worded better for sure. other than that its great.

Before coming to this workshop I thought 7-8 hours would be boring, but it was fun interacting with like minded people

The session is very nice but might be better if it was more detailed. Well organised, nice presenter, thank you

Also you guys can Invite a diverse range of experts to provide insights and answer questions, enriching the workshop content with different perspectives.

Some Cardano officials should have made some time to attend virtually though. Maybe next time

Nice workshop Its better if you can introducing video clip when starting workshop in next workshops

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

Enlightening

mesmerizing

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

91 responses



Great work! 🙌

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

10 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

0	0	0
Yes	No	Unsure

30 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

Things to consider:
Do you believe the Cardano community should have any role in approving the Constitutional Committee code of conduct? If so, how would that work?

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

10 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

0	0	0
Yes	No	Unsure

30 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

Things to Consider:
- Should the Constitution specify how compensation is determined?
- Should the Constitution include a cap on compensation?

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

10 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

0	0	0
Yes	No	Unsure

DRAFT CONSTITUTION FEEDBACK

(TANZANIA WORKSHOP)

PRINCIPLES AND TENETS

1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?

- ☐ 1 - strongly disagree
- ☐ 2 - disagree
- ☐ 3 - neither agree nor disagree
- ☐ 4 - agree
- ☐ 5 - strongly agree
- ☐ Unsure

Answers :

11 participants agree

8 participants Strongly agree

1 unsure

1a. Please provide your answer (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1.

Agree :

- It enlightens some important points of membership, program developments, departments roles. But some important concerns were left behind.

[redacted]

- It involves the stakeholders of the cardano ecosystem but more and everyone is free to propose improvements.
- Because Cardano's Blockchain will not block ada without consent of the owner.
- It should elaborate on factors that could lead to block users ada.
- Everyone can participate to improve the constitution with absolute freedom.
- It should clearly elaborate the things that can cause block ada for users

Strongly agree :

- It shows that there is equal participation and inclusion of members hence there is democracy which is among the ethos of cardano constitution.
- The Cardano Blockchain will securely store any value and information the Ada holder needs to store on the Cardano Blockchain. Ensuring safe and secure protection of value and information.
- The Constitution should define the factors that can lead to the blockage of users Ada.
- Because won't block Ada without the permission of the owner, there will be equality for all within the system, It ensure security and the fees will be predetermined.
- Because the constitution ensures it collects opinion of members equally and states about security, rightful ownership, protection and efficiency
- Because the constitution has ensured collective measures in decision making at large the cardano constitution.
- Due to participants having freedom to their resources, there is equality, also there is no barrier either entering or leaving the cardano ecosystem, and avoiding unnecessary misuse of cardano resources.
- Every member is involved. But I suggest having an open window where

there might be some changes in regard to the use of Cardano.

- **But it should not place limit In order order change due to circumstances**

Unsure:

- **Due to the country's upcoming changes of constitution**

PRINCIPLES AND TENETS

2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem? [Pertains to Art and Guardrails section 2.2 Economic Parameters.]

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Unsure

Answers :

7 participants Yes

8 participants No

1 participant unsure

2a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 2. If you answered yes, consider if this new tenet should include an absolute cap on the circulating supply of ada.

Yes :

- There should be tenet to consider those who their ada will have
- be locked for a certain period of time, as per constitutional and
- should consider the value issue.
- Should be included to take into consideration of staked Adas that are not in circulation are also owned by members who have power in the Cardano ecosystem

ORKSHOP (1).pdf

- Yes, more explanation on blocking users ada even though with the owner's consent should be clarified in the constitution, Meaning terms and conditions that could lead to user's ada blockage.

No :

- Because there is no challenge that has emerged yet.
- The article 2.2 has strongly shown the systemic alignment on how any challenge can be counterbalanced by the committee so far, until then the measures can be re-evaluated and be restructured.
- Because if there is a confusion the committee will try to resolve the confusion in an encouraging way.
- No existing tenants are good and they protect the user.
- There are no additional tenets all related to finance stability perfectly, especially in terms of not slowing down and ease of use.
- No because the tents are sufficient
- No, Cardano Blockchain will do work according to the rules as
- specified in the principles of this constitution built in.
- No, because the constitution has already satisfied its rules and according to what I have read it's correct and has no problem to be added more to it.

Unsure:

I am not sure because of my knowledge with the cardano blockchain.

Voting Rights

3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees who are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance? [Notes: This is not a reference to delegation to DReps. Pertains to: Article II Section 2, Article III Section 4 and 8, Article IV Section 2, Article VI Section 1, Article VII Section 1.]

The Constitution should:

- ☐ (a) Limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada



- ☐ (b) Allow ada owners to appoint designees who are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance
- ☐ (c) Unsure

Answers :

7 participants (a) Limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada

11 participants (b) Allow ada owners to appoint designees who are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance

3a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

(a) Limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada :

- Representatives cannot weigh what is on the ground.
- Reducing conflict of interest and increasing independence.
- Because the representative might have less knowledge compared to the members
- A representative is required to be the owner.

(b) Allow ada owners to appoint designees who are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance:

- The constitution should not limit both are of importance and appointment of Dreps is good since it can limit conflict of interest which can arise when members are of interest in certain areas.
- It will not limit the investor with less knowledge to participate in decision making and any intellectual agenda through the representatives by power of attorney.
- Because some ada holders may not have qualifications on some topics or time to participate.
- There should be no limits in contributing ideas but the representatives who will be elected should have an understanding of the cardano constitution but much more understanding of cardano to protect the best interest of the ecosystem.

FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY OF CARDANO

06. Contractual obligations governing the use of ada received from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury could be mandated to include dispute resolution provisions. Discuss your thoughts on if the Constitution should require these dispute resolution provisions. [Pertains to: Article 3, Section 8.]

Should the Constitution require these dispute resolution provisions?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Unsure

Answers :

18 participants Yes

06a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 06.

- ☐ Enter text.

Answers :

- **For the sake of increased accountability.**
- **So that the receivers of the funds can be responsible for the treasury funds.**
- **It will help to hold people accountable of their actions towards the use of funds, also will increase ability to funds management and funds control.**
- **Yes should provide mandate inorder to take into consideration of any disputes arise so that to safeguard Cardano values, to ensure project is successful and increases members confidence in the system**
- **In order to bring financial discipline in sponsorship money.**
- **Yes in order to resolve the misunderstandings to its members and to avoid unnecessary problems to the fund distribution to the members.**
- **Yes, there must be agreement to manage accountability.**
- **Yes, because there should be guidance for responsibility.**
- **Yes, in order to give members a sense of responsibility and motivation.**
- **Yes, in order to protect both sides. And also allow other members to be helped.**
- **Yes - in order to bring respect to funds which were provided by cardano.**

- **Yes, for the good of the Cardano ecosystem there should be agreements for the funded project to ensure the growth of the ecosystem.**
- **Yes, to give members motivation.**
- **Yes, it helps to be more organized.**
- **Yes it instills fairness and transparency in the community, proper utilization of resources, and increases members confidence.**

8. The Cardano community is expected to propose, not less than on an annual basis, a budget for the ongoing maintenance and future development of the Cardano Blockchain. Discuss whether a detailed process for developing and approving the Cardano Budget should be specified in the Constitution. [Pertains to: Article III Section 8 and Guardrail 3]

Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Unsure

Answers :

18 participants Yes

8a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 8. If you answered Yes, consider: Should the Constitution identify how the Cardano Budget will be administered? Should the Constitution identify who will administer the Cardano Budget? Should the Constitution specify a cap on the annual Cardano Budget?

- ☐ Enter text.

Answers :

- Yes! For good governance and responsibilities.
- Yes- in order to ensure fairness and transparency.
- Yes, there should be an annual budget but the budget should be voted on by the members of the cardano ecosystem so as to provide an annual reasonable budget.
- Yes in order to get time to audit effectiveness and progress of the funded projects
- That's because of being with good management and proper guidance to follow order and justice for the people all of them.
- Yes, since its decentralized main core is transparency.
- Yes, in order to plan well our events and have proper preparation and our budget should be in the constitution

---

- Yes - That's a guide on what to be done.
- Yes, the constitution should identify the administration of the Cardano budget.
- To have a good preparation for the use of budget for the year.
- Yes, the constitution should state the annual budget so that people know more strategic plans.
- Yes, it will help to understand our annual spending too and will measure our goals of the financial year.
- Yes it will help to know the usage for cardano community members, will also help to get new suggestions for next year.
- Yes, the constitution should provide not less than the annual budget in order to smoothen the admission of the budget to everyone I need of it

WELCOME!

Cardano Den Hague Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules

Let's take some time to review the pre-read and clarify any questions...



Let's get started 🚀

30 MINS - [TOPIC]

Why Question 01 is important:

The tenets arose to define limits to how Cardano Blockchain development can progress so that the ecosystem principles are not violated. If the community feels that the tenets do not uphold the principles of the Cardano community, a tension between development work and the constitution could develop.


30 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#1]. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#1]. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?



10 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#1a] Please provide your answer (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1

.

.

*

The strong scientific basis of further development should be part of the tenets

The text about dynamic pricing and predictable pricing model could benefit from more context, and the intent is a unclear.

1. the word partnerchains is a loaded term that is higgly pushing towards an already technical solution (developed by IOG), this is not a neutral term. Maybe something like bridged chains?

I am missing the principle of the scientific approach, it's a core value that brought us where we are now.

Adding tenets should follow a certain procedure and this should be usable by community members.

10 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#1a] Please provide your answer (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1

I think we are 95% there. There are some issues with terminology. And I think that there should be more emphasis on efficiency amidst all the openness and transparency.

1. Variable interpretation of the tenants is a serious issue that needs a methodology. A document of 'interpretation' that is referred to . 2. Missing scientific approach as tenant.

The wording mentioned can sound deceptive. Some examples contain predictable pricing/ dynamic pricing, 'Transactions on the Cardano Blockchain should not be slowed down.', 'Unnecessarily spend resourc

sentence: The Cardano Blockchain should promote interoperabolity and access to partnerchains. Change to: The Cardano Blockchain should promote interoperabolity and access to other blockchains.

Predictability in Cardano's blockchain conflicts with dynamic pricing. The wording should be changed to allow flexibility for dynamic pricing under certain conditions.

Some inconsistency, dynamic pricing vs predictable pricing model. Open platform vs not unreasonable be prevented. Term should promote interoperability vs should provide interoperability standards

I feel that concerns mainly arose due that certain wording could be better or changed to steer less in a certain direction for instance. This way the subject remains more open to serve wider public

The principles should be interpreted based on cases that come across that they are applied to. This should be done by a select group of people, such as how the law is interpreted and applied.

10 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#1a] Please provide your answer (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1

Remove the access to partner chains, it is an unfair competitive advantage for IOG to gain access to the treasure.

I would like to add the non-aggression principle. the Blockchain itself "promote" efficient design, not sure about the wording maybe 'provided'. what is meant with 'predictable pricing model'.

Not so sure if rewarding of DReps and SPO's should enforced in the constitution.

Comment 'ExplainerDoc' part 1ofx: I would like an additional document that explains why the text was written in a certain way and why some text was omitted, providing background on the reasoning.

Comment 'ExplainerDoc' part 2ofx: In some countries, like the Netherlands and France, laws are accompanied by an explainer document. In the Netherlands, this is called a 'Memorie van toelichting.'

Maybe something should be mentioned as tenets Cardano in regards to compliance.

Break ☕

30 MINS - [TOPIC]

Why Question 014 is important:

Ada holders are free to change delegation to any DRep at any time, or to never change their delegation. This question asks whether this model is expected to create conditions for a representative class of DReps over time, or if the Constitution should include additional constraints.

30 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#2]. Q14 Should the Constitution include a mechanism that causes ada holders to re-select their DReps over time? [Pertains to: Article IV.]

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[**#2**]. Q14a Should the Constitution include a mechanism that causes ada holders to re-select their DReps over time? [Pertains to: Article IV.]



15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#2] Q14a Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale. If you answered Yes, please explain what you would add to the Constitution.

It is good to have an active community that is engaged, a mechanism helps to keep Ada holders active.

This should be part of the the dapp governance design, and not part of the constitution. As activity and activity tracking could be a driver for decision making and this is not included in this rule.

Yes because it encourages D-reps and community members to stay active.

Active engagement is key. To prevent Dreps will be elected for life by inactive stakers.

This is a good point but the unregistration needs to be done automatically.

Should not be an everlasting choice, DReps may also change over time in attitude or opinion and completely alienates from the original ADA voter. It also keeps DReps more actively involved.

Anonymous DReps should require an independent and trusted third party that keeps their anonymity but does audit DRep's UBO status / PEP analysis for possible moral Hazard.

There should be an automatic DREP undelegation mechanism, that is executed over a set time. This to incentivize DREPs to have an active participation.

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#2] Q14a Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale. If you answered Yes, please explain what you would add to the Constitution.

Prevent power concentration, encourage fresh perspectives and promote accountability.

Yes, I agree and there are some reasons behind my vote: Preventing abnormal distribution of power, Preventing inactive addresses, preventing dead addresses.

Yes Voting power could accumulate with dReps that do not represent the ecosystem as a whole. Dark wallets with no users behind them.

Add an automatic undelegate option so delegates stay active in our economy instead of delegate and forget like we see with stakepools

cycle of life, like marlowe contract has time ending. don't force but give the option to have a decaying delegation, it captures real wallet usage more transactions for the treasury to grow.

Though I recognize the goal, I do not think the constitution is the "tool" for this. This because the goal of the constitution is not to steer the governance process, it's to check the proposals.

redelegations must be provoked randomly, otherwise you burst the network on epoch border.

Yes, I think it is important. Not only for the fact that visions and ideas change over time. Also to prevent a laziness within the community, this would eventually cause, creativity, development etc

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#2] Q14a Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale. If you answered Yes, please explain what you would add to the Constitution.

I definitely would not want a technical enforceable re-delegate option, as this implies a tx which consumes block space

DReps should have a stimulation (delegation should not be sticky) to stay active otherwise they get lazy.

The Constitution should encourage active participation. Users could self select expiration interval

To die out. You need activity to remain vibrant and lively.

Mentioning a mechanism may imply protocol-level actions. Re-electing DReps is a personal choice, as is wallets notifying ada holders through a push notification.

30 MINS - [TOPIC]

Why Question 017 is important:

The Constitutional Guardrails specify the minimum and maximum term limit (3mo-3yrs) and CC size (3-10 members). However, the draft constitution does not specify how terms and size are determined within the minimum and maximum limits. It may be desirable to clarify the CC size and term lengths so the community has clear direction when electing the CC following the expiry of Interim CC terms.

30 MINS - [TOPIC]


[#3] Q17 The Constitutional Guardrails specify a minimum and maximum size for the CC and minimum and maximum term lengths

but does not otherwise address details on the composition of the CC. [Pertains to: Article VI and Guardrails Maximum Constitutional Committee Term (CMTI) and The minimum size of the Constitutional Committee (CMS).]

Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include:

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#3]. The Constitutional Guardrails specify a minimum and maximum size for the CC. *Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include*



15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#3]Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 17. Consider: What size or term length do you have in mind? Fixed/voting

we are in a bootstrap phase, the fixed number is good for starting.

I believe that the more fixed the term length and cc members is the better. Around that can be a means to process to change this.

Other; I think the constitution should provide more guidance on continuation of CC members. That is, terms of members do not overlap, this for continuation of the knowledge base

Yes, there should be a min and max amount of CC members specifies in the Constitution. But complementary to this it should be clearly stipulated for how long they are chosen.

The constitutional committee could reflect on-chain verifiable user groups by some proportionality. For example, people who insure their farms, buy houses, exchanges, SPOs, etc. Real users represented

Minimum size is 5, minimum term is 73 epochs. This is exclusively a controlling body, outcome should be irrespective of CC composition as a new proposal is either constitutional or not.

There needs to be a minimum uneven number of members(one is none) and the time 73 epochs is a good number.

Absolute minimum is 3. If 1 is for and 1 against and 1 neutral, then there should be a mechanism to add another member to resolve the vote.

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#3]Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 17. Consider: What size or term length do you have in mind? Fixed/voting

Fixed since people will get maybe lazy or unmotivated or would be able to step out after their term for personal reasons

Subject matter, amount of proposals. Technical expertise, complexity, are important, in this decision. A better view on this is required.

Term length: 6-10 years (voted a-synchronously by 1 year minimum with DReps) Size: less than 21.

Minimum and Maximum size should always start as fixed and then move to the phase of planning to make changes.

- There should be a min and max on members. - therm should be out of sync with drep elections. - weight of elections should not be based on amount ada in wallet

adding a layer of humans in the system, can cause concern for bad interpretation even when having a group, too many people can cause endless discussions. too many can cost the treasury too much maybe.

To me, it's all about defining guardrails for an uncertain situation. However, a fixed number can be good for this stage.

normal groups of people who can collaborate must be with 5 to be successful

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#3]Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 17. Consider: What size or term length do you have in mind? Fixed/voting

Having a centralized (human space governance) solution, can give scalability issues.

Lunch ☕

30 MINS - [TOPIC]

Why Question 03 is important:

Allowing for appointed designees to control voting rights is a potential way to handle the new voting rights associated with ada. This would enable owners of ada to determine whether or not they want to authorize a designee, balancing the need to protect voting rights from unauthorized use by third parties, but also permitting it if ada owners would like.

30 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#4] Q3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees?

designees who are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance? [Notes: This is not a reference to delegation to DReps. Pertains to: Article II Section 2, Article III Section 4 and 8, Article IV Section 2, Article VI Section 1, Article VII Section 1.]

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#4] Q3 Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees?



15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#4] Q3a Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3

No delegation. Keep it simple. Let behavior adapt

The basics should be that ada owners should have the right to vote. However, a lot of people own ada through a third party without a wallet, and do technically not own the ada. Think about etf's/exch

risk of major exchanges to become massive voters. Voting should be a personal active process.

b) In an organizational setting where security and the usual business of people leaving and joining roles continuously, you cannot technically have any option.

Yes, should be possible. But, so long as there is a conscious decision of the end user to allow voting rights to be passed on.

Not sure if this should be part of the consitution

Limiting it only to ada owners makes them responsible for the activities and functioning of the on-chain governance. Eliminating lax-ness, inculcating more responsibility, reducing any 'outside' risk.

similar to staking is done in contracts, allow the option to delegate also to a drep. service providers can propose which drep for a lower fee. don't know for nft's...

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#4] Q3a Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3

Yes, I think it should be defined by the owner of ada...

B because I wanne or let someone vote for me. It my Ada my choice

Yes, I don't want an exchange to vote for me. Maybe by voting before sending Ada to an Exchange and lock that vote (non-changeable) to a fixed period (like 12 month).

There is consensus among the group that exchanges, ETF's or any similar holder of ADA should not be allowed to use that ada to vote.

etf's are owners as well, potentially giving them a lot of power in decision making. I don't think that people will actively point to who to allocate. I think the only way to medicate is to lower

But truly I don't believe such suggestion belongs in the constitution! Should be somewhere as a suggestion

We need an additional option if this ADA may be used for voting by DApps, the delegator should be able to decide.

The voting weight of these large parties for instance etf's.

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#4] Q3a Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3

should be allowed but with propper checks for ACTIVE consent.

Next to this there is the question how we can avoid that CEXes can use their delegates voting power, it is not their ADA and it shouldn't be possible to vote with.

30 MINS - [TOPIC]

Why Question 02 is important:

This question stems from the importance of ada to the network's operation. Because it is so foundational, it may be worth codifying as a tenet, and may be done so in many different ways. The example on the cap to circulating ada is here because it has already been of interest to the community. We distinguish between the total ada supply and circulating ada, recognizing that in the future, there may be ada locked in places that take it out of circulation.


30 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#5] Q2 Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem?

[Pertains to Art and Guardrails
section 2.2 Economic Parameters.]

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#5] Q2 Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem?



15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#5] Please provide your answer and rationale for Question 2. If yes, consider if new tenet should include an absolute cap on the circulating supply

Unsure because money decisions needs to be made bij holders/deals and then put forward as a proposal.

There is a social contract that has been built with all current ADA holders that there is a fixed supply. In order to change it, a separate constitutional amendment would be required.

No, because besides the tenets, it already contains a section on the budgeting. I also do not think this is a question that *needs* to be addressed now, a bit of experience will guide us better.

No we dont need a tenet about sustainability because its common sense. Otherwise we can have tenets about everything

The future is too far not to include such a possibility

45 B should be fixed, we can always use the number of decimals and vote for it.

Financial sustainability should be left up to the market

The cap should not be absolute if the financial stability of the ecosystem is at risk

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#5] Please provide your answer and rationale for Question 2. If yes, consider if new tenet should include an absolute cap on the circulating supply

No, Cardano should prove itself. In extreme situations a rescue operation should require a change in the Constitution.

Yes, I think a new tenet should include long-term sustainability and ada owners' attractiveness.

Voted yes that there should be a tenant, the total supply of ada capped at 45B should not be in question in that. The supply should be capped as part of the constitution.

Financial sustainability should be subject to the conditions at that moment. Due to the ever-evolving nature, there should be room to add more tenets as we usher in an uncertain future.

even if only 1 ada remains, we can forever subdivide

Yes. Hard-code this into the Constitution with a disclaimer specifying a time limit, after which the community can reevaluate.

Yes. It's good to have a proces in place if shit hits the fan. Everyone should vote to up the supply. But maybe people can also lend money/crypto/usdt to Cardano with interest. So other forms of funds

No, but layer2 chains should provide additional funding eventually.

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#5] Please provide your answer and rationale for Question 2. If yes, consider if new tenet should include an absolute cap on the circulating supply

I rather see a budget set out to sustain for next 5 years so only a certain percentage of the treasure is available to progress per annum.

Total cap's is a popular word, probably giving people a feeling of safety. Why not have the cap but there also need a way for self preservation.. also to keep a coin alive it needs toe be used

Break ☕

Delegate Election 

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

I want to thank all the people who put in their time that resulted in this fantastic initial draft! Deep respect for all the work, and it shows :)

Thanks all!!!

The interpretation of the Constitution is critical - having a clear document that further defines how the Constitution can be interpreted is critical.

dual roles of DReps and SPOs should be made public when applicable

Voting model was excluded from the questionnaire. Is 1 ADA one vote? Proposing Quadratic voting since this avoids concentration of power.

Article 3, question 1: This approach carries a risk of entities over-requesting funds to cover auditing costs. Consider funding an independent auditing firm specializing in these tasks for the ecosys

This was my first workshop and i learned a lot of the people who are like me passionate about cardano.

The idea of trying to make this platform more accessible to an 'outsider', empowering them and making sure their voice counts in the grand scheme of things. Thank you to everyone involved.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

This was my first experience with the Cardano Community, and I want to thank everyone who invested their time and energy to make it possible.

Belgium was present today

Said earlier: "I would like an additional document that explains why the text was written in a certain way and why some text was omitted, providing background on the reasoning."

Thank you for a great cardano meet up experience!

A big thank you, for a really nice day. This was my first Cardano meetup and enjoyed it a lot. It has been really nice to meet fellow Cardano community enthusiasts!

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

+ clear structure - The voting results only visible after people have voted so people are not influenced by the results as they pop-up.

I am very pleased with the way the workshop was run! And gratitude for Dirk that he created a staged where all opinions could be voiced and were heard..

+ Time management + Communication

Good structure and involvement from the group.

+ earlier selection of questions to be made known • short intro round of participants • venuw was great, easy accessible

It was all good. No commandments

free parking... was unclear where to park.

missing a whip

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

Good that it's IRL and AFK. Maybe some extra attention that not all article could be discussed together (because of limited time) and how to give review input afterwards.

+ Making it accessible to everyone, giving each of us the power to decide over the future of the platform, and educating us. - Too short and limited considering the large scope at stake.

+: Great venue +: Good participation +: Good that we spoke in different groups every-time to get different perspectives +: In general well managed and coordinated

A delta for the questions, I think that a bit more context with some questions could have lifted some conversation to a next level for maximal extraction :)

+ Well organized + Great time management + Sufficient time to discuss questions in groups + Additional clarification to unclear questions by the host was helpful + I learnt more from today than before

Delta - Need more context to completely grasp the whole picture.

+How discussions started in smaller groups of 2 people sometimes and expanded to the larger group. + For the most part, the person speaking had everyone's attention.

Great food.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

+ what i really liked in the workshop is the idea of walking around and discussing opinions with a someone else each time.

++ for the live voting process ++ for dividing the group in multiple smaller groups for good discussions ++ for the workshop leader - discuss per topic could have been sloghtly longer

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

26 responses



Great work! 🙌

WELCOME!

Cardano Dubai Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules

What are your expectations for the session today?

Learn more about the Interim Constitution and discuss with peers

Gain knowledge of Cardano and investment value

My expectations for this sessions is that the people joining. Have sharp questions, deep thoughts about GUARD RAILS, the direction of Cardano and especially the trade offs between Algorithmic enforced

Understand values of Dubai blockchain community and expand on core concepts.

Learn about the constitution

I have no expectations

Better understanding of the future of Cardano and distributed systems in general from a technical perspective.

To understand and know more about Cardano

What are your expectations for the session today?

To get a detailed idea about Cardano and the constitution.

Make Cardano #1

Would like to understand the whole structure of cardano block chain

To understand why Cardano is the only ground breaking blockchain to date and also to getting a constitution in place

Know more about cardano, and the constitution

I want to know more about Cardano

To get some high level of introduction into the Cardano constitution and understand what are the next steps of the Cardano roadmap.

Understand more about how one can use cardano in daily life

What are your expectations for the session today?

To get better understanding how we can create a more secured and experinced team on cardano blockchain, how we can secure our futer investements on this great infrastructor.

In-depth knowledge Cardano

Get information on Cardano. What makes Cardano different?



Let's get started 🚀

1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?

1a. Please provide your answer and rationale for Question 1.

1 - strongly disagree

2 - disagree

3 - neither agree nor disagree

4 - agree

5 - strongly agree

Unsure

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?

Yes

Yes

Yes

Indeed.

Yes agree, the Constitution is designed to be a document which includes the governing ethos of Cardano

Fully agreed on that spot

Yes

Yes

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?

YES

Yes

Yes I agree as it is a vote-based governance which creates openness, transparency and also participate in decision making.

Yes cardano focuses on being transparent and giving power to the community

No. Strongly Disagree Lacking objectives while focusing on too specific activities Lacks principals of open source, ecosystem collaboration and development, Maintain decentralization

Yes. It shoud be considering each one and optimal use of resources plus promote growth

Yes, The article promotes inclusivity fair and equality for everyone.

Yes, The tenets of this article are thoughtfully crafted, reflecting the core principles of decentralization.

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?

"Yes, I believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano blockchain. Cardano's foundation is built on transparency, sustainability, and security, which are cru

Yes, helps in participate in making decisions


Yes

Incentive structure is lacking for CC members

Well, i guess Cardano focuses on being open, transparent, and giving power to the community to make decisions. The goal is to create a fair secure system where everyone come worktogether.

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?





Break ☕

30 MINS - [TOPIC]

3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada?

...or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees who are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance?

3a. Please provide your answer and rationale for Question 3.

(A) Limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada?

Yes !

Yes. Holding ADA makes you a citizen

Yes, having a stake in the network ensures voters are acting in the best interest of the ecosystem.

Yes but someone wants can appoint Designee

Yes

YES: Designees are important for compliance and allows for exchanges, custodians, or investors who may not be allowed to vote due to local regulatory requirements / compliance frameworks.

Yes. It should only be ada holders. And voting power should be based on tenure of holding ada. The longer someone holds ada the more voting power.

Yes. It ensures accountability on each participant.

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada?

Yes, Should be ada owners

Yes

A Those who want to manage must own. Otherwise there are risks of counteraction

Yes

Yes, non-owners may not have the same commitment as the owners

Yes

The answer is as easy as drinking water; I could say 'big YES' with my eyes closed.

Yes This can ensure that voters are more likely to make decisions that benefit the ecosystem and avoid harmful proposals.

10 MINS - [TOPIC]

3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada?



Lunch Break



30 MINS - [TOPIC]

6. Should the Constitution mandate dispute resolution provisions for contractual obligations related to ada from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury?

Discuss your thoughts.

6a. Please provide your answer and rationale for Question 6.

Yes / No / Unsure

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

6. Should the Constitution mandate dispute resolution provisions for contractual obligations related to ada from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury?

Yes, For any financial system that controls funding, it is unwise to distribute funding without a dispute resolution system. Keep others accountable.

No, the Constitution should focus more on protocol mandates, funding mechanics, governing elections, etc. Dispute resolution could be managed by smart contracts created by ecosystem partners.

Yes. It will ensure transparency, trust.

Yes. It helps clarity, and fairness, and bring trust and stability within the ecosystem.

Yes

Yes, the Constitution should mandate dispute resolution provisions for contractual obligations related to ADA from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury. Clear, transparent, and enforceable dispute resoluti

Yes, mandates will make sure they are held responsible in upholding the contractual obligations

Yes, should be clearly specified and outlined to cover different scenarios

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

6. Should the Constitution mandate dispute resolution provisions for contractual obligations related to ada from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury?

Yes but the algorithm arbitrating the use of the provisions should be fair and global.

Yes but it should be algorithmic and not use the legacy world.

Constitution must have a dispute resolution provision including contingency and all those funds but also focus on main objectives and mandates

Yes, It promotes transparency and accountability in the use of large treasury allocations.

Yes, Having clear dispute resolution mechanisms ensures that Treasury funds are spent as intended and that parties held accountable for mismanagement or breaches of contract. This can increase trust

Yes, and preferably such resolution should be initially embedded within a smart cintract in form.of a security and /or a retention. And finally to be vored on by dreps holding at least a 10x ADA stake

How would it be enforced? What penalties would a bad actor incur therefore mentioned by the Constitution?

Yes, it is important to have accountability and dispute resoultion details clearly defined. To reduce ambiguity and delays due to misunderstanding.

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

6. Should the Constitution mandate dispute resolution provisions for contractual obligations related to ada from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury?

Yes, should have a clarity

Yes

Yes, it clear the framework for dispute.

Dreps could be paid by a % of total funding to perform Audit functions.

Yes, this could help ensure transparency and accountability

Yes, as this would ensure their is clear process and address any kind of misusage of funds as it will build a trust as a ecosystem for the whole constitution

When practical, the dispute resolution process should be on-chain


Yes

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

6. Should the Constitution mandate dispute resolution provisions for contractual obligations related to ada from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury?

Yes

6. Should the Constitution mandate dispute resolution provisions for contractual obligations related to ada from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury?





Break ☕

30 MINS - [TOPIC]

11. Review: “DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps, make such codes publicly available."

11a. Please provide your answer(s) and rationale for Question 11. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each item you selected in Question 11.

(A) DRep codes of conduct should be on-chain
(B) One code of conduct for all DReps
(C) Flexibility for each DRep to adopt its own code of conduct
(D) The Constitutional Committee should determine whether DRep code(s) of conduct are consistent with the Constitution
(E) Other guidelines for DRep codes of conduct
(F) None of these

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

11. Review: “DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps, make such codes publicly available."

NO - This should be purely optional and be regulated through Game theory

Yes. general code of conduct on good behavior and upholding the values of the Constitution.

Guidlines and best practices could be appropriate.

No , DReps should adopt codes of conduct at ALL times

DReps should adopt codes of conduct at ALL times

Yes. Transparency is must.Conduct can be decided by trustworthy groups

Its good to have the code publicly available to have better and transparency among the community. There should be a time frame where dreps are changed automatically to keep Dreps in check

Yes, There should be standard protocols to follow

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

11. Review: “DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps, make such codes publicly available."

It needs to periodically establish and update codes of conduct for their activities, as well as publicly accessible.

Yes

required to periodically establish and update codes of conduct for their activities as well as publicly accessible.

DReps should follow constitutional guidelines but can create their own code of conduct which is transparent to the delegators who decide if the DReps are following the guidelines or not by punishing.

B

Yes , DRep COC Should be on chain. One code of conduct for all Dreps, The CC should determine if the COC is aligned with the constitution.

Yes, to promote accountability and public trust. Committee must review it to check if it abides by the constitution

Yes

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

11. Review: "DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps, make such codes publicly available."

Yes, DRep should expect to adopt code of conduct from preset code of conducts agreed by Community which are onchain for more transparency.

Yes Dreps should abide by a code of conduct, they should be on chain and if a drep does not act accordingly, the delegate has the right to vote for another drep.

Yes "B" & should be public as well

Yes. The coc should be periodically evaluated and make them available publicly. When getting a feedback, they should bring the suggested person to bring to the table

Yes. There should be guidelines and consequences when the DReps fails to follow the code of conduct.


No. include in tenents

Yes, Making DRep codes of conduct on-chain ensures transparency, immutability, and accountability.

Flexibility for each drep to adopt its own code of conduct as dreps are expected to create some ethics or guidelines and on how they shold act in their role.

10 MINS - [TOPIC]

11. Review: “DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps, make such codes publicly available."





Break ☕

Delegate Election 

Introduction to Voting

"We are about to begin the process of voting for Constitutional Convention Candidates. It will be your job as Workshop Participants to choose a Delegate and an Alternate to travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina, this December, to represent our group and this region at the Cardano Constitutional Convention.

Participants who attended a majority of today's workshop are eligible to vote. When you receive your ballots please write down your name and email address so that we can validate votes in case of an audit. You will then have a chance to write up to 5 names of individuals that you feel would represent us well at the Constitutional Convention. Each candidate you list will benefit from your vote. The top name on your list will receive 5 points, the second name will receive 4 points, the

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

By running as a candidate to become a Constitutional delegate or alternate, you are agreeing that if elected, you will fulfill these duties. Duties marked with an asterisk are NOT applicable to the Alternate.

Agree to the Code of Conduct
You will represent your constituents and the Cardano Community's best interests, rather than promoting any personal agenda.
Your constituents are the Cardano Community members who attended the workshop that elected you, the Cardano community at-large in your region, and any Cardano Community members who reach out to you to represent their needs in some way.
You will not do anything to harm other attendees at the Cardano Constitutional Convention
You agree to follow the Intersect code of conduct

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

Before the Constitutional Convention

*Contact the Alternate and agree how to support each other.
Ex) work together to review constituent feedback.
Attend an online delegate synthesis workshop where you meet other delegates and share your region's constitution feedback.

*Provide your name and contact information publicly for constituents to contact you

*Review constituent feedback and create a summary
Complete Constitutional Delegate training ahead of travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina

Obtain visas and flights necessary to arrive in Argentina for the first week of December 2024. Flight, accommodation, transfers and food costs during the event will be covered for Constitutional Delegates and Alternates selected at Constitutional Workshops.


Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

At the Constitutional Convention


Attend all scheduled sessions (3 days)
*Vote on whether to approve the Cardano Constitution

After the Constitutional Convention

Optionally: Become a DRep to vote on-chain to ratify the approved constitution
Optionally: Encourage the DReps and Cardano Community to vote on the approved constitution



Delegate Election 🗳️


constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/auth/signin
INTERSECT
Cardano Workshop Login
Enter your email to log in or create an account.
Email
name@example.com
We'll send a magic link to this email.
Send Magic Link

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

NA

Amazing. Informative, Engaging

No.

Together we are stronger than ever.

Learnt a lot

All good, happy to be a part

The event was well organised, it should have been hosted on a weekend that would have brough a larger crowd.

So far all went well. When the ADA value goes to sky? 😆

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

I had a great time learning more about the governance thoughts from the community. Met lots of new people

It was a great session to know more about cardano infrastructure. Congrats to the winners.

No

Insightful discussion. Great way for community to come forward to collab for Cardano.

As a beginner. Its very interesting to know how these things are taking place

Everyone was given plenty of time to raise their opinions.

Get a good knowledge and an interesting workshop

No, I think the whole session was very useful and interactive.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

everything was well organized and I had time to speak out

It was an incredible experience to participate in such a valuable workshop, where we collectively contributed important insights as a community.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

Draft Constitution Feedback Form

If you would like to provide feedback on other questions, please do so here: https://forms.gle/6HJVPxQe9CPriHRN6

GROUP PICTURE

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

36 responses



Great work! 🙌

Mentimeter

WELCOME!

Cardano Edinburgh, Scotland (Virtual) Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

What we hope to achieve or get out of todays experience

I hope to learn more general knowledge about what goes into governance as someone who hasn't paid much attention to this side of Cardano

A good discussion about the constitution. Hearing different views and getting a broader aspect of the wishes and wants of the community

Learning more about governance and hearing others pov

I hope to get the sense that a good and formal process was followed to properly capture feedbabck and suggestions related the Constitution Text to go into the final Draft for Ratification On-Chain.

Have some input, and be part of the discussion about the constitution

Halve able to tell people in the future I was involved!

To contribute to the constitution through detailed discussion of the topics and specifics, and gain insights into others views when compared to my preconceptions.

Listen and learn, get to know the constitution more deeply and become aware of likely contentious issues.

Mentimeter

What we hope to achieve or get out of todays experience

I want to engage in in depth conversations around Governance Modeling of Cardano, and maybe hear something wholly unique come out of them.

To bring day job experience of running small teams (2-6 team sizes) that require open discussion and agreed malleable procedure in order to progress through development and business workflows.

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules

Mentimeter

Let's get started 🚀

50 MINS - Voting Model


11. “DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps and make such codes of conduct publicly avail

11. The draft constitution currently states: “DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps and make such codes of conduct publicly available.” [Pertains to: Article III, Article IV.]

What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

1) 5 Mins - Solo
2) 10 Mins - Pairs
3) 10 Mins - Group
4) 10 Min -Menti/All debrief

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?



11a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each

A . On-chain codes of conduct ensure transparency,

F / I feel I don't have enough information on this topic and the concepts so I chose this answer just to pass the question

A b c and d.

C and F - Too many rules and requirements in the Constitution could lead to a rather bogged down process with a lot of opportunity for both stalling and public shaming.

C & F - An explicitly mandated 'Code of Conduct' is unenforceable On-Chain. That being said, a social layer of many voluntary Codes available for people to sign up to is a good thing Off-Chain.

a) If we are to have a COC this should be on-chain so this can be ratified by the community and is not manipulated.

E: There should be code(s) of conduct for DReps and it should be volentary for the DReps to adhere to them or not.

b) If we have a COC this should be singular for all Dreps. An abstracted document that defines a Dreps COC in terms of the block chain (NOT conduct in the community)

11a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each

A) against - once on chain updating the rules or adapting /modifying coc could be difficult, leading to out dated things

d) If we have a COC this should be ratified by the CC only to ensure the COC is constitutional

F. I haven't seen a proposed enforcement process, and it seems like it would be very very contentious to institute one. I can't see any potential real benefit and many many downsides.

f) After discussion with others, I feel that the COC is unnecessary, unless there are specific on how a Drep COC in context of the chain - e.g. a Drep must always vote on a proposal

C) Disagree - different coc could lead to confusion and inconsistency in how dreps operates, making it harder to Ada holders to evaluate

Language consideration: Change the word expected to encouraged in the Draft Constitution. As the existing wording is too much of a mandate and is unenforceable On-Chain.

B- pro Other guidelines allow the governance system to adapt to unforeseen challenges

Mentimeter

Break ☕

SPOs

16. Given that SPOs will now have a constitutionally-mandated voting role on certain governance actions, should SPOs be subject to a Constitutionally-

16. Given that SPOs will now have a constitutionally-mandated voting role on certain governance actions, should SPOs be subject to a Constitutionally-mandated code of conduct (CoC)? Discuss your thoughts on SPOs being required to adopt a code of conduct and whether it merits inclusion in the Constitution. [Pertains to: Preamble Paragraphs 5-7, Article V, Guardrails: PARAM-03 and 04.]

What requirements, if any, should the Constitution specify for SPO codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

16. What requirements, if any, should the Constitution specify for SPO codes of conduct (select all that apply)?



16a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 16. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each

Without enforcement it's unclear what use a CoC would be.

I dont believe that SPO's should have any vote whatsoever on the constitution. I believe political governance should be separated from the choice we all have already to delegated to an SPO.

E: There should not be any mention of a CoC in the constitution. Just like for DReps, any CoC should be volentary or all the governing bodies and should only be a social contract if anything.

e) a COC should not be in the constitution - I feel the Guardrails script cover the necessary SPO 'rules'

d & e - An *encouraging* of SPOs to come up with their *own* sets of Voluntary Codes of Conduct that they can sign up to I feel is acceptable in text. A mandated Code of Conduct is unenforceable.

A) against - mandating a coc could impose additional burdens on spos

d) We could add SPOs loose guide lines only. that 'suggest' SPOs have a COC but they self manage the specifics

C) the cc overseeing coCs ensures there is alignment with the principles already identified in the constitution, keeping that consistent standard across the board

Mentimeter

16a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 16. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each

d) Tooling for Codes of Conduct should be available to non-technical folk to create their own. No top down mandates.

A) smaller SPO s could lack the resources needed to comply with new requirements

A) risk to smaller spos - and a knock on risk of centralisation because of this

Lunch Break



Mentimeter

23. What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?

23. Currently, there is no rule against voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO. Discuss your thoughts on whether voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO creates unique concerns that need to be addressed in the Constitution. [Pertains to: Article III Section 2, Article IV, Article V.]

What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?

(a) Prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.
(b) Require disclosure but do not prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.
(c) Include special conflicts of interest provisions
(d) Does not need to be addressed in the Constitution
(e) Unsure

23. What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?



23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

D) There is no way to enforce such a requirement On-Chain.

Again, it cannot be enforced so it should not be in the constitution

a- SPOs manage stake pools and contribute to the network's security but arent necessarily interested in govenerance

d/////0 - its not enforcable

d) This is not an enforceable - this looks to address an early edge case where (assumption) most Dreps are currently SPOs - as users grow non-SPO Dreps will outweigh any SPO+Dreps

a, Ensures Fairness: stopping multiple votes would help keep a fair governance process, ensuring that decisions reflect a diverse set of perspectives rather than being skewed by few

D. Not enforceable - does not need to be addressed in the constitution.

D) SPOs that become DReps & start from 0 ADA Voting Power Delegated to them. Meaning there is less of a threat of Conflicts of Interest as they are seeking Delegation in entirely different context.

23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

c) although its not enforceable , clear rules about voting roles would help the community's trust in the governance system,

d) You cannot enforce this unless the wallet is the same wallet for the Drep and the SPO - on chain there is no connection to an SPO so they can easily make a Drep wallet and vote with both.

It is not enforceable to separate DReps and SPOs in the constitution because there is no way to stop anyone from registering and running as both.

I dont believe it's possible or required to have voting for SPOs. It cant be enforced as an SPO can easily have Drep wallets as well, with nobody knowing they are linked to the same person.

d) What 'not enforcable' *means*: SPOs cannot be physically prevented from Registering as a DRep On-Chain.

d) An SPOs existing delegation is what they use for their SPO voting - if they decide to become a Drep they need to get new delegation for this and build up - as such work must be done for both angles

d- against - Risk of Abuse: Without formal rules, there is a higher risk of individuals abusing their power by leveraging their multiple roles to sway governance outcomes

Mentimeter

Break ☕

Delegate Election 

Mentimeter

Introduction to Voting

"We are about to begin the process of voting for Constitutional Convention Candidates. It will be your job as Workshop Participants to choose a Delegate and an Alternate to travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina, this December, to represent our group and this region at the Cardano Constitutional Convention.

Participants who attended a majority of today's workshop are eligible to vote. When you receive your ballots please write down your name and email address so that we can validate votes in case of an audit. You will then have a chance to write up to 5 names of individuals that you feel would represent us well at the Constitutional Convention. Each candidate you list will benefit from your vote. The top name on your list will receive 5 points, the second name will receive 4 points, the

Mentimeter

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

By running as a candidate to become a Constitutional delegate or alternate, you are agreeing that if elected, you will fulfill these duties. Duties marked with an asterisk are NOT applicable to the Alternate.

Agree to the Code of Conduct
You will represent your constituents and the Cardano Community's best interests, rather than promoting any personal agenda.
Your constituents are the Cardano Community members who attended the workshop that elected you, the Cardano community at-large in your region, and any Cardano Community members who reach out to you to represent their needs in some way.
You will not do anything to harm other attendees at the Cardano Constitutional Convention
You agree to follow the Intersect code of conduct

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

Before the Constitutional Convention

*Contact the Alternate and agree how to support each other.
Ex) work together to review constituent feedback.
Attend an online delegate synthesis workshop where you meet other delegates and share your region's constitution feedback.

*Provide your name and contact information publicly for constituents to contact you

*Review constituent feedback and create a summary
Complete Constitutional Delegate training ahead of travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina

Obtain visas and flights necessary to arrive in Argentina for the first week of December 2024. Flight, accommodation, transfers and food costs during the event will be covered for Constitutional Delegates and Alternates selected at Constitutional Workshops.


Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

At the Constitutional Convention

Attend all scheduled sessions (3 days)
*Vote on whether to approve the Cardano Constitution

After the Constitutional Convention

Optionally: Become a DRep to vote on-chain to ratify the approved constitution
Optionally: Encourage the DReps and Cardano Community to vote on the approved constitution


constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/auth/signin
Mentimeter
INTERSECT
Cardano Workshop Login
Enter your email to log in or create an account.
Email
name@example.com
We'll send a magic link to this email.
Send Magic Link

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

It would have been great for there to have been a formal process for people in the Workshops to suggest specific Articles, Sections, and Guardrails to be considerdled in Argentina to go into final dra

"Dreps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time" - the wording 'time to time' seems too open and could be replaced with "expected to follow codes of conduct"

It would be great to have a "charter" which can be principles, rather than constitutional code, which like the Magna Carter, or the declaration of independence, have simple universal appeal.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s (worked well) and deltas (could be improved) do you have for the workshop?

45 Billion ADA to be enshirned in Constitution as a hard cap. Couldn't submit this on last slide as had already submitted.

I really enjoyed the workshop, it was brilliantly hosted and very interesting to meet each attendee. Thank you

Experience was very enjoyable and the hosts were splendid!

Lovely to meet people who care about cardano!!

Important to have each voice heard - thanks facilitator

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

18 responses



Mentimeter

Great work! 

WELCOME!

Cardano Fort Myers Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 6 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Group Agreements

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules

1-2-4-ALL

Discussion Process

1) Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone. (3 min)
2) Pair: Share your notes with a partner. (7 min)
3) Four: Generate key themes and capture on Menti. (15 min)
4) Whole Group: Debrief on key themes, points of intersection, and points of differences. (15 min)
5) Vote. (5 min)



Let's get started 🚀

07. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include (select all that apply):

(a) A contingency fund to cover unexpected costs not otherwise specified in the Cardano Budget.

(b) An indemnity fund to cover potential claims against governance participants such as DReps and Constitutional Committee members.

(c) Funds to cover administrative costs of the CC.

(d) None of these.

07. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include (select all that apply):

D. Budget concerns should not be in the constitution. It's unenforceable on chain. Each unexpected expense or legal problem can submit a treasury withdrawl.

yes as long as there is abuse mitigation by 3rd party example community approval.

Does these budgets need to be constitutionally mandated? Can governmental actions be voted by dreps and spos instead?

Yes to a, b, and c

I say yes to a,b and c. It is very important to keep the keep the Cardano budget, committee members and administration fully funded

A. Yes, but it should be extremely well defined and agreed on by the community. B. Yes, but there should be a well defined budget and rules on withdrawal. C. Yes, there should be clear guidelines.

The budget should be designed to operate algorithmically. Allocations should be determined algorithmically. Contingency funds should b algorithmically, determined, including buffer levels..

A) No, we have reserves, this isnt required to be in Constitution B) No, not in Constitution, best addressed elsewhere, also implies wronfdping C) No D) yes, none of the above

07. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include (select all that apply):

a - yes b - yes, probably through pre-selected law firms for use if necessary. c - yes

D. None of these. Budget Don't need to be in the constitution.

C. The collective mind should think it is important to cover basic administrative costs, especially when the CC has gone 'no confidence' and needs to be replaced. This will be energetically expensive.

There should be guard reels

07a. Contingency/Indemnity: How would it work? Who for? Who would administer? None: Do you think funding should be mandated within Constitution?

None, I don't think the constituion should mandate funding. I think the constitution should mandate fiscal responsibility to limit spending.

None. Does not have to be mandated.

No

These things can be in a budget proposal. It shouldn't be mandated in the constitution. Dreps can approve a treasury withdrawal to fund it.

Budget decided by governance, should be used to cover very specific items (agreed on by governance, able to be changed) Agree with the need for funding, would be a rabbit hole to mandate via const.

Contingency of 100M ada provided once 10M ada is paid first for said cause if voted by community. For dreps and spos.

Contingencies should be algorithmically budgeted and controlled via DAO. Indemnity should be made vestigial by handling governance in a trustlessness and immutable manner. Administrative is fixed.

No

07a. Contingency/Indemnity: How would it work? Who for? Who would administer? None: Do you think funding should be mandated within Constitution?

No, I think folks will budget any needed contingency. No need for an indemnity 'war chest'. I do think that these indemnity funds are culturally significant.


in the slush fund

Lawsuits will happen. Each circumstance should be weighed independently by the community and support can be provided accordingly for each circumstance

We must also seek to separate budgets and operations from the Ada token. We need to start using collateralized lending for more of our grant operations.

Assuming none, existing MBO 's committees will organize to set these budgets.

07. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include (select all that apply):



(a) A contingency fund to cover unexpected costs not otherwise specified in the Cardano Budget.

(b) An indemnity fund to cover potential claims against governance participants such as DReps and Constitutional Committee members.

(c) Funds to cover administrative costs of the CC.

(d) None of these.

DReps

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

(a) DRep codes of conduct should be on-chain

(b) One code of conduct for all DReps

(c) Flexibility for each DRep to adopt its own code of conduct

(d) The Constitutional Committee should determine whether DRep code(s) of conduct are consistent with the Constitution

(e) Other guidelines for DRep codes of conduct

(f) None of these

DReps

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

None of these

I believe it's important to put the technical rules around being a DRep in the constitution. I also think it will be one of the most amended sections as we grow and learn best practices.

F

None, CoC's are not enforceable. They should be self declared by DReps, and enforceable by delegators if they fail to meet their obligations.

C

F. Dreps should have no code of conduct unless they want to publish one themselves. Dreps can be anonymous. People can vote by switching dreps if they find a dreps conduct to be problematic.

No unenforceable rules or wasted words in the constitution. We need to address that people have inherent conflicts of interest and address this by following first principles of cardano blockchain.

F

DReps

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

There are too many cultures to impose one culture's code of conduct over another. However, a minimum standard is plausible.

Is there a standard Cardano code of conduct?

a) A generic code of conduct for everyone must be registered on the chain

Codes of conduct can be addressed by making governance operate transparently and trustlessly.

D- absolutely positively not,,NO NO NO

A base code of conduct that applies by default (support constitution, bribes are bad, etc). Then DReps have option to hold themselves to more restrictive, but not less restrictive commitments

C

Edit~ A) Yes, keep it simple stupid B) No, unenforceable C) Yes, let the community flourish and grow its own code D) No, out of scope and unenforceable

DReps

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

DRep activity can be measured and could be a programmatically enforceable.


Guidelines and guard reels on constitution to keep it succinct.

None

c

DReps

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?



Break ☕

Stake Pool Operators

16. What requirements, if any, should the Constitution specify for SPO codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

(a) SPOs are expected to implement codes of conduct.

(b) SPO codes of conduct should be on-chain.

(c) The Constitutional Committee should determine whether SPO code(s) of conduct are consistent with the Constitution.

(d) Other guidelines for SPO codes of conduct.

(e) None.

Stake Pool Operators

16. What requirements, if any, should the Constitution specify for SPO codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

b-Any and all codes of conduct should be on chain

EEEEEEEEE Stake pool operators have successfully ran the chain wothiut a code of conduct and there is no need for one now or ever in the constitution

E. There's not been any codes of conduct so far. People can withdraw stake if they don't like the conduct of the spo.

Constitution should state there are to be codes of conduct but should not determine how or what they contain. Just outline the parameters and require them to be on chain.

A basic, default code of conduct (support the constitution and the long term health and resiliency/decentralization of the network). Option for more restrictive elements if the SPO wants

None, there is no way to enforce codes of conduct, and users stake is the primary method for ensuring spo's act and vote in a beneficial way.

SPOs a

Stake pool operators are the reason the blockchain exists and it is very easy to measure on chain metrics for SPO performance. SPO requirements are necessary and should be on chain.

Stake Pool Operators

16. What requirements, if any, should the Constitution specify for SPO codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

D

a. for the benefit of the community kind of lets people knw the values of the SPOs. B. should be on chain and accessible to all D. General code of conduct.

Stake to

This question is a non-starter unless there are on-chain identities that prohibit SPOs from ALSO being DReps.


Edit~ E) This question is a non-starter unless there are on-chain identities that largely prohibit SPOs from being DReps.

No really. SPOs already follow and meet tech parameters, and have been exposed to the public already. Keep it simple!

None

Stake Pool Operators

16. What requirements, if any, should the Constitution specify for SPO codes of conduct (select all that apply)?



(a) SPOs are expected to implement codes of conduct.

(b) SPO codes of conduct should be on-chain.

(c) The Constitutional Committee should determine whether SPO code(s) of conduct are consistent with the Constitution.

(d) Other guidelines for SPO codes of conduct.

(e) None.

Lunch 

17. Constitutional Guardrails specify a min/max size for the CC and min/max term lengths, but doesn't otherwise address details on its composition.

Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include (select all that apply):

(a) How the number of CC members are determined

(b) A fixed number of CC members (consistent with the Guardrails)

(c) How term limits for CC members are determined

(d) A fixed term limit for the CC members (consistent with the Guardrails)

(e) Other

(f) None of these

17. Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include (select all that apply):

B and D. Fixed sizes that are consistent with the guardrails. But also, the guardrails can be charged too.

a and d

On chain voting is a must. 7 members max term limit of 1 year. Able to serve multiple subsequent terms.

A) A method of how many CC members can be expressed in the Constitution. However, terms should be staggered to ensure continuity of knowledge.

c

The CC committee needs to be fixed in number and have a regular term of service and a process of impeachment. In the beginning as we move everything algorithmic CC members will be the check.

D. E. Term limits & details of a no confidence or empty committee. E. Max within Guardrails is prematurely established and unconstitutional E. I think this focus on the CC is a distraction

b) a fixed number of CC
d) a fixed limit

Constitutional Committee

17. Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include (select all that apply):

b, and d.. Keep the current number of members and the term limits.

Constitutional Committee

17a. What size or term length do you have in mind? Should these values be fixed, relational, or determined by on-chain voting?

1 election/year, need rhythm. CC min=3 max=9.

Fixed sizes determined by on chain voting.

60 epochs, with 7 epochs onboarding and 6 epochs offboarding with half committee rotating

1 year fixed.

1 year fixed terms, same election date range each year.

2 years and fixed

Term: 73 epocs or 1year - fixed term

17. Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include (select all that apply):



Constitutional Committee

19. What requirements, if any, should the Constitution include for the Constitutional Committee's code of conduct? (select all that apply)

(a) The CC code of conduct should be on-chain

(b) The Cardano Community should have a role in approving the CC's code of conduct

(c) Other guidelines for the CC code of conduct

(d) None of these

19. What requirements, if any, should the Constitution include for the Constitutional Committee's code of conduct? (select all that apply)

d

D. Anything else is unenforceable.

a- If there is a code of conduct, it must be succinct and on chain

The Constitutional Committees code of conduct should be mentioned in the constitution, however I don't believe anything listed here is vital. The community is already determining they're conductw/1694

None, CoC are not enforceable and therefore have no place in the constitution. Social reputation, stake, delegation, are our only tools for managing conduct.

Other. This is the one purely human element in the governance system that is required right now and we should be working towards the goal of making the CC committee unnecessary and irrelevant.

A. No, not onchain B. Yes, community decides on CoC C. No, not in Constitution but CC should have conflict on interest discovery internally and externally D. Yes, none of this in Constitution

None

19. What requirements, if any, should the Constitution include for the Constitutional Committee's code of conduct? (select all that apply)

None.

Why are we always talking about Codes of Conduct when we have NO identity and NO enforcement.

The only things that should be enforceable are on-chain action. Is the CC member fulfilling their obligation to act on active governance actions? Are they active?

beneficial conduct that can easily be verified by dReps.

A) No need for an on chain coc for cc B) Yes, the cardano community should have a role in approving the CC coc C) Yes Although, I feel like nothing is enforceable.

19a. How would any of the selected requirements be enforced?

They can't be.

They could only be enforced through social pressure or a vote of no confidence.

By Hosky

Vote of no confidence Marketing - Social Pressure Reputation

The same way if there were no requirements at all. A government action of no confidence and then reelect new CC. Therefore the CoC is not necessary.

by a vote of no confidence

Through the community, via DReps and SPOs exercising no confidence action if rising to that level of consensus

Jail

19a. How would any of the selected requirements be enforced?

vote of no confidence with time to transition to a new CC. Or have an interim CC until permanent selected

Public shaming. Yelling and gnashing of teeth.

Whining


Plutocracy continues to RULE!

Document and publish. Create shows to share the action to broader audiences.

Game theory GAMES. Study the problem and publish gamified results.

Hosky & Twitter

19. What requirements, if any, should the Constitution include for the Constitutional Committee's code of conduct? (select all that apply)



(a) The CC code of conduct should be on-chain

(b) The Cardano Community should have a role in approving the CC's code of conduct

(c) Other guidelines for the CC code of conduct

(d) None of these

Break ☕

Separation of Powers

23. What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?

(a) Prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO

(b) Require disclosure but do not prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO

(c) Include special conflicts of interest provisions

(d) Does not need to be addressed in the Constitution

(e) Unsure

Separation of Powers

23. What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?

Do not make unenforceable rules. They undermine confidence in the system. If you know people are breaking a rule it reduces confidence in the entire system.

D. Does not need to be addressed because it is not enforceable. It will be common for large staking companies like wave, iog, emurgo to be both roles.

B: Should not be restricted because you are both and it is good practice for it to be known. Also, does this really affect the outcome of votes based on number of DREPS and SPOs

D) Either a disclosure that this condition may exist, or leave it out of the constitution altogether. It is understood this condition would exist for some individuals.

Clearly prioritize inter-branch decentralization.

A. No B. No disclosure C. No D. Yes

B + C + E // I think it would be more powerful for SPOs to not be DReps at all - defined conflict of interest. This is unenforceable. Diversified stake weight is the optimal outcome.

D- It makes no sense to limit an SPO's vote to a different group of voters (where each pool has just 1 vote).

23. What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?

d: Does not need to be addressed adding too many options slows down innovation and hinders growth

Other + unsure// we need some time to build up the opportunity for precedent

The limitations imposed on SPOs shoulz trump the drep participation. What spos cannot vote on, those registered as dreps cannot vote on

I would like to see self disclosure of strategy and self published code of conduct. What's the difference between SPO and DRep stake weight? Technical vs non-technical concerns..

23. What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?



Delegate Election 



https://constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/

Reflection & Close

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

Not at this point.

No.

Not really. It was covered pretty well for something so new.

No, the conversations were very full and I was able to provides of my thoughts.

Yes. Every question asked prompted more questions . There should be a space for those thoughts after each question.

The constitution must be succinct and include only mandates that can be enforceable. It may include a purpose statement but that is the extent of the extra "fluff"

We need a figurehead every organizations has one, stve jobs, bill gates. Even if they don't have power under the Constitution will still need one. I propose to crown Charles as mandated as King

All workshops this year were mostly about codes of conduct and the questions posed were abstract. Interesting strategy.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

I suggest that project tokens in the Cardano ecosystem be counted as voting power.

Our goal is to define stakeholder rights and the tools to use leverage these rights.

Sirry didnt write them here there are many ,

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

Shorter self reflection periods, move to the table discussion faster, then into the full group. All in all, structure was very good, got us done within the suggested timeframe.

It was constructed fairly well. It was entertaining as well. I do think that most of the questions weren't that important of issues, and it strayed away from budget talk. Which is most important

The moving from individual to the table to the room was very useful.

I would've like to know better where the questions for today's workshop came from and a "why" for how they were chosen.

maybe reverse order: deliberations first, then written individual comments (and final voting) for each question

No deltas

Plus: the team hospitality is phenomenal The set up was great.

Great to get people involved, Delta need more information and detail on why the questions were the questions. Was hoping to get more info about the rest of the Constitution too.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

Delta: be ready to shorten time allotted to some subjects if topic is not controversial

Format was pretty good. The space felt open. Everyone expressed themselves, I didn't feel like anyone was holding back.

The process for the. Six questions we talked was indeed very informative and productive. It would be nice to participate on how other questions are addressed.

Subject matter is tough and fractals into more problems easily. Articulating this at the beginning could relieve some of the stress and brain-pain created by the activity. Acknowledgement.

Nothing to note.

There was a lack of reference or citation within the constitution that the questions were aimed to address. I would also like to see the results from the other workshops and an aggregation questions

is a good name.

you were fantastic!

Reflection & Close

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

21 responses



REFLECTION & CLOSE

What is your next step after this workshop?

go home

Stay involved and participate in the cardano community.

register as a drep

Build relationships with people who were here.

home sweet home to a place I belong, West Virgina

continue the path I am already on

Stay committed to taking governance actions for the right reasons.

Buy the DIP

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What is your next step after this workshop?

Hold some (un)Constitutional Cardano twitter spaces at the Hard Fork Cafe

The importance of committees in governing Cardano has been made more clear to me. I'm going to signup for Intersect and get involved and participate in a get committees.

Reflect and dive in further

Work on project catalyst "one vote" as I see even better how the world need this project to help with voting.

I will share the experience with friends from Brazil, take the steps to become a DRep and prepare my trip to Buenos Aires

continue circling the problem. stop by the fires of my fellow gov-heads when I see the light.

Incentivize all around me to become Intersect paid members ($10) before Sept 27, Apply for a commitee seat, get ready to vote!

Great work! 🙌

WELCOME!

Cardano GOMA RDC Atelier Constitutionnel Communauté (V)

Nous espérons inclure vos perspectives uniques sur 3 sujets focaux de la Constitution. Nous recueillons les commentaires de la communauté lors d'ateliers mondiaux pour façonner le projet de constitution avant la Convention constitutionnelle de décembre.

Accédez au projet de constitution sur :
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules

Commençons 🚀

30 MINS - DReps

11. Le projet de constitution stipule actuellement que : « Il est attendu des DReps qu'ils adoptent de temps à autre des codes de conduite régissant

leurs activités en tant que DReps et qu'ils rendent ces codes de conduite publics. » [Ceci se rapporte à : Article III, Article IV.]

Que devrait exiger la constitution concernant les codes de conduite des DReps (cochez toutes les cases appropriées) ?

(a) Les codes de conduite des DReps devraient être sur on-chain (la chaîne)
(b) Un code de conduite unique pour tous les DReps
(c) Flexibilité pour chaque DRep d'adopter son propre code de conduite
(d) Le Comité Constitutionnel devrait déterminer si le(s) code(s) de conduite des DReps sont conformes à la Constitution
(e) Autres directives pour les codes de conduite des DReps
(f) Aucun de ces choix

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

15 MINS - DREPS

11a.Veuillez fournir votre/vos réponse(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) et la justification pour la question 11. Vous pouvez soumettre plusieurs réponses.

(d) Le Comité Constitutionnel devrait déterminer si le(s) code(s) de conduite des DReps sont conformes à la Constitution , (a) Les codes de conduite des DReps devraient être sur on-chain (la chaîne)

a) je choisi cette assertions par ce qu'il fallait que ça se passe sur on-chain, sur on-chain ça va être tellement bien parce que même le DReps ne doit pas changer le code de conduite

b) oui, ça le permettre de suivre ce codes et ça sera bon qu'il soit unique a tous le DReps

(a) *Les codes de conduite des DReps devraient être sur on-chain (la chaîne): cela permet aux Dreps d'accéder aux codes facilement et sans intermédiaire

a. parce que cest la comité constitionelle qui valide ou refute les actions des Drep d. parce que ca se rapporte avec la conformité de la constitution

a) Ce code doit normalement être accessible au public pour avoir des informations sûres aux droits et devoirs des DReps avant la délégation.

b) Tous les DReps doivent avoir un même code de conduite du fait que qu'ils jouent tous même rôle.

d) Normalement comme c'est la constitution qui doit conduire les différentes transactions pour éviter l'opacité dans la gestion.

15 MINS - DREPS

11a.Veuillez fournir votre/vos réponse(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) et la justification pour la question 11. Vous pouvez soumettre plusieurs réponses.

(b) Un code de conduite unique pour tous les DReps: cela veut dire qu'il faut qu'il y ait uniformité des codes puis que l'objectif poursuivi est le même

a) parce que si le code est sur la chaîne ce plus sécurisé car avant de le modifier ils devraient associer les membres ou la communauté. b) cela permettrait de soumettre tous les DReps au mêmes règles

(c) Flexibilité pour chaque DRep d'adopter son propre code de conduite Cette option permet aux DReps d'adopter des codes de conduite adaptés à leurs propres situations, ce qui peut être important pour

(a) Parce que selon la constitution à la page 5 section nous dit que les codes de conduite des Dreps doit être public. b) le code doit être uniforme. et (d) les DRep doivent se soumettre aux règles

b) UN code de conduite unique pour tous les DREPS pour qu ils travaillent de la même manière. d)Le comité devrait déterminer si le codes est conforment à la constitution.

b)UN code de conduite unique pour tous les DREPS pour qu ils travaillent de la même manière. d)Les comite devrait déterminer si le code est conforme à la constitution.

EPONSE(A et): ces codes des conduites sont importants pour les DReps pour les unifier leurs tâches étant que DReps , nous aimerions que ces codes puissent être unique et on chain déterminée par le co

(a)Les codes de conduite des DReps devraient être sur on-chain Cette option signifie que les codes de conduite doivent être enregistrés de manière permanente et accessible sur la blockchain


15 MINS - DREPS

11a.Veuillez fournir votre/vos réponse(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) et la justification pour la question 11. Vous pouvez soumettre plusieurs réponses.

a) Les codes de conduite des DReps devraient être sur on-chain (la chaîne) ceci pour rendre public ce code aux membres de la communauté

Les codes de conduite des DReps devraient être sur on-chain (la chaîne) pour le mettre à la portée de tous les membres de la communauté Cardano et être accessible à tous

11. Que devrait exiger la constitution concernant les codes de conduite des DReps (cochez toutes les cases appropriées) ?



Pause ☕

30 MINS - DReps

14. La Constitution devrait-elle inclure un mécanisme permettant aux détenteurs d'ada de resélectionner leurs DRep au fil du temps ?

[Concerne : l'article IV.]

14. Should the Constitution include a mechanism that causes ada holders to re-select their DReps over time?

1) 5 Mins - Solo
2) 10 Mins - Paire
3) 15 Mins - Groupe

15 MINS - DReps

14a. Veuillez fournir votre réponse (Oui/Non/Incertain) et la justification. Si vous avez répondu Oui, veuillez expliquer ce que vous ajouteriez

OUI, car la blockchaine cardano n'a pas des partis pris , et tout le monde peut participer ce qui renforce sa qualité d'etre une blockchain decentralisé

Oui, par ce que les ADA holder peuvent resélectionner des nouveaux DRep chez qui ils doivent déléguer si le DRep de depart n'a pas bien jouer son rôle de représentant. cela permet une compétetitivité

- oui parce que le propriétaire d'ada qui donne le pouvoir Aux DRep

-Oui,les détenteurs de Ada ont les pouvoirs aux DReps. ce qui veut dire que d'autant qu'il peuvent le sélectionner quand il veulent

oui. car l'écosystème cardano sera régi par un modèle de contrat intelligent.

Oui/ une relégation DReps qui expire parce que il faut que ça soit un mécanisme qui permet aux détenteurs d'ada de retirer leur pouvoir s'ils ne travaillent pas comme il le fallait.

Oui, pour mettre de l'ordre dans la gouvernance, promouvoir l'alternance, mais aussi permettre aux autres personnes d'accéder à ce poste de Drep et être expansionné dans la gouvernance Cardano, Oui

OUI; car cette theorie permettra aussi une bonne rotation , car tout le monde aimerez participer

14a. Veuillez fournir votre réponse (Oui/Non/Incertain) et la justification. Si vous avez répondu Oui, veuillez expliquer ce que vous ajouteriez

Oui, parce que les détenteurs d'Ada doivent resélectionner d'autres DReps pour déléguer leurs avoirs à ceux qui peuvent répondre favorablement à leurs attentes.

oui car tous les proprietaires d'adas ainsi que leurs represanta ts desingés auront le droit de proposer des modifications à la structure de gouvernence de l'ecosysteme cardano confermement au cardano

Oui) parce que les Dreps doivent changer normalement car si ça n'as pas marché, les détendeurs d'ada doivent normalement changer leurs Dreps.(Oui)

oui, parce que les DReps peuvent user leurs pouvoir contrairement aux souhaits de ses membres qui délèguent chez lui

Oui, car cela permet un suivi permanent et offre aux détenteurs d'ada la possibilité de participer activement à la gouvernance en choisissant de réélire les DReps. Oui

OUI, car les détenteurs de ADA doivent avoir la pleine liberté de continuer avec leurs anciens DReps ou alors de les changer selon leurs propres raisons. OUI

Oui parce que les détenteurs d'Ada devraient retirer leurs voix à tout moment, et surtout si celui choisi avant ne répond plus à leurs attentes.

Oui pour permettre aux détenteurs d'Ada de rester avec leurs pouvoirs de voté

15 MINS - DReps


14a. Veuillez fournir votre réponse (Oui/Non/Incertain) et la justification. Si vous avez répondu Oui, veuillez expliquer ce que vous ajouteriez

Oui

Oui, un tel mécanisme dans la constitution pourrait renforcer la démocratie et la responsabilité au sein de l'écosystème CARDANO Oui.

Oui,parce que ca rend ces codes de conduite accessibles à public

14. La Constitution devrait-elle inclure un mécanisme permettant aux détenteurs d'ada de resélectionner leurs DRep au fil du temps ?



Lunch 

30 MINS - SPOs

16. Étant donné que les SPOs auront désormais un rôle de vote mandaté par la Constitution pour certaines actions de gouvernance, les OPS...(1-2-4)

devraient-ils être soumis à un code de conduite mandaté par la Constitution
Discutez de votre opinion sur l'obligation pour les SPOs d'adopter un code de conduite et indiquez s'il mérite d'être inclus dans la Constitution.

Quelles exigences, le cas échéant, la Constitution devrait-elle spécifier pour les codes de conduite des FS (sélectionnez toutes les réponses qui s'appliquent) ?

(a) On attend des FS qu'ils mettent en œuvre des codes de conduite.
(b) Les codes de conduite des OPS doivent être présents sur la chaîne.
(c) Le Comité constitutionnel doit déterminer si le(s) code(s) de conduite des SPOs est (sont) conforme(s) à la Constitution.
(d) Autres lignes directrices pour les codes de conduite des FS
(e) Aucune

ENG16. Given that SPOs will now have a constitutionally-mandated..

15 MINS - SPOs

16a. Veuillez fournir votre (vos) réponse(s) (a/b/c/d/e) et votre justification pour la question 16. Vous pouvez soumettre plusieurs réponses.

b) Du fait qu'ils seront accessibles au public pour avoir des informations sur les obligations des SPOs en respect de la constitution. (c) Pour ne pas être à base d'application des actions indignent

B. Les codes de conduite des SPO doivent être sur la chaîne parce qu'il auront un rôle spécifique dans l'approbation des actions et que leur rôle nécessitera une surveillance et une indépendante.

a)Oui/ les SPOs doivent avoir leur code conduite. ils doivent être régis

(b) raison: Pour permettre à tout le monde (la communauté) d'en savoir sur les règles applicables aux SPO conformément à leur rôle ou mandat.

C: nous pensons que OUI le comité doit déterminer si le code de conduite des opérateurs de pool sont conforme à la constitution pour s'assurer réellement s'il respecter la dite constitution

b. Si les codes de conduite des SPOs sont on-chain, cela permet une transparence en facilitant leur vérification par tous les participants à la gouvernance on-chain de Cardano.

(C) Pour éviter la divagation aux sein des SPO en dehors du code, cela renforcera la confiance et la responsabilité au sein de l'Ecosystème CARDANO.

Assertion B Réponse : oui, le code doit être sur la chain pour prouver la transparence, et permettre aux SPOs d'y accéder facilement

16a. Veuillez fournir votre (vos) réponse(s) (a/b/c/d/e) et votre justification pour la question 16. Vous pouvez soumettre plusieurs réponses.

b) Le code de conduite sur la chaîne ajouterait un niveau de transparence, permettant à la communauté de vérifier que les SPO respectent
leurs engagements. c) le CC doit surveiller que cela respecté

Answer: a. OUI, les SPOS doivent être soumis à un code de conduite mandaté par la Constitution comme ils ont un mandat; cela évite la violation de la constitution et le respect de leurs mandateurs.

(c)car les codes seront révisés par la constitution avant la publication, enfin de déterminer si les codes sont conformes ou non.

(c)car les codes seront révisés par la constitution avant la publication, enfin de déterminer si les codes sont conformes ou non.

c. car le comité constitutionnel joue le rôle d'arbitre afin de recadrer tous les codes de conduites de manière conforme);

c. car les règlements doivent venir des tous les membres de l'écosystème cardano.

b car il doivent participer en tant qu'opérateur des nœuds; pour garantir que l'action de gouvernance ne met pas en danger la securité de la blockchain.


b. Pour permettre à tous les acteurs de la gouvernance d'avoir accès à ces codes de conduite

15 MINS - SPOs

16a. Veuillez fournir votre (vos) réponse(s) (a/b/c/d/e) et votre justification pour la question 16. Vous pouvez soumettre plusieurs réponses.

a.car pour les SPO sont différents de DRep parce que SPO c'est un groupe de personnes ou stake pool, qui possède leurs règlements alors pour délégué chez SPO il faut se conformer à leur loi en tant qu

16. Étant donné que les SPOs auront désormais un rôle de vote mandaté par la Constitution pour certaines actions de gouvernance, les OPS



a) On attend des FS qu'ils mettent en œuvre des codes de conduite.

b) Les codes de conduite des OPS doivent être présents sur la chaîne.

c) Le Comité constitutionnel doit déterminer si le(s) code(s) de conduite des SPOs est (sont) conforme(s) à la Constitution.

d) Autres lignes directrices pour les codes de conduite des FS

e) Aucune

Pause ☕

23. Actuellement, il n'existe pas de règle interdisant de voter sur la même action de gouvernance en tant que DRep et SPO.

Discutez de votre opinion sur la question de savoir si le fait de voter sur la même action de gouvernance qu'un DRep et un SPO crée des problèmes uniques qui doivent être abordés dans la Constitution.

Que devrait dire la Constitution, le cas échéant, concernant le vote des propriétaires d'ada sur la même action de gouvernance en tant que DRep et SPO ?

a) Interdire de voter sur la même action de gouvernance qu'un DRep et un SPO.
b) Exiger la divulgation mais ne pas interdire le vote sur la même action de gouvernance qu'un DRep et un SPO.
c) Inclure des dispositions spéciales sur les conflits d'intérêts.
d) N'a pas besoin d'être abordé dans la Constitution.
e) Incertain

23.What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep&SPO

23a. Veuillez fournir votre réponse (a/b/c/d/e) et votre justification pour la question 23

a) Nous avons adopté la séparation du pouvoir entre les Dreps et Spos. On suggère un article en rapport avec la séparation de pouvoir entre ces deux catégories soit inséré dans la constitution.

a) Parce qu'une personne devait correspondre à une voix peu importe le statut qu'occupe l'individu

ASSession : C il n'ont pas besoin d'être aborder par la constitution #Parce que si une fois on aborder ça il y aura des écartement entre Drep et SPO#

a. Cela garantit que chaque action de gouvernance est votée de manière équitable, avec une voix par personne, quel que soit le rôle qu'ils jouent.

A

A

Je trouve que (a)est correcte

L'interdiction totale de voter sur la même action de gouvernance en tant que DRep et SPO pourrait être perçue comme une mesure stricte visant à éviter tout conflit d'intérêts.

23a. Veuillez fournir votre réponse (a/b/c/d/e) et votre justification pour la question 23

c " Inclure des dispositions spéciales sur les conflits d'intérêts"; ceci permet de d'assurer le bon fonctionnement et établir les responsabilités de l'un et de l'autre d'une part et de départager

C. Parce que s'il y'a des dispositions spéciale sur les conflits d'intérêts ça limiterait les enjeux dû au conflits d'intérêt, puisque celà vas permettre à l'accès aux mêmes avantages pour tous.

c)Il serait important de mettre en place une réglementation pour la séparation du pouvoir envie de limiter les conflits d'intérêts et les gérer de manière rationnelle et durable.

b) La divulgation de sa position occupé serait important pour savoir le role joué par chacun au depart à l'écosystème soit en tant que DRep ou SPO pour limiter les conflits d'intéret de votants

Assertion A: il faut interdire de voter sur la meme action de gouvernance entre Drep et SPO pour tracer un peu de difference entre le deux, et ça va permettre aux Dreps de connaitre leurs places


(c) les dispositions ou règles limiterai les lementations et duplication d'action de vote conforme à plusieurs niveau. Ces dispositions garantissent que la gouvernance fonctionne de manière équitable.

c. Pour éviter des situations où un individu pourrait exercer une influence sur les actions de gouvernance en votant à la fois en tant que DRep et SPO sur la même action de gouvernance.

b. car ils peuvent participer à des actions de gouvernance en chaîne dans les deux cas avant d' exercer une gouvernance en chaîne

10 MINS - SPOs

23.Que devrait dire la Constitution, le cas échéant, concernant le vote des propriétaires d'ada sur la même action de gouvernance en tant que DRep/SPO



a) Interdire de voter sur la même action de gouvernance qu'un DRep et un SPO.

b) Exiger la divulgation mais ne pas interdire le vote sur la même action de gouvernance qu'un DRep et un SPO.

c) Inclure des dispositions spéciales sur les conflits d'intérêts.

d) N'a pas besoin d'être abordé dans la Constitution.

e) Incertain

Delegate Election 

Voting App:

https://constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/

RÉFLEXION ET FERMETURE

Y a-t-il des idées que vous n'avez pas eu l'occasion de partager ?

Non	nothing	NON	Non
Ras	Non	Ras	J'ai été satisfaite de la journée, j'ai beaucoup appris

RÉFLEXION ET FERMETURE

Y a-t-il des idées que vous n'avez pas eu l'occasion de partager ?

Non

Ras

Iy a pas

Rien à signaler

Nous avons tellement apprécié l'atelier mais nous aurions voulu que la constitution après vote en Argentine soit directement onchain et que les règles soit d'application directement

Rien a signalé

RAS

est-il possible à une personne qui ne disposer pas d'Ada mais qui participe à l'atelier de devenir DRAP ?

RÉFLEXION ET FERMETURE

Y a-t-il des idées que vous n'avez pas eu l'occasion de partager ?

RAS

Suis vraiment satisfait

RAS

Non, par ce que, selon moi on a tout dire

Pour mois il y a pas grand choses mais j'ai aime l'atelier était pratique et inspira et aussi connaître le vote on chain.

Non

On n'a pas abordé la question sur la rémunération de SPOs

Non j me suis bien senti à ma place merci beaucoup

RÉFLEXION ET FERMETURE

Y a-t-il des idées que vous n'avez pas eu l'occasion de partager ?

Aucunes

Non

merci beaucoup madame [redacted] asante sana

non

pour cette evenement est benefique pour moi par ce que je apris sur les SPOS et sur le DRep, je trouve que ce bien vrais

No comment

Aucunes

Nous sommes très ravis d'y participer à cette atelier, de l'organisation qui a été formidable et surtout de voter notre DRep qui va nous représenter à l'argentine. Merci beaucoup [redacted] ✌️ ✌️ ✌️

RÉFLEXION ET FERMETURE

Y a-t-il des idées que vous n'avez pas eu l'occasion de partager ?

Non

Nous apprécions la méthodologie qui a été adoptée dans le déroulement de la conférence car elle a favorisée la participation de tous sans exception !!!

l'essentiel a été dit

Non non en tout cas moi j'apprécie beaucoup cet atelier d'aujourd'hui car j'ai beaucoup appris des choses concernant la gouvernance

De mon coté j'apprécie la façon dont l'atelier s'est déroulé, mais je souhaité qu'on discute également sur la question qui parle demande si les DReps devraient être récompensés.

tuna shukuru

Bien sûr car nous avons apprécié la séance d'aujourd'hui, si surtout votre présence dans notre conférence en tout ça été un plaisir avec vous madame.

No comment

RÉFLEXION ET FERMETURE

Y a-t-il des idées que vous n'avez pas eu l'occasion de partager ?

Je dirais que tout s'est passé dans le bon.

No, there is no idea all workship subject has been said. thanks you for today

Concernant la journée d'aujourd'hui tout était rose comme prévu

Rien à signaler

Merci beaucoup

rien de whaouh

Tout a été dit !

tout est nickel

RÉFLEXION ET FERMETURE

Y a-t-il des idées que vous n'avez pas eu l'occasion de partager ?

Non

L'attelier a pris beaucoup de temp

S'etait un plaisirs de participer et partager mon point de vu

thank you

Que les ADA holders ai aussi le pouvoir et considération au sein de la constitution et que tout membre actif ait accès aux subventions d'Intersect

Tout est ok

Moi de ma part je ne pas beaucoup de choses à ajouter, je tiens seulement à vous remercier de nous avoir occupé pendant tous temps merci beaucoup 👏

the problem of timming

RÉFLEXION ET FERMETURE

Y a-t-il des idées que vous n'avez pas eu l'occasion de partager ?

Je suis satisfait pour cet atelier car on a fait l'essentiel de l'atelier

C'était vraiment un grand plaisir, faire partir des gens qui mettent en place la constitution de Cardano est un grand honneur Vraiment merci infiniment

Je suis satisfait et j´ai beaucoup appris aujourd'hui merci beaucoup

On n'a pas respecté le temps

Merci

Sawa sawa

Il falut équilibré les moyens de transports, en limitant les dépenses liées à la nourriture ;

J'ai été vraiment ravie de pouvoir prendre part avec vous aujourd'hui. J'ai été vraiment très intéressée vis à vis de la blockchain cardano

RÉFLEXION ET FERMETURE

Y a-t-il des idées que vous n'avez pas eu l'occasion de partager ?

Nous avons était satisfait de l'événement d'aujourd'hui et nous encourageons l'équipe pour la réalisation

Ras, c'est un plaisir pour nous d'avoir participé

Tout a était dit

Les appréciations sont telles que la séance a surpassé le durée qui été dit mais il est bien passé et nous somme ravis pour les gens que nous avons delegué à argentine

Rien

Non respect du temps Mais l'organisation étant bonne merci beaucoup

Par rapport à cette séance j'ai trouvé que c intéressant de ma part, nous avons appris comment se fait la constitution de gouvernance de l'écosystème CARDANO et j'ai même assisté au vote des DReps

RÉFLEXION ET FERMETURE

Quels avantages (+) et quels deltas (-) avez-vous pour l'atelier ? Commencez par +/- puis votre commentaire

Prochainement nous aurions souhaiter que l'atelier se passe avant le temps afi de finir avant

Apprendre d'avantages sur cardano

Le temps n'a pas ete vraiment bien respecté ! Et il y avait beaucoup de désordres entre les intervenants !

L'atélier nous a permis d'écquerir une nouvelle expérience quant à la Gouvernance de Cardano et sa nouvelle constitution e cours d'élaboration. En soit le Workshop était tellement bien organisé

Avantages (+) 1. Collaboration Accrue : - L'atelier favorise l'échange d'idées et la synergie entre les participants, ce qui peut mener à des solutions plus innovantes.

- améliorer des explications

tout ce passe bien vraiment

L'atelier d'aujourd'hui nous a permis d'acquérir des nouvelles horizons à ce qui concerne la constitution de l'écosystème cardano malgré que l'on a pas respecté l'heure

RÉFLEXION ET FERMETURE

Quels avantages (+) et quels deltas (-) avez-vous pour l'atelier ? Commencez par +/- puis votre commentaire

Ce qu'il faudra améliorer prochainement c'est le timing de début et fin de l'atélier vu la situation du pays

+j'ai beaucoup appris

Je penses que nous attendons seulement ,l'essentiel est fait

Tout était bon sauf que le temps n'a pas été respecté

La gestion du temps n'a pas été rationnelle

comme avantage nous avons pu participer à l'élaboration de la constitution et au vote organisé

L'atelier m'a permis de comprendre la constitution ainsi que la constitution

C'était génial tout simplement

RÉFLEXION ET FERMETURE

Quels avantages (+) et quels deltas (-) avez-vous pour l'atelier ? Commencez par +/- puis votre commentaire

+ l'avantages est que j'ai acquérir les compétences le plus nécessaire et bénéfique dans ma vie ,tout à marcher j'ai rien vu de mal

Voting and reacting on the constitutionnal statement was my first advantage for the workshop but i did'nt like the way host were interacting like explaining general things which don't matter.

Je suis élu réserviste pour aller représenter l'équipe. Néanmoins,cette Atelier a pris beaucoup de temps. A la prochaine veiller à réduire le temps où a faire l'activité dans 2 jours. Sécurité svp

connaître le fonctionnement de cardano surtout sur le plan représentatif

Nous félicitons la transparence dans le vote et la décentralisation manifestée dans l'écosystème Et peut-être que nous avons pas bien respecté le temps comme prévu

Et de nous avoir donne la chance de participer dans cette atelier de constitution de gouvernance de l'écosystème cardano, nous avons beaucoup appris aujourd'hui 👏

Les avantages ça va me permettre de bien comprendre l'importance de cardono Je suis vraiment contente parce que tout Les événements d'aujourd'hui s'étaient bien déroulée Vraiment pour moi y'a pas de

Tout était bien passé J'ai su par rapport à la gouvernance de l'écosystème cardano

RÉFLEXION ET FERMETURE

Quels avantages (+) et quels deltas (-) avez-vous pour l'atelier ? Commencez par +/- puis votre commentaire

L'avantage est que j'ai appris, deltas est vous nous faites rentrer trop tard compte tenu de l'insecuriter que nous avons dans la ville,

Apprendre sur la gouvernance de cardano

L'atelier nous a permis d'approfondir des connaissances sur la Gouvernance de Cardano.le plus grand avantage

1. Collaboration Accrue : - L'atelier favorise l'échange d'idées et la synergie entre les participants, ce qui peut mener à des solutions à plusieurs personnes

J'ai beaucoup apprécié et appris dans cet atelier d'aujourd'hui en tout cas je suis satisfaite en tout cas pour moi je dirais que tout était très bon j'ai bcp appris concernant la gouvernance merci.

L'atelier était bien sur le plan organisation vraiment rien à signaler et on espère prochainement avoir plus que ça.

host were making noises and wasting time

j'aurais voulu que le respect de l'heure sois de ligueur, si non pour le reste c'est bon.

RÉFLEXION ET FERMETURE

Quels avantages (+) et quels deltas (-) avez-vous pour l'atelier ? Commencez par +/- puis votre commentaire

De ma part l'avantage de l'atelier était de savoir le différent fonctionnalités de délégués, vraiment j'ai tout apprécié

Dans les prochaines séances j'aimerais que le temps soit bien géré et respecter. Si la sortie c'est 16h30, qu'on le respecte et qu'il n'arrive pas jusqu'à 17h passé

Non respect du temps Mais l'organisation était bonne

Comme désavantage je dirai que la connexion nous a fait un peu defaut mais a grande partie les choses ont été géniales

+/- l'atelier m'as beaucoup aider.

+ Nous avons été bien traités. - Il ya eu dérangement dans l'Atelier et la logistique était lente.

Nous pensons ça va quand même car l'atelier a été de bonne couleur voir l'espace et la connexion de bonne qualité etc.

C'était vraiment sawa sawa

RÉFLEXION ET FERMETURE

Quels avantages (+) et quels deltas (-) avez-vous pour l'atelier ? Commencez par +/- puis votre commentaire

Pour moi l'atelier été une réussite par ce que c'était la pratique et ce bon alors continue avec de tel pratique pour d'autres atelier, il y a aucun problème

IS Sawa sawa

+ L'échange en groupe c'est une bonne chose mais je trouve que nous gagneront plus de en faisant individuel et après partager Collectif/ discussion - Respect du temps

Merci beaucoup pour aujourd'hui

Avec cet atelier on s'est familiarisé bien de l'outil menti.com et nous apprécions sa passation où on a découvert des intras utiles, de manière générale c'est bon. Mais, on a débordé le temps prévu.

Le workshop était bon, et malgré que la facilitatrice parlait anglais, il y avait un interprète. Je souhaiterais que pour des prochaines ateliers les facilitateurs soient présentes physiquement.

Nous remercions les organisateurs pour leurs transparences dans les votes. Mais aussi recommandons aux organisateurs de respecter le timing.

Les avantages: c'est la conformité du lieu, des bons cost Les inconvénients:C'est le non respect de l' heure

RÉFLEXION ET FERMETURE

Quels avantages (+) et quels deltas (-) avez-vous pour l'atelier ? Commencez par +/- puis votre commentaire

Il faudrait chercher une personne du domaine de bien parlé qui sera là pour les explications parce que tous le monde ne nécessairement un bon parlere

Finissez s'il te plait

Il fallait respecter le temps de la fin d'atelier comme on n'avais planifier

Apprendre d'avatange sur la gouvernace de cardano . L'atelier était vraiment on pour nous

Cet atelier permet l'amélioration de la constitution

Mirobolant

Les avantages ce que l'atelier s'est bien dérouler du début a la fin; on eté bien assis et avons bien suivi les étapes de partages en groupes. DELTAS, le temps n'etais pas respecter

L'atelier nous a aider de savoir de plus sur la constitution mais il a été straissant

RÉFLEXION ET FERMETURE

Quels avantages (+) et quels deltas (-) avez-vous pour l'atelier ? Commencez par +/- puis votre commentaire

Satisfaction

Kitokossssss

ce qui a marché est que on a fait tout les points qui étaient prévu

Super

So good

parfait

So good

So good

RÉFLEXION ET FERMETURE

Quels avantages (+) et quels deltas (-) avez-vous pour l'atelier ? Commencez par +/- puis votre commentaire

La flexibilité pour chaque DRep d'adopter son propre code de conduite La comité constitutionnel devrait déterminer les codes de DRep

Quel mot ou quelle expression résume le mieux ce que vous avez retenu de l'atelier ?

60 réponses



Excellent travail 🇨🇩 🙌🏿

WELCOME!

Cardano Liberia Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

GROUND RULES

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules



Let's get started 🚀

15 MINS

What brought you here today? What are you hoping to get from this experience?

Broad knowledge on crypto investment

Cardamom Constitutional Workshop

To obtain an introduction to cripto currency

I have come to get more knowledge on the blockchain network

Constitutional Drafting

To understand block chain

I am very much interested in blockchain technology, and I have a very little expirence

I had the opportunity to be invited by . My experience is to expose my knowledge in block chain

15 MINS

What brought you here today? What are you hoping to get from this experience?

To acquire knowledge of how the blackchin works and ways to get involved into it

To learn about block chain. Im.hoping to learn more the methods on block chain.

I want to learn more about cardano technology and block chain. Moreover, to understand more about the constitution.

After this training I expect to gain more knowledge and skills that will enable me to make good impact.

I come here today because I wanna learn more about Cardano and Bitcoin or crypto currency. My Mentor I explain the essential and got interested

Want to be part of the history making

I am here to provide input to the cardano constitution. To attend an awareness on the block chain

Understanding legal matters on crypto currency

15 MINS

What brought you here today? What are you hoping to get from this experience?

To gain more knowledge and skills on block chain and improve my 🎿 as we'd.

Well, what brought me here is to require basic knowledge about blockchain leadership and constitutional rights. I also love democracy to be practiced all over the world.

I am here to provide inputs to the cardano construction and have broader knowledge on crypto currency.

To know all about Cardano Constitution and block chain. How I could be apart of what sounds like a awesome way of being in the now

I came to attend the cardano constitution because it is a first time in Liberia . And I wish to have a life changing experience and to know more about the rules that gonvors the internet .

I am hoping to get as much information as possible about blockchain.

Im attending this workshop to acquire knowledge on block chain and how to benefit from crypto and make input to the cardano Constitution.

Knowledgeable about blockchain ecosystem

15 MINS

What brought you here today? What are you hoping to get from this experience?

To gain more knowledge and skills.and to build up my ski.

I here to gain more knowledge and understanding on the blockchain

I am here to bring governance to cardano so it becomes a self-sustaining system

I expect myself to gain more knowledge. Build up my skills

I am hoping to know much about cardano

1-2-4-ALL

Discussion Process

1) Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone. (3 min)
2) Pair: Share your notes with a partner. (7 min)
3) Four: Generate key themes and capture on Menti. (15 min)
4) Whole Group: Debrief on key themes, points of intersection, and points of differences. (15 min)
5) Vote. (5 min)

07. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include (select all that apply):

Discuss your thoughts on if the Constitution should mandate that budgets include, for example, a contingency fund, an indemnity fund and funds to cover administrative costs of the CC.


(a) A contingency fund to cover unepxected costs not otherwise specified in the Cardano Budget.

(b) An indemnity fund to cover potential claims against governance participants such as DReps and Constitutional Committee members.

(c) Funds to cover administrative costs of the CC.

(d) None of these.

Q. 07. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include (select all that apply):



(a) A contingency fund to cover unexpected costs not otherwise specified in the Cardano Budget.

(b) An indemnity fund to cover potential claims against governance participants such as DReps and Constitutional Committee members.

(c) Funds to cover administrative costs of the CC.

(d) None of these.

Q. 07. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include (select all that apply):

Contingency	In every budget preparation of any organization, there should be a contingency fund to be used when there are unexpected expenses.	We selected (a) because without contingency there is a huge risk for project failure.	Because the budget needs the components of some other iteniary
There is a high risk of project failure when there is absence of a contingency fund.	a. I selected constituency which is letter a because we it difficult to do a budget without constingency.	A, because it will definitely lead to a high risk in the budget. Moreover, the budget might lead to a slight failer.	a) There is a possibility of budget failure. There should be a precusionary motive in making a budget to avoid failure of budget.

Q. 07. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include (select all that apply):

A contingency fund is necessary to cover unexpected emergency

There is a need for a Contingency plan within the Blockchain budget, the project may fail without a contingency plan.

The issues that necessitate a budget

My Thoughts: I believe that (a) (a contingency fund) should be considered essential. A decentralized blockchain like Cardano must have the flexibility to adapt to unforeseen events.

A) My reason of select A is that it's help the budget not to against it's implementers. Not withstanding I would suggest that a percentage be used.

Contingency is needed because we don't know what's going to happen.

Because the budget needs to includes many unforseen spending

A contingency fund should be included to cover up unexpected emergency.

Q. 07. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include (select all that apply):

Constingency is very essential for budget planning because we should expect the unexpected

There should be also be an indemnity fund to be used when there are unexpected losses or damages.

It must be included... Contingency plan is important in every project budget..

I choose C because those unexpected cost will come in the future, 2. Other reason is, those funds that unexpected to block chain will also help in the future

A) contingency budgeting is key for success for any institution because we can not predict what will happen in the nearest future. That way, contingency budget will support any eventuality

I chose yes to all of it ,reasoning being that with out budgeting for all this expenses , it will be difficult or chanlleging to implememt Blockchain .

I selected option a. Because It will help to cover unexpected costs in the budget operation.

Because contingency involves other monetary that are seen and unseen spending

Q. 07. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include (select all that apply):

For the administrative costs, i also agree to it depending on the nature of the expense. It should not cover all administrative expenses.

I selected A because i believe that contingency fund is of great important in case of some events that may come out during the time of the budget. Example the rise in price

If the planning is SMART, the need for contingency /unforseen should not be, instead, a no cost extension for unused fund instead

A was selected for the purpose of keeping the focus of the organization free of financial constraints

Funds to cover administrative funds should be allocated

yes

A. Having a contingency budget line allows a clear plan for handling emergency & unexpected human happenings. It should be tightly managed by elect committee members from across the community

Here are some possibilities that could be included in such a mandate, and feel free to select all that apply: 1. Transparency of funds 2. Decentralised Governance 3. Sustainability Allocation

Q. 07a. Provide rationale for each answer you selected in Q. 07. Contingency/Indemnity: How would it work? Who for? Who would administer?

The contingency is relevant because the budget lines will remain free of interference	Contingency is necessary for the seen and unseen spending	Contingency should work out when there's a budget shortfall. For the project implementation. The project manager should administer the contingency	I selected Contingency because it very essential to have planned for expected cost such as increase or decrease in the rate of commodity prices
Selected members from across the community	did not support	The contingency should work for people who may experience issues along the way.	Contingency work by identifying Risk such as natural disasters etc . Contingency works for those who may be involve in risk . Contingency should be administered by risk management team, administration

Q. 07a. Provide rationale for each answer you selected in Q. 07. Contingency/Indemnity: How would it work? Who for? Who would administer?

it should support people who may experience fluctuation in the exchange

It should be included in the planning of the budget. It should cover unexpected liabilities/losses/damages incurred along the process

Qa yes they should be cluded for citizens Qb yes they should be cluded also and Qc they should be covered

Break ☕


40 MINS 1, 2, 4 ALL Process

Q. 8. The Cardano community is expected to propose...

---not less than on an anual basis, a budget for the ongoing maintenance and future development of the Cardano Blockchain.

Discuss whether a detailed process for developing and approving the Cardano Budget should be specified in the Constitution. [Pertains to: Article III Section 8 and Guardrail 3]

Q. 8. Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process?



Q. 8a. Provide rationale for your response. If responded "yes" consider: Should Constitution identify how Cardano Budget will be administered?

The greater participation is very cardinal for the purpose of fiscal discipline, financial control and the promotion of due diligence in the protection of the investment within the Cardno framework.

Yes. There should be a detailed process how the budget is administered.

I do believe that some level of specification is necessary in the Constitution, but it should not be overly detailed. A general framework should be established, specifying key principles.

Definitely the constitution identify how Cardamom Budget will be administered base on the legal binding of the constitution.

I said yes because it will show trust worthiness and accountability

And stages like community input, transparency, and accountability. However, the specifics should be left to the governance system to adapt over time.

Yes because for transparency sick

It should be detailed for transparency and accountability

Q. 8a. Provide rationale for your response. If responded "yes" consider: Should Constitution identify how Cardano Budget will be administered?

Money spending without accountability is a receipt for mismanagement or misappropriation. therefore, the constitution should clearly point out how money is spent for accountability.

To enhance trust and transparency

Yes, because the constitution will ensure transparency and accountability to it's members

Though there should be a participatory and internal audit, there can be conivence amongst members to carryout fraudulent activity. With the presence of external auditory body, fraud is difficult.

The budget should be administered through a financial policy put in place for expanditure. It will save guard us on the other hand I recommend and Audit frame be selected to audit in a time frame .

Arguments in Favor of a Detailed Process: Clarity and Accountability: A detailed process would provide clear guidelines for stakeholders, reducing ambiguity in how funds are allocated.

Yes. The constitutional process is for control and allow executive members to conform to due process.

No because to avoid embarrassment in the future if some issues arises.

Q. 8a. Provide rationale for your response. If responded "yes" consider: Should Constitution identify how Cardano Budget will be administered?

Yes, because without a clear guidelines for the budget administration how will the community be able to trust the leadership? Identifying how the budget will be administered will promote transparency

Rigidity of the constitution will make it to be binding on all the Cardamom people

Highly detailed budgetary plan may limit flexibility, making it difficult to adapt to changing economic situation especially in case where there is no contingency plan

Yes because any budget that is allocated should details all purpose s

Arguments in Favor of a Detailed Process: Clarity and Accountability: A detailed process would provide clear guidelines for stakeholders, reducing ambiguity in how funds are allocated.

Yes, the constitution should identify how Cardano's Budget will be administered. A allocation of chain will provide transperency and enhence a proper process that will help safeguard the budget.

To delegate role and responsibility

Well I'm unsure because it depends if we have a contingency fund in the budget. If not in the process for budget should not be tout spelt out to much to leave room for change.

Q. 8a. Provide rationale for your response. If responded "yes" consider: Should Constitution identify how Cardano Budget will be administered?

There should be an internal adulting agency that implement a principle of transparency to allocate the budget.

Yes, it should be administered using a participatory process. However, there should be a periodic internal audits and should be enforced by an independent audit firm

Decentralized Governance: Since Cardano operates as a decentralized platform, it is essential that budget proposals are handled democratically.

it is a yes because it will provide the members with knowledge of how the budget is being administered.

My response is yes. The Cardano Budget should identify a specific sector in which the allocated amount wil be use effectively.

Guardrails for Financial Integrity: Embedding a clear budgeting process in the Constitution acts as a safeguard (Guardrail 3) against corruption, misuse of funds, or arbitrary financial decisions.

Break ☕

Q. 11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

The draft constitution currently states: "DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps and make such codes of conduct publicly available." [Article IV.]

a) DRep codes of conduct should be on-chain

(b) One code of conduct for all DReps

(c) Flexibility for each DRep to adopt its own code of conduct

(d) The Constitution Committee should determine whether DRep code(s) of conduct are consistent with the Constitution.

e) None

Q. 11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

A, B, C

a, b, and d

a,b,d

D. The constitutional committee should determine wether DReps code of conduct are consistent with the constitution.

d) On a constitutional level, there should be a static code of conduct for all Dreps as per the conclusions of people involved in the chin

C. Flexibility for each Dreps to adopt its own Code of conduct

D) The onstitutional committee should determine whether Dreps code of condom are comcistent with the constitution

A,bd

Q. 11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

A,C,D - a code of conduct guides every one regardless of a computer, a program or a human, the most important thing is that all Dreps be regulated and tracked in case of any malpractice .

(c) flexibility, let the drep choose its code of conduct and people choose the drep who made a wise choice. But this code should be consistent with the constitution (d).

a, b c

A, B, and D B) There should only be one code of conduct for all DReps and should be consistent with the constitution.

A,,B,& D

The constitution should require the Constitutional committee to determine weather DReps code (s) of conduct are consistent with the constitution in order for the code of conduct to be effecient.

A balanced approach might be to select (a), (c), and (d): (a) ensures transparency by making the codes on-chain. (c) offers flexibility for DReps to adopt codes suited to their activities.

A . Drep code of conduct should be on chain C. Flexible for each Drep to adopt it's own code of conduct

Q. 11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

(d) provides oversight to ensure alignment with the broader principles of the Constitution.

A, DRep codes of conduct should be on-chain B, one code of conduct for all DREps

There should be one code of conduct for all DRep to avoid manipulation.

A,dc

The CC serves as the check and balance for the code of conduct of the DReps. This is the reason why their code of conduct should be consistent with the constitution.

This combination offers transparency, adaptability, and accountability, supporting a robust governance structure.

ADC

abc The DeRep codee of conduct should be in consentation with the cardon Blochain, for them to work alonside with the constitution .

Q. 11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?


the constitution must synchronize the DRep code of conduct in such as way that every one is accountable and abides by what is in the constitution. Violators should face prescribed punishments.

A) Drep code of should be on-chain B) one code of conduct for all Drep D) the constitutional committee should determine whether Drep code(s) of conduct are consistent with the constitution

The constitution should be flexible enough for each body to adapt to its own operations.

The constitution should be flexible enough for each body to adapt its own operations.

Q. 11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?



Q. 17. Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include.

The Constitutional Guardrails specify minimum and maximum size for the CC and minimum and maximum term lengths but does not otherwise address details on the composition of the CC. [Pertains to: Article VI and Guardrails Maximum Constitutional Committee Term (CMTI) and The minimum size of the Constitutional Committee (CMS).
]

Q. 17. Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include.

d

a, b,c and d

C. How term limits for CC members are determined. It needs to be specifi3d what happens if a CC member resigns from the committee.

A. How the number of CC members are determined C. How term limit of CC members are determined

Composition Diversity The Constitution could mandate that the CC should have members from different backgrounds, skill sets, and geographic regions to ensure diverse representation.

A. Number of CC should always be odd to have someone break any tie during voting. I recommend 5 or 7.

ABCD plus E: with a criterion to determine. ethical and integrity characteristics

B. A fixed number of CC members (Consistent with the guardials) Based on my answer above, my thoughts on is that the guardials should select 7 person to represent the CC.

Q. 17. Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include.

This would prevent the concentration of power in a single group and promote a balanced perspective in decision making.

D. This is also needed so that the CC members will not stay long in the position. The CC can stay for 2 terms.

A, how the number of CC members are determined C, how term limits for CC members are determined

a, b, c, d are very important reason being that , if you can determine the CC member , you can be able to have the fixed number of CC member .

The constitution should include a) how the number of CC are determined b) a fixed number of CC member c) How term limits for CC member are determined d) A fixed term limit for the CC members

a. b. c. CC members must be appointed . Because it's at the result of evaluation. And having five (5) persons as team members would spark efficiency & effectiveness. 1 yr is okay for its workings.

Q. 17. Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include.




40 MINS 1, 2, 4 ALL


Q. 18. CC Elections

We now have experience electing members to an interim CC but, going forward, the Constitution is silent as to the process for elceting future members of the CC. The Community may wish to experiment with different approaches to electing CC members. Alternatively, the community may wish to specify a specific set of criteria for those elections. [Pertains to: Article VI Section 3.]

Q. 18. Should the Constitution include additional details related to the election of CC members?



Delegate Election 


constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/auth/signin
INTERSECT
Cardano Workshop Login
Enter your email to log in or create an account.
Email
name@example.com
We'll send a magic link to this email.
Send Magic Link

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

The program was well-organized and supported we need more of these in the future	The program was well organised and the objectives was met.	No	Thankyou
No	No, the workshop went well and was fruitful	No	I would like to understand why the rate is not stable.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

No. But the workshop is well appreciated. Thank you.

I apprciate the organizers of the workshop and i look forward for more knowledge about cardano.

The workshop was not only educative but a new beginning at the Tubman University. Nice work

I am grateful for the exposure that we have now . Hope to learn more , moving forward

Thanks for this very informative workshop

The workshop was great and educative. We wish the elected delegates well who will represent our group at the conference coming up in Argentina.

The program was well organlized , supportive and it was well interactive and educative , I wish for more to help educate others who was not having the opportunity to be apart of this one .

Yeah, considering that there are three (3) consistent founding members of Cardano Consitutional Drive, there should be 6 persons added to represent the 6 continents that humans exist.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

0 responses

Great work! 🙌

WELCOME!

Cardano HCMC VIETNAM Community Constitutional Workshop (iP)

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 2 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules



Let's get started 🚀

30 MINS - DReps

11. Hiến pháp có nên có hướng dẫn nào về các yêu cầu mà DReps phải đưa vào quy tắc ứng xử tương ứng của họ không (1-2-4)

Dự thảo hiến pháp hiện nêu rõ: "DReps dự kiến sẽ thỉnh thoảng áp dụng các quy tắc ứng xử để quản lý các hoạt động của họ với tư cách là DReps và công bố công khai các quy tắc ứng xử đó".

11. Should the Constitution include any guidelines as to the requirements that must be included by DReps in their respective codes of conduct?
The draft constitution currently states: "DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps and make such codes of conduct publicly available."

11a. Nếu bạn trả lời có cho câu hỏi 11, những yêu cầu nào đối với DReps và quy tắc ứng xử tương ứng của họ sẽ được đưa vào Hiến pháp?

1/ YES we AGREED 2/ KYC + DID + Proficiency in Blockchain + Process + Standard Why -> Base for to standardlized different backgrounds -> Prevent malicious act

Có/ - Nhằm chống gian lận không đáng có - Vì Drep thường xuyên làm việc với cộng đồng nên việc có yêu cầu cụ thể làm cho việc theo dõi dễ dàng. - Thống nhất quan điểm, tránh tranh cãi khác biệt


Có! cần quy tắc ứng xử cho Drep. Quy tắc ứng xử đưa vào hiến pháp: - Minh bạch - Công bằng - Đặt lợi ích hệ sinh thái lên trên lợi ích cá nhân.

100% agree Quy tắc ứng xử luôn cần trong cuộc sống Tổ chức nào cũng cần nội quy để giao tiếp thống nhất Văn minh, lịch sự, minh bạch Người uỷ quyền cho Drep có thể theo dõi & đưa niềm tin đúng Drep

Hiến pháp nên có Hướng dẫn chung về việc yêu cầu DReps tuân theo các bộ quy tắc ứng xử đã được công bố công khai - Để đảm bảo tính minh bạch và công khai. - Xây dựng lòng tin cho cộng đồng.

Có nên đưa vào hiến pháp Dreps có vai trò cực kì quan trọng Dreps có thưởng nên cần có trách nhiệm Mô tả chính xác công việc của Dreps Có thể sữa đổi bổ sung Nên có thêm phần phụ lục để sữa đổi


11. Hiến pháp có nên có hướng dẫn nào về các yêu cầu mà DReps phải đưa vào quy tắc ứng xử tương ứng của họ không?



11b. Có nên có một quy tắc ứng xử chung cho tất cả các DReps hay mỗi DRep nên được phép áp dụng quy tắc ứng xử của riêng mình?



11c. Ủy ban Hiến pháp có nên xác định xem (các) quy tắc ứng xử của DReps có phù hợp với Hiến pháp hay không?





Break ☕

30 MINS - DReps

12. Hiến pháp có nên quy định bồi thường cho DReps không? (1-2-4)

12. Should the Constitution mandate compensation for DReps?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: ✋
2) 10 Mins - Pair: 🙌
3) 15 Mins - Group: 🙌🙌

12a. Nếu bạn trả lời có cho câu hỏi 12, vui lòng cung cấp thêm suy nghĩ.....

Hiến pháp không nên bao gồm giới hạn về bồi thường.

Yes. DREPs bỏ công sức nên được trả thưởng và dễ thu hút người tham gia

- Yes, Need compensation - Yes, need threshold

Maybe. I agree in principle but it's too broad of a statement. They should be compensated but I don't think it should be the written constitution.

Để hỗ trợ cộng đồng, nên được trả thưởng

Có/ Nhằm tạo động lực tài chính cho Drep có 4 lý do chính. - Có giá trị tương xứng với công việc - Khuyến khích làm đúng - Win- Win với cộng đồng - Tạo động lực mở rộng cho cộng đồng dreps phát triển

Yes - nên có quy định về phần thưởng / để tạo động lực tạo độ trust của DReps / Cộng đồng dễ theo dõi / Có sự cân nhắc khi lựa chọn công việc làm DReps /phần thưởng sẽ phụ thuộc vào nổ lực của DRep

Có. Để tránh trục lợi

12a. Nếu bạn trả lời có cho câu hỏi 12, vui lòng cung cấp thêm suy nghĩ.....

Có

Có. - Trả thù lao bằng số lượng đề xuất, và giới hạn của sức ảnh hưởng - Có giới hạn về thù lao bằng 2 tham số số lượng đề xuất và giới hạn trên của power Ada

Yes.-Drep cần có phần thưởng để tạo động lực và rào buộc trách nhiệm đối với cộng đồng, khuyến khích làm việc năng xuất hơn. Nên quy định cách xác định thưởng và nên quy định max, ko nên quy định min

Có, mức phí và giới hạn nên được nghiên cứu và đánh giá đầy đủ dựa trên sự cân bằng của hệ sinh thái - Đảm bảo sự win win. Cân bằng giữa trách nhiệm và quyền hạn - Khuyến khích sự tham gia Dreps

Tương tự như trả thưởng cho SPO. Budget thưởng tuỳ theo hoạt động cần biểu quyết và chia xuống tỉ lệ thuận với voting power of Drep nhưng không được vượt Max. Nên có max mức thưởng no min limit

1/YES --> fair value for work 2/NO --> hard to parameterize ; volatility & case-dependent 3/MAYBE --> must be with conditions

- Clarifying "compensation" as either reward/refund with for a Drep

I think yes because no one does it for anyone ever and above all the elements of the groups have said all that

12a. Nếu bạn trả lời có cho câu hỏi 12, vui lòng cung cấp thêm suy nghĩ.....

Yes

Yed

Yes

Có. Nên trả thù lao cho dRep để bù đắp lại cho công sức của họ. -nên có 1 ngân sách để trả thưởng cho cả dRep và delegators đối với mỗi hành động quản trị.

Compensation for Damages: In legal contexts, compensation refers to the money awarded to someone as a remedy for a loss, injury, or suffering caused by another party. This could include damages awarde

Có

Yes, but we don't put amount into constitution

-Yes, nên đặt giới hạn tối đa về phần thưởng cho dRep để hạn chế sự tập trung quyền lực vào một số dRep.

12a. Nếu bạn trả lời có cho câu hỏi 12, vui lòng cung cấp thêm suy nghĩ.....

Yes. Tuy nhiên không nên đưa con số tiền thưởng cụ thể vào trong Hiến pháp. Chỉ đưa ngưỡng gioeis

Có. Nên đưa cách xác định mức bồi thường, thù lao và giới hạn vào Hiến pháp. Vì sẽ là căn cứ rõ ràng cho cộng đồng theo dõi

12. Hiến pháp có nên quy định bồi thường cho DReps không?



Lunch 

30 MINS - SPOs

16. Hiến pháp có nên yêu cầu các SPOs phải thực hiện quy tắc ứng xử không? (1-2-4)

16. Should the Constitution require that SPOs be expected to implement codes of conduct?

16. Hiến pháp có nên yêu cầu các SPOs phải thực hiện quy tắc ứng xử không?

YES 1. Transparency 2. Security 3. Prevent malicious acts

SPO cần có quy tắc ứng xử

Yes (Group4)- vì SPO có power vote rất lớn và hạng mục vote trực tiếp liên quan tới hành động on chain để hạn chế sự không minh bạch. Quy tắc: SPO cần giải thích cho hành động bỏ phiếu rõ ràng

Yes - sàn giữ lượng lớn ADA (của người dùng) nếu sang đem đi vote thì ảnh hưởng tiêu cực tới cả hệ sinh thái.

Có. Vì Spo có thể đăng kí làm Dreps, khiến họ quyền lực nhất định ở một vài hành động. Hạn chế tập trung quyền lực đến quyết định cập nhật hệ thống của một vài tổ chức lớn nắm nhiều ADA

Yes- Bởi vì SPOs cũng là một thành phần quan trọng (giống như DReps) trong cơ quan quản trị.

10 MINS - SPOs

16. Hiến pháp có nên yêu cầu các SPOs phải thực hiện quy tắc ứng xử không?





Break ☕

30 MINS - [TOPIC]

14. Hiến pháp có nên bao gồm giới hạn nhiệm kỳ cho DReps không? (1-2-4)

14. Should the Constitution include term limits for DReps?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: ✋
2) 10 Mins - Pair: 🙌
3) 15 Mins - Group: 🙌🙌

14a. Nếu bạn trả lời có cho câu hỏi 14, vui lòng cho biết lý do của bạn.

Yes

Yes - tăng tính cạnh tranh - đổi mới - tạo động lực để DReps hiện tại phải làm tốt hơn.

YES: + Rotate -> Easier to manage their term + Eliminate inactive Dreps + Push the work to be done with limit amount of time + Eliminate delegation for non-active Dreps

Yes. Tránh chuyên quyền do định kiến cá nhân có thể ảnh hưởng đến quyết định vote. Đánh giá lại chất lượng drep Thúc đẩy drep hoạt động năng động hơn vs cộng đồng thì mới có thể duy trì nhiệm kì tiếp

Có Lý do: cần hoạt động tốt để hệ thống vận hành tốt Rào chắn - có thời hạn đánh giá Drep 1 năm(73 epoch) - Số lượng proposal liên tục không vote (3-5 epoch) - ngưỡng % proposl vote không lý do

Yes-Drep sẽ có trách nhiệm hơn trong nhiệm kỳ của họ. Ada holder có thời gian review lại DRep và thay đổi uỷ thác. Tránh được việc DRep không hoạt động mà vẫn làm DRep. Ada holder sẽ chủ động tốt hơn

Yes! 1/ Tạo thêm sự sôi động cho hoạt động quản trị chuỗi 2/ Tạo động lực cho Drep hiện tại cố gắng duy trì và tăng thêm tín nhiệm để được vote trong kỳ tới

14b. Nếu bạn trả lời không cho câu hỏi 14, vui lòng cho biết lý do của bạn.

KHÔNG. Bởi vì ADA holder có thể view DRep có thể làm việc hay không và chủ động ủy quyền cho DRep ko làm việc. Bởi vì lượng rơi rớt ủy quyền ADA sẽ nhiều giống như những người staking vào pool retired

Có. Vì chả có gì là vĩnh viễn. Cái gì cũng có giới hạn về thời gian.

14. Hiến pháp có nên bao gồm giới hạn nhiệm kỳ cho DReps không?





Break ☕

30 MINS - Separation of Powers

23. Hiến pháp có nên đưa ra yêu cầu rằng chủ sở hữu ADA vừa là DReps vừa là SPOs thì... (1-2-4)

- không được bỏ phiếu ở cả hai vai trò
- hoặc phải công khai khi có vai trò kép

23. Should the Constitution include a requirement that ada owners who are both DReps and SPOs either refrain from voting in both capacities or disclose such dual roles?

23. Hiến pháp có nên đưa ra yêu cầu rằng chủ sở hữu ADA vừa là DReps vừa là SPOs thì... (1-2-4)

No-Nếu không xác định được danh tính SPO bằng công nghệ thì không thể biết ai nắm hai vị trí. Không thể đưa hai yêu cầu nếu không thể làm được. Chỉ có thể xây dựng điều lệ tránh xung đột lợi ích thôi

Không được bỏ phiếu ở cả hai vai trò vì mất sự phi tập trung.


YES.- DUEL -> Impossible to stop -> Endpoint decision are ADA users -> Publicity will ensure the prevention of malicious acts

Phải công khai khi có vai trò kép Lý do: - SPO bị hạn chế trong nhiều hành động quản trị. - SPO và Drep đều có quy tắc ứng xử - Khuyến khích sự trung thực và tinh thần xây dựng

Phải công khai. Nhằm hạn chế gian lận của các tổ chức thực thể nắm ada số lượng lớn(có quyền lực nhất định với quyết định) - Có sẵn một khung cho cộng đồng đồng có thể theo dõi những tổ chức này

Không được (có 1 người đồng ý dual) 1/ Không đảm bảo sự khách quan 2/ Có rủi ro thao túng kết quả bỏ phiếu

23. Hiến pháp có nên đưa ra yêu cầu rằng chủ sở hữu ada vừa là DReps vừa là SPOs



23a. Hiến pháp có nên bao gồm các điều khoản xung đột lợi ích khác không?



23b. Nếu bạn trả lời có cho câu hỏi 23a, Hiến pháp nên bao gồm những điều khoản về xung đột lợi ích nào khác?

biết ai là ai?!

yes - self- voted/self-delegated - rewards and compensations - and snapshot /staking movements - multi-pools delegations

YES - Relation of SPOs and that DREP of a connection (clarify) - Financial Independent

Yes - thu nhập từ vị trí mà họ đảm nhiệm.

Yes Lợi ích về quyền vote, thu nhập

Yes - people can't make decision for a proposal twice

Yes. Information, administration, hardfork updating agreement, compensation parameter for SPO, DRep.

Yes-Phạm vi vote - Quyền hạn -Reward

23b. Nếu bạn trả lời có cho câu hỏi 23a, Hiến pháp nên bao gồm những điều khoản về xung đột lợi ích nào khác?

Yes, they shouldn't be able to double vote.

Yes - mất tính phi tập trung - có khả năng nghiêng về lợi ích cá nhân

Yes....lợi ích về kinh tế, quyền lực

- SPO có thể cảm thấy nhận được ít lợi ích từ thông số mà Drep đưa ra

SPO chỉ được vote với chứng chỉ ID của mình cho 1 proposal. Công khai vai trò, công khai ID vote của mỗi vai trò. Công khai lý do với với tư cách SPO, DRep

khum bít ai là ai?!

Yes - Hành vi tận dụng 2 vị thế để tạo bất lợi cho ai đó. - sử dụng 2 vị thế để thao túng kết quả nhằm phục vụ lợi ích cá nhân.

Yes/ - quyền lợi khi thay đổi thông số hệ thống /- quyền lợi khi tham gia voting

23b. Nếu bạn trả lời có cho câu hỏi 23a, Hiến pháp nên bao gồm những điều khoản về xung đột lợi ích nào khác?

Điều khoản không xâm phạm, hoặc tác động vào quyền bỏ phiếu của người khác

Risking on Security and scram

Yes. Lợi ích nhóm về liên minh các pool Yes. Lợi ích về các tổ chức bên ngoài hoặc làm đại diện cho các dự án thuộc hệ sinh thái khác

spo's được làm nhưng Drep không được làm và ngược lại

Yes, the reason is tránparency

Yes - Nếu bạn có trên 1 hệ sinh thái / If you have on 1 ecosystem ... - Nếu bạn muốn thao túng theo chu kỳ/nhiệm kỳ - If you want to manipulate by cycle/period ... - Nếu ...

Yes

Delegate Election 

Voting App:

https://constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/

Còn suy nghĩ mà bạn chưa trình bày?

Nên có demo voting app

Thanks so much.

Cám ơn BTC rất nhiều.
Quá nhiều tea break

A lot about parameter

Nothing thank you

Very good process lately
with a lot of discussion.
Thank you for hosting !

So interested!

Thanks for workshop

Còn suy nghĩ mà bạn chưa trình bày?

Many tea breaks. Tks

Tôi muốn thêm thời gian để các group tranh luận

Chưa kêu gọi được nhiều người tham gia. Cám ơn!

Nothing thank you

Streamline the presentation and voting process.

nothing, thank very much.

Cảm ơn vì ngày hội thảo ngày hôm nay, e có thêm rất nhiều trãi nghiệm mới ạ❤️❤️

Mọi suy nghĩ của tôi đã được trình bày

Còn suy nghĩ mà bạn chưa trình bày?

Many teabreak

Tôi nhận được nhiều điều tích cực. Thanks BTC!

💝💝💝

Nên có video demo Nên có slide thuyết trình giới thiệu ứng cử viên

Tô chức ok ...

1. how do i buy or own ADA without bank 2. where can i buy thing with my ADA. thank you

How can we build up success cardano community in vietnam? Thanks for your support today

+ well organized + on time + host take care all members

Còn suy nghĩ mà bạn chưa trình bày?

Nên rút ngắn thời gian thảo luận, tăng số câu hỏi nhiều hơn. Mỗi câu hỏi chỉ cần 15 phút suy nghĩ và thảo luận nhóm

Bạn có điểm cộng (+) và điểm trừ (-) nào góp ý cho hội thảo Hiến Pháp Cardano?

+

+ Good organization + Good workflow + Good time management - Fixing minor cases during acess of voting app - Having backup codes

+ đúng giờ, có 2 ngôn ngữ, tài liệu support đúng - voting mất quá nhiều thời gian, technical issue,

+ Tổ chức tốt, chu đáo

+ Hội thảo dễ hiểu, có người hỗ trợ thông tin tốt, thời gian hoàn thành rõ ràng - Cơ chế voting khá phức tạp

+ precise and clear, focus on topic, good time management.

+ Rất chuyên nghiệp, có format rõ ràng - Chưa đủ thời gian cho nhiều vấn đề hơn.

(+) chuyên nghiệp, cung cấp những thông tin quan trọng về hiến pháp (-) voting app còn trục trặc, cần có thêm hướng dẫn sử dụng ban đầu

Bạn có điểm cộng (+) và điểm trừ (-) nào góp ý cho hội thảo Hiến Pháp Cardano?

+ Điểm cộng: Tổ chức chuyên nghiệp. Đúng timeline. Tài liệu hỗ trợ đầy đủ. Song ngữ phù hợp với cả người nước ngoài. - Điểm trừ: Voting process cần cải thiện.

(+) Khâu Tổ chức chỉnh chu, chuyên nghiệp (-) Không có video demo đăng nhập voting app. Khiến khó theo dõi và gặp nhiều lỗi kỹ thuật.

- prepare more for the demo

+ Khâu chuẩn bị chu đáo. + Ban tổ chức tận tâm, nhiệt tình. - Phần vote cần cải thiện hơn về khâu hướng dẫn.

+ công tác tổ chức bài bản, tích cực - khâu vote nên có demo để có hướng dẫn tốt hơn

+ Đúng thời guan - Chưa chuẩn bị kỹ cho việc bỏ phiếu

+ vote vn candidate very democrate + positive way to change carnado communitive

Cám ơn btc vì đã tổ chức chỉnh chu!

Bạn có điểm cộng (+) và điểm trừ (-) nào góp ý cho hội thảo Hiến Pháp Cardano?

+ Tổ chức đúng giờ, đưa được các vấn đề cốt lõi cấp thiết để thảo luận - Chưa có phần thảo luận về các rào chắn, phụ lục.

+ tổ chức rất có tâm huyết Hoạt động rất , Thú vị Đồ ăn ngon Mọi người sôi nổi hăng say - vẫn còn 1 vài sự cố xảy ra trong quá trình bầu cử

+ QUESTIONS ARE SIMPLE AND CLEAR TO UNDERSTAND

+ show clear transparency and decentralization

Great

+Rất dân chủ, rất chu đáo. - Voting app chưa thân thiện với người dùng

Cuối cùng, điều bạn nhớ nhất và gặt hái được ở hội thảo này là gì, trả lời bằng một từ hoặc một cụm từ?

24 responses



Great work! 🇻🇳 🙌

30 MINS - DReps

13. Hiến pháp có nên yêu cầu ngân sách được phê duyệt cho Cardano Blockchain... (1-2-4)

bao gồm nguồn phân bổ từ kho bạc Cardano Blockchain đủ để bồi thường cho DReps với số tiền mà chủ sở hữu ada thỉnh thoảng có thể phê duyệt hay không

Should the Constitution require that budgets approved for the Cardano Blockchain include an allocation from the Cardano Blockchain treasury sufficient to compensate DReps in such amounts as may be approved from time to time by ada owners? Yes, No, Unsure

15 MINS - DReps

13. **Hiến pháp có nên yêu cầu ngân sách được phê duyệt cho Cardano Blockchain**... ? Bắt đầu bằng Có / Không, sau đó là lý do của bạn

10 MINS - DReps

13. Hiến pháp có nên yêu cầu ngân sách được phê duyệt cho Cardano Blockchain bao gồm nguồn phân bổ từ kho bạc .. ?

Có	Không	Không chắc chắn
0	0	0

WELCOME!

Cardano South Africa Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules



Let's get started 🚀

20 MINS - Principles and Tenets

1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?

1) 3 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 7 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 10 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?

Test	Test 2	Yes	Yes
1. Yes, it should consider the transaction costs 2. Yes, People should not be charged or restricted when making and deploying their applications on the Cardono Blockchain.	Transparency, we agree with the predictability of the price most especially when it comes to money and information Fairness, we agree with everyone being treated fairly and there being no bias in the	1. No, it states that all users of the Cardono Blockchain should be treated equally but when it comes to voting, I'm not sure if it's true that the more ada one person has the more voting power they hav	The constitution answer important aspects and highlights good aspects of Cardano and the community. It fails however to address security breaches and the consequences of breaking cardano constitution

1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?

How will people be stopped from just ensuring a member of their community wins the votes just to promote thwir community

1. Yes, Cardano blockchain allows for more freedom 2. Yes, information isn't safely stored 3. No, regulate deployment and development as stipulated 4. No, Define key terms so we can understand

Yes. The article speaks about transparency, inclusivity & equality. -Is there going to be group packages? - Will we have to pay for storage if we exceed the max storage? -Will info be safe? Verific


Yes. We do believe it does, as it covers the key concerns of everyone in the cardano community aspects such as security data integrity reliability and cost effectiveness are covered in the section.

Yes, because it caters for all the ada holders regardless the amount you own. There is transparency and no restrictions.

No, there should be a section about making sure that the actors with the highest amount of ada doesn't over shadow the ones with lesser ada.

Yes ,i believe in tenet, thought i dont know how its outcome with be i choose to go with its assurance to individuals treatment of belonging, gender equality ,sustainability of staying committed to th

1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?



20 MINS - DReps

11. Should the Constitution include any guidelines as to the requirements that must be included by DReps in their respective codes of conduct?

“DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps and make such codes of conduct publicly available.”

- What requirements for DReps and their respective codes of conduct are included in the Constitution?
-One code of conduct for all DReps or should each DRep be allowed to adopt own code?
-Should the Constitutional Committee determine whether DRep code(s) of conduct are consistent with the Constitution?

3 Mins - Solo
7 Mins - Pair
10 Mins - Group

If yes to 11, what requirements for DReps and their respective codes of conduct be included in the Constitution?

They should be ada holder and know the community structures

Yes. Code of conduct should include ethical standards, transparency and compliance with regular reviews. They should allow Dreps to tailor their own code of conducts to their specific communities

Yes. Ethical considerations. And the dreps must act with honesty and integrity. Transparency The constitution should specify if a Drep can represent multiple companies.

Yes, there should be guidelines that the D'Reps needs to follow. The code of conduct should be readily available online. Steps to be followed in making a certain decisions. Reps should be monitored.

They should adhere tobthe guidlines

Dreps Yes, Dreps must be tested for a good understanding of Cardarno's constitution This will increase quality of Dreps. The code of conduct must be simple and straight forward, use simple English.

No there should be be one code of conduct Yes, for transperancy and integrity

Yes because we do believe that the guidelines in the constitution regarding the reps codes of conduct could help ensure a baseline level of professionalism and ethical behavior.

If yes to 11, what requirements for DReps and their respective codes of conduct be included in the Constitution?

Each DREP should have atleast 500 ADA and they should be apart of the Cardano Community.They should follow guidelines .

Yes they should be flexible on the code of conducts No the should be one code of conduct Yes, transparency and integrity

Yes ,the dreps,should be part of the community ,they should be flexible too and consistent. 2. Yes, they should be active and trasperate

Yes

Yes , i believe its will stand as trasperacy to the truth and flase within the constitution.

11b. Should there be one code of conduct for all DReps or should each DRep be allowed to adopt its own code of conduct? (multiple choice)



5 MINS - DReps

11c. Should the Constitutional Committee determine whether DRep code(s) of conduct are consistent with the Constitution?





Break ☕

12. Should the Constitution mandate compensation for DReps?

Consider:
- Should the Constitution specify how compensation is determined?
- Should the Constitution include a cap on compensation?

1) 3 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 7 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 10 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

12a. If you answered yes to question 12, please provide additional thoughts.

Yes. Promotes skilled participation. Will the compensate depand which country you are from or the average standard income in your country? Be compensated according to your members

Yes,for motivational purposes

Yes. Compensation motivates the DReps. The incentives should be based on quality of work. There should be a cap. It will allow the DReps to act in a disciplined manner and integrity.

Yes, there must be compensated, as their energy and time will be consumed while they are busy with D'Rep work. The compensation shouldn't be capped as they are representing different communities.

No capping for security reasons becauses incidences wont be the same

Yes they should mandate but all the constitution should come together and decide on how drep should be compensated Why Time Effort Job description

Yes. The the Cardano Constitution should specify how compensation is determined for Dreps. It provides transparency and a clear model of how Dreps are compensated. Yes there should be cap on compensa.

Yes, for transparency purpose

12a. If you answered yes to question 12, please provide additional thoughts.

There should be a formula considering the number of members you have and the amount votes you have

Cap should not be capped as D'Reps represent different communities and these different communities have different needs and wants.

There should be compasated for their time resources and energy. There should be no cap on compasation because dreps work differently the more communities you represent the better

Dreps should be compensated on the amount of people in their community so if they represent a large amount of individuals then they should pay they should be paid according to the size.

Yes because compensation will motivate the Dreps, encourages the Dreps to work, and make the Dreps more focused.

The cap method can hinder progress and fear at the end Dreps can pay for demages if the samages are more than the stipulated compensation grid

Yes, D'Reps should be motivated for the work that they do for their communities, if they are motivated they will do more than expected

Dereps must be paid so that they can find a sense of worth an pride in their job and not feel like they're wasting their time this will encourage them to work hard.

12a. If you answered yes to question 12, please provide additional thoughts.

Yes, because of its fairness, their contributions must be beneficial to either the community or the ecosystem, there must also be no cap as to motivate them to keep improving the ecosystem...


No

It shouldn't be capped as the D'Reps will be representing different communities with different numbers.

....continue Because it should fair for each Drep. It prevents unfair and unequal compensation conversations.

...No, there mist be no cap on payment as to increase the amount of work they do, they must also not to get compasated just because of their position but on the contribution that they bring

12. Should the Constitution mandate compensation for DReps?





Break ☕

20 MINS - DReps

13. Should the Constitution require that budgets approved for the Cardano Blockchain include an allocation from the Cardano Blockchain treasury (cont)

13. Should the Constitution require that budgets approved for the Cardano Blockchain include an allocation from the Cardano Blockchain treasury sufficient to compensate DReps in such amounts as may be approved from time to time by ada owners?

1) 3 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 7 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 10 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

13. Please provide your reasoning for question 13.

Yes , to ensure fairness and uniform payouts and to ensure that financial resources are consistently available irregardless of whether you get votes or not .

YES, the constitution should require proposal approved for funding 1prposal should include detailed buget 3Budget should demenstrate community support. 4.There has to be clear objectives and outcome

Yes They should be compensated from treasury for transparency and accountability purposes, as delegates only give them voting power not adar. The issue of time to time should be clarified.

Continuation, the issue of time to time must be clarified in the constitution eg quarterly

Yes. DReps should have their own budget. This will allow the Dreps to be motived and put effort in their work.

Yes ,for to avoid over spending and for transparency purposes

5..Yes , because it shows transperacy also yes because Dreps does not stand on its own without the constitution backing it up .....

Yes. The ada owners should take part in the approval from time to time. Its fair for the ada owners because they do take part in appointing the Dreps.

13. Please provide your reasoning for question 13.

Yes, including a rule to allocate treasury funds for DReps ensures fair compensation, stable governance, and community control because it balances incentives but needs safeguards to avoid excessive al

Yes the growth of cardano is based on their community so if they invest in that community then they will grow more and also get more feedback from the community and get more feedback from more cardano

Yes the fund allocations need to be noted down before approval before coming to an effect. They need to have voter recordings and decide how treasury funds are distributed as this will add to more jo

Yes to the extend tgat it's capled

Yes, the treasury should allocate a budget amount based on members that Dreps represents, meaning that if a Dreps represents small members, they won't get the same compensation as someone with large

The treasurer should know the budget

Yes

Yes


13. Please provide your reasoning for question 13.

As long as its capped. D reps will work harder to be more successful and show the effort you put in.

Yes. Pros: reduces corruption, its prevents Dreps from becoming to comfortable and disconnected from contititutions . And also yes because it encourages fresh perspective. Cons: lose of expertise.

5 MINS - DREPS

13. Should the Constitution require that budgets approved for the Cardano Blockchain include an allocation from the Cardano Blockchain treasury (cont)



20 MINS - DReps

14. Should the Constitution include term limits for DReps?

1) 3 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 7 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 10 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

14. Should the Constitution include term limits for DReps? If yes or no, please provide reasoning.

Yes because term limit encourages change. It strengthens the communities of cardano. It serves as a method of curbing monopoly and make people work hard.

Yes, it will give opportunities to others to be a Drep and it allows to evaluate the performance of the Drep

Yes, the Constitution should include term limits for the DReps. Will there be a promotion as a hardworker if the term limits are included in the Constitution? If not then what will happen?

No, Dreps should not be limited by a term, they should stay based on their performance. If Dreps have satisfactory representation, Thier term should continue. If not , The term should end

Yes. There should be a term limit to what a Drep can or cannot do. It gives knowledge to the community of the terms which the drep should adhere to. They have should accomplish certain milestones ....

...continuation, we feel that DReps should serve for 4 years. They should reapply if they want to serve again.

No. Dereps should be allowed to stay in term.provided they are able to keep the people they are representing satisfied.

Yes , to prevent power concentration, term limits can prevent Dreps from accumulating too much power over time which could lead to imbalances or biased in governance decision making.

14. Should the Constitution include term limits for DReps? If yes or no, please provide reasoning.

- Thought on How: Develop a smart contract that evaluates the performance of the dRep every 1 or 2 years and depending on their performance, it wil decide whether they will be kept or not

For progress sake and this will help to achieve the goals


Yes Pros: to prevent decentralization, to keep them on their toes, encourage diversity anf foster innovation as well maintain accountability. Cons: loss of experience,

In order to be a DRep you need certain representatives of votes in order qualify again.

Continue.....And to also encourage new ideas and diversity regularly rotating the Dreps can bring in fresh perspective and new ideas which is beneficial innovation

....for the specificied time period. No, it limits them in terms of contributions for those who are contributing more.

14. Should the Constitution include term limits for DReps?



Delegate Election 🗳️



REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

No

Nine. Everyone was given an opportunity to state their inputs and thoughts during the entire session. We learned a lot about Deligate Representatives and Cardano Blockchain.

None, everything was covered

How will ties be handled during voting

No. learnt many new things at the workshop about Cardano. Everything was covered and all the questions I had were answered.

What is the hierarchy of Cardano in sodat as the elections tgat took place. What will happen here after. We need like a explanation as what is happening after tbe workshop. If it can be explained to

Non..it was an informative session

No everything was covered

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

This was the most valuable experience my Cardano history. Thanks to all.

None the event was a success

No. The events was perfect

This was a great workshop and with Sky's help it was very easy to use the menti app. I wish we can have more of these workshops. I like how everyone participated.

The event was well mananged Thank you to the facilitator (Skye) it was entertaining and knowledgeable, not forgetting the hosts wims cardano they were very accommodating. Thank you intersect.

No, I got a chance to express my thoughts and also learn from other people's views.

I'm really happy that everyone was given a chance to participate

Presenting themselves before voting

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

I enjoyed the fact that everyone got be free and express they're opinions without any issues 💯

I wish we got to know more about our representatives

I enjoyed the workshop as it helped me get to know more about Cardano.

Learnt new ideas from other colleagues.

Well i believe i had a great time to explore the ecosystem, and how cardano works, i just want to say the is always a perfect time for each individual to rain , trust God's time is the best,might be

Communication and engagement, from the community

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

+

+ Lovely experience, we need more work shops like this grow the Cardano community in South Africa.

+s : Networking, Communication, Prepared, Well-Informed before time deltas: More food and break time

+ Learning about the constitution of Cardano, the different discussions I had in the different groups I was assigned to.

+ The apps we used were very good. + Skye was very efficient

(+) Allows us to get insight of other delegates regarding certain points on the constitution.

+Meeting different people with different and some with similar mindsets compared to mine. Interacting with different individuals about Cardano and DReps was fun 😁

I enjoyed the first session but need more sessions for fully details on how the organisation works,yes i got the constitution via email but i need more details..am happy about joining Intersect!!

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

I think it would work better if the details or notes about what will transpire in the workshop is sent to emails so that the participates do not get lost on the way.

+The lesson today was very productive . - For the next workshop it would be nice if we had an insight of what will be covered.

The content was great. The Facilitator and organizer was fabulous correspondence was great and professional. The workshop opened up a new world outside and we should learn more on Cardano. Insight. +

+s Many different perspectives Getting to meet new people On time notifications and reminders

++good presentation and the facilitator did a good job.

+The event was informative about the Cardano Ecosystem + it was a great event. It was well structured + The platforms we used was easy and user-friendly

+ I enjoyed, interacting with people and also having to hear different people's views which I found very fascinating.

We had a good mingling time but we needed more of these works. One day wasn't enough for the orientation and voting . It was informative though +

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

+ Enjoyed interacting with people + got bit of insight of what to expect

+Hearing everyones point of view on their thoughts , -the thing i would love to see directly is the new members given opportunities to lead as their leaders , i believe in new ideas,fairness ,encourag

The group work methodology was super. The presenter was very active displayed knowledge of what she was delivering.

+The networking part assisted in getting different view points, our inputs on the Cardano constitution will be deliberated on and maybe intergrated, time management was spot-on.

+ The host gave me enough training and equipment as preparations for the event. There were emails and online meetings. - getting a bigger space

Positives: + I enjoyed the whole event, the information I received today was good and well delivered. There is nothing I would like to change.

Deltas Breaks were a bit short

+ All required information about this workshop was provided days before the event. Which made it easy to understand all questiahis was a great worworksholnteraction amoung attendees was g

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

Outstanding

Eudaimonic

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

60 responses



Great work! 

Lunch 



Break ☕

Bienvenidos

Taller Constitucional de la Comunidad Cardano La Paz, Bolivia

Esperamos incluir sus perspectivas únicas en 4 temas focales de la Constitución. Estamos recopilando comentarios de la comunidad a través de talleres en todo el mundo para dar forma al borrador de la constitución antes de la Convención Constitucional en diciembre.

Accede al borrador de la constitución en:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Normas del Grupo

Aportaciones para la Constitución de Cardano

Contribuye con tu presencia.
Intenta comprender antes de persuadir.
Comparte el tiempo: Participa, pero da espacio a los demás. Sé honesto y profundo sin extenderte demasiado.
Busca el progreso antes que la perfección.
Sigue las Reglas de Chatham House.

1-2-4-ALL

Proceso de Discusión

1) Individual: Genera ideas y opiniones en silencio, de manera individual. (5 min)

2) Pareja: Comparte tus notas con un compañero. (10 min)

3) Grupo de cuatro: Discutan sus ideas y anoten los temas claves de su discusión en Menti. (15 min)

4) Grupo completo: Compartan los temas de sus discusiones en grupo pequeño y debatan en grupo grande. (15 min)

5) Votación: Cada uno registrará su voto final. (10 min)


Empecemos 🚀

Principios y Preceptos

3. Â¿DeberÃa la ConstituciÃ³n limitar la participaciÃ³n en la gobernanza en cadena Ã°nicamente a los propietarios de ada o deberÃa permitirse...

3. Â¿DeberÃa la ConstituciÃ³n limitar la participaciÃ³n en la gobernanza en cadena Ã°nicamente a los propietarios de ada o deberÃa permitirse a los propietarios de ada designar personas que luego tengan derecho a participar en la gobernanza en cadena en su representaciÃ³n? [Notas: Esto no es una referencia a la delegaciÃ³n a DReps. Pertenece a: ArtÃculo II SecciÃ³n 2, ArtÃculo III SecciÃ³n 4 y 8, ArtÃculo IV SecciÃ³n 2, ArtÃculo VI SecciÃ³n 1, ArtÃculo VII SecciÃ³n 1.]

3. Â¿DeberÃa la ConstituciÃ³n limitar la participaciÃ³n en la gobernanza en cadena Ãºnicamente a los propietarios de ada o deberÃa permitirse...



(a) Limitar la participación en la gobernanza en cadena solo a los propietarios de ada.

(b) Permitir que los propietarios de ada designen representantes que luego tienen derecho a participar en la gobernanza en cadena.

(c) No estoy seguro.

3a. Por favor proporciona tu respuesta (a/b/c) y la justificación para la Pregunta 3.

Elegi B) Considero que no debería ser cerrado porque la tener un concepto igualitario, entonces aplicaría que es para todos, pero considero que también debe haber un acompañamiento educativo.

Acompañar con la educación sería útil para que el dinero por ejemplo se distribuya y se use de forma eficiente

A. Yo pienso que la gente debe de tener ada para poder votar en el ecosistema. Porque dejaríamos que gente de otro ecosistema como BTC o ETH influya nuestras elecciones. Porque dejar que el gobierno

B El ecosistema debe tender a una mayor participación e igualdad de acceso a las oportunidades y evitar un círculo de poder parecido a una oligarquía.en el que solo decide el que es propietario

Todos los que participan deberían tener derecho en la gobernanza

Porque el residir debe pertenecer únicamente a los poseedores de Ada de lo contrario se presta a corrupcion

Todos los que participan deberían tener derecho proporcional a la gobernanza

Pienso q cuántas más personas participen sers más sólida La moneda

3a. Por favor proporciona tu respuesta (a/b/c) y la justificación para la Pregunta 3.

Si es importante la democratizacion para tener derecho a una representacion

B) No, no debería ya que existe la posiblidad de que los propietarios de ADA no tengan acceso a saber problemáticas serias que requieran financiamiento, algo que delegados de otros lugares si.

Si, pero con algunas restricciones, ya que estas personas no estan directamente relacionados con los criptomonedas

No deberia cada propietario acceder para toda la comunidad

Elegi b), y pienso que la constitución no debe limitar la gobernanza solo a los propietarios si no que también las personas designadas tengan también derecho a participar para ser más participativos

La participación debería ser proporcional a la tenencia de cryptoactivos

.

11. ¿Qué debería requerir la constitución en relación con los códigos de conducta de los DReps? (selecciona todas las que apliquen):

(a) Los cÃ³digos de conducta del DRep deben estar en cadena.

(b) Un cÃ³digo de conducta para todos los DReps.


(c) Flexibilidad para que cada DRep adopte su propio cÃ³digo de conducta.

(d) El ComitÃ© Constitucional debe determinar si los cÃ³digos de conducta del DRep son consistentes con la ConstituciÃ³n.

(e) Otras directrices para los cÃ³digos de conducta del DRep.

(f) Ninguno de estos.

11. ¿Qué debería requerir la constitución en relación con los códigos de conducta de los DReps? (selecciona todas las que apliquen):



11. ¿Qué debería requerir la constitución en relación con los códigos de conducta de los DReps? (selecciona todas las que apliquen).

Transparencia en el grupo

A) por que es importante que sea transparente

El Código de ética debe ser a todo nivel y visible para todos, de tal manera que se pueda identificar quienes no cumplen al Código

Todos los miembros deben estar alcanzados por el código de ética a fin de que toda la comunidad en caso de alguna situación estas cinductas sean reportados.

Una código de conducta objective con un sistema objective de como deben actuar los dreps y subcategorías donde los dreps pueden tener códigos de éticas más personalisadas

A/b/d Considero que debe haber un código y que además la constitución vea que este acorde, también considero que debería actualizarse constantemente.

B: toda constitución debe tener un código genérico de conducta. D: El código de conducta debe enmarcarse en principios y líneas estratégicas de la constitución.

B) Es necesario implementar un código en el ecosistema para una mejor regulación de los delegados C) Dada la cantidad de campos donde se puede financiar proyectos, es necesario adecuarse a cada camp

11. ¿Qué debería requerir la constitución en relación con los códigos de conducta de los DReps? (selecciona todas las que apliquen).

a/b/d, para tener un mejor control y que sea más transparente

A, q sea publico b, un mismo codigo de etica

A) debe estar publicado en la cadena porque necesitamos que se pueda controlar la acción del codigo B) todos debe actuar bajo los mismos preceptos

A.- Que se reflejar al público los resultados, C.- el código debe ser individual

A. Q los codigos sean publicos B. Q todos tengan un solo codigo

La ética es la base de toda organización, por lo que debe ser un requerimiento de alta importancia

Almuerzo 

Comité Constitucional (CC)

17. Las Barandillas Constitucionales especifican un tamaÃ±o mÃnimo y mÃ¡ximo para el CC y una duraciÃ³n mÃnima y mÃ¡xima de los mandatos, pero no...

17. Las Barandillas Constitucionales especifican un tamaÃ±o mÃnimo y mÃ¡ximo para el CC y una duraciÃ³n mÃnima y mÃ¡xima de los mandatos, pero no abordan detalles sobre la composiciÃ³n del CC. [Se refiere a: ArtÃculo VI y Barreras de DuraciÃ³n MÃ¡xima del ComitÃ© Constitucional (CMTI) y TamaÃ±o mÃnimo del ComitÃ© Constitucional (CMS).]

17. Las Barandillas Constitucionales especifican un tamaÃ±o mÃnimo y mÃ¡ximo para el CC y una duraciÃ³n mÃnima y mÃ¡xima de los mandatos, pero no...



Comité Constitucional (CC)

17a. Proporcione su(s) respuesta(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) y justifique su respuesta a la pregunta 17.

D/ Es necesario que se tenga un tiempo límite para los comités, principalmente para evaluarlos y así mismo dar lugar otros en caso de algunas falencias

De 6 a 8 con una duración de 2 años

La constitución debería de elegir como se elige el CC y también cuantos CC deben estar disponibles

B y D: la Constitución establece los márgenes de conformación en consejeros y el tiempo de su mandato

Se debe establecer el procedimiento para determinar el número de participantes y segun las necesidades específicas para cada caso

B y c porque necesitamos más transparencia

Yo pienso que los comités debería ser elegidos annual igual al presupuesto así la ética de los comités se refleja en el presupuesto annual

A/c/d Creo que es necesario que existan pasos y un cómo de la elección del comité, un número mínimo y máximo, yo creo que asi es manejable y se puede ajustar si hubiera fallas.

17a. Proporcione su(s) respuesta(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) y justifique su respuesta a la pregunta 17.

Debe tener un suficiente para llegar a conformar el proyecto.

De 7 a 11 miembros con una duracion de un año

a) el número de miembros podria ser de 6 a 8 miembros, el cual podrá ampliarse en funcion al incremento de parricipantes. d) El tiempo máximo sería de 2 años, extendible a otro periodo simililar suje

Se determino por nueve miembros por un tiempo de dos años

d),el CC debe tener un mandato fijo, para que lis objetivos del comité se cumplan en plazos y objetivos

Separacion de Poderes

23. Actualmente, no hay ninguna regla que prohÃ-ba votar sobre la misma acciÃ³n de gobernanza como un DRep y un SPO. Comente sus opiniones sobre si...

23. Actualmente, no hay ninguna regla que prohÃba votar sobre la misma acciÃ³n de gobernanza como un DRep y un SPO. Comente sus opiniones sobre si votar sobre la misma acciÃ³n de gobernanza como un DRep y un SPO crea preocupaciones Ãºnicas que necesitan ser abordadas en la ConstituciÃ³n. [Se refiere a: ArtÃculo III, SecciÃ³n 2; ArtÃculo IV; ArtÃculo V.]

Comité Constitucional (CC)

23. Â¿QuÃ© deberÃa decir la ConstituciÃ³n, si es que debe decir algo, sobre los propietarios de ada votando en la misma acciÃ³n de gobernanza tanto..



(a) Prohibir votar en la misma acciÃ³n de gobernanza como un DRep y un SPO.

(b) Requerir divulgaciÃ³n, pero no prohibir votar en la misma accion de gobernanza como un DRep y un SPO.

(c) Incluir disposiciones especiales sobre conflictos de intereses.

(d) No es necesario abordarlo en la ConstituciÃ³n

(e) Inseguro

23a. Proporcione su respuesta (a/b/c/d/e) y justifique su repuesta a la pregunta 23.

En todo estado de derecho se deben limitar las funciones.

Para que la votación sea efectiva y refleje la realidad de la Organización, se debe establecer los parámetros para evitar irregularidades

A: no se puede duplicar el valor de la votación

Yo creo que los dreps y spos deberían de tener diferente governance actions por la cual votar. Debería existir un separación de poder y los propietarios de ada deberían de poder votar como un dRep

A/c Lo correcto es que se diferencien los poderes, no debe existir doble voto ya que es lo más ético en cualquier parte del mundo. Cada persona tiene funciones diferentes en cuanto a su trabajo

Solo puede votar como uno, no es necesario delegar a alguien más, entonces también debería permitirse eso

Spo es una área de técnica de revisión y DRep decide

A/ Considero que un spo y un drep a pesar de tener el mismo peso de votación llevan a cabo tareas diferentes y, por lo tanto, un spo deba tener más peso en su voto debido a su experiencia técnica

23a. Proporcione su respuesta (a/b/c/d/e) y justifique su repuesta a la pregunta 23.

b) es necesario la divulgacion de proyectos y que los votos de los SPO y D dlrs. Sea iguales. Y si no se quiere delegar debera vitarse solo como Dleer, ya q no tiene conocimientos tecnicos

Puede votar como ADA solo en cálida de Drep, no de SPO

Siempre debe haber restricciones q debe estar reglamentado, para q no haya conflicto de intereses

Debe de tener independencia de poderes para poder decidir lo tecnico

A) porque existe mucho riesgo en que el poder de voto se duplique y también se debe tomar en cuenta dobre qué tipo de acción de gobernanza se debe votar

a) un Direp y un SPO, no debería votar dos veces porque no puede tener opinión repetida, ya que seria una dualidad de vitacion

Ninguna

Descanso ☕

Elección de Delegados 



https://constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/

Reflexión y Conclusión

¿Hubo alguna idea o tema que no tuvo la oportunidad de compartir?

Ninguna

No, cubrimos todo los puntos importantes

La creación de nuevos Member based organizations so power is not concentrate in intersect

Nothing

Todo bien, quizá cómo nació el ecosistema, en qué país y asi

Quizás si con esta organización se puede financiar proyectos para los animales de la calle

Seguiremos teniendo espacios para aprender también porque creo que es muy necesario conocer la parte practica

El taller me pareció muy importante, sin embargo requerimos más información complementaria para seguir aprendiendo

¿Hubo alguna idea o tema que no tuvo la oportunidad de compartir?

No

Profundizar la utilizacion de Cardano en el tema del medio ambiente

¿Qué le ha gustado y qué cree que se podría mejorar en el taller?

Quizás la organización, para que así se llegue a más gente de manera eficiente

Me gusto mucho las preguntas pero una mejor forma de hacer récord de lo que la gente diga, capaz un voice recording

Me gusto mucho, quizá el tema de que se comparta con más personas y se enteren de todo lo que es Cardano

Si me agrado el taller, fui impresionante estar presente y para mejorar se debería pasar mayor material de apoyo

Ninguno

La participacion de mas personas

Mayor participantes

El taller se debería dar más tiempo...de explicación y no poner el límite del idioma....se debería dar también oportunidad de dar oportunidad a otras personas...


¿Qué le ha gustado y qué cree que se podría mejorar en el taller?

Feliz

Reflexión y Conclusión

¿Qué palabra o frase describe mejor lo más importante que se lleva del taller?

7 responses



¡Buen trabajo! 🙌

WELCOME!

Cardano NIGERIA Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules



Let's get started 🚀

30 MINS - Principles and Tenets

1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?

Mostly yes, I however have two points that I have highlighted

Yes, we believe the tenets in Article I appropriate reflect the ethos of Cardano blockchain as discussed by the team. We discussed; - Transaction burden - Resource management - Quick transaction time

Yes, the constitution should also include inclusivity of local regions. he required amount in being eligible to vote isn't reasonable for some regions, which does not align with equal treatment.

It was mentioned that there should be no “unreasonable prevention“ from deploying apps or DApps on the Cardano Blockchain. What does the article consider as “reasonable reasons for prevention.“?

Generally, yes. However, there seem to be contradicting opinions regarding the transparency of a user's opinion on-chain. It says it shouldn't be censored but also emphasises user info safety.

One of cardano's blockchain is supporting peer reviewed academic research, this article does not address this at all

Yes, I think the article/section in view reflects the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain, however metrics and probably some type of fractional percentages will help provide clarity.


Yes, But, in the fourth paragraph of the section, it stated that the application can be deployed as intended which may leads to the community being vulnerable to bad actors. This should be considered

15 MINS -Principles and Tenets

1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?

Yes

1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?





Break ☕

30 MINS - Voting Model

5. Should the Constitution specify or endorse a specific voting model?

The Constitution recognizes that voting rights are in proportion to the ada owned but is otherwise silent as to voting models or specific consensus mechanisms.

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

Context:
This means that future changes to the on-chain voting model will require a constitutional amendment and hard fork.

5a-b. If you answered YES, what specific voting model should be added to the constitution? If you answered NO, specify why

One man ,one vote .

No, the voting model previously used is unknown to me and so I can't specify model options to choose.

Yes... But no idea what voting models are open for consideration.

No, because I have no idea on the various voting model

Yes - A specific voting model should be specified to ensure proponents and voters can be certain of voting outcomes. Type of voting model suggested - one ADA one vote

Yes, I believe the constitution should specify a particular voting model . However, The voting model should be flexible but not manipulatable to include systematic upgrades without another hard fork.

Yes, We believe Cardano should consider voting models based on what ideal voting model works best for specific situations, such as Reputation-based voting and Quadratic voting.

No, by not endorsing a specific voting model or consensus mechanism, the Constitution allows for flexibility and the possibility of future innovation in governance structures.This approach can be good

15 MINS - Voting Model


5a-b. If you answered YES, what specific voting model should be added to the constitution? If you answered NO, specify why

No As long as the fundamental decision to "priorize Ada holding power as crucial for voting rights", it changes nothing irrespective of the model used...

Yes, A one person one vote. To foster equality and fairness as stated in the Article 1 Section 1. So that governance action won't be influenced by people with the larger holding of ADA.

No, because the models options are unclear and not included in this context. However a model that caters for the intricacies of different regions would be really good.

5. Should the Constitution specify or endorse a specific voting model?





Break ☕

30 MINS - DReps

12. Should the Constitution mandate compensation for DReps?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

Consider:
- Should the Constitution specify how compensation is determined?
- Should the Constitution include a cap on compensation?

12a. If you answered yes to question 12, please provide additional thoughts.

They should be compensated for their time and effort Because time is money

-yes, so that there be a regulation to how it's done, - yes, so the community doesn't misuse it..

Yes, the constitution should specify the exact metrics of compensation of DREPs for transparency and there should be a cap to prevent undue “farming of rewards.”

Yes, the constitution should specify how the recognition is determined. This would increase transparency., the cap should also be included, as it can be a form of motivation for DReps.

Yes, we are in agreement that the constitution should mandate compensation for DRep. Although, we believe there should be a benchmark to vet DRep and also keep then in check.

Yes Yes , While it’s important to consider the community’s values, leaving compensation details flexible might lead to uncertainty and inconsistent application. Clearly outlining specific compensatio

Yes, the const. should specify as this would help to properly structure the rewards system There should be a cap for total disbursement but not for individuals, using a similar system as the CR proces

Yes. Compensation should be mandated for DReps 1) There should be performance metrics that qualify access to such compensation. 2) There should be an upper ceiling/Cap on the compensation and or size

12a. If you answered yes to question 12, please provide additional thoughts.

Yes, the Constitution should specify how compensations are made so as to provide deeper economic transparency to the rest of the community about how the treasury is being managed.

12. Should the Constitution mandate compensation for DReps?





Break ☕

23. Should the Constitution include a requirement:

that ada owners who are both DReps and SPOs either refrain from voting in both capacities or disclose such dual roles?

Solo: 5 Min.

Pair: 10 Min.

Group (4): 15 Min.

23. Should the Constitution include a requirement that....

Yes, they should be refrained as it beats the claim of inequality of the ecosystem by the constitution.

Yes. There should be a separation of roles of both DReps or SPOs to avoid conflict of interest

Yes , Including a requirement for ada owners who are both DReps and SPOs to either refrain from voting in both roles or disclose their dual roles could address potential conflicts of interest

Yes, the constitution must include a requirement that Ada owners who are operating in both roles be refrained from voting in both capabilities and there would definitely be clash of interest.

Yes, they should should refrain from voting in both capacities As it makes it complicated for the end users

Yes, SPOs should not also be DReps and should refrain from voting..they should step aside for others to do that so there will be a balance.

Yes, let Ada owners who are SPOs and DReps disclose their roles as we operate a decentralized system.

Yes - SPOs should not vote as DReps. But roles should be exclusive.

23. Should the Constitution include a requirement that....


Yes; they should refrain from voting in both capacities, if you're an spots you shouldn't be a Drep to maximize reward

Yes, I think the constitution should include a requirement that ada owners who are both DReps and SPOs refrain from voting in both capacities to avoid conflict of interest

Yes, In the situation where DReps and SPOs can vote on a Governance action, having both governance bodies vote may encourage system gaming where SPOs vote on decisions that favour just SPOs.

We feel DReps shouldn't be SPOs in the first place. DReps who are "SPOs" in principle can still vote for actions that favour SPOs while posing as DReps

23. Should the Constitution include a requirement that....





Break ☕

Delegate Election 

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

.

It is suggested that each participant be empowered with a minimum of 200 ADA.

I believe the constitution draft is well received and have been improved on

A send off gift of 100 ada of people that came to the event

I really love the details in the Constitution...

The only thing ai want to mention is that the options on the poll are not diverse enough to accurately represent specific opinions on certain issues

There was an assumption in the question of seperation of roles that automatically assumed that the community wants people to be bith Spos and Dreps, the sentiment in the room says otherwise

In events like this, Ada or equivalent monetary incentives should be gifted to the participants as encouragement for participating rewards in such activities

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

Do giveaway

Opay

Yes, I think there should be a room for virtual participation of any candidate who have interest in representing us

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

Great workshop, learnt a lot The food is also very very nice.

+ great hospitality.. - there should be ADA incentives for participants of this event

Nice workshop I learnt something new and great

More publicity for the workshop would be appropriate.

Too much time provided to answer trivial questions.

It was such great workshop, i love the synergy between the facilitators and host

More educational workshops should be organized as this helps facilitate the growth of the system More beyond Lagos state

I think the workshop was great. Has it helped everyone's contribution and thoughts processs was accepted

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

Great workshop, good time spacing. And great conversations. Quite insightful

The workshop is one of a kind, and It's a great honored to be here today, and I pledge to continue to take Cardan ecosystem to the world

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

39 responses



Great work! 🙌🏿 🇳🇬

Welcome!

Taller Constitucional de la Comunidad Cardano Peru

Esperamos incluir sus perspectivas únicas en 4 temas focales de la Constitución. Estamos recopilando comentarios de la comunidad a través de talleres en todo el mundo para dar forma al borrador de la constitución antes de la Convención Constitucional en diciembre.

Accede al borrador de la constitución en:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Group Agreements

Aportaciones para la Constitución de Cardano

Contribuye con tu presencia.
Intenta comprender antes de persuadir.
Comparte el tiempo: Participa, pero da espacio a los demás. Sé honesto y profundo sin extenderte demasiado.
Busca el progreso antes que la perfección.
Sigue las Reglas de Chatham House.

1-2-4-ALL

Proceso de Discusión

1) Individual: Genera ideas y opiniones en silencio, de manera individual. (5 min)

2) Pareja: Comparte tus notas con un compañero. (10 min)

3) Grupo de cuatro: Discutan sus ideas y anoten los temas claves de su discusión en Menti. (15 min)

4) Grupo completo: Compartan los temas de sus discusiones en grupo pequeño y debatan en grupo grande. (20 min)

5) Votación: Cada uno registrará su voto final. (5 min)

Derechos de voto

3. La Constitución debería:

(a) Limitar la participación en la gobernanza en cadena solo a los propietarios de ada

(b) Permitir que los propietarios de ada nombren personas designadas que luego tengan derecho a participar en la gobernanza en cadena

(c) Inseguro

3. ¿Debería la Constitución limitar la gobernanza en cadena a los propietarios de ADA o permitir que designen a otros para participar en su nombre?

-

Debería limitar la participación de la gobernanza en cadena solo a los propietarios de adas

toda representatividad por mi experiencia en transacciones, debe ser notariado con instrucciones especificas y con renovación recurrente.

B Pero debería excluirse la participación de las exchanges.

A

C: porque podría darle poder a otros para tomar decisiones pero siempre y cuando sea una entidad regulada que no lucre bajo beneficio de ciertos holders

B. Tener en cuenta que las exchanges no deberian ser atribuidas como posibles designantes.

C Debería haber delegación de voto y también no limitar a los ADA holder la Opción de votar individualmente. Importante: los exchanges no deberías poder votar ya que solo custodian activos de otros.

3. ¿Debería la Constitución limitar la gobernanza en cadena a los propietarios de ADA o permitir que designen a otros para participar en su nombre?

A me interesa que un experto maneje mis adas

C, institutions should have a offchain process

Si debería permitir, la participación directa como la designación de delegados. Así, los propietarios de ADA que quieran involucrarse personalmente pueden hacerlo mientras que aquellos

C. La constitución debería ser abierta a elegir por los usuarios y dueños de ADAs. Donde el Exchange debería preguntar a sus usuarios si desean votar o permitir a terceros utilizar su voto.

A) the ada owner should be held responsible for its own vote. Leading other non ada owner to decide for one could leas to bias or unwanted decisions that could be unfavorable

B Sin embargo, opinó que esto debería excluir a las exchanges. Estas deberían solicitar al momento del contrato si es que se desea que otro usuario gestione sus decisiones.

...mientras que aquellos que prefieren confiar en alguien con más experiencia pueden delegar su voto. Esto facilita la participación y asegura que más personas tengan voz en la gobernanza.

(c) institutions that wish to participate on governance voting, like exchanges, should abide to a code of conduct or abstain to make voting as decentralized as possible

Derechos de voto

3. La Constitución debería:



(a) Limitar la participación en la gobernanza en cadena solo a los propietarios de ada

(b) Permitir que los propietarios de ada nombren personas designadas que luego tengan derecho a participar en la gobernanza en cadena

(c) Inseguro

DReps

014. ¿Debería la Constitución incluir un mecanismo que haga que los holders de ADA vuelvan a seleccionar a sus DReps con el tiempo?

Si responde Sí, explique qué agregaría a la Constitución y por qué. Por ejemplo, una delegación de representantes de DRep que expire o límites de mandato.

014. ¿Debería la Constitución incluir un mecanismo que haga que los holders de ADA vuelvan a seleccionar a sus DReps con el tiempo?

Si porque legalmente es necesario como comenté actualizar la representatividad.

Si, pero no debería existir un tiempo de bloqueo para cambiar de DReps sino estaríamos replicando el votor representativo obsoleto de los gobiernos de los países.

Si, para asegurar que los titulares de ADA actualicen sus decisiones y sigan eligiendo representantes en quienes confían, la Constitución debería incluir un mecanismo que les obligue...

Si

Si. Con el objetivo de incentivar el trabajo activo del DRep.

Si. Creo que es un mecanismo para tener una participación más activa y descentralizada.

Si, se debería incluir un mecanismo para poder elegir sin restricciones una DReps u otra.

Si, deben tener la libertad de poder seleccionar a su representante con el tiempo según su conveniencia

014. ¿Debería la Constitución incluir un mecanismo que haga que los holders de ADA vuelvan a seleccionar a sus DReps con el tiempo?

Yes, ada holders should be reelected from time to time, also protection mechanisms are needed to prevent power abuse

... a volver a seleccionar a sus DReps, que sea un tiempo corto o en cualquier momento.

No, creo que se le debería dar la oportunidad a las personas de poder cambiar de decisión en DReps.

Si, como mecanismo para mantener a Dreps trabajando para mejorar la Blockchain, además de minimizar el impacto negativo a los holders.

Yes, reselecting Dreps overtime could bring more accountability for the ada holders to choose their representatives.

Sí.

Sí debería, ya que mientras más involucrados estés los holders la red será más democrática

Si, para motivar la reevalidacion.

014. ¿Debería la Constitución incluir un mecanismo que haga que los holders de ADA vuelvan a seleccionar a sus DReps con el tiempo?

Si

Si

1. El tema de poder debería actualizar con mayor frecuencia cuando se trata de decisiones importantes. 2. Se debe generar un mecanismo de saber claramente cuáles son los beneficios individuales

No se debería de dar oportunidad a los adaholder de escoger a nuevos drep

No, porque esto haría que cuentas fantasma deleguen poder a propuestas con las que pueden no estar alineadas Incentiva al hackeo de cuentas para entregar poder a deREPS

Si

014a. Si respondiste Sí, por favor explica qué agregarías a la Constitución y por qué.

Metodos de proteccion en el caso que se requiera el cambio del drep

Que existan alternativas del tiempo designado a cada DREP: delegación anual. cada 5 años, de por vida

Para incentivar el trabajo activo del DRep

Un mínimo de delegaciones para mantenerte siendo DRep, ya que si no cuentas con ese mínimo es porque reflejas que no representas con tus decisiones.

Esto debería ocurrir cada 2 años.

Una ventana de tiempo

Agregaría que tenemos la potestad de poder decidir segun conveniencia de cambiar de representante segun un periodo de tiempo

Esta perfecto mantener el esquema de que el drep tenga poder dependiendo de la cantidad de adas delegadas. Quizas agregar ciertas restricciones.

014a. Si respondiste Sí, por favor explica qué agregarías a la Constitución y por qué.


En vez de obligar a renovar cada cierto tiempo con una DRep, debería ser como un modo de subscripción en el cual el trato es indefinido con la opción de poder elegir otra DRep en cualquier momento.

Debería ser un tiempo continuo, no sesgarlo a un periodo de cada x tiempo.

Volver a seleccionar a cada 2 años

Influenciar el constante trabajo positivo de los Dreps para el Blockchain

014. ¿Debería la Constitución incluir un mecanismo que haga que los holders de ADA vuelvan a seleccionar a sus DReps con el tiempo?



Almuerzo 🍲

¡Hagámonos una foto de grupo!

📷

17. Las Salvaguardas fijan tamaño y duración del CC, pero no su composición. Selecciona qué disposiciones adicionales debería incluir la Constitución:

(a) Cómo se determina el número de miembros del CC

(b) Un número fijo de miembros del CC (consistente con las Salvaguardas)

(c) Cómo se determinan los límites de mandato para los miembros del CC

(d) Un límite de mandato fijo para los miembros del CC (consistente con las Salvaguardas)

(e) Otros

(f) Ninguno de estos

17. Las Salvaguardas fijan tamaño y duración del CC, pero no su composición. Selecciona qué disposiciones adicionales debería incluir la Constitución:

F) Crearía un sistema de salvaguardias con 7 representantes adicionales, estableciendo un quórum del 51% de los votos entre los 14 miembros, pero solo validando los votos de 7 de ellos.

Tamaño variable según workload Tiempo de ciclos cortos al principio y luego más largo cuando el trabajo se estabiliza.

El número de miembros del CC debe de ser variable

Tiene que ser variable de acuerdo a la carga laboral determinada por el número de propuestas a analizar

La cantidad debería ser variable en función a la carga de proyectos. La frecuencia de cambio debería ser más alta al inicio y luego de algunos años más baja. Para garantizar la alta demanda inicial.

Con respecto al tamaño, creo que debería ser relativo al la cantidad de trabajo que el comité tenga. Con respecto al tiempo creo que 2 años está ok con opción a reelección del comité anterior.

- El tamaño debería ser variable pero con un límite de 5 a 9 integrantes. - La duración se podría revisar de forma anual.

Number of CC members should be proportional to governance actions to be processed.

17. Las Salvaguardas fijan tamaño y duración del CC, pero no su composición. Selecciona qué disposiciones adicionales debería incluir la Constitución:

debe ser variable de acuerdo a la carga de trabajo y responsabilidades, tiempos cortos.

We can start with 7, the way it is right now and fixed. And then learn as we go id we need changes.

Debería ser un número variable corto, depende de las habilidades necesarias para tomar buenas decisiones de los salvaguardas.

17a. ¿Qué tamaño o duración del mandato propone? ¿Deberían ser valores fijos, relacionales o decididos por votación en cadena?

Relacionales. Dependiendo la carga de proyectos y desacuerdo a la madurez de la Blockchain.

Variable, según workload de ciclos cortos al principio

3años, rotacionales al workload

Relacional a la carga laboral. Auditable cada periodo con referencia al histórico.

Debería ser un número variable 9

2 años de mandato

I would start with 5, and evaluate in the future sccording to demand.

La duración debería ser variable. En un inicio los tiempos deben ser más cortos (1 año) y conforme madure la estructura debería ir aumentando (hasta 4 años)


Comité Constitucional (CC)

17a. ¿Qué tamaño o duración del mandato propone? ¿Deberían ser valores fijos, relacionales o decididos por votación en cadena?

Variable con reeleción
Salvaguardas : debe tener en cuenta el performance de su labor para considerar su continuidad

6 meses

17. Seleccione disposiciones adicionales que la Constitución debería incluir:



Separation Of Powers

23. ¿Qué debería decir la Constitución, si acaso, sobre los Holders de ADA que votan sobre la misma acción de gobernanza tanto como DRep como SPO?

(a) Prohibir votar sobre la misma acción de gobernanza como DRep y SPO
(b) Requerir divulgación, pero no prohibir votar sobre la misma acción de gobernanza como DRep y SPO
(c) Incluir disposiciones especiales sobre conflictos de interés
(d) No necesita ser abordado en la Constitución
(e) No estoy seguro

23. ¿Qué debería decir la Constitución, si acaso, sobre los Holders de ADA que votan sobre la misma acción de gobernanza tanto como DRep como SPO?

Las SPO no deberían tener la posibilidad de ser DRep y SPO a la vez.

Si se debería un SPO lanzarse como DREP, pero no deberían votar en acciones críticas como ambos.

Permitir que alguien vote en la misma decisión como DRep (delegado) y SPO (operador de stake pool) podría ser un problema, ya que le daría más poder de voto que a otras personas.

Si debería poder, solo si el SPO no supera cierto número de ADA stakeado

Solo deberían votar como DRep.

Pueden quedar cada uno con suvo

Estoy de acuerdo que una persona funcione como SPO y DREP.

La constitución debería estar abierta a que los SPO puedan ser también DRep para dar opciones a ADA holders.

23. ¿Qué debería decir la Constitución, si acaso, sobre los Holders de ADA que votan sobre la misma acción de gobernanza tanto como DRep como SPO?

Sí, sienpre delimitado con un mínimo o máximo de ada

¿Por qué el miedo? Un SPO por mil cosas que puede hacer podría perder legitimidad para tener más poder y de ahí su poder se difuminaría.


Deberia permitirse


23. ¿Qué debería decir la Constitución, si acaso, sobre los Holders de ADA que votan sobre la misma acción de gobernanza tanto como DRep como SPO?




Descanso ☕

Elección de Delegados 


constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/auth/signin
INTERSECT
Cardano Workshop Login
Enter your email to log in or create an account.
Email
name@example.com
We'll send a magic link to this email.
Send Magic Link


INTERSECT
My Workshops
Register for Workshop
Workshops
Search by name or short ID
All Statuses
Name
Short ID
Status
Memphis Workshop
memphis
Not Started


Register for a New Workshop
Enter the registration code provided by the workshop administrator.
Registration Code
f57r4t37
Your name
George Washington
Run as candidate for constitutional delegate
Register



https://constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/

Reflexión y Conclusión

¿Hubo alguna idea o tema que no tuvo la oportunidad de compartir?

Casos de éxito reales y contacto de programadores

Traer personas de otros países que ya tengan comunidades y casos de éxito

Ideas de negocio con la block chein

We can have more of these workshops. More frequently

Buscar oportunidades para DAOs

Todo bien, quizás empezar for Use Cases para motivar más al principio y temas se regionalización

Participar dentro de eventos existentes de tecnología en universidades para abarcar un mayor número de personas interesadas en esta tecnología

-

¿Hubo alguna idea o tema que no tuvo la oportunidad de compartir?

-

Incentivos con tokens de ADA para ejecutar la delegación y hacer un ejemplo más práctico de ejercer la gobernanza en Cardano a tiempo real

Muy buena discusión sobre temas complicados. Excelente retroalimentación.
Oportunidad: Que inicie más tarde. Allocation simbólico de Ada para ejecutar de forma práctica los ejercicios

¿Qué aspectos positivos y negativos tienes del taller?

Start later ~10am

Questions should be in English to avoid lost in translations.

Positivo: Buena convocatoria Negativo: Mucho tiempo por pregunta

Positivos, bien dinámico Negativos, muchas horas

Excelente ponencia, temas muy faciles de entender para entrar al mundo del blockchain y ADA

Faltó after

Necesario un after para aumentar la unción de la comunidad

Interactuar con Wallet para dinámicas como almuerzo (de forma simbólica) 😆

¿Qué aspectos positivos y negativos tienes del taller?

🫶🫶👍🕐🍹

Reflexión y Conclusión

¿Qué palabra o frase resume mejor las conclusiones más importantes del taller?

13 responses



Formulario de Comentarios sobre el Borrador de la Constitución

Si desea brindar comentarios sobre otras preguntas, hágalo aquí: https://forms.gle/6HJVPxQe9CPriHRN6

¡Buen trabajo! 🙌

WELCOME!

Cardano Lisbon Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules



Let's get started 🚀

[17] The Constitutional Guardrails specify a minimum and maximum size for the CC and minimum and maximum term lengths...

...but does not otherwise address details on the composition of the CC. [Pertains to: Article VI and Guardrails Maximum Constitutional Committee Term (CMTI) and The minimum size of the Constitutional Committee (CMS).]

30 MINS - Constitutional Committee

[17] Should the Constitution include additional provisions for the number of CC members and/or term limits?

(a) How the number of CC members are determined
(b) A fixed number of CC members (consistent with Guardrails)
(c) How term limits for CC members are determined
(d) A fixed term limit for CC members (consistent with Guardrails)
(e) Other
(f) None of these

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

[17] The Constitution should include these additional provisions (select all that apply):



Provide your rationale for:

[17a] Please indicate your choices (a/b/c/d/e/f) and provide your rationale:

E: transparency conditions for members, for example they shouldn't be faceless shell companies or orgs just composed of dreps or spots, etc

The number of members should be fixed by the constitution, but there shouldn't be term limits at all. Only a vote of no confidence would change the CC.

A, C. Fixed numbers are too restrictive with no added benefit

e) Other: CC should be body with it's own code of conduct. It should be replaceable, so constitution should only say that all bodies that can veto or approve onchain actions should be elected onchain

b, c - key point is that members can be organizations, flexible rules would allow individuals to traverse organizations and mold the rules to their liking

a) c). We should have very flexible and simple constitution. Better to have some clear and simple rationale to numbers be chosen instead of have fixed numbers that can work just for a while.

A, because the that leaves space to define reasons, enforce values, and on the tech side is more of a directive and algorithm than just a fixed number.

b) If they are voting on all governance actions is just for the sake of simplicity d) Once again no benefit in additional complexity e) Additional requirement of disclosure of conflicts of interest

Provide your rationale for:

[17a] Please indicate your choices (a/b/c/d/e/f) and provide your rationale:

The minimum and maximum number of CC members must be odd numbers so that there are no ties in decisions. Currently, a minimum number of three and a maximum of 10 are proposed.

It is important to highlight and describe when - and how many - CC members can be removed. In the case of consortiums, how does the community protect itself if one member of one goes rogue?

(c) Having variable term limits creates decision overhead and can be confusing for the community members that are not constantly involved in governance

the same as before

B - I think there should be some sort of fixed structure , which makes the voting process easy and simple for the everyday Cardano user

Very important to clarify the procedure how the Constitution can be amended, if thia procedure is easy then the question is less relevant

C) it should be fixed between a minimum and maximum, with at least 50% to be considered to have a review

(a) 67% of voting power should be able to change the number of CC members at any time as long as the number and threshold prevents a draw



Break ☕

30 MINS - Constitutional Committee

[18] We now have experience electing members to an interim CC, but, going forward the Constitution is silent as to the process for electing...

...future members of the CC. The community may wish to experiment with different approaches to electing CC members. Alternatively, the community may wish to specify a specific set of criteria for those elections. [Pertains to: Article VI Section 3.]

Should the Constitution include additional details related to the election of members?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes w/ a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes/prepare input.

[18] Should the Constitution include additional details relating to the election of CC members?



[18a] Please indicate your answer (yes/no/unsure) and provide your rationale:

Voting system should be on-chain on a descentralized and permisionless system

Yes - add requirement to be individual rather than a company. Individuals can be fluid between companies that can become shella

Yes: need to specify at least who votes for them. SPOs? dReps? Wallets? Intersect?

Flexibility is key. The constitution should define a fixed minimum time period for each elected body to "prove" themselves.. Moreover, there should be a specific timeframe for the re-election process.

Yes. The way of election should be written out, both in case of term expiry and in case of vote of no confidence. It should be the same system.

It must be open for anyone who wants to apply. Min ADA deposit should be requiered

Yes - CC members should be elected in a decentralized and permisionless system by the cardano community

We believe is important to add to the constitution that the CC should always be elected by the Cardano Community Members., so the ada holders as it stands right now Election should be onchai

[18a] Please indicate your answer (yes/no/unsure) and provide your rationale:

Yes - We should use a ranking system

CC should be elected by Cardano Community as it is defined in this Constitution.

Yes - should be of good character - minimal technical knowledge to manage voting keys - no conflict of interest - involved in the cardano ecosystem

Unsure. This may be off topic, but would prefer to vote on a team than on individual people. Different teams would present their reasons why I should vote on them, and I would have a practical choice

We should allow for anonymous applicants and shouldn't require disclosure, to avoid members to be persecuted, However, we should incentivise disclosure whenever is possible

Yes. Include guidelines around: Good character, No conflict of interests; technical knowledge, being a contributor to the ecosystem. I don't think being fully doxxed (identified) should be mandatory.

There should be some sort of extensive background check to ensure appropriate and qualified members are elected such as ensuring there is no conflict of interest

No:Constitution should specify function for a body that has to be elected on chain. We should be able to replace committee with different structure, so committee guidelines shouldn't be part of const.

[18a] Please indicate your answer (yes/no/unsure) and provide your rationale:

Yes - Greater clarity in the process of electing CC members, their role within the CC, reduces uncertainty and meets the Principle of Transparency mentioned in the preamble

Yes: want the CC as a whole to be diverse in terms of subject matter expertise, possibly geography, perspective etc

Unsure

Yes: should be natural persons, even if point person from company etc

We should define an election model, like ranked elections with specific criteria to make sure that the elections cannot be tampered with by any group.

Yes/ it could give the opportunity for anyone from de community to be elected but with some requirements. The CC should define a doc like a guideline and not something very closed

Yes - we might want to mandate doxxing and statements of no conflicting interests

we would like to have ranked elections defined as the election system

[18a] Please indicate your answer (yes/no/unsure) and provide your rationale:

As it is, art. vl, sec. 3 only established a 'technical' connection with article I, section 2, and no details on any democratic procedures, or definition on the right to elect and to be elected.




Break ☕

30 MINS - Constitutional Committee

[19] The Constitution expects the CC to adopt and operate pursuant to a code of conduct but is otherwise silent...

...as to how a code of conduct should be adopted and who it should include. [Pertains to: Article VI Section 5.]

[19] What requirements, if any, should the Constitution include for the Constitutional Committee's code of conduct (select all that apply)



a) The CC code of conduct should be on-chain

b) The Cardano Community should have a role in approving the CC's code of conduct

c) Other guidelines for the CC code of conduct

d) None of these

[19a] Please indicate your selections (a/b/c/d) and provide your rationale:

C: there should be a mechanism to remove CC members who don't follow the code of conduct

a - aligns with the Transparency principle of the constitution

Other: There shouldn't be a separate CoC. Any constraints for the CC members should be in the constitution itself.

a) Code of conduct just if it is outside the constitution, should be onchain for immutability and transparency

the code should be onchain for immutability, transparency and acessibility. Code should be approved by the Cardano community We suggest a process where the community votes on the first code

b - aligns with the trust and collaboration principles of the constitution

A.) Should be onchain because that is our way out persistence.

There should be a process followed to avoid conflict of interest from the CC - to have someone overseeing the CoC and to reinforce it. How to remove a member of the CC if they do not comply to the CoC

[19a] Please indicate your selections (a/b/c/d) and provide your rationale:

B) Cardano Community members should get involved and engage in this process. Even if just to review and giving some kind of thoughts/suggestions/improvements

(c/d) - The CoC should be a few phrases in the constitution that indicate the CC members should do their best at interpreting and following the constitution.

C: code of conduct would be a reasonable place to include the same subjective criteria from question 18, like good character and conflicts of interest

b) Independently who writes this code of conduct it should be approved by the community

And after that, there would be a time delay of for example 6 months before a new code of conduct could be proposed to the ada community. fOR PROPOSING 2/3 of CC members would have to agree with

The community is representative of Cardano's culture. It should have a say.

C: dReps at least should vote on it, along with maybe SPOs

Can be used to encode the minimum standards expected from committee members "of good character, no conflicts of interest, technical knowledge and involved in ecosystem"

[19a] Please indicate your selections (a/b/c/d) and provide your rationale:

d: CoC for committee shouldn't be part of constitution, as they have simple function. Only this function should be described, and code of conduct should be specific to the body in the function.

Once defined code of conduct it should have a vesting time where changes are not allowed. Alterations could come either be ordinal way (after x time) or non-ordinal (suggestion of CC and / or Dreps)

A & B) Following the principle of transparency, the code of conduct must be on-chain and following the principle of inclusion, it must be voted on by the community.

The CC can write the CoC, and DReps should vote on it to ensure it follows the community's interests. Moreover, it is necessary to have an agreement of at least 2/3 of the DReps to push for a review.

C) Coc should be representative of our values and core principles.

new code of conduct and then the community votes and a majority over 60% would have to approve. Importnat thing woul be to hold cc accountable for Code of conduct, and having a process to remove

them if they don0t follow it

No confidence should also trigger new CoC

[19a] Please indicate your selections (a/b/c/d) and provide your rationale:

To change the code q combination of factors could be included: x % of CC members want a change; X of Dreps want to change the code.



Break ☕

30 MINS - Separation of Powers

[23] Currently, there is no rule against voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.

... Discuss your thoughts on whether voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO creates unique concerns that need to be addressed in the Constitution.

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.


30 MINS - Separation of Powers

[23] What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?

a) Prohibit voting on the same governance action
b) Require disclosure but do not prohibit voting
c) Include special conflicts of interest provisions
d) Does not need to be addressed in the Constitution
e) Unsure

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

[23] What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?



(a) Prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO

(b) Require disclosure but do not prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DREP and SPO

(c) Include special conflicts of interest provisions

(d) Does not need to be addressed in the Constitution

(e) Unsure

Provide your rationale for:

[23a] Please indicate your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and provide your rationale:

I feel this is an answer where we don't have enough information. It would be good to have more data, to have some scnerario forecasting and simulations of what would happen with spos voting as dreps

There is no perfect way to simply "block" SPOs and DReps to have a double vote. If a registered DRep doesnt say they are an SPO publicly, we can't do anything. Basic blockchain tech is anonymity.

but also simulations without, and understand the potential risks and the size of such risks. However, as it stands is hard to check if someone is both an SPO and as a DREP

E) I'm not sure because it will depend if there is, at some point, some kind of conflict of interest.

IN addition, SPOs are influenced to want to the best for the blockchain as their earn staking rewards and fees so they should seek for adoption and success of Cardano in the long-run

d) As SPO and DReps are different keys and are intended to have different field of actions I don't see the necessity of any kind of distinction needs to be in the constitution.

A: we should discourage being both to prevent a sort of "rep grinding" attack where decisions gradually favor SPOs more and more. It should be clearly socially unacceptable.

This means they can become good Dreps. However, some might still choose to prioritize their own personal short term benefits and create risks and undesired decisions for the system abusing their roles

Provide your rationale for:

[23a] Please indicate your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and provide your rationale:

A) If ADA is material, it shouldn't weigh "double" for some players. Governance should be settled on a DRep level.

As such, for now the choice is to have only disclosure, but more information and simulations would be required to make a more informed decisions

a) While we can't prevent it, entities with a lot of ada would have a lot of power, if they vote as SPOs and DReps. Constitution should make it unwanted behavior.

A: we should guard against a "delegate both to me" button being common or accepted

Nothing to add



Break ☕


30 MINS - Constitutional Committee

[20] Should the Constitution mandate compensation for CC members?

If yes: Should the Constitution specify how compensation is determined? A cap on compensation? Require that approved Cardano budgets include CC member compensation? If the budget includes amounts to cover the administrative costs of the CC, should CC members also be entitled to compensation?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

[20] Should the Constitution mandate compensation for CC members?



[20a] Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale:

No: They should probably be compensated, but it shouldn't be mandated by the constitution.

No, this shouldn't be matter of the constitution but mostly of the budget.

Y: they should at least be reimbursed for reasonable costs. Amounts can be determined in the yearly budgets

Unsure. It seems to be very complicated to audit what actually happens off-chain, and especially in consortiums. However, compensation also provides a form of commitment assurance.

No: people should be "compensate" only for the costs, not for the role itself. I think this will ensure that people will be there for the right reason (the purpose).

(no) - If we compensate or not and how shouldn't be part of the constitution. It should be decided and revisited periodically when deciding on the annual budget

It shouldn't be part of constitution. Committee should be able to request funds for meetings or different expenses as other orgs, based on the current committee members.

I think it would be good to have on the constitution that sthey shouldn't get paid. We could have on the constitution that they could have at most reasonable expenses.

[20a] Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale:

A random small sample group of Dreps could define if the expenses are reasonable and approve it.

Yes, however expenses budget should be peer reviewed

Yes) Good work must be rewarded. In all societies, the hours dedicated to work must be compensated. Hobbies are the only ones that are not compensated

Delegate Election 

GROUP PICTURE!! 

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

Constitution should be simple and very straight forward. We need a first paragraph with a proper definition of what Cardano blockchain ecosystem is and what are the principles of it.

Payment could be optional, like a scholarship fund

Please continue to foster debates like these. Bottom up governance matters.

I wish we had had a chance to talk about the guardrails and their role. In my personal opinion the guardrails included in the appendix are mostly superfluous and in some cases detrimental.

Change saying "should not be unreasonable" to "should be reasonable"

Constitution should define specific functions not entities entertaining them (like committee). We should be able to replace and innovate whole structures, not just members.

The constitution should be simpler should focus more on core principles and core vision of Cardano and make sure that is respected. The aprameters should be explained in a more common language a

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

In the case of the presence of people new to Cardano, provide a brief explanation on the current and future governance structure to integrate them more on the actual participation of each body.

Delta: I would have liked a quick speech by each person running for delegate election before the vote. Just something simple like 30 seconds or so

Context before question could have some examples so it is easy to level the knowledge of the audience.

I think maybe more time to connect with people and understand their ideas would be good. I think maybe some more data on previous discussion or research on some of the topics would be valuable

It's always a good challenge to participate in these workshops because it makes us justify the way we think and see problems and potential solutions.

Consider facilitating sessions like these to online native globally disperse cardano communities. For many you'll get more attendance and surely engaged participation from Cardano-focused people

+s: The organization of the workshop (timings, breaks, running smoothly) The mingle and balanced participation among the participants The importance of the discussion

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

10 responses



Great work! 🙌

WELCOME!

Cardano London Community Constitutional Workshop

WiFi:
Network: P3BYOD
Password: rYdWrK$5Jklo

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access key links:
https://linktr.ee/edinburghworkshop?utm_source=linktree_admin_share

Ground Rules

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules



Let's get started 🚀

15 MINS

What brought you here today? What are you hoping to get from this experience?

I am in the blockchain space (Ethereum ecosystem) but not familiar with the Cardano ecosystem or governance so I want to learn more about what's going on on alt L1s.

Know more people from Cardano Space with different backgrounds and also get to know more details about Cardano Constitutional workshop and DRep

Take part in formalising the constitution and discussing with others the details of specific areas in the interim constitution

To be involved in the shaping the future of Cardano, to share my views and to hear the views of others in order to shape an understanding of the various areas that we will discuss.

I am interested to see what people think about the constitution. I am excited about the age of Voltaire!

I felt that I should try and engage in governance, even though its not my top proirity for a blockchain

The chance to join our local community, and have a say, in shaping Cardano's on-chain governance. I hope to gain a better understanding of the process and to hear other peoples opinions.

I need to get a better understanding of the interim constitution before it is ratified to help me work through topics that are particularly relevant to SPOs and DReps.

15 MINS

What brought you here today? What are you hoping to get from this experience?

I'm an OG SPO and a DRep (as of yesterday). I find it important to be part of the process from the ground up, and be actively involved, even more than so far. Let's do this! 😁

Learn more about Cardano community, draft constitution and what is the way forward for Cardano blockchain

I'm keen to be involved in this unique process with Cardano going through its Decentralisation process. I hope to make my contribution based on my experiences

Being a part of Cardano's governance

Following the adaption and evolution of blockchain governance systems relative to DeFi and broarder ecosystem.

Trying to set a world record in attended workshops!

45 MINS

1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?

The tenets arose to define limits to how Cardano Blockchain development can progress so that the ecosystem principles are not violated. If the community feels that the tenets do not uphold the principles of the Cardano community, a tension between development work and the constitution could develop.

15 MINS

1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?

2 - Disagree it reflects the ethos of Cardano blockchain mainly due to aspects relating to "all actors" "all members" creates ambiguity between software and the human element.

4. It goes far enough. Issues we want to ensure are noted are the impact of large economic entities participating in voting.. Binance, USDC(future)

3/ In the middle agreement. The current tenents are good but they need reworking. We feel this list should be memorable and ideally roll of the tongue

4 - agree. There are elements that need reflection; From the 'deploy and develop' section - 'Should not unreasonably be prevented' is an ambiguous statement. Decision on what is(n't) unreasonale.

Multi-resource consensus is crucial to mitigate unfriendly or selfish whales

4

We may need to define better 'Contributors by Cardano community" when detailing reward sharing allowing more clarity, and possibly details on the mechanism

Should we enforce the use of enterprise wallets or equivalent mechanisms.

15 MINS

1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?

2 - The language could be clearer as vagueness could lead to too open to interpretation. Requires a more detailed Glossary of Terms, some terms are used in multiple scenarios with different meanings.

We need to promote the vote power of experts over the uninterested/disengaged.. - DReps good

What is the ethos? Glossary of terms required - in is section is not clear In its current form H is not good enough Section I paragraph 5 doesn't work _ this should be voted upon (rewards)

We investigated "not lock in an ada owner's" and whether this would restrict the arrival of USDC .. decided language allowed for them as "without owner's consent" is noted.


Neither Agree nor Disagree. I think most should be siplifed, shortened, definitions need to be added for Users, community. DRep rewards presume they will actually be added later in the document.

2

2

5 MINS

1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?



Break ☕

45 MINS

2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem?

(Pertains to Art and Guardrails
section 2.2 Economic Parameters)

15 MINS

2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem?

The only thing we would be happy seeing is a tenet surrounding the hard cap on the supply of ADA. Any more add unenforceable complexity.

Current feeling of no 1. The Guardrails already mention what we consider important aspects of the finance 2. Adding a Tenent may make this more complicated and effectively repeating whats in the GRail

Yes - Major influencers destabilising the economic balance of the ecosystem. What are the guard-rails for these people?

yes as a reference to the guardrail 2.2. Its already the last line of the preamble but should be moved into tenets.

Short answer is yes, however it should be clear what is meant by " financial sustainability "

There should be some guardrails for those with very low income to play a role in sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain

No it risk overriding guardrails.

Max supply hard cap should be clear and fix

15 MINS

2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem?

No - the tenets are comprehensive (possibly no need for item 4 - 'balance economic incentives..')

Generally the use of grammar and glossary of terms would be helpfull

What is financial stability? Max supply cap.


Yes, but only whether there could be additional issuance of ada.

a max supply of ada/lovelaces need to be somewhere in the document

Yes. Maybe include a section outlining that ecosystem participants have a responsibility to behave in a manner that is not detrimental to the sustainability of the ecosystem.

yes.

2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem?



Break ☕

45 MINS

06. Should the Constitution require dispute resolution provisions?

Contractual obligations governing the use of ada received from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury could be mandated to include dispute resolution provisions. [Pertains to: Article 3, Section 8.]

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

06. Should the Constitution require dispute resolution provisions?

No - Dispute resolution involving money will likely be a regional / legal process and cannot be captured in detail in the Constitution without it becoming a contracts in itself

Yes - A proposal should include a section 'Dispute resolution' - this simple messaging allows the CC to veto proposals that have not considered disupte resolution, but are not intended to enforce it

No - in the absence of a 'funding control committee', or similar. Yes - if a framework (committee, escrow/banded payment structure, arbitrators etc.) is pre-defined and in place.

No - Proposers may inflate their budgets if we define dispute resolution processes; so they are covered 'just in case' - adding this may create a fear factor here

Yes - the legal aspects and regional aspects makes this complex to implement

Yes - but only as a reference to it; the 'dispute resolution procedure' should be detailed separately, by a community-elected board, who manage the entire funding processes risk assessment etc.

Yes - But perhaps in a Milestone structure focused on project delivery and not specific dispute resolution

Yes, only if a suitable framework, governing and auditing entity is in place. There are several missing characters missing from the story and process. Same statement should be made to help protect

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

06. Should the Constitution require dispute resolution provisions?

Yes ... subject to establishment of dispute resolution process and jurisdiction criteria. In either case subject to Risk Management process.


Yes - a caveat that all proposals have to include a 'dispute resolution process' before processing of the proposal is considered.

Also, in the guidance text, 1,000,000 ADA value seems arbitrary. Its better to define caps in terms of percentage to avoid changes in terms of fiat values

General note: Large amounts of ADA should be presented as a % of annual budget instead of specific amount. ADA value changes subject to it value compared to dollars or other currency

10 MINS - [TOPIC]

06. Should the Constitution require dispute resolution provisions?



45 MINS

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

The draft constitution currently states: “DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps and make such codes of conduct publicly available.” [Article III, Article IV.]

a) DRep codes of conduct should be on-chain

(b) One code of conduct for all DReps

(c) Flexibility for each DRep to adopt its own code of conduct

(d) The Constitutional Committee should determine whether DRep code(s) of conduct are consistent with the Constitution.

e) None

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

No - We feel dReps should be left determine their own (if any) codes of conduct independent of the Constitution

(c) Flexibility for each DRep to adopt its own code of conduct. Delegates choice to vote to which ever DRep they choose, as per the DReps own 'code of conduct' and how they've acted in relation to it

Yes we should one have a one code of conduct for all, however how to distinguish between individuals delegating with large wallets i.e Whales? how to enforce the COC?

yes

None, a CoC cannot be enforeced and should not be a part of the constitutuion. Instead it should be separaret code(s) of conduct that are social contracts between DRep and del. rather than on-chain.

No,

Not in the constitution since the commités can Vito malicious actions / proposals

5 MINS

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?



45 MINS

17. Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include.

The Constitutional Guardrails specify a minimum and maximum size for the CC and minimum and maximum term lengths but does not otherwise address details on the composition of the CC. [Pertains to: Article VI and Guardrails Maximum Constitutional Committee Term (CMTI) and The minimum size of the Constitutional Committee (CMS).}

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

17. Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include.

Options A to D should be included Enough seats should be available to not just give only enough space for the founding entities alone

Provision for consecutive terms - no CC member will server more than X (2 possibly) consecutive terms. Not enforceable because a CC member can just create a new wallet, but CC is a public position

Adding conditions to set the number and term length should be written into the constitution and reviewed by public vote.

1) y, describe the methodology TBD 2)y, min & max numbers, odd number. in case of death..circumstances 3)y, term limit with x yrs break after y yrs in service 4) y, see above

I don't see the value in duplicating guardrails in the main body of the constitution.

(f) None of these The decision should be made on-chain with minimum and maximum values recorded. Community driven (on-chain) voting to determine term limit and number of CC members

Yes, e) - If certain skills/qualities are required by a CC member they should be incorporated. Also, a prompt in the constitution to think about inclusive CC mix, incl. global regional representation

a) aim to get to an odd number of CC Members b) follow guardrail minimums c) larger length of term for more votes (after No Confidence/New Committee)

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

17. Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include.

We'd like consideration for nations/continents to be well represented in proportion to their nations/conditions exposure to the chain.

Messaging to focus on Regional representation of the CC members

d) Correct the discrepancy between Art IV Sect. 3 ("provided that terms will be no less than one year" and Guardrails minimum being 3 months e)n/a

2 or 3 consecutive terms. So good people can do multiple terms throughout their life, but with at least a year break every 2 or 3 terms. Brings in new people, decentralising knowledge.

5 MINS

17. Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include.



Delegate Election 

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

It would have been good to also cover the SPO focused questions because there is a high SPO representation in this workshop

In general the whole consititution needs a bit of pruning to make it more accessible.

May be more time on financial management may have been beneficial

The constitution is too lengthy and restrictive. It can focus on giving discretionary power to voters rather than mechanisms.

Yes, ADA deposit to submit proposals, 100k ADA!

Generally the language and grammar could use much improvement to help with interpretation of tenants and avoid ambiguities

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

It was nicely structured and lead, therefore managed to cover all topics planned. No deltas 😁

+ Menti is a great tool to use for this process, along with to guide the flow was a huge asset to the workshop. + Great involvement from all participants, felt like we have opinions from all

- Voting needs to some onchain voting considering what we are

Time management worked well. Prevented topics from over-running and gave each topic its fair amount of time for discussion.

+s Excellent facilitation, supportive and non-intrusive.

+: people have thoughtful ideas and genuinely interested in the constitution. delta: the workshop is too long and tiring. It would be much more accessible to wider audience if conducted virtually.

I really enjoyed the workshop. I liked how we we moved into different groups to get more perspectives

+s Great venue, good access

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

- I didn't quite get everyone's name, but almost

Great workshop, maybe a quick how to/where to look up notes and materials for the workshop questions, not an issue really all very good.

Great workshop and enjoyed the use of MEnti instead of Mira board [redacted] did a great job managing the crowed and time
Only one delta = where are the page numbers?

+ great format, great questions

Delta: There should be more explanation of what a convention delegate is, how to register, and the voting process before registration is open.

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

0 responses

Great work! 🙌

WELCOME!

Cardano Puerto Rico Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules

1-2-4-ALL

Discussion Process

1) Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone. (5 min)
2) Pair: Share your notes with a partner. (10 min)
3) Four: Generate key themes and capture on Menti. (15 min)
4) Whole Group: Debrief on key themes, points of intersection, and points of differences. (15 min)
5) Vote. (10 min)



Let's get started 🚀

Principles and Tenets

2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem?

CONSIDER: If yes, should this new tenet include an absolute cap on the circulating supply of ada?

2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem? If yes/no, please explain.

Yes. Supply should be fixed at 45B Ada.

No. Adding more tenets, especially those that are open to interpretation, you introduce more vectors for governance disputes. These disputes could lead to governance paralysis, where

where decision-making is bogged down in endless debates and procedural hurdles.

Yes. It is important to codify 45b as the max supply. The reason that bitcoin began was a need to have a fixed supply money. Cardano should continue that.

No, no changes to supply, main tenant

Yes ..it gives more sense of sucurity and stability for the current and new users

Adam made a great point that Ada was not defined in the constitution. So defining max supply would need Ada defined too as you could move decimals

Yes, having the language in the constitution that covers this topic would make the entite governance system even more robust

2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem? If yes/no, please explain.

Yes. Changing circumstances may require increasing resources in a fast developing new world economic order. Maybe percentages should be considered in order to minimize conflicts and burocracy.

2a. If you answered yes, should this new tenet include an absolute cap on the circulating supply of ada?

Yes. But max supply should be capped in addition to circulating supply

Yes there should be a cap

Yes, a healthy economy should have a cap in the supply. For velocity of economic activity we could use lower denominations.

Yes. So we can assure proper network functionality. We also have the option to adjust the parameters if at some point becomes cumbersome. Setting it in written form makes it an objective subject


Yes this would help current coin holder hold there coin value

Yes. Even if the circulating supply is never changed, having this specific language established in the constitution will pave the way for better decision making in the future.

We should define the absolute value of 1 Ada to be 1 million Lovelace and there is a maximum circulating supply of 45 billion Ada.

No

2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem?



Break ☕

07. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include (select all that apply):

(a) A contingency fund to cover unexpected costs not otherwise specified in the Cardano Budget.

(b) An indemnity fund to cover potential claims against governance participants such as DReps and Constitutional Committee members.

(c) Funds to cover administrative costs of the CC.

(d) None of these.

CONSIDER: If (a) or (b), how would it work? Who could it be used for? Who would administer it? If (d), do you agree with the need for funding but disagree that it must be mandated w/in Constitution?

07. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include (select all that apply)?

C: we need to establish a protocol for paying partially upfront and partially upon completion of some term

Maybe some body or fund that is mandated to cover both a & b. C definitely.

A. No. We don't want a slush fund. If any unexpected expense comes up, we can vote for it separately.

C. With the stipulation of pay based on service, retractive to how much of their time is used prior to payment. Fair pay for work rendered.

B. Legal things can be voted on separately. If there's a fund there it will be attacked with frivolous lawsuits.

A, B, C

C. Yes, but pay should be retroactive and in accordance with how much work it ends up being.

A,B,C

07. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include (select all that apply)?

C. A fund to cover CC admin costs is important. How these funds will be disbursed will be up to community vote because there are lots of ways this can be taken advantage of

A is way too vague setting up for ever spending. This should not be allowed or accounted for

C. Funds to include admin costs of CC

B. No, again setting up for litigation. Too vauge what exactly is covered? DUI?

I agree the letters A, B & C be funded. The manner which is distributed (how, when) is to be discussed

07a. Contingency/Indemnity: How would it work? Who for? Who would administer? None: Do you think funding should be mandated within Constitution?

No it's too broad.

No

No, the introduction of these funds creates a predatory environment that invites careless spending and frivolous lawsuits

None. These should not be in the constitution. The community can choose to fund them on a case by case basis.

C) need to pay to attract working class people, must be paid a little before to sustain working people and some after to incentivize good work

None, there should be an alternate method.


These funds could be financed through a portion of transaction fees, block rewards, or special allocations from the treasury. The specific percentage or amount should be defined in the constitution

Only funds to cover CC admin costs. Contingency and indemnity is too broad

07a. Contingency/Indemnity: How would it work? Who for? Who would administer? None: Do you think funding should be mandated within Constitution?

No

07. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include (select all that apply):



(a) A contingency fund to cover unexpected costs not otherwise specified in the Cardano Budget.

(b) An indemnity fund to cover potential claims against governance participants such as DReps and Constitutional Committee members.

(c) Funds to cover administrative costs of the CC.

(d) None of these

Break ☕

8. Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process?

CONSIDER: If yes, should the Constitution identify how the Cardano Budget will be administered? Should the Constitution identify who will administer the Cardano Budget? Should the Constitution specify a cap on the annual Cardano Budget?

8. Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process?

Yes, the constitution is not specific with budget it just say there must be a budget

Yes. Cardano members should be able to pass judgment about budgetary processes, therefore, the need for info. regarding budget procedures and decisions.

Set a parameter yearly about % that can be spent pure year. Treasure expenditure rate

Yes.

It is hard to answer or put a cap on spending because we have no clue what it costs iog to develop blockchain features.

Yes. The Constitution should provide more details on the approval process of yearly development budgets

Every millimeter of PROPOSED spending should be well articulated for the ICC/CC members to make good decisions. Constitution should make this a parameter.

Yes. Definitely

8. Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process?

Yes, it's sensible to have a cap in the expending.

Yes. The budget should describe how is it distributed, like what percentage is designated to X proposal

Yes. We need defined language that sets a fixed percentage of the Treasury that can be spent yearly.

Yes. We should have 100% count abilitly.

Yes, we shouldn't approve access to the treasury without having a budgetary process.

Yes. An on-chain param indicating max % of treasury that can be spent annually

Yes it should be accourding to the amount of transacción and income going into the tresurie

8a. If yes, should the Constitution identify how the Cardano Budget will be administered, who will administer it & a cap on the annual Cardano Budget?

Who administers should be apart of the budget

Constitution should have a cap, who and how should be apart of proposed budget

A cap is necessary, multiple budgets should be submitted for consideration. The Dow and who should be in the proposal. The budget should cover 6 months to year.

Proposed budgets should have identifiers who will manage that specific budget. The constitution should parameterized cap.

Yes. The constitution should provide a guideline on fair administration and a reasonable cap on annual budget to avoid exploitation

Each proposer should include and vie to control the budget for the year. Many different groups could propose a budget.

Audit-ability and accountability

Ideally, no caps, but each budget proposal should advocate for itself.

8a. If yes, should the Constitution identify how the Cardano Budget will be administered, who will administer it & a cap on the annual Cardano Budget?

Yes it Will support transparency on who is administering and how it's being spent to the community

Whoever's proposal for budget is approved by the community should administer the budget. I think a cap is in order, but not on the treasury revenue generated from tx volume to allow for sales comms

Yes, the cap, how will it be administered and by who should be explicit.

Clear parameters for budgeting

Proof of onboarding for marketing

Yes

Yes. It should be detailed the annual budget based on last year's volume. Ideally it is represented in percentage

Yes, the Constitution identify how the Cardano Budget will be administered. Yes, the process for entities to apply to admn should be in place

8. Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process?



Break ☕

Stake Pool Operators

16. What requirements, if any, should the Constitution specify for SPO codes of conduct (select all that apply):

(a) SPOs are expected to implement codes of conduct.

(b) SPO codes of conduct should be on-chain.

(c) The Constitutional Committee should determine whether SPO code(s) of conduct are consistent with the Constitution.

(d) Other guidelines for SPO codes of conduct.

(e) None.

Stake Pool Operators

16a. What requirements, if any, should the Constitution specify for SPO codes of conduct?

E. The code of conduct should be nothing more than people delegating to a pool. If people don't like the conduct of an spo, they can leave.

Let people vote with their feet, if a SPO does wrong their stakers will move to a new pool

None. E.

A code of conduct is better then constitution

SPOs are already regulated by they delegates.

E. The constitution should place no codes of conduct on SPOs.

No

No

Stake Pool Operators

16a. What requirements, if any, should the Constitution specify for SPO codes of conduct?

No	none -	Any spo code of conduct is unenforceable.	Code of conduct should be one thing code of ethics should be another.
E. SPOs are already regulated by the delegates.	?	A. Not sure.	N/a

Stake Pool Operators

16a. What requirements, if any, should the Constitution specify for SPO codes of conduct?

Only things that can be objectively dangerous for governance to take its place effectively

I don't believe SPOs codes of conduct should be governed by a constitution. Rather the community at large should determine and enforce certain codes of conduct with their delegation.


No

E. SPOs are already regulated by the delegates.

No. The delegation on SPOs would reflect the support for their behavior. Also, acting on written rules would hinder progress

Stake Pool Operators

16. What requirements, if any, should the Constitution specify for SPO codes of conduct (select all that apply):



(a) SPOs are expected to implement codes of conduct.

(b) SPO codes of conduct should be on-chain.

(c) The Constitutional Committee should determine whether SPO code(s) of conduct are consistent with the Constitution.

(d) Other guidelines for SPO codes of conduct.

(e) None.

Break ☕

Separation of Powers

23. What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?

(a) Prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.

(b) Require disclosure but do not prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.

(c) Include special conflicts of interest provisions.

(d) Does not need to be addressed in the Constitution.

(e) Unsure.

Separation of Powers

23a. What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?

It should have no impact, what their stake wants them to vote may be different from their own choice. Their voices should be heard.

D. The person is held accountable to both groups delegating to them. A lot of the time the dreps and spos are voting on different things.

No

We cannot enforce the distinction between the parties so this creates undue burden on the CC to "investigate" and a "gotcha" for things that pass or fail. No FUD

Non doc transparency

E. Unsure

E

D. Can be addressed elsewhere

Separation of Powers

23a. What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?

A. Not sure.

B. Not sure

C. Not sure.

D. Not sure.

Not sure.

D. It cannot be enforced

D cannot be inforced

None

23a. What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?

C. While it is true that SPO should act on the blockchain's best interest, it would be good to restrict "big money"'s interests

23. What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?



Delegate Election 

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

No

No

Explain some of the concepts of spo and DR

Nope.

Some of the questions were not specific enough in their intention, imo. I think the general comments around several nuanced points made clear SOME of the ambiguities.

Excellent facilitation

Very impressed with the democratic process

Nope.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

Nah we good

No. It was well discussed

Na

No

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

I thought the structure was good. I would like more detailed questions to understand the problem effectively.

Think moderator and the format were good. Very adaptable and convenient format for attendees

Excellent moderation! Keeping us all in check and on topic durong the entire excercise. As a first time participant, I had a great experience

was great. The flow was great. Maybe more articulation of questions from the committee could be improved upon.

Na

Close

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

11 responses



Great work! 🙌

¡Bienvenidos CDMX! 👋

Bienvenidos

Taller Constitucional de la Comunidad Cardano Ciudad de Mexico

Esperamos incluir sus perspectivas únicas en 4 temas focales de la Constitución. Estamos recopilando comentarios de la comunidad a través de talleres en todo el mundo para dar forma al borrador de la constitución antes de la Convención Constitucional en diciembre.

Accede al borrador de la constitución en:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Normas del Grupo

Aportaciones para la Constitución de Cardano

Contribuye con tu presencia.
Intenta comprender antes de persuadir.
Comparte el tiempo: Participa, pero da espacio a los demás. Sé honesto y profundo sin extenderte demasiado.
Busca el progreso antes que la perfección.
Sigue las Reglas de Chatham House.

1-2-4-ALL

Proceso de Discusión

1) Individual: Genera ideas y opiniones en silencio, de manera individual. (5 min)

2) Grupo de cuatro: Discutan sus ideas y anoten los temas claves de su discusión en Menti. (15 min)

3) Grupo completo: Compartan los temas de sus discusiones en grupo pequeño y debatan en grupo grande. (20 min)

4) Votación: Cada uno registrará su voto final. (5 min)

Empecemos 🚀

1. ¿Crees que los preceptos en el Artículo I, Sección 1 reflejan apropiadamente el espíritu de la Blockchain de Cardano?

1 - Totalmente en desacuerdo

2 - En desacuerdo

3 - Ni de acuerdo ni en desacuerdo

4 - De acuerdo

5 - Totalmente de acuerdo

No estoy seguro

1. ¿Crees que los preceptos en el Artículo I, Sección 1 reflejan apropiadamente el espíritu de la Blockchain de Cardano?

Si, me agrada que sea transparente y que involucre a sus usuarios

4 de acuerdo, me agrada la idea que la BlockChain interactúe con sus usuarios

Si, crea transparencia y confianza

4/ estoy de acuerdo en la interaccion de la BlockChain con sus usuarios ayuda a mejorar la comunicación

Si, ya que crea confianza y con transparencia hacia sus usuarios

creo que se alinean al priorizar la descentralización, la seguridad y la sostenibilidad, que son principios fundamentales del ecosistema.

1- Totalmente de Acuerdo

Si, ya que describe un contexto de transparencia

1. ¿Crees que los preceptos en el Artículo I, Sección 1 reflejan apropiadamente el espíritu de la Blockchain de Cardano?

3 - Creo que la Blockchain debe de crear los mecanismos necesarios para evitar concentrar el poder en grandes usuarios.

4 estoy de acuerdo, reflejan el espíritu de cardano ya que se toma en cuenta la sostenibilidad a largo plazo, igualdad y descentralización

5. Porque considera el uso para todos a un costo razonable, en beneficio de la comunidad y del usuario, quizás para hacerlo más accesible a todos.

Con forme a los principios del blockchain lo que pripone la constitución me parece ampliamente aceptable

Desacuerdo, Es bueno que exista la transparencia y honestidad entre los colaboradores que forman parte de ello

Estoy de acuerdo porque las ideas deben ser transparentes y fomentar los confianza en todos los ámbitos


4 de acuerdo. Agregaría tres correcciones: p. 3: ¿qué tal la congestión? p. 6: ¿qué tal otros subyacentes? general: que el poder de voto no está concentrado en las ballenas de ADA

Estoy de acuerdo sin embargo me parece que el modelo actual no promueve el poder equitativo entre los holders.

1. ¿Crees que los preceptos en el Artículo I, Sección 1 reflejan apropiadamente el espíritu de la Blockchain de Cardano?

Estoy totalmente de acuerdo

1. ¿Crees que los preceptos en el Artículo I, Sección 1 reflejan apropiadamente el espíritu de la Blockchain de Cardano?



11. ¿Qué debería requerir la constitución en relación con los códigos de conducta de los DReps? (selecciona todas las que apliquen):

(a) Los códigos de conducta de los DReps deberían estar en la cadena

(b) Un solo código de conducta para todos los DReps

(c) Flexibilidad para que cada DRep adopte su propio código de conducta

(d) El Comité Constitucional debería determinar si los códigos de conducta de los DReps son consistentes con la Constitución

(e) Otras directrices para los códigos de conducta de los DReps

(f) Ninguna de estas

11. ¿Qué debería requerir la constitución en relación con los códigos de conducta de los DReps? (selecciona todas las que apliquen).

A), d)

a y b. En mucho mejor que estén dentro de la cadena los DReps y que se guíen por un solo código de conducta, pues ello permitirá la homogeneidad en el trato de cada DRep y no existirá lugar a ambigüed

Creo que debería de haber un código de ética igual para todos lo dreps y si bien no debería estar en cadena, si debería de estar un proceso que permita saber cómo modificar este código de conducta

a/c

Considero que se deberian establecer codigos de conducta que incluyan transparencia, etica, responsabilidad, acceso publico y/o sanciones

Es complicado normalizar un código de conducta sobre todo que es a nivel internacional.

Deberían tener un codigo de conducta para lograr tener armonía

B) Pienso que se debe tener un mismo código de conducta, un mal uso de código de conducta puede generar resultados no deseados

11. ¿Qué debería requerir la constitución en relación con los códigos de conducta de los DReps? (selecciona todas las que apliquen).

Considero si debería haber un código que de alguna manera muestre la integridad del representante

Los códigos de conducta si deben estar regulados dentro de la cadena Y hay que especificar quien o quienes formarían parte del comité constitucional

Load DReps deben estar tener un solo código de conducta para todos considerando la transparencia en las decisiones tomadas por la comunidad

Creo que es correcto tener un código de conducta para seleccionar a los DReps, y ser más específicos en sus alcances.


Yo creo que deberia de haber algo general. Sin embargo no deberia de encasillar a los DREPS a algun codigo de conducta.

d. Concidero que si debe haber un código general de conducta y uno diversificado a la variabilidad de los DReps

Contar con unas bases generales es muy necesario, sin embargo, creo que cada DRep deberia de tener sus propios codigos de conducta para poder representar los intereses en especifico de sus delegados.

Fijar límites y tener el tema de diversidad, tener un código ético general y un tema de código de historial

11. ¿Qué debería requerir la constitución en relación con los códigos de conducta de los DReps? (selecciona todas las que apliquen):



Almuerzo 

17.Los Guardarraíles fijan tamaño y duración del CC, pero no su composición. Selecciona qué disposiciones adicionales debería incluir la Constitución:

Selecciona todas las que apliquen:

(a) Cómo se determina el número de miembros del CC

(b) Un número fijo de miembros del CC (en concordancia con los Guardarraíles)

(c) Cómo se determinan los límites de los mandatos de los miembros del CC

(d) Un límite fijo de mandato para los miembros del CC (en concordancia con los Guardarraíles)

(e) Otros

(f) Ninguno de estos

17.Los Guardarraíles fijan tamaño y duración del CC, pero no su composición. Selecciona qué disposiciones adicionales debería incluir la Constitución:

Tamaño de los comités, y deberían tomar en cuenta la región.

Remuneracion

a y c se deberia establecer un tamaño determinado conforme al contexto que ocupe una determinada zona o regionalización..

b)

También se debería poner un límite de mandato y considerar el porcentaje de personas con el de miembros del CC

b,c.

Comité impar, para que no pueda haber empate. Y si es par, segunda vuelta. Podría haber reelección, pero deberia de revisarse condiciones. Votado por lo a través de los DReps y holders. Compliance.

Debe de incluir todas las opciones.

17.Los Guardarraíles fijan tamaño y duración del CC, pero no su composición. Selecciona qué disposiciones adicionales debería incluir la Constitución:

A, B, C. Son día debe existir el proceso de definir el tamaño y duración del CC y si composición, pues de esa forma garantizaran la representacion que se busca

El numero de miembros debe de ser impar.

Duracion maxima de 2 años. Siempre se puede hacer un voto de confianza.

Se debe definir la cantidad de miembros y duracion de periodos. De acuerdo a volumen de la comunidad que rijan

Eo comité debe se ser impar para poder tener cierta democracia dentro de lonque dicta el articulo 1 que estan proponiendo Tambien debe de tener un nunero acorde no muy grande para evitar discusiones

los miembros del comite tienen que tener una identidad digital verificable.

Remuneracion de los miembros del CC.

Me parece que debería de haber un protocolo para determinar el tamaño del CC, que el tamaño debería ser flexible pero siguiendo los lineamientos de dicho protocolo

Comité Constitucional (CC)

17.Los Guardarraíles fijan tamaño y duración del CC, pero no su composición. Selecciona qué disposiciones adicionales debería incluir la Constitución:

Considerar el contexto para definir el tamaño del grupo

Deberá apegarse a los principios y lineamientos establecidos

no mas de 5 y no mas de 2 años para que no exista mucha discrepancia en las tomas de desiciones y no mas de 2 años para que exista estabilidad y tengan la posibilidad de renovacion de ideas y liderazg

Puede ser considerado el CC por regionalmente considerando a más miembros

2 años con 1 posibilidad de reelección, esto el base al cumplimiento de su cargo

17a. ¿Qué tamaño o duración del mandato tienes en mente? ¿Deberían ser valores fijos, relacionales o decididos por votación en cadena?

Valores relacionales basados en un protocolo que tome en cuanto variables del contexto actual.

2 años.

1 año y que haya reelección. Deberían de ser cambios decididos por votación en cadena.

2 años y 5 miembros por votacion en cadena

Considerar modificar con respecto al comportamiento y evolucion de cardano.

Los valores deberian de estar marcados sin embargo tratandose de blockchain, hace bastante complicado la duración de este mandato.

Debe ser decidido por la comunidad, de acuerdo al desempeño y necesidades de la misma

1 año 6 meses, dependiendo de las necesidades y evolución

Comité Constitucional (CC)

17a. ¿Qué tamaño o duración del mandato tienes en mente? ¿Deberían ser valores fijos, relacionales o decididos por votación en cadena?

La duración deberia estar relacionada con la tendendia

Un periodo de dos años pudiera ser razonabla, considerando que se pudiera hacer una reforma en el tiempo de duración si se considera pertinente


2 años

Dependerá del cumplimiento de metas y objetivos

Que su duracion sea de acuerdo a su desempeño, pero que tenga límite de mandato las veces que se tenga reelección

Comité Constitucional (CC)

17.Los Guardarraíles fijan tamaño y duración del CC, pero no su composición. Selecciona qué disposiciones adicionales debería incluir la Constitución:



19. ¿Qué requisitos, si los hay, debería incluir la Constitución para el código de conducta del Comité Constitucional?

Selecciona todas las que apliquen:

(a) El código de conducta del CC debe estar en la cadena

(b) La Comunidad de Cardano debe tener un rol en la aprobación del código de conducta del CC

(c) Otras directrices para el código de conducta del CC

(d) Ninguna de estas

19. ¿Qué requisitos, si los hay, debería incluir la Constitución para el código de conducta del Comité Constitucional? (selecciona las que apliquen)

Otras directrices

Otras directrices

C) otras directrices

La pregunta no es clara. Creo que tiene que ser un requisito que el codigo de conducta sea aprobado por la comunidad.

Los mienbros del comité deberán de ser miembros de la comunidad, trasparentes, leales y firmes Reglas que para mi debera cumplir el codigo de coducta

Otras directrices.

Seria la opción C El comite debe operar de acuerdo a un codigo de conducta publicado por ellos periiodicamente, aunque no se menciona que este codigo deba estar en la cadena

En general actuar con ética

19. ¿Qué requisitos, si los hay, debería incluir la Constitución para el código de conducta del Comité Constitucional? (selecciona las que apliquen)

Transparencia y rendición de cuentas, neutralidad, en cadena

Pregunta poco específica. Emitir un voto con base a la Constitución.

A y b. Ser honoríficos y demostrar su solvencia moral

Que no caigan en controversias de choque de interés.

19a. ¿Cómo se aplicaría cualquiera de los requisitos seleccionados?

A traves de los votos de no confianza.

Mediante un Comité que verifique la aplicación del código de ética y conducta

Buscar la salvaguarda de la descentralización, teniendo entes ajenos a los 3 poderes de gobernanza, (CC, DReps y SPOs).


lo veo bastante complicado por la naturaleza del blockchain.

Es complicado normar el manejo de Cardano sin afectar la descentralización .

Establecer comportamientos son aceptables y establecee procedimientos que guien su toma de decisiones

A través de un comité imparcial que salvaguarde el C.C.

19. ¿Qué requisitos, si los hay, debería incluir la Constitución para el código de conducta del Comité Constitucional? (selecciona las que apliquen)



Descanso ☕

Elección de Delegados 



https://constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/

Reflexión y Conclusión

¿Hubo alguna idea o tema que no tuvo la oportunidad de compartir?

No. Todo lo abordado fue pertinente

Remuneracion para DREPs y CC. Control de ballenas en el proceso de gobernanza. Comentarios generales sobre la constitución.

No, las dudas si bien no las enuncié, otros compañeros/as lo hicieron y cubrieron algunos puntos que pensaba.

No, ninguna pienso que los temas a tratar de debatieron y se tomaron las mejores decisiones.

1

No, al contrario, hubo muchas ideas q se compartieron

No. Ninguna

Todo en orden Es interesante la idea de poder implemetar una constitución

¿Hubo alguna idea o tema que no tuvo la oportunidad de compartir?

Temas de la tesorería de Cardano y límites de retiros etc, así como compensación al CC y DReps

Algunas personas somos nuevas en Intersect me gustaría que se hablara de los beneficios de ser parte y más detalles de como las instituciones se pueden involucrar en el ecosistema CARDANO e INTERSECT.

Considero que la sesión tocó temas e ideas importantes una mejora

Falto tiempo para profundizar sobre los requisitos de la conducta del comité y cómo elegirlos para no perder la descentrakizacion del block chain

Me gustaría entrar al detalle de la parte legal y la parte más administrativa de la cadena. Como va a funcionar? quien lo va a manejar?etc. Igual platicar que esfuerzos se preven hacer proximamente.

No, se dió la pauta adecuada para abordar cada uno de los temas así como la apertura a todas las opiniones

¿Qué le ha gustado y qué cree que se podría mejorar en el taller?

Anunciarse más en redes sociales y que haya opción de escribir nuestras propias opciones y votar por ellas.

Creo que es necesario dar mas información sobre Cardano, blockchain y sistemas de gobernanza desde el inicio.

Tal vez un poco mas facil e interactiva la parte de ciando se leen los articulos de la constitución de Cardano Fuera de eso todo bien 🙂

Se me hizo muy interesante la mecánica de las preguntas, de igual manera muy valiosos los puntos de vista de los oarticipantes

Más retroalimentación de proyectos y / o casos de éxito

La parte de retroalimentación de cada una de las ideas aportadas durante cada pregunta, me gustaría una mayor difusión para que así llegue a más personas interesadas en el tema

La participación de los asistentes y talvez se podría mejorar brindando un poco más de contexto previo al taller (una breve charla de introducción)

Mucha información nueva. Hacer un taller enfocado en como empezar a trabajar, no solo de la construcción

¿Qué le ha gustado y qué cree que se podría mejorar en el taller?

El taller me ha gustado mucho me ha parecido muy interesante más sin embargo dar un poco de difusión de este tipo de talleres

Todo estuvo muy bien. Mejorar? Quizás proponer un panorama general de los puntos a tratar para saber de qué va a tratarse la reunión

La interaccion que se tuvo con todos los participantes y creo que falto presentarnos individualmente para conocernos mejor

Me ha gustado, la etapa previa de discutir por pequeños grupos para finalmente, debatir el tema en plenaria grupal.

Me gusto conocer sobre el manejo de la gobernanza, en otro taller se podría haber nablado y conocer sobre los proyectos

me gusto el tema de la organizacion del taller, la dinamica de las preguntas y lo que para mi se puede mejorar es en dar un poco mas de contexto antes del taller para las personas nuevas

La forma de recolectar datos y opiniones es adecuada. El darle difusión a estos talles para dar a conocer sus conceptos e ideales.

Reflexión y Conclusión

¿Qué palabra o frase describe mejor lo más importante que se lleva del taller?

14 responses





Formulario de Comentarios sobre el Borrador de la Constitución

¡Buen trabajo! 🙌

WELCOME!

Cardano Kenya Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....

Yes, I learnt that a number of people what to know what Cardano is

Yes. Most of the people I spoke to wanted to understand the constitution and the cardano ecosystem better and to interract with fellow Cardano enthusiasts.

Lots of people are here to contribute to the constitution. A couple of people were surprisingly keen on make sure east africa and wakenya feels represented.

To network and learn more on the institution

Yes, I noticed the same theme, the people I interacted with were here to learn more about Cardano and contribute more to the larger cardano community. Also some want to contribute to the community

Yes, Learn more on the ecosystem and actively participate in the cardano space

Yes Majority of delegates dont know about cardano but most of as we want to network

Yes,,,many people want to know more about the constitution

Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....

People are informed of the cardano constitutional and are willing to learn more of it , passionate about it and wanna be active members

Yes.Talked about the roles intersect a 'pizzlies and 'power to the people

I noticed through the people i talked to that most guys are here to learn about the cardano blockchain ecosystem which i believe the constitution is a good entry point into it from the governance poin

People want to know more about the cardano ecosystem and how to be involved

Yes, Great knowledge on the topics from hosts and we all want to contribute!

Yes, most people want to know about cardano and perticipate in the drafting of the constitution

many people want to learn about cardano and intersect

Yes, Cardano community at large

Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....

The developer path is quite intrusive

People are ready to learn about cardano and contribute to the constitution

According to my own understanding today's meeting it is about amending constitution and to know more about interset and cardano at large

Yes ,I noticed that many people were iger to know more about the community

Im surprised and amazed by cardano and yes i must admit that i really love it the teachings are good and if really given a chance i'll do it

To get exposed to the Cordano workshop and a knowledge to the programme

To know more about Cardano What cardona deals with and importance of cardona

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules



Let's get started 🚀

30 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees?

Allowing for appointed designees to control voting rights is a potential way to handle the new voting rights associated with ada. This would enable owners of ada to determine whether or not they want to authorize a designee, balancing the need to protect voting rights from unauthorized use by third parties, but also permitting it if ada owners would like.
[Notes: This is not a reference to delegation to DReps. Pertains to: Article II Section 2, Article III Section 4 and 8, Article IV Section 2, Article VI Section 1, Article VII Section 1.]

30 MINS - Voting Rights

3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

3. The Constitution should:



(a) Limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada

(b) Allow ada owners to appoint designees who are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance

(c) Unsure

3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees? Rationale.

ada owners are smart enough to select good designees. Will increase participation. Ada holders should be able to switch any time.

To avoid external influence hence choosing

designees will make governance more equitable.

Ada holders only... to avoid influence from people with 0 knowledge about ada

Should designees be required to do due deligence?

Yes they should be able to appoint designees to reduce the voting population and there is increased decision-making.

ADA HOLDERS ONLY: This limits external influence, shows the pursuit of Cardano ecosystem knowledge and investment (SHOULD NOT be a HIGH ADA limit maybe 10-20)

1.Better decision making 2. Since all of them may not be able to participate in on chain governance its better to appoint a designee to participate on their behalf 3. It promotes better inclusivit

3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees? Rationale.

there should be a limit on how many delegation designees can receive.

Delegating to a designee could lead to biases

Chose to go with allowing owners to appoint designees who are familiar with the system to increase participation if ada owners have zero knowledge

They should allow ada owner to appoint designees who are then entitled to participate in on chain governance,, this because will help them in controlling vote

Yes, because designees will make the on chain governance less chaotic and more orderly and also allow people to represent those who are new to the ecosystem and don't understand the Cardano ecosystem.

Builds the culture of trust in delegators with zero knowledge of ada.



Break ☕

6. Should the Constitution require these dispute resolution provisions?

Contractual obligations governing the use of ada received from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury could be mandated to include dispute resolution provisions. While on-chain approval to disburse funds from the Cardano Treasury is well defined, the process to oversee the use of funds is not. In a decentralized ecosystem like Cardano, there is little precedent for handling commercial disputes, and we may want to define some guidance in the Constitution.Keep in mind that dispute resolution provisions can still be included in contracts if not mandated in the Constitution, but may be deemed so important that it must be stated in the Constitution. Also, even if the Constitution does mandate the use of dispute resolution provisions, there may be no way to


While on-chain approval to disburse funds from the Cardano Treasury is well defined, the process to oversee the use of funds is not. In a decentralized ecosystem like Cardano, there is little precedent for handling commercial disputes, and we may want to define some guidance in the Constitution. Keep in mind that dispute resolution provisions can still be included in contracts if not mandated in the Constitution, but may be deemed so important that it must be stated in the Constitution. Also keep in mind that even if the Constitution does mandate the use of dispute resolution provisions, there may be no way to actually enforce such a requirement.

30 MINS - Financial Sustainability

6. Should the Constitution require these dispute resolution provisions?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

6. Should the Constitution require these dispute resolution provisions?



6. Should the Constitution require these dispute resolution provisions (Yes/No/Unsure) Provide rationale.

Yes. It makes the party tasked with the obligation to be more mindful(responsible) of the terms and budget allowance of the obligation.

yes

Yes, there will be loop holes, conflict is inevitable, disaster is eminent

Yes ,because their is no withdrawal that can be done without the awareness of the ada owners therefore increasing the transparency in the community

Yes, it provides for accountability and assurance that the ecosystem is keen on achieving the stated goals

It makes implementing and actually doing proposed assignments on time

Its going to help in more security

Yes, because these dispute resolution provisions allow for budgeting of the auditing process whenever a budget is approved hence ensuring accountability and responsibility.

6. Should the Constitution require these dispute resolution provisions (Yes/No/Unsure) Provide rationale.

Yes, the language of the budget should be updated to require a line item for conflict resolution. The Constitution committee should be appointed the task of facilitating conflict resolutions.

It's going to secure more

YES... Brings an environment of trust, in case of any thing their is a fall back and not a free fall

Having a provision will enable clear audits.

Yes. Allows for sane decision making regarding implementation of the obligation

Yes,,,because their is no withdrawals that can be made without the awareness of the ada owners therefore increasing the transparency in the community

YES: As per the obligation for a platform like cardono to work perfectly rules should be enacted to ensure transparency, provision of this will ensure credibility when it comes to trust of investment

7. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include specific funds?


Periodic Cardano Blockchain Budgets will be developed and approved by the community. It is expected that the community will periodically approve budgets through on-chain governance actions. The Constitution specifies that no disbursements from the Cardano Treasury can be approved through on-chain governance actions unless at the time of the disbursement, a community-approved budget is then in place. However, the Constitution leaves to the community decisions as to what should actually be included in a budget, how detailed a budget should be and how budgets should be developed and approved.

30 MINS - Financial Sustainability

7. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include specific funds?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

7. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include (select all that apply):



(a) A contingency fund to cover unexpected costs not otherwise specified in the Cardano Budget.

(b) An indemnity fund to cover potential claims against governance participants such as DReps and Constitutional Committee members.

(c) Funds to cover administrative costs of the CC

(d) None of these

7. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include? Provide rationale.

Yes, cover administrative for cc, it's a lot of work and proposals for the small group of the constitution committee.

The contingency fund is not needed in my sense as there is another process that can allow approval of more money for budgets as the constitution states it can be a minimum of once per year

Can we afford to legally support potentially 5000 DReps in 50+ countries? The cardano treasury is not that large.

Budget must include a, b and c to guarantee fund availability by mandating the budget must account for it

Yes allocation of emergency fund help in the smooth implementation of cardano mandates and smooth sailing of project

Despite some disagreements we mostly agreed on A, B and C. Since we found it helpful to have money set aside for emergencies as well as running the cardano admin

How can we cap the Dreps? To allow for a sustainable fund

Contingency Fund - We agreed because it can improve the speed at which projects are completed.

7. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include? Provide rationale.

To introduce supplementary /emergency budget to cater for such cost

A contingency fund and funds to cover administrative costs of the CC should be inplemented but the indemnity fund shiuld only be implemented with specific clauses put in place.

B and c , disagree with A opens loopholes for embezzlement

Yes for the indemnity fund if it includes conflict resolution and a cap on number of DReps support.

Indemnity Fund- ensures the Dreps and constitutional committee members are protected from future claims but only with specific claims in place

Administrative cost ... To ensure that the administrators don't come up with their own ways of getting funds to their pockets

a & b provides loopholes for looting and also opens the ecosystem to the loopholes that can't be accounted for. The administrative costs has inclusivity of several aspects which are practical

It is always good to have an emergency fund that can be used to cater for any problems that occur within the community and individual too

7. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include? Provide rationale.

To create or introduce a supplementary budget

If these funds are included in the constitution, are they capped to once per year budgets? Can this be a way to cap allocations to Dreps?

Funds to cover administrative costs of CC - This will support and motivate the CC to ensure they're doing their work to their absolute best.

Yes. to allow a contigency fund. It allows to cater for underestimates or oversetimates of the budget.

LUNCH

30 MINS - DReps

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

The draft constitution currently states:
“DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps and make such codes of conduct publicly available.”
[Pertains to: Article III, Article IV.]

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

(a) DRep codes of conduct should be on-chain

(b) One code of conduct for all DReps

(c) Flexibility for each DRep to adopt its own code of conduct

(d) The Constitutional Committee should determine whether DRep code(s) of conduct are consistent with the Constitution

(e) Other guidelines for DRep codes of conduct


(f) N

30 MINS - DReps

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?



15 MINS - DReps

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct? *Provide rationale.*

The Constitutional Committee should decide for accountability purposes and it's faster in case any changes need to be made.

Code of conduct should be on chain for transparency and preference

None, of the above, should be left to organic regional community processes.

Yes, (a) To allow the drafted code of conduct to be publicly available, current version immutable

If it's flexible for each DRep, then it's up to ada holders to choose which values they align with.

One size fits all with verified Exceptions.

We choose D for accountability and to avoid chaos and also provides transparency

One for all for dreps

15 MINS - DReps

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct? *Provide rationale.*

One code of conduct for the Dreps to check that CC's focus on other things and their budgets remain low. This can also allow for fast processes to start operating as a Drep, our thoughts

How can we have a code of conduct if we don't know what values will be important to different cardano communities around the world?

The code of conduct must be chained and flexible, then approved by the committees.

Drep codes of conduct should be on chain for easy accessibility and manipulation prevention. The independence of the constitution committee makes it fit for determining the codes of conducts.

Is it possible to enforce a code of conduct? What happens when a DRep violate it?

Flexibility allows for more audits from the CCs which can translate to more budget allocations

Ee choose b to supirity and bad govanance

Unsure (b, c) A proposal would be to have a certain subset of rules to be universally applicable and for the DReps to specify a subset of rules the y can work with provided that its in tandem with

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct? *Provide rationale.*

Having the CC determine might give too much power to that group



Break ☕

18. Should the Constitution include additional details related to the election of CC members?

We now have experience electing members to an interim CC but, going forward, the Constitution is silent as to the process for electing future members of the CC. The community may wish to experiment with different approaches to electing CC members. Alternatively, the community may wish to specify a specific set of criteria for those elections. [Pertains to: Article VI Section 3.]


The Constitutional Committee has a vital role in enforcing the constitution. If the process of electing the members becomes compromised, the constitution could be undermined by members who are non-serious, unqualified or intentionally hiding their identity and intentions. This question asks if there are some requirements that are clear and essential enough to enshrine now, or alternately if it should be open so the community can adjust more dynamically as they learn across election cycles.

30 MINS - Constitutional Committee

18. Should the Constitution include additional details related to the election of CC members?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

18. Should the Constitution include additional details related to the election of CC members?



18. Should the Constitution include additional details related to the election of CC members?

Yes. Transparency

Yes, a simple checklist will make everyone accountable and increase transparency.

Accountability and transparency Qualified background check especially education

Yes additional details like knowledge on Cardano, Experience and reputation should be factored in.

Yes to make sure there are clear and transparent guidelines.

Yes. Because it will allows for transparency and finding better candidates within the voting process

They should include additional details because its a vital docate

Yes: enhances equality to the disadvantage (transparency)

18. Should the Constitution include additional details related to the election of CC members?

Yes,,,making sure there is transparency

Yes, to refine the list of qualified CC candidates as much as possible.

There is need for sandboxes but with a better framework and areas of specific interest in line with the cardano ecosystem principles

No, being too prescriptive stifles innovation and consensus. We should follow the budget process for election process and rules ratification.

It will help improve transparency and help improve equity as well

Yes,they should not only check on stake-based ranked choice only but on ones knowledge on Cardano and maybe mission/vision and maybe should be vetted

This is because it's vital

Yes for accountability and transparency



Break ☕

Delegate Election 



https://constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

Happy to conteribute on another workshop

Are other groups talking about ratification ? How can the constituon change?

Congrats and would like to contribute to the other meeting..

When will the constitution be ready?

Looking up for another workshop # Natravel majuu

Lack of merchandise

Network

Very interactive session. It was a great exchange of ideas.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

Food, friendship and good networking

Voting transparency underlining the works of Cardano Ecosystem

The session was so amazing and we learnt a lot And Fooooood

The host is very patient

Some voices we're not heard within the group i think.

Actually the talks was really enjoyable and amazing.wow

The merchandise wasn't enough

Networking and Friendship!

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

Responses were all baked till ready, a lot of discussion being invlved

The fercilitator was awesome

When is the next event?

The workshop has been very helpful on knowledge about the Cardano constitution.

More merchandise

Great coordination from the host team

We can improve on food

Work on the merchandise

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

Thankz much to the leader of the meetings today

Amazing facilitator

Group presentation after discussion

Thanks for the tshirts

awesome

Community🙃

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

59 responses



Great work! 🙌

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

10 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

0	0	0
Yes	No	Unsure

30 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

Things to consider:
Do you believe the Cardano community should have any role in approving the Constitutional Committee code of conduct? If so, how would that work?

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

10 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

0	0	0
Yes	No	Unsure

30 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

Things to Consider:
- Should the Constitution specify how compensation is determined?
- Should the Constitution include a cap on compensation?

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

10 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

0	0	0
Yes	No	Unsure

WELCOME!

Cardano Osaka Community Constitutional Workshop (In Person)

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules



Let's get started 🚀

30 MINS - Principles & Tenets

1. 第1条1項の信条は、Cardanoブロックチェーンの精神を適切に反映していると思いますか？

1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

1. 第1条1項の信条は、Cardanoブロックチェーンの精神を適切に反映していると思いますか？

The answer is Yes. But the word of upper limit 45B is too strong. Probably the strong hardle to keep total ada amount is good.

いいえ ①よりシンプルに。 ②数十億人のためにというビジョンを

第1条１項条文については、概ね了承いたします。

はい。上限については、柔軟に対応できるようにするべき。ただし容易に変更できないようにする。

シンプルに解りやすい文面が良いと考えます。

はい。 憲法の文章がシンプルである事がいいと思います 基礎知識がなくても誰が見ても理解しやすい事が必要。

はい しかし、これは何について書いているなどわかるようにした方が良い。例えば①〇〇についてなどとテーマなど題名にする。

記載されている事は、まちがっていない。 しかし、1条というには長すぎる。 1センテンスでかくべき。理念は注釈でよい

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

1. 第1条1項の信条は、Cardanoブロックチェーンの精神を適切に反映していると思いますか？

はい。反映していると思います。

Yes. 供給量の上限は設けるべきだが、数値は憲法に書くべきではない。上手い表現で解釈出来る様にするべき。

全体的にとても細かくて難しい印象ですが、もっとメリハリのあるインパクトのある表現ができればわかりやすいのではないかと感じました。 チャールズの思想（全世界の個人が平等に同じ権利を持つことができる等）も取り入れてほしいです。

はい。ですが、内容が難しすぎてなかなかついていけません。

数十億人の銀行口座が作れない人達の為ならもっといろんな人が理解して活用できる様に文章を簡単にしてほしいと思いました。

First, I believe that Article 1 (and the Preamble) lacks the most important aspect of Cardano: to use of blockchain technology for social and environmental impact.

はい。しかし、どんな国、環境の人が読んでも大まかに理解できるような専門用語の少ない表現の方がいいと思います。また、「多くの人の財布」と言うようなカルダノの理念もそのまま表現として入っている方がいいと思います

はい 思想はしっかりしたものが良いが、文章は短くわかりやすい方あ良い

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

1. 第1条1項の信条は、Cardanoブロックチェーンの精神を適切に反映していると思いますか？

the Preamble and/or Article 1 should use more inspirational language. In many documents, they outline north star goals in an inspiring way. We need to present long-term, high-level, aspirational goals

ADAコインが相互のQRコードで安い手数料で安全に送れるように

1. 第1条1項の信条は、Cardanoブロックチェーンの精神を適切に反映していると思いますか？





Break ☕

30 MINS - Principles & Tenets

2. Cardanoブロックチェーンエコシステムの財務的持続可能性に対応する追加の信条を設けるべきでしょうか？

2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano blockchain ecosystem?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

2. Cardanoブロックチェーンエコシステムの財務的持続可能性に対応する追加の信条を設けるべきでしょうか

450億MAXで良いと考えます。

上限枚数は固定で明記する

価格を安定させるために原則的な値は決めるが、絶対的な上限は設けないが良いと思う。憲法ではなく、その下のルールによって決めるなどすると良い。

すべきだと思うが、条件によっては賛同を得たうえで変更可能な部分も必要だと思う。

450億の発行上限の規制がある方が良い。トレジャリーの利用方法についての制限についても記載した方がよい。

適切な使われ方をしていただきたいと思います。

設けるべき。ただし、3年-5年など中期計画は可能にするが、特定勢力への大量供給は不可能にするなど、具体的な例とともに追加文章を考える。また、ロックされたadaに関しては、議決権をステーキングされ、アクションを起こせるものに限定するとこで対応する形とする。

総供給量等の記述は必要ではあるが、もう少し下のレベルの記載でいいのではないかと思います。

2. Cardanoブロックチェーンエコシステムの財務的持続可能性に対応する追加の信条を設けるべきでしょうか

はい。 第一節のpart2みたいに、分けて追加する。 450億には、変更の余地を残す。

設けるべき。持続的に対応する為に、ある程度制御すべき。

今の状況では、憲法化するのは時期ぐ速いような気がします。 GoxされたADAは、カルダノが管理し、カルダノの所有権にできるようにすれば良いと思います。

総発行枚数の上限は450億で守るべきです

上限設定は、固定で明記のが、よろしいと思います。

わかりません。

はい

ブロックチェーン憲法には柔軟なコミットメントが必要かもしれません。具体的で規定的なステップなしには、これを実施するのは難しいでしょう。

2. Cardanoブロックチェーンエコシステムの財務的持続可能性に対応する追加の信条を設けるべきでしょうか

信条を設けることによるデメリットもある。例えば、追加した信条によって逆に混乱を招き、それに対する信条をまた追加したりと。実際にADAを使った消費活動をしたことがないので、ADAの価値がいまいちよくわからない。

maxは変更の余地をのこしておく。 流通制限は必要ない。

はい、ゴックスされたものは取り出さない、450億キャップ

イエス

10 MINS - [TOPIC]

2. Cardanoブロックチェーンエコシステムの財務的持続可能性に対応する追加の信条を設けるべきでしょうか？





Break ☕

30 MINS - [TOPIC]

7. 憲法は、Cardano予算に予定外の支出をカバーする緊急資金を含めることを義務付けるべきでしょうか？

7. Should the constitution require that the Cardano budget include a contingency fund to cover uplanned spend?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

7. 憲法は、Cardano予算に予定外の支出をカバーする緊急資金を含めることを義務付けるべきでしょうか？

一般論としては、はい、だが、念入りなシステムが組まれる前提で。

はい しかし限度額をきめる

緊急資金については必要だと考えます。 なぜなら緊急時にそのような資金がない場合とある場合では対応の仕方が変わると思うためです。

緊急時に素早く対応する為に必要

仕組みとして、原則はあるべきだが、直接的な表現ではなく予算案の概念として記載すべき また、緊急予算の使用に関する決定の仕方についての表現も必要である

緊急資金の義務付けは必要。

はい。ハッキングされた時のリカバリー対応等必ず必要になると思われるが、憲法上は表現を工夫すべき。

緊急のときに即座に資金支出できるシステムは必要だと思います。

7. 憲法は、Cardano予算に予定外の支出をカバーする緊急資金を含めることを義務付けるべきでしょうか？

インフレーションを抑制するためには限度が必要です。また、使用ケースや、いつ使用するかを決定するのに協力できる人々にも制限があるべきです

憲法とは別にルールとしてもうけるべき。その際は、条件に合わせてある程度上限や、妥当な金額を設定しておき、それに則り行動するルールにする。

迅速な対応が求められる場合が多いと思うので含める方がいいと思います。

はい。

不要

予定外の支出をカバーする緊急資金は良いアイデアだと思いますが、それを憲法に含める必要はないと思います。

義務付けるべきだと思うが、インフレ防止のため、期間に合わせた限度額を儲けるべき。 ネットワークに重大な故障や欠陥が発生した際、すぐに修復するための費用が必要だと思った。直すことができなければユーザーが減り、価値が大幅に落ちる。

はい。緊急ということなので何ヶ月もその予算を使うのに時間をかけるのでは意味がないので、ある程度の条件(緊急の状況による)を満たし過半数の議席が認めた場合に予算を使えるようにする必要がある。

7. 憲法は、Cardano予算に予定外の支出をカバーする緊急資金を含めることを義務付けるべきでしょうか？

緊急資金の仕組みは必要と考える。 しかし、 誰かが緊急と判断したから使えるというもので 予め、どの様な場合に使えると言うもケースを 決めておく必要があると思う。

はい。 ただし、どのような意思決定の組織にするのか？資金枠の規模は？義務付けることで発生するリスク等、ある程度の運営上の具体性を示していくことが必要と思われます。

予期せぬリスクに備え、備蓄資金は必要であると考えています。管理するのは、憲法委員会に権利を与えるのが良い。


はい。 カルダノブロックチェーン維持に必要だと思う。 加えて緊急予算に関しても、緊急度に応じて資金を出すなどあらかじめ予測しておくと良いと思う。

訴訟費用にかかる資金などは必要

未来のためなら

10 MINS - [TOPIC]

7. 憲法は、Cardano予算に予定外の支出をカバーする緊急資金を含めることを義務付けるべきでしょうか？





Break ☕

30 MINS - Financial Sustainability

7b. DRepや憲法委員会メンバーなどのガバナンス参加者に対する潜在的な請求をカバーするために、Cardano予算に補償基金を含めることを憲法で義務付ける必要がありますでしょうか？

7b. Should the constitution require that the Cardano budget include an indemnity fund to cover potential claims against governance participants such as DReps and Constitutional Committee members?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

7b. DRepや憲法委員会メンバーなどのガバナンス参加者に対する潜在的な請求をカバーするために、Cardano予算に補償基金を含めることを憲法で義務付ける必要がありますでしょうか？

憲法で義務付ける必要はないと思います。これはこのような事態が起こった時に緊急資金として支出すれば十分だと思います。一度憲法を制定すると簡単には変えられないので、このような詳細は含めるべきでないと思います。

いいえ

ガバナンス委員会を訴えることは、「ガバナンス攻撃」の一種かもしれません。誰かがCardanoやCardanoのガバナンスを破壊しようとして、ガバナンス委員会を訴えるのです。しかし、これがうまくいくためには既存の法律を利用する必要があると思うので、不確実性があります。それでも、政府機関はこの種の攻撃から守るべきだと考えます。もし法的な問題に直面すれば、新しいメンバーが選出されるのが難しくなり、ガバナ

予算は必要ないと思う

ない

いいえ、義務づけまでは必要ありません、必要ならば含めれば良いでしょう

いいえ 特になし

予算は含めるべきだが憲法には詳細までは明記しない

7b. DRepや憲法委員会メンバーなどのガバナンス参加者に対する潜在的な請求をカバーするために、Cardano予算に補償基金を含めることを憲法で義務付ける必要がありますでしょうか？

いいえ

仕組みとして必要なことはわかる、しかし、そもそもの憲法の方向性にあたる前文と細則が混在しているため判断が難しい。よって、仕組みとしては必用だが憲法よりは下層に位置する取り決めによって決定されるべきものと考える。

いいえ 憲法とは別に明記する。

いいえ。憲法に書く必要はない。先にあった問いの緊急予算から使っても良いかもしれない。議会メンバーが訴訟などされた場合、金銭面だけでなく代理メンバーを立てる必要があると思う。

いいえ。憲法で義務づける必要はない。その時のガバナンスが判断すればよい。

先ほどの質問７での想定外予算の場合と同じ考え方で、補償基金を別項目として設けることは、賛成です。

必要はない。もしそのようなことがあれば、「緊急時資金支出」（←先に議案に上がっていた）を使えばいいと思います。


この質問に限らず、以下答えます。 まずこの憲法の建て付けがわからないこで答えようがない。 法律で言うなら、大陸法なのか英米法なのか、どちらかの思想で作られる予定なのですか？具体的には『書いてること以外の事柄をできるのか、できないのか、どちらなのか』を示してくださ

7b. DRepや憲法委員会メンバーなどのガバナンス参加者に対する潜在的な請求をカバーするために、Cardano予算に補償基金を含めることを憲法で義務付ける必要がありますでしょうか？

Cardano予算に補償基金を含める必要性は認めるが 憲法に書く必要はない。

はい。憲法委員会が管理使用します。

7b. DRepや憲法委員会メンバーなどのガバナンス参加者に対する潜在的な請求をカバーするために、Cardano予算に補償基金を含めることを憲法で義務付ける必要がありますでしょうか？



1	Yes - はい
15	No - いいえ
3	Unsure - わからない



Break ☕

30 MINS - [TOPIC]

8. Cardanoの予算編成プロセスを憲法にもっと詳しく明記すべきでしょうか？

8. Should the Cardano budgetary process be spelled out in greater detail in the Constitution?

質問 8 が重要な理由：単一のCardano予算を作成するプロセスは新しいものであるため、それがどのように機能し、またどのような影響があるかは不明です。その結果、エコシステムのニーズを最優先するプロセスを確保するために、いくつかの詳細を義務付けることが望ましい場合とそうでない場合があります。

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

8. Cardanoの予算編成プロセスを憲法にもっと詳しく明記すべきでしょうか？

いいえ 特になし

憲法で詳細を明記する必要はないと思います。一度憲法を制定すると簡単には変えられないので、このような詳細は含めるべきでないと思います。

いいえ。しかし予算が不必要なものに使われたり、不透明な部分があった場合は調査し開示して明らかにする必要があると思う。

いいえ。状況に合わせて変えることが難しいため。

憲法に詳細を記載すべきではない。書くなら法律、条文等別の立て付けで、書くべきと思います。

大丈夫です

必要ありません、

変更しにくい憲法には詳細にめいきすべきでない

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

8. Cardanoの予算編成プロセスを憲法にもっと詳しく明記すべきでしょうか？

いいえ。プロセスまでは必要なし。誰が見てもわかるシンプルな物が憲法にかかれているといいと思う。プロセスまだ書くと分かりにくくなる。

金額のスケール　どの程度の規模なのか　よく分からない。

必要なし。憲法ではなくその下のレベルでの記載は必要。

予算編成プロセスより、予算案をチェックできるプロセスを重視したい。 また、明記すべきではないし、予算編成プロセスについては、数年間で最適化するべきと考え トレジャリーからの支出予算をカルダノ憲法発足から3年で五億adaなどにして、トレジャリー予算毎に分割して、その更新時に暫定憲法から正式な憲法に移行したほうが良い

憲法に記載するべきでない。時代の変化によって必要な予算もかわるため。 その時のガバナンスにさせればよい。 財務、年度予算を公開しないといけない事を憲法に記載すべき。

いいえ。

もっと詳しく書く必要があるかどうかは分かりませんが、一般的には、プロセスは一般的で柔軟な方法で記述されるべきです。そうすることで、解釈が可能になります。

憲法とガバナンス規則の位置づけを明確にし、全体像と細目を順を追って提示することで、効率的な運用面が計れるのではないでしょうか。

18

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

8. Cardanoの予算編成プロセスを憲法にもっと詳しく明記すべきでしょうか？

いいえ。 憲法にそこまで細かいことをかくものではないとおもいます。

臨機応変

10 MINS - [TOPIC]

8. Cardanoの予算編成プロセスを憲法にもっと詳しく明記すべきでしょうか？



Delegate Election 

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

憲法を １０倍シンプルにしてください 日本語にしてください 条文に見出しをつけてください（財産権の保障など） 冗長な表現や例示表現を除外してください

特に何もありません

憲法の位置づけについて、説明があった方が良い。 また、憲法の変更方法などどの程度、この憲法変更が大変なのかを示した方が良い。 そのうえで、現行のものは時限式の暫定としたほうが良いと思う。 これを踏まえて、時限式の暫定憲法とする議論や設問が欲しい

知識が少なかったので質問に対して判断がしずらかった

憲法をシンプルに。もしくはもっとテスト的なところからはじめても良いのではないか。

知識が浅いため、 憲法について、共通認識がほしかった

使用するアプリやツールが翻訳しなくてよいと助かります。

憲法には、よりシンプルに記載するべきであり、予算などは補足のなにかに記載すると良いと思います。

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

言語の壁があるので、次回は全て日本語で行うのが良いと思います。

もう少し身近な質問もあれば良いのになあと思いました

知識が少なく難しかった為、ざっくりとした説明が必要と思います

憲法もそうですが、Catalystチームや運営側の透明性が高まるような健全なDAOになること、そのためのワークショップを期待しています。

そもそも憲法がどのような内容を書くものなのかが決まっていないのかなと思いました。思想や信条を示すものなのか、カルダノの予算のことも含むのか明確にした方が、憲法に書くべきか書かないでルールのようなものとするのか決められるのかなと思いました。

「憲法」というものの位置づけがしっかりわからなかったので（他のいろいろな細則は別にあるのか？わからない）難しかったです。このような機会に参加することができてよかったと思います。ありがとうございました。

憲法の定義が、よりシンプルになると議論がしやすくなると思います。 重要な会であるのでもっと沢山の人に参加してもらいたい。 知識も必要だと実感した。 今後が楽しみでもあり、どんな方向にいくのか不安もある。

adaの保有数が投票に影響するというやり方は、よくないと思う。一人一票の仕組みが好ましい。

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

全文 心ときめく様なカルダノのビジョンを入れて欲しい。 チャールズのTedのプレゼンテーションで語られた 理念に共感したホルダーには、現状の前文では全く心に響かない

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

プラス面は、カルダノ憲法などシステムコアに触れることができるところ マイナス面は、事前情報が少なすぎるのと、憲法の定義などの不足により判断が難しすぎること

長いです！あと事前回答を集めてそれ基調にしてコンパクトにできそう

チーズケーキは素晴らしかったですが、参加者をより良く準備させる方法はありますか？

参加するインセンティブがわかりにくい。 ワークショップの重要性、憲法の文脈、など事前に知らせてほしい。

出会いが有り良かった。 難しかったです

カルダノの価格以外の事を知ることが出来た。 もっとグループで話す時間が欲しかった。

ホストがすごく良かった。 英語ではなく、日本語で全てできると良い。

改善点：事前に読み込む資料等もあるので、もっと事前にシンプルに分かりやすい内容の資料があると良いと感じました。 良い点：ワークショップでは普段会話できない方もいらっしゃるのでそのような方々の知識など共有していただき、より良い議論をできたと感じました。

16

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

参加するにあたって事前に質問条項を頂きたい

知識がほとんどない状態での参加だったため、知らない単語を多々知ることができた。グループディスカッションは、議題ごとにローテーションしても良いのではと思った。

改善点 ワークショップが長時間のため、 3時間程度にすると良いと思いました。

+カルダノについてや、これから議論していった方がいい点について知ることができた。ホルダーやSPOさんや企業の方と話して知見が増えた。 -議論自体が憲法の前提が曖昧なため、そこの認識を合わせてからディスカッションしたらもっと深いものとなったかもしれない。

Cardanoについていろいろ考えるいい機会でした。 日本的な「憲法」との違いを感じました。

良かったこと。 日本で活躍するカルダノのメンバーとお話ができた事。 残念だった事 元々、期待していたきた明るい未来像が描ける様な 情報がなかった。 折角この様な場を設けたのだから、何かプロモーションを

インターセクトへの理解が進んだ。 お弁当など美味しかった。 より沢山の人に参加してもらわないと内になってしまうと思いました。 事前にアンケートをとる、説明があるとより良い。

グループ分けによって参加者とのコミニケーションがとれた

16

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

憲法の内容を知ることが出来た点がプラス 予備知識が無かったので議論するまでに至らなかった。 事前情報を読んでこなかった事は反省しております。

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

0 responses

Great work! 🙌

30 MINS - Financial Sustainability

6a. 憲法は、質問6で指定されたADAの金額を超えるトレジャリー引き出しガバナンスアクションに、定期的な監査と監視指標の実施に充てるための予算を含めることを義務付けるべきでしょうか？

6a. Should the Constitution require that treasury withdrawal governance actions above the specified amount of ada in question 6 include allocations of ada to cover periodic audits and implementation of oversight metrics?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

7b. DRepや憲法委員会メンバーなどのガバナンス参加者に対する潜在的な請求をカバーするために、Cardano予算に補償基金を含めることを憲法で義務付ける必要がありますでしょうか？

10 MINS - [TOPIC]

6a. 憲法は、質問6で指定されたADAの金額を超えるトレジャリー引き出しガバナンスアクションに、定期的な監査と監視指標の実施に充てるための予算を含めることを義務付けるべきでしょうか？

Yes - はい	No - いいえ	Unsure - わからない
0	0	0

30 MINS - Financial Sustainability

6d. 憲法草案には、「Cardano予算が[1,000,000]を超える場合に、Cardanoブロックチェーンのトレジャリーから受け取ったADAの使用を管理する契約上の義務には、紛争解決条項が含まれるものとする」と記載されています。この紛争解決の要件は憲法に含めるべきでしょうか?

6d. The draft constitution states: "Contractual obligations governing the use of ada received from the Cardano Blockchain treasury pursuant to a Cardano budget in excess of [1,000,000] shall include dispute resolution provisions." Should this dispute resolution requirement be included in the Constitution?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

6d. 憲法草案には、「Cardano予算が[1,000,000]を超える場合に、Cardanoブロックチェーンのトレジャリーから受け取ったADAの使用を管理する契約上の義務には、紛争解決条項が含まれるものとする」と記載されています。この紛争解決の要件は憲法に含めるべきでしょうか?

10 MINS - Financial Sustainability

6d. 憲法草案には、「Cardano予算が[1,000,000]を超える場合に、Cardanoブロックチェーンのトレジャリーから受け取ったADAの使用を管理する契約上の義務には、紛争解決条項が含まれるものとする」と記載されています。この紛争解決の要件は憲法に含めるべきでしょうか?

Yes - はい	No - いいえ	Unsure - わからない
0	0	0

WELCOME!

Cardano Oslo Community Constitutional Workshop (Virtual)

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 4 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules



Let's get started 🚀

30 MINS - Principles and Tenets

1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?

1 - strongly disagree
2 - disagree
3 - neither agree nor disagree
4 - agree
5 - strongly agree
Unsure

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

1a. Please provide your answer (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1.


4. Compressed down. More precise language, less technical prescription. List ideals to work towards. Stop redundancies.

Vote 4. Emphasise motivated language by use of words such as should in stead of shall.

4 (Agree): Overall we think the tenets reflects the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain. We wonder if we need a technical standard such as what is expediently and other such relative words.

Rating 3 There are some formulating issues regarding speed. Transparency is missing. it should protect personal identity. Respect to cultural differences. Pricing mention flexability

1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?





Break ☕

30 MINS - Voting Rights

3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to...

appoint designees who are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance?

[Notes: This is not a reference to delegation to DReps. Pertains to: Article II Section 2, Article III Section 4 and 8, Article IV Section 2, Article VI Section 1, Article VII Section 1.]

The Constitution should:
(a) Limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada
(b) Allow ada owners to appoint designees who are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance
(c) Unsure

5min -Solo
10m-Pairs
15m -Group

3a. Please provide your group answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

(A) But allow exchanges to vote auto abstain.


3 a.

Yes, they should be able to facilitate for their customers. There are other guardrails to prevent malicious actors. If misbehaves they will lose trust.

Disagree. It should be limited to ada owners because you need to have "Skin in the game".

(Rationale for the (A): both allowing and not allowing is hard to enforce but it is possible to analyse who is ada owners. It will promote effective governance if owners vote and custodials abstain.

3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees who...



a) Limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada

b) Allow ada owners to appoint designees who are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance

c) Unsure

Lunch 

30 MINS - DReps

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

The draft constitution currently states: “DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps and make such codes of conduct publicly available.”

a) DRep codes of conduct should be on-chain
b) One code of conduct for all DReps
c) Flexibility for each DRep to adopt its own code of conduct
d) The Constitutional Committee should determine whether DRep code of conduct are consistent with the Constitution
e) Other guidelines for DRep codes of conduct
f) None

15 MINS - DRreps

11a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale. Please submit one response for each item you selected.

A - Should be onchain or somwehere easily accessible C - Flexibility for each DRep

A: For transparency C: For flexibility F: It will work itself out / We don't want to occupy CC

C and F. Flexibility and code fo conduct should not be mandatory but a good to have.


A: For transparency C: For flexibility F: It will work itself out / we don't want to occupy CC

A: For transparency C: For flexibility F: It will work itself out / we don't want to occupy CC

A: For transparency C: For flexibility F: It will work itself out / we don't want to occupy CC

a) easily access and unchangeable c

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?





Break ☕

30 MINS - DReps

014. hould the Constitution include a mechanism that causes ada holders to re-select their DReps over time? [Pertains to: Article IV.]

(No / Yes / Unsure)

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

15 MINS - DRreps

014a. Please provide your answer (Y / N / Unsure) and rationale. If you answered yes, please explain what you would add to the Constitution and why.


NO : Not in the constitution. but a parameter of a guardrail and the guardrails should exists as a Reference in the constitution. Should not be too short time period.

Yes and No. There should be an incentive to update your deligation, but not mandatory. Unactive voters can be switched over to abstain and still be able to collect rewards.

N - More hazzle for the common user. DRep should auto abstain. if inactive istead

Not in the constitution. Put in guardrails outside of the constitution.

014. hould the Constitution include a mechanism that causes ada holders to re-select their DReps over time? [Pertains to: Article IV.]





Break ☕

30 MINS - Separation of Poers

23. What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?

Currently, there is no rule against voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO. Discuss your thoughts. [Pertains to: Article III Section 2, Article IV, Article V.]

(a) Prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.
(b) Require disclosure but do not prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.
(c) Include special conflicts of interest provisions
(d) Does not need to be addressed in the Constitution
(e) Unsure

5 min- Solo
10 min - pairs
15min - Groups

15 MINS - DRreps


23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale. Please submit one response for each item you selected.

D. It's not possible to enforce and will put unnecessary work on CC

d. this is unenforceable currently w/o doxxing and identity solutions. A delegate who delegates to an spo and a drep who vote opposite each other they have cancelled out the vote. no COI

E unsure , should do a test on it, to see if it can be misused. but as we can think of effect would be guardrails for what they can wote on

23. What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?



Delegate Election 

Voting App:

https://constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

No.

No, happy it was all covered

no :-)

All covered

The document is full of fluff and romantic, unenforceable language. The document must be pruned/edited down to minimum viable and enforceable.

Nom

No

No

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

No It was a great discussion and everyone was heard

no, there will always be more to cover, and work to be done. but it was no input from me at this time

No thank you

We need a modular constitution where the guardrails are easier to update and preferably it will be easier to read a constiution if it is a reference to the guardrails.

The guardrails must be separated from the body of the Constitution so each technical adjustment does not require amending the Constitution

Are the tenets pushing us toward resilience for single point of failures? Are they ensuring an honest majority, safety and liveness? Do they ensure the ability to self-heal?

no

no

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

More macarena

Yes

More understanding of the whole Constitution process

Great workshop, happy to be part of it

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

Not enough macarena

None

none

It was great

Was a fantastic workshop with fantastic people. Honored to be part of it.

Kanelboller og macarena

A bit too much emphasis on structure and format getting in the way of constructive and fruitful dialog

Great for building and reinforcing community

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

a b and c / alternstives shoukd be more vidible during the discussion. Sometimes i didnt know what we were voting on

Participants didnt need as much time with each question. Faster pace would be good.

Good arrangements and discussion in accordance to governance expected.

No

More time on group discussion, less on solo

keep the track of other workshop outcomes, maybe is it somewhere?

It would be awsome to have more partispants om the workshops next year.

thank you

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

Dear Civics Committee, I am sorry for all the jokes, I did not have anything to add here so here is a joke What do you call a magic dog? A labracadabrador.

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

13 responses



Draft Constitution Feedback Form

If you would like to provide feedback on other questions, please do so here: https://forms.gle/6HJVPxQe9CPriHRN6



Great work! 🙌 

WELCOME!

Cardano Manila Community Constitutional Workshop (in Person)

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 4 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules



Let's get started 🚀

30 MINS - Principles and Tenets

1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?

1a. Please provide your answer and rationale for Question 1.

1 - strongly disagree
2 - disagree
3 - neither agree nor disagree
4 - agree
5 - strongly agree
Unsure

15 MINS - [TOPIC]


1a. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?

5 strongy agree No censorship

4 - with specific concern: expounding on interoperability to include traditional finance; language to be more accessible as well as defined such as what accounts for unreasonable and unnecessary.

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?





Break ☕

2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial stability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem?

2a. Please provide your answer and rationale for Question 2. If you answered yes, consider if this new tenet should include an absolute cap on the circulating supply of ada.

Yes / No / Unsure


2a. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial stability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem? Start w/ Y/N/Unsure followed by reasoning

Yes, a tenet on financial stability should be added in order to ensure that this is a consistent focus in the development of the Cardano system; yes, a cap should be applied in order to maintain value

Y - The problem of Fiat is that they endlessly print more currency and is now stuck in a never-ending inflationary cycle. By enshrining a fix supply, we can avoid this and avoid the dilution of votes.

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial stability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem?





Break ☕

30 MINS - Voting Rights

3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada?

...or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees who are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance?

3a. Please provide your answer and rationale for Question 3.

A. - Limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada
B. - Allow ada owners to appoint designees who are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance
C. - Unsure

3a. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada? Provide your answer (A,B,C) followed by your rationale.

A. The group majority believes to be able to participate you must have "skin in the game"

A - Holders who have time and the information should be the only ones allowed to vote.

A

3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada?





Break ☕

30 MINS - Financial Sustainability of Cardano

06. Contractual obligations governing the use of ada received from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury could be mandated to include dispute resolution

provisions.

Should the Constitution require these dispute resolution provisions?

Discuss your thoughts

6a. Please provide your answer and rationale for Question 6.

15 MINS - [TOPIC]


06a. Should the Constitution mandate dispute resolution provisions for contractual obligations related to ada from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury?

Yes. 1. There are always issue that need to be resolved 2. Provisions need to be binding and clear on how to enforce

Majority voted Yes - any contract must have provisions for dispute resolution. This agreement only pertains to ADA received from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury and not other parties

10 MINS - [TOPIC]

06a. Should the Constitution mandate dispute resolution provisions for contractual obligations related to ada from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury?





Break ☕

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

Context: The draft constitution currently states:
"DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps and make such codes of conduct publicly available."
[Pertains to: Article III, Article IV.]

A. DRep codes of conduct should be on-chain
B. One code of conduct for all DReps
C. Flexibility for each DRep to adopt its own code of conduct
D. The Constitutional Committee should determine whether DRep code(s) of conduct are consistent with the Constitution
E. Other guidelines for DRep codes of conduct
F. None of these

15 MINS - [TOPIC]


11a. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

A - transparency Is a central tenant of the constitution. B - a foundational code of conduct for everyone C - for defining multiple opinions D - governing authority E - conflict of interest

D - implementation of some monitoring via periodic reviews is important to maintain order

10 MINS - [TOPIC]

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct ?(select all that apply)





Break ☕

Delegate Election 

Voting App:

https://constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

It was insightful.

No all was discussed

No.

Most chains in the Philippines has the following: - education - workshops - hackathons - incubation

I would say the main thing was about the language of the constitution, it's a challenge to bring more people in if language is not clear

No

N/A Thanks

NO

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

No	None	No	Add Tenet: Language accessibility and the usage of well defined concise language for the constitution
There should be pre-approved appointees representing Ada holders	No	Looking forward to more	1. Wording of certain Articles can be clearer & more precise. 2. Define the terms prior to the declaration of the Articles of the Constitution.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

Add: conflict of interest in Drep and Constitutional committee can't occupy both positions

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

Make the constitution language easier to comprehend

The workshop was very informative, in explaining more about how things work in the space

Workshop was good. We need to work as a community to bring more folks

Democratic and fair. Talk had a good format and structure.

Resource speakers were knowledgeable and was able to explain technical aspects with ease.

Informative + None -

Promptness of attendees should be ensured

It was a nice experience

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

Needs to work on better phrasing of questions Explain through scenarios and examples Diagrams / images would help

+ Well run. Efficient use of online tools - Confirm.with all prior a week & then days prior via email, text & voice.

The way the constitution questions were structured need to be clarified. To avoid misunderstanding

For people in the Philippines to be more involved in the blockchain space.

Positive experience

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

12 responses



Draft Constitution Feedback Form

If you would like to provide feedback on other questions, please do so here: https://forms.gle/6HJVPxQe9CPriHRN6



Great work! 🙌🇵🇭

30 MINS - [TOPIC]

18. We've now experienced electing members to an interim CC, but going forward, the Constitution is silent for electing future members of the CC.

The community may wish to experiment with different approaches to electing CC members. Alternatively, the community may wish to specify a specific set of criteria for those elections.

18a. Should the Constitution include additional details related to the election of CC members? Please provide your answer and rationale for Question 18.

Yes / No / Unsure

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

18. We've now experienced electing members to an interim CC, but going forward, the Constitution is silent for electing future members of the CC.

10 MINS - [TOPIC]

18. We've now experienced electing members to an interim CC, but going forward, the Constitution is silent for electing future members of the CC.

Yes	No	Unsure
0	0	0

WELCOME!

Cardano Perth, Australia Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 4 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

15 MINS - Introductions

What brought you here today? What are you hoping to get from this experience?

To learn more about the crypto space

I am here to learn. I hope to learn a lot today

Learning more about cardiano and decisions of how it is organized

New to the crypto space - find out abit more information

Curious. Not everyday can we discuss a new constitution that involves a global community!

To understand more about Cardano. Particularly: ISO20022 BACKBONE 4TH GENERATION IMPLEMENTATION WEB 3 DEVELOPMENT

I went to another Cardano workshop at Spacecubed.

Building on the Cardano blockchain and want to ensure our ecosystem continues to move forward as we grow and thrive together.

15 MINS - Introductions

What brought you here today? What are you hoping to get from this experience?

To learn more about cardano blockchain technology.

Invite from [redacted] hoping to learn deeper about cardano ecosystem

As a SPO, catalyst participant and developer I have been closely involved with the evolution of Cardano. The intersection of technology and governance is an interesting and pioneering field to learn.

philosophical, economic, historical, humanitarian belief in the potential of the Cardano ecosystem to govern the world in a decentralized truthful equitable yet meritocratic way

To help simplify the constitution so it allows more effective governance

Help build the foundations for an alternative global infrastructure

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules



Let's get started 🚀


30 MINS - VOTING RIGHTS

3. Allowing for appointed designees to control voting rights is a potential way to handle the new voting rights associated with ada. This would enable

owners of ada to determine whether or not they want to authorize a designee, balancing the need to protect voting rights from unauthorized use by third parties, but also permitting it if ada owners would like. 3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees who are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance?

1) 5 Mins - Solo
2) 10 Mins - Pair
3) 15 Mins - Group

3. *The Constitution should:*



3a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

Felt it was the most fair because it means that those who cannot vote can still delegate their voting rights.

This question required better wording and clear definition in terms of WHO the legal owner of the ADA is. Is my ADA on Binance really mine? The custodian is Binance, so can they vote with it??!

VOTE A Voting should only resistricted to those whom own ADA in a Wallet.

Answer no, People who do not own ada are not invested in the future of Ada and its governance

B. I want to be in control of my voting power and delegating someone who has the same beliefs as I do is important to me.

B I believe we should all have the ability to vote with maximum voting power and if we start cling and choosing who is allowed to vote and with what then we raise a whole bunch of other issues.

B. Voting power can be given to a party that is more invested in the governance of Cardano.

A Cardano should be different than traditional shares/ financial system. Exchanges should not be allowed to vote on behalf of holders. Too much power to single entities.

3a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

B - as long as you get the opportunity to appoint someone I don't see the issue. Or time could be a factor. If you leave your ada in an exchange dormant for a period of time they obtain the right

To protect the outcome of governance actions being determined by Ada Citizen's that take as deep an interest as possible in the ecosystem

A: Due to the 1 Lovelace 1 vote, some actors will have outweighed influence over decisions during the early stages of governance. This may change with different voting schemes or more engaged holders.

Unsure- There is a lot of information about Cardono which don't make sense. All designees have a right to make decisions on behalf of ada holders.

A) You should need to be invested to vote. Over time big instos will gain significant voting power without investment risk. These holders can still delegate to a Drep, which would be a better outcome

A: If it's not your ADA, there is less accountability of where to put your vote. Voting on behalf of ADA holder's interest can also be manipulated.

Minimise the creation of voting whales who can potentially exploit governance actions. Maximise trustlessness which increases long term resilience

A) We should have guard rails to have minority staking views represented and limitations for designees (smart contracts/exchanges) to prevent vested interests over represented influence.

15 MINS - VOTING RIGHTS

3a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

Answer A. People who hold ada at least shown interest in the project so I believe they should have the privilege to vote.

06. While on-chain approval to disburse funds from the Cardano Treasury is well defined, the process to oversee the use of funds is not. In a...

decentralized ecosystem like Cardano, there is little precedent for handling commercial disputes, and we may want to define some guidance in the Constitution. Keep in mind that dispute resolution provisions can still be included in contracts if not mandated in the Constitution, but may be deemed so important that it must be stated in the Constitution. Also keep in mind that even if the Constitution does mandate the use of dispute resolution...

1) 5 Mins - Solo
2) 10 Mins - Pair
3) 15 Mins - Group

06. Should the Constitution require these dispute resolution provisions?



06a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 06.

Unsure Not sure

Question is a bit unclear to me

Unsure. The question asked needs further clarification.

Yes - Milestone check-in process to hold the party accountable (in a timely manner).

Unsure. Because question is quite vague

Unsure because it's a yes needed requirement but how it's implemented or developed is undefined and thus I answered unsure because it depends on the implementation. Needs more definition.

A.... UNknown goverance at this time. Could possibility be set by a milestone system on project achievement

No.Provisions already exist for funding over 1m ADA with oversight for deliverables. DR required if funding only requested/released in milestones not entire project/funding in one treasury vote.

06a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 06.

Yes Treasury unlock needs a milestone release system. Don't give all the funds at once. Provide evidence you completed a milestone. Timely community vote to verify. Super majority yes = next unlock

Yes - a process and funding should be developed and allocated to ensure funds are not unsed inappropriately or taken under false pretences

Unsure. While it's beneficial to oversee fund usage, we must avoid creating barriers. Let's find a balance that ensures accountability without hindering progress.

Answer A you need some protection to make sure projects go ahead and give value. You want something in place to protect against poor delivery of funding/ project

B: Prefer a reputation based system, due to the complexity of trying to manage the dispute resolution across different legal jurisdictions and the absence of legal entity to represent the blockchain.

Unsure, who are the parties that will be requesting the funds?

Unsure- because there are layers of governance in Cardano which require full authorisation.

Because I would like to see Cardarno blockchain ecosystem achieve efficient and consequential incentives for decisions to fund an action and develop improved contracts as a result

Lunch!

60 minutes




30 MINS - Constitutional Committee (CC)

17. The Constitutional Guardrails specify the minimum and maximum term limit (3mo-3yrs) and CC size (3-10 members).

However, the draft constitution does not specify how terms and size are determined within the minimum and maximum limits. It may be desirable to clarify the CC size and term lengths so the community has clear direction when electing the CC following the expiry of Interim CC terms.

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

17. Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include:



17a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 17. Consider: What size or term length do you have in mind?

I change my vote, I think they should be fixed

A&C: A formula to determine how many CC is better than fixing the number of CC. It is a global community, what may apply to us locally may not apply to others and we will figure that out eventually.

F there is to many variables.

Need to be able to adjust the guard rails. A - yes, B - no, C - yes, D - no. Should be able to amend the fixed in order for it to be more flexible.

B&D because only the current guardrails should be used.

F. Unclear

A..... C..... Size of CC should be of an odd number set for 3 years period. With members serving a minimum of 18. Months reviewed at 3 year periods

Size not larger than 12 Term length not longer than 3 years

17a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 17. Consider: What size or term length do you have in mind?

A c These numbers should not be fixed but should remain within the guardrails. The community should propose a governance action to select these numbers prior to electing a new council.

F.)none of them because because there are already committees in place.

BCD Think guard rails are great and should continue may need to be revisited with growth of ADA. Like range of term of cc members which is 0.3-3 yrs. Like idea of change of cc member after 3 yrs

30 MINS - SEPARATION OF POWERS


23. CIP-1694 separates out the voting roles of SPOs from DReps to create a balance of powers.

Technically and socially, there is nothing in place that stops an SPO from voting as a DRep and vice versa, although they can easily lose delegation if ada owners are unhappy with their actions.

Currently, there is no rule against voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO. Discuss your thoughts on whether voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO creates unique concerns that need to be addressed in the Constitution.

1) 5 Mins - Solo
2) 10 Mins - Pair
3) 15 Mins - Group

23. What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?



(a) Prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.

(b) Require disclosure but do not prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.

(c) Include special conflicts of interest provisions

(d) Does not need to be addressed in the Constitution

(e) Unsure

23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

D - important, but does not need to be addressed in the constitution

A) I think double voting power is too much power and can easily be influenced and manipulated. 1 ADA = 1 vote is also an issue here

D) too petty to be included in constitution

C/D CC and HF actions I don't think there is a heavy conflict of interest or consequence . Motions of no confidence larger effect but given super majority required seperation adequate.

B and c. Everyone should be aware of what they are getting involved in but there should be limitations on what each body can do

Drep and SPO should be clearly separated One Ada one vote in ALL cases Double voting should not be allowed

A - There should be a clear separation between the core function of a Drep and an SPO

D: The GAs that require both are limited in scope, so it would be unnecessary complexity to try and police.

23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

A prohibit voting on both so that it is fair and equitable

C - could be a good idea.

I prefer separation to avoid a balance towards self rather than ecosystem motivated voting

It shouldn't make a difference what the ADA holders vote on vs SPO or DRep. So I was unsure and also if there is a conflict of interest then that should be reported. The question is DRep and SPO same.

B..... Where dual voting of SPO and DRep are applicable, DREPS should be registered as agents only pertaining to size of voting block and not to identify the delegates. E... This is a grey area

c.) Create special conflict of interest mechanisms so we know whether they are an SPO or DRep. This allows for clarity when voting and so you don't have the drep have double voting power.

Draft Constitution Feedback Form

If you would like to provide feedback on other questions, please do so here: https://forms.gle/6HJVPxQe9CPriHRN6



Delegate Election 

Delegate Voting Tool Review

Before we proceed to vote, let's review the process in this video, 3 minutes:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JTxTj1-QwzM

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide (about anything else in the Constitution)?

Some of the questions were unexpected but perhaps it's because other teams have the alternative questions that perhaps cover those topics or specific questions.

The context about dreps and spos landscape and context around specific voting options would be beneficial. Context often changed opinions

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s (did you like) and deltas (improvements) do you have for the workshop?

The community is brilliant and passionate and the discussion were rich and if not overwhelming with a lot of knowledge shared.

More context (current drep and delegation levels more resources). The workshop itself conducted very well keeping everything on track and on topic in timely manner

Great workshop inefficient delivery of some parts

A lot of reading in the beginning. Wish there was a handout. Great workshop overall, learnt a lot. Love the community.

Fantastic. Process, conversation, people

I loved the workshop, there was a lot to learn from the workshop. Nothing not to like.

I thought everything was kept focus and the room never degraded into off topic conversation. Tech used was very effective. High level principal development for a preamble would have been good

Great work! 🙌

Time for a group photo!

Mentimeter

WELCOME!

Cardano Philadelphia Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 4 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

What we hope to achieve or get out of todays experience

Better my workshop skills. Help make the constitution more aligned with Cardano principles in a simple way

Learn more about the community and learn something new

A refined understanding of the constitution and the ability for us to express our concerns about shortcomings and improvements

Learning about the process. Defining what a Constitution for Cardano Blockchain is

Meeting people, hearing diverse perspectives

To learn a greater understanding of the constitutional process of the Cardano ecosystem.

Create the most beneficial and emergent Constitution to serve the broader Cardano community now & for the future. Encourage greater understanding of governance within myself & others. Make history 🔥

A more clear understanding of the current environment and discovering areas for improvement

What we hope to achieve or get out of todays experience

CIP-1694 fails to establish a viable approach to decentralized governance; therefore it's critical that the constitution provides a path for the community to improve the design.

I would love to see a more positive view or governance after this

Good participation

Connect with others, develop familiarity with the constitution and assist in providing clarification on outstanding questions (as they pertain to the constitution).

Learn, participate and network

Wants to get Cardano better and stronger Governance! - mitchebono

Same

Hopefully get a user bill of rights or atleast get the conversation started as with out it the constitution is pointless

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules

Mentimeter

Let's get started 🚀

Q1. Community Questions


Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?

The tenets arose to define limits to how Cardano Blockchain development can progress so that the ecosystem principles are not violated. If the community feels that the tenets do not uphold the principles of the Cardano community, a tension between development work and the constitution could develop.

1-2-4-All

Community Questions

Q1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?



Community Questions

Q1a. Please provide your answer (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1.

Tenets are good but some of them need clarification.

Paragraph 5 needs clarification and refinement. Some ambiguous words like “unreasonable” cost should be more clearly defined to avoid future contention as to interpretation of meaning.

The tenets, which specify beliefs, do not reflect the importance of human rights. For instance, if the network is used for illicit activity that is harmful, how does the damage the ecosystem value.

4 - agree, but also think improvements are needed to clarify the meaning or essence of each tenet. It's not clear intent of the tenet about contrib or unnecessary resource spend

I would say all Ada holders should be able to vote for any principles and have the right to uphold any decessions for the Cardano chain as a whole.

No to "reward sharing with DReps". No to this in the constitution. Whose knows if its really needed? TOO EARLY FOR WELFARE All statments should state definitely like WE VALUE, WE COMMIT, WE SHALL

We did not address that ada is a governance token. That it is hard capped at 45 billion no burning ever. Nothing about backwards compatibility for hardforks. There was no limits put on power

Paragraph 5, Section one should be removed. Too comprehensive in scope/ambition with insufficient clarity of “contributions” “rewards” & drep system. Well intended - essential to revise & try again 🤌

Community Questions

Q1a. Please provide your answer (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1.

I agree that the tenets are in line with the principles of cardano, however there is a concerning amount of vague language.

4 - missing a tenets related to keeping the blockchain permissionless, backwards compatible and with research based/high assurance development methods.

Taking into account that the current state of the constitution is in a rough draft phase, I believe that the tenants in article 1 respectfully contribute to the comprehensive principles of Cardano.

Agree to a certain extent. Refinement of the language and inclusion of priority in these tenets would be preferred

See prior response. No to creating a welfare class in the constitution preambles. No no no

The paragraph “Contributions by Cardano community” should be struck in its entirety. It’s too vague, does not express a core tenet, is unnecessary, and can be specified better elsewhere.

Values a& principles are not well defined and are they not consistently represented in the tenets. We need more community input for better representation. Also, wording is too ambiguous & hard to int

There were several items like the term Unreasonable and Contributions by the community and additional tenets addressing financial sustainability that needs some more attention to clarify.

Community Questions

Q1a. Please provide your answer (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1.

It seems like it but it might be easier to align if we tied the principles in a bit more explicitly within the tenets.

Missing backwards compatability Missing right to mint blocks with low barrier to entry Acknowledge freedoms and human rights, ai and bot Last paragraph mentions treated equally meaning 1 ada 1 vote?

It does not limit the power of the governing body there are no limit set it does not define that cardano should be a permissionless public chain forever


Q8. Financial Sustainability of Cardano

The Cardano community is expected to propose, not less than on an annual...

basis, a budget for the ongoing maintenance and future development of the Cardano Blockchain. Discuss whether a detailed process for developing and approving the Cardano Budget should be specified in the Constitution. [Pertains to: Article III Section 8]

1-2-4-ALL

Q8. Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process?



Q8a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 8.

It should have minimum safe guards

WHO!? Key question. But beyond the scope of the constitution itself. Enshrine that a budget should exist, and that there are some key aspects. But *less-is-more* approach to this is optimal

If we need to add all the details on how to manage the budget we need specific. Who will oversee the periodic independent audits.

There should be a moving scale of ADA to Fiat with whatever the capped amount is.

Yes, we really need clarification of how much budget we need to deployed for the specific constitution and clarification of what does the budget will go to and how it can help the ecosytem moving.

The budget and withdrawals should have the same relationship as the constitution and governance actions. The budget should provide current guiding parameters on spending, rather than being for ...

Delegate to a specified budget sub-committee who's sole job is to vet, approve and deny budgets based on broad guiding principles outlined in the constitution

No. don't want to define who defines the budget because that opens the defined org up to corruption.

Q8a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 8.

The language can offer space for the community to make more specific decisions that leave room for flexibility and evolution. This section could be more principle- based and less prescriptive.

I think all money should come out via catalyst. There could be 1 annual onchain vote to set amount from the treasury to catalyst. We need a budget to manage a budget??? Bloat? Waste? Inefficiency?

No- The constitution should just outline the metrics a budget should meet and what rights/responsibilities individuals/group have relating to the budget.

Lets see some dates and deadlines about when this budget SHOULD be submitted and approved. Also a recognition that there SHOULD be an open source of tools and commonplace of conversation for budget

The constitution is the granite on the front wall, a budgetary committee should be responsible for how the sausage is made. The constitution should address some parameters and core beliefs.

some additional detail but not necessarily greater. Additional with respect to process or certain allocations deemed necessary or significant. Or if there is a reliable threshold. not specific amts.

The construction should have a cap on the percentage of the treasury that MAY be allowed for budgeting so as to protect the entire treasury from being drained. Also date deadline for approval

There should be detail about the processes. What happens if a budget is not approved in the designated timeline?

Q8a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 8.

I think the Constitution should identify how the budget will administered. Yes the Constitution should identify who will administer the budget. I don't think the Constitution should specify a cap.

While I think the statement about the budget should be brief, I do think the constitution should identify who or how the budget will be administered. Also clarifications around dispute resolution pro.

Q8a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 8.

We should keep the budget simple and concise. The more details that get added the more ambiguity we get and makes it harder for the cc to act on its ratification

No - Less is more - provide guidance that would help to instruct the cmty create a budget process based on constitutional characteristics.

Yes, to having a capped amount

If the contingency provisions exist for DReps and the CC - then it also must have provisions for SPOs. They face potentially greater challenges and regulatory uncertainty for processing transactions

There should be no more than 10 sentences about the budget in the constitution. Broad beliefs and minimal specifics.

We need to set our values and principles right in order to help us craft a better budget process to be included in the constitution.

We should at least recognise a cap but not specify any % or details

Also, what is the end goal for cardano? The rd map process? Shouldn't the budget be alligned to this?

Q8a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 8.

.... a fixed period of time. That way modifications to the budget could be made continuously but with a higher threshold than withdrawals. So for ex., budget change 67% and withdrawal 50%

The budget committee

Yes - it should specify a budget committee - it's size - how members are elected or appointed - Date and time for approved budget. What happens if the budget is NOT approved.

The constitutional committee or a similar board could decide whether a withdrawal follows the current budget.

Committee should also determine how to make decisions and how to modify the budget.

Lunch Break




Q18. Constitutional Committee (CC)

We now have experience electing members to an interim CC but, going forward, the Constitution is silent as to the process for electing future members

... of the CC. The community may wish to experiment with different approaches to electing CC members. Alternatively, the community may wish to specify a specific set of criteria for those elections. [Pertains to: Article VI Section 3.]

1-2-4-ALL

Q18. Should the Constitution include additional details related to the election of CC members?



5 Min

Q18a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 18.

Just getting to party so yet informed enough.

More definition of who holds this responsibility.

KYC for all members

I do not think that there is anything that can be added here that dissuades non-serious actors from trying to become members of the CC.

No, it is critical that the voting process is improved over time. The community has to continually improve the effectiveness of community decisions. Effectiveness includes measures of ...

Separation of power: candidates must disclose all business affiliations and interests within cardano

Should be noted to have the election, but not codify the "how." Another less-is-more situation Importantly: "How" cannot be defined until there is a "who" for running the election process

Yes - it needs to ensure the process remains transparent.

5 Min

Q18a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 18.

Identity - incognito candidates should not be deem valid.

Kyc on CC members, term limits, max and min number of people on the CC, add a code of conduct for CC members as appendix to constitution

The terms will be staggered. I don't think we need specifics beyond the current guardrails about terms until we've done this election process a couple of rounds.

Minimal parameters or broad mission based directions. Specifics can be handled by "election board" or committee.

Constitution should have term limits so no individual or organization rotating members can serve longer than term limit. Know your customer rules so no bad actors can serve

Who is conducting the election? This should be clear

Clear guidance in the Constitution in regards to the election if CC members will prevent ambiguity in years down the road that could become detrimental to the Constitutional process.

.... measures such as value of the ecosystem, decentralization of power, and security of the voting. These and other qualities need to be continually improving as the community develops.

5 Min

Q18a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 18.

I think this is probably the section that should have a fair amount of specificity given the significance of the committee. Not sure about the code of conduct being on chain though...

There should be best practices layedout that must be followed including but not limited to term limits and kyc

Assure no ai, bot, genie or oracle cc memember. Human only.

The CC code of conduct should be on chain. Who will be conducting future elections? Will Ada holders vote for the organizations who conduct elections?

Amendments can be made in the future

No, because we want as a community needs a serious members of the constituon who decides the constitution and we still need to vote.

Also include what happens if there is no valid or contested election

Mentimeter

Break ☕

Q23: Separation of Powers


Currently, there is no rule against voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.

Discuss your thoughts on whether voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO creates unique concerns that need to be addressed in the Constitution. [Pertains to: Article III Section 2, Article IV, Article V.]

1-2-4-ALL

Mentimeter

Q23. What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?



Separation of Powers

Q23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

I would like to prohibit voting as both a DRep and a SPO, but I have no idea how it could get enforced so I don't think it can be included in the constitution.

Article 3, Section 2 describes "three independent governance bodies," while this section implies they are not independent, & therefore is either unconstitutional or the constitution contradicts itself

Avoid conflict of interest as first principle. The same party who would otherwise be an SPO and DRep can still signal or have influence in respective processes/roles

Best clear, black-and-white choice to prevent exploitation of power.

The DRep and SPO roles need to be independent. In fact AIIIS1 states "Three independent governance bodies shall". Therefore, constitution should establish a social contract of clear separation. 1/2

Dreps and SPO's should have seperate voting rules of powers. And the question is how can we identify if they are SPO's or Dreps?

If these disclosures are "required" what is the specific disclosure process & who is in car he of enforcing the requirement? Is community sentiment sufficient to enforce undisclosed conflicts of int.?

Need to have disclosure of conflicts and transparency in the process. If someone lies, they risk their social creit score

Separation of Powers

Q23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

Separation of power is a core value of cardano. we shouls work with the goal to make that the truth. Disclosure of roles will help settle the idea that cardano eoshes to pursuit this goal.

I picked "require to disclose" to hopefully discourage SPO's/ DReps from doing so. Although it isn't currently enforceable, it will hopefully discourage them from doing so. Hopefully enforceable in th

A balance of powers separation between SPOs and DREPs upholds the Constitutions separation of powers doctrine.

Separation of power

Send a mandatory disclosure before peope can stake to a drep or spo so they understand the fiduciary responsibilities and potential conflict +5 ways to vote Yes No No confidence Abstain Dont participa

Micro: we need as many of each Macro: should be separated. Answer, we are working on it.

In time the community can develop enforcement mechanisms, such as DIDs, decentralized validation, jury committees, and other necessary functions. 2/2

Allowing a vote on the same governance action would upset an appropriate balance of power.

Separation of Powers

Q23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

A,b- Separate needs to be separate everywhere it should be separate and adding disclosures just improve transparence.

The ADA owner will decide if they feel the DREP as an SPO should remain his DRep. Full disclosure, trust but verify, via an SPO list to verify for the ADA holder

When you signup as a DRep it could automatically check the SPO list and disclose dual association

Mentimeter

Break ☕

Delegate Election 

Mentimeter

Introduction to Voting

"We are about to begin the process of voting for Constitutional Convention Candidates. It will be your job as Workshop Participants to choose a Delegate and an Alternate to travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina, this December, to represent our group and this region at the Cardano Constitutional Convention.

Participants who attended a majority of today's workshop are eligible to vote. When you receive your ballots please write down your name and email address so that we can validate votes in case of an audit. You will then have a chance to write up to 5 names of individuals that you feel would represent us well at the Constitutional Convention. Each candidate you list will benefit from your vote. The top name on your list will receive 5 points, the second name will receive 4 points, the

Mentimeter

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

By running as a candidate to become a Constitutional delegate or alternate, you are agreeing that if elected, you will fulfill these duties. Duties marked with an asterisk are NOT applicable to the Alternate.

Agree to the Code of Conduct
You will represent your constituents and the Cardano Community's best interests, rather than promoting any personal agenda.
Your constituents are the Cardano Community members who attended the workshop that elected you, the Cardano community at-large in your region, and any Cardano Community members who reach out to you to represent their needs in some way.
You will not do anything to harm other attendees at the Cardano Constitutional Convention
You agree to follow the Intersect code of conduct

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

Before the Constitutional Convention

*Contact the Alternate and agree how to support each other.
Ex) work together to review constituent feedback.
Attend an online delegate synthesis workshop where you meet other delegates and share your region's constitution feedback.

*Provide your name and contact information publicly for constituents to contact you

*Review constituent feedback and create a summary
Complete Constitutional Delegate training ahead of travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina

Obtain visas and flights necessary to arrive in Argentina for the first week of December 2024. Flight, accommodation, transfers and food costs during the event will be covered for Constitutional Delegates and Alternates selected at Constitutional Workshops.

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

At the Constitutional Convention

Attend all scheduled sessions (3 days)
*Vote on whether to approve the Cardano Constitution


After the Constitutional Convention

Optionally: Become a DRep to vote on-chain to ratify the approved constitution
Optionally: Encourage the DReps and Cardano Community to vote on the approved constitution

Mentimeter



Constitutional Delegate


constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/auth/signin
Mentimeter
INTERSECT
Cardano Workshop Login
Enter your email to log in or create an account.
Email
name@example.com
We'll send a magic link to this email.
Send Magic Link

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

No.

Powerful experience filled with purpose and innovation. Congratulations to the Cardano ecosystem. One small step for Cardano one giant step for humanity worldwide!

The other questions from the list The preamble

No

It would have been nice to have a "30 second intro" at the start to get to know who's in the room.

Glad I was able to join. Good stuff.

Free the Cardano logo from the Cardano foundation trademark. Allow the community to use it like they would a countries flag

More committed workshops should be held for more deliberation on this MVG

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

All Good. Great presenters!!!

Yes, I would like to be able to dive into de preamble of the constitution. The values, principles and our evolving objective should be discussed with the broader community.

We need developers not bureaucrats

Is there a chance to vote using hardware wallets with our Ada?

Two incredible hosts.

We need developers. Developers, developers, developers. Not a bunch administrators

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s (worked well) and deltas (could be improved) do you have for the workshop?

Mentimeter

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

0 responses

Mentimeter

Great work! 🙌

WELCOME!

Cardano Mauritius (Virtual) Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 4 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....

Yes, interest in blockchain & Crypto.

Progress on Cardano's community development, and positioning vis a vis other platforms and its users and users clients

Yes, many want to see how onchain governance works and contribute. Also many want to see how cardano progressed Some came to see Charles in real life :)

Understanding the mindset of the founder to some extent puts this exercise in a very interesting perspective

Yes we lawyers we wish to contribute to the constitution of a blockchain system unlike companies constitutions

Yes , interest in the governance and voting rights

Yes, learning more about the tracking of the platform and how Cardano progresses as well its governance specificities

Yes, we are interested of seeing the process behind the progression of the constitution of Cardano

Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....

Yes im interested in blockchain

Preserving ada holders rights

governance and voting rights

Yes interested how the tokens would work

- To understand more about cardano - To contribute to the constitution - to understand regulations

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules



Let's get started 🚀

30 MINS - [TOPIC]

[#]. [Question Text]

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees?


Allowing for appointed designees to control voting rights is a potential way to handle the new voting rights associated with ada. This would enable owners of ada to determine whether or not they want to authorize a designee, balancing the need to protect voting rights from unauthorized use by third parties, but also permitting it if ada owners would like.
[Notes: This is not a reference to delegation to DReps. Pertains to: Article II Section 2, Article III Section 4 and 8, Article IV Section 2, Article VI Section 1, Article VII Section 1.]

30 MINS - Voting Rights

3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

3. The Constitution should:



(a) Limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada

(b) Allow ada owners to appoint designees who are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance

(c) Unsure

3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees? Rationale.

The constitution should limit on chain governance to owners only • It will avoid abuses, fraudulent actions • It will preserve the philosophy of those believing in cardano and could increase loyalty

Owners of ada should be allowed to appoint designees as any right must be capable of being transferred in whole or in part and subject to such duration as the ada owner wishes.

Protect brand value, protect trust in the community, give power only to believers of Cardano and its philosophy, avoid being classified as securities

Appoint. However the designee should vote in accordance to instructions received.

Yes they should be allowed to delegate

Should limit on chain governance by the owner

Trust is key for governance so listening to the community is essential especially in unpopular decisions. Discretionary or non discretionary actions

I think a hybrid model should be implemented. It all depends on how you are holding your ada..custodial or non custodial .....but the vote should reflect the wish of the owner and not the designees.

3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees? Rationale.

Ada owners should be able to appoint designees however the right to vote should remain discretionary and vote only upon instructions of the owners.

Ada owners should be able to appoint designees because as an owner it is their right to delegate.

One wallet one vote

Ada owners may be merely interested in the economic benefits and have no interest in participating in governance so should be entitled to assign their rights

1 kYC ed wallet , 1 vote :)

A owner should limit on chain governance

How much ada does Charles hold?

Capping wallets for whales etc



Break ☕

6. Should the Constitution require these dispute resolution provisions?


Contractual obligations governing the use of ada received from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury could be mandated to include dispute resolution provisions. While on-chain approval to disburse funds from the Cardano Treasury is well defined, the process to oversee the use of funds is not. In a decentralized ecosystem like Cardano, there is little precedent for handling commercial disputes, and we may want to define some guidance in the Constitution.Keep in mind that dispute resolution provisions can still be included in contracts if not mandated in the Constitution, but may be deemed so important that it must be stated in the Constitution. Also, even if the Constitution does mandate the use of dispute resolution provisions, there may be no way to

30 MINS - Financial Sustainability

6. Should the Constitution require these dispute resolution provisions?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

6. Should the Constitution require these dispute resolution provisions?



6. Should the Constitution require these dispute resolution provisions (Yes/No/Unsure) Provide rationale.

Yes such provisions add to certainty, accountability and ensures the smooth running of the ecosystem that would not halt development research and progress

Smart contracts can be created for this. Committee can be established to manage disputes. Contingency funds can be allocated to handle these incidents

Yes, it is important for maintaining accountability and resolving conflicts that may arise from the misuse or mismanagement of Treasury funds.

Such provisions provide certainty in the event of disputes whether it is as regard to choice of jurisdiction or of dispute resolution mechanism.

Dispute resolution clauses can, if phrased clearly, provide certainty for both parties. We look at what you need to know about them here

Yes. The voice of every ada holder should be heard.

Insurance for losses, non delivery, dispute or recovery. Can establish Cardano Community Court

Such provisions would also prevent misuse of funds in the ecosystem and minimise disruptions by ensuring that the funds are appropriately being used.

6. Should the Constitution require these dispute resolution provisions (Yes/No/Unsure) Provide rationale.

Yes specially because many ADA funded projects have not delivered. These projects were funded by the treasury and they should be accountable. Tools like Multi Sig / MPC / ZK could be used

The issues to be thrashed out are (1) which dispute resolution provision court v/s arbitration (2) choice of law - will be the governing law of the Cardano ecosystem itself

Ensures that there is a structured process for addressing disputes, which could help in maintaining trust within the ecosystem. It also aligns with the principles of transparency and accountability.

Yes. A mechanism for DR is a fundamental feature in a democratic system be it a blockchain one.

Yes, Clear guidance on employment of funds and what process to follow, who should step in, for faster resolution and not penalise the project.

Wrong decisions should be punished.

How to make force the Dispute resolution provision is another challenge

LUNCH

7. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include specific funds?


Periodic Cardano Blockchain Budgets will be developed and approved by the community. It is expected that the community will periodically approve budgets through on-chain governance actions. The Constitution specifies that no disbursements from the Cardano Treasury can be approved through on-chain governance actions unless at the time of the disbursement, a community-approved budget is then in place. However, the Constitution leaves to the community decisions as to what should actually be included in a budget, how detailed a budget should be and how budgets should be developed and approved.

30 MINS - Financial Sustainability

7. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include specific funds?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

7. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include (select all that apply):



(a) A contingency fund to cover unexpected costs not otherwise specified in the Cardano Budget.

(b) An indemnity fund to cover potential claims against governance participants such as DReps and Constitutional Committee members.

(c) Funds to cover administrative costs of the CC

(d) None of these

7. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include? Provide rationale.

A conntingency fund can provide financial flexibility to address unforeseen expenses, ensuring that the ecosystem can respond to emergencies or opportunities without disrupting the existing budget

Yes, in order to ensure proper governance and transparency specific funds need to be allocated for admin costs

Yes for the contingency fund and funds to cover administrative costs as provision must be made for unexpected costs to ensure the adaptiveness and soundness of the Cardano system without any disruptio

A contingency fund would provide flexibility in respect of expenses such as bugs, technical failure, financial risks

Contingency fund leaves room to manipulation, no need if operating model is stable. Need to protect agents of governance when they discharge their duties. The costs of governance must be adequately financed

Contingency; so as to take into consideration unforeseen event. In the absent of a contingency fund, a project with good potential may be hampered in its process.

An insurance policy can be created to handle any event of unexpected costs.

Yes. It is important to have specific funds Under specific budget lines

7. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include? Provide rationale.

The administrative costs of the CC, such as operational expenses, compensation for members, and other logistical needs, are crucial for maintaining the effectiveness and efficiency of governance



Break ☕

8. Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process?

The Cardano community is expected to propose, not less than on an annual basis, a budget for the ongoing maintenance and future development of the Cardano Blockchain. Discuss whether a detailed process for developing and approving the Cardano Budget should be specified in the Constitution.

[Pertains to: Article III Section 8]

30 MINS - Financial Sustainability

8. Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

8. *Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process?*



8. *Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process? Yes/No/Unsure. Provide rationale.*

Yes, because of transparency.

Yes of course since there has been a lack of transparency and accountability in the recent years towards the community

Yes details of process are necessary for good governance and accountability and checks and balances. Certainty important.

Budgets need to expand and identify a proper rationale for spending. A set of priorities needs to be determined and funds allocated accordingly

Ethic is something worthwhile to include. Yes

Ensure transparency and accountability in the budgetary decisions - how funds are allocated, monitored and approved.

Cap not necessary - but can be formula based as dynamics change

There can be no blank cheques. Well defined process gives room for accountability. Priorities need to be set.

8. *Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process? Yes/No/Unsure. Provide rationale.*

A separate financial audit team can be set up

Accountability for over spends

Yes , but as for the cap , it could also limit the community's ability to respond to new opportunities or challenges that require additional funding.

Yes, there should be transparency in the way the budget in being used and administered.

Delegate Election 



https://constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

Ethics needs to be considered at a Base level

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

Good format and great community atmosphere

Positive exchange of views and insights for the benefit of one and all in the ecosystem

Great initiative but more clarity is necessary for different aspects of the community

Simplified English

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

16 responses



Great work! 🙌

¡Bienvenidos Ecuador! 👋

Bienvenidos

Taller Constitucional de la Comunidad Cardano Ecuador

Esperamos incluir sus perspectivas únicas en 4 temas focales de la Constitución. Estamos recopilando comentarios de la comunidad a través de talleres en todo el mundo para dar forma al borrador de la constitución antes de la Convención Constitucional en diciembre.

Accede al borrador de la constitución en:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Normas del Grupo

Aportaciones para la Constitución de Cardano

Contribuye con tu presencia.
Intenta comprender antes de persuadir.
Comparte el tiempo: Participa, pero da espacio a los demás. Sé honesto y profundo sin extenderte demasiado.
Busca el progreso antes que la perfección.
Sigue las Reglas de Chatham House.

1-2-4-ALL

Proceso de Discusión

1) Individual: Genera ideas y opiniones en silencio, de manera individual. (3 min)

2) Grupo de cuatro: Discutan sus ideas y anoten los temas claves de su discusión en Menti. (10 min)

3) Grupo completo: Compartan los temas de sus discusiones y debatan en grupo grande. (20 min)

4) Resumen de la discusión (5 min)

5) Votación: Cada uno registrará su voto final. (5 min)

Empecemos 🚀

DERECHOS DE VOTACIÓN

3. ¿Debería la Constitución limitar la gobernanza en cadena a los propietarios de ada o permitir que designen representantes para participar?

(a) Limitar la participación en la gobernanza en la cadena solo a los propietarios de ada.

(b) Permitir a los propietarios de ada designar representantes que luego estén autorizados para participar en la gobernanza en la cadena.

(c) Inseguro.

3. ¿Debería la Constitución limitar la gobernanza en cadena a los propietarios de ada o permitir que designen representantes para participar?

No, se debe permitir que los spo y los representantes voten a nombre de los titulares siempre y cuando tengan reglas claras

La constitución no debería limitar gobernanza pero los representantes deberían cumplir con ciertos requisitos para poder representar a nombre de otra persona.

Mi posición es que se debe permitir la delegación de votar pero a nivel de drep o spo ya que como son propietarios de ADA defenderán su crecimiento.

B. Permitir la delegación siempre y cuando haya un límite mínimo de cantidad de ada que se posea, es decir que a más cantidad, mayor responsabilidad por lo tanto el poseedor debe votar directamente.

No, ya que la votación debe ir en función de las hadas que tenga cada uno!!

En mi caso sería la opción a, de la no doy paso a un delegado en que vote porr un titular ya que no lo ve confiable

En mi opinión si se debería dejar la delegación de los votos, ya que cada persona elige quién puede ser su representante lo que puede llevar a gente que tenga mucha más experiencia en el tema

Respuesta: b La delegacion permite la participación de todos los miembros de la comunidad. No discrimina y se pueden obtener beneficios por esa accion para las partes y hacer crecer la organizacion.

DERECHOS DE VOTACIÓN

3. ¿Debería la Constitución limitar la gobernanza en cadena a los propietarios de ada o permitir que designen representantes para participar?

Daría permiso a un representante simpre y cuando sepa lo que quiere y sea beneficio para ambos

La participación debe ser de los propietarios directamente, el designar representantes puede traer confusiones al no tener claro el escenario

Debería permitir la gobernanza a los propietarios de Ada. Las decisiones de un representante no siempre reflejarán mis decisiones y/o criterios.

Deberia permitir delegar REPRESENTANTES quienes tienen los mismos intereses, facilitan los procesos. Habría mayor participación e inclusión

Se tiene que limitar la participación solo de poseedores / spo y dRep

Los delegados solo deben ser SPO dREP o individual, deben cumplir condiciones previas (perfil) la delegación no es permanente

DERECHOS DE VOTACIÓN

3. ¿Debería la Constitución limitar la gobernanza en cadena a los propietarios de ada o permitir que designen representantes para participar?



(a) Limitar la participación en la gobernanza en la cadena solo a los propietarios de ada.

(b) Permitir a los propietarios de ada designar representantes que luego estén autorizados para participar en la gobernanza en la cadena.

(c) Inseguro.

Descanso ☕

DREPs

11. ¿Qué debería exigir la Constitución con respecto a los códigos de conducta de los DReps (selecciona todas las que apliquen)?

(a) Los códigos de conducta de los DReps deberían estar en la cadena (on-chain).

(b) Un único código de conducta para todos los DReps.

(c) Flexibilidad para que cada DRep adopte su propio código de conducta.

(d) El Comité Constitucional debería determinar si los códigos de conducta de los DReps son consistentes con la Constitución.

(e) Otras directrices para los códigos de conducta de los DReps.

(f) Ninguna de las anteriores.

11. ¿Qué debería exigir la Constitución con respecto a los códigos de conducta de los DReps (selecciona todas las que apliquen)?

A) debe ser todo público para mayor seguridad y confianza

Es importante que el código sea One chain para Que todos puedan visualizar lo que hacen los DReps

Es importante que el comité constitucional sea el encargado de regular los perfiles del código de ética que se va a aplicar

A.- todo debe ser público para garantizar la transparencia

A. Considero que los códigos de ética deben ser publicos y acordes a los ámbitos que se desenvuelven. D. Deben ser siempre evaluados y contextualizados en tiempo y espacio.

Debe ser un sistema público para que exista conocimiento de todos aquellos que usan el blockchain

A) la publicidad y transferencia permitirá desarrollar un sistema de votación adecuado y confiado lo que permitirá mantener un equilibrio en el entorno del blockchain

A) SI, todos los representantes deben estar en línea. B) SI, debe haber un solo código para todos, independiente de la especialidad de temas de experticia que tengan

DREPs

11. ¿Qué debería exigir la Constitución con respecto a los códigos de conducta de los DReps (selecciona todas las que apliquen)?

E.- el código de ética de conducta debería ser mixto es decir algo generar y algo específico

B) un único código de conducta que será el resultado de consensos y reglas determinadas qué deben ser cumplidas

a) Los códigos de conducta deberían estar en la cadena on-chain, así se demostraría total transparencia.

D.- Un CC deberá analizar el código de conducta que va a adoptar un dRep

La opción a) porque garantizaría transparencia y accesibilidad para todos los participantes del sistema La opción d debería determinar si los códigos son consistentes dentro de la Constitución

Respuesta a. Debe estar registrado y de dominio publico la tarea que hace un dRep

b) Los dreps deberían regirse a un solo código de conducta dando así uniformidad al control.

D) Es pertinente que el Comité Constitucional sea la instancia que valide que el código de conducta este alineado con la Constitución para adopción por parte de los DREPs

11. ¿Qué debería exigir la Constitución con respecto a los códigos de conducta de los DReps (selecciona todas las que apliquen)?

E) Es importante la posibilidad de incorporar otras directrices que rijan la conducta de DREPs qué respondan a un tema específico y no conste en el código de ética


Estoy de acuerdo en que debe haber un único código de conducta para todos los DReps, que garantice principios comunes y sanciones claras por incumplimientos. Esto permite tener un nivel de equidad.

Respuesta b. Debe exigirse un unico codigo para que se diferencie del resto de los miembros de la comunidad y sean facil de identificar.

El Comite constitucional debería determinar los códigos de conducta de dreps y que sean consistentes con la constitución ejerciendo de esta manera un seguimiento al accionar de dreps.

Que a los DREPs se los someta a evaluaciones periodicas de integridad para exigir su cumplimiento respecto a la constiitucion

11. ¿Qué debería exigir la Constitución con respecto a los códigos de conducta de los DReps (selecciona todas las que apliquen)?



(a) Los códigos de conducta de los DReps deberían estar en la cadena (on-chain).

(b) Un único código de conducta para todos los DReps.

(c) Flexibilidad para que cada DRep adopte su propio código de conducta.

(d) El Comité Constitucional debería determinar si los códigos de conducta de los DReps son consistentes con la Constitución.

(e) Otras directrices para los códigos de conducta de los DReps.

(f) Ninguna de las anteriores.

SPOs

16. ¿Qué requisitos, si los hay, debería especificar la Constitución para los códigos de conducta de los SPOs (seleccione todos los que correspondan)?

(a) Se espera que los SPOs implementen códigos de conducta.

(b) Los códigos de conducta de los SPOs deben estar en la cadena.

(c) El Comité Constitucional debe determinar si los códigos de conducta de los SPOs son consistentes con la Constitución.

(d) Otras pautas para los códigos de conducta de los SPOs.

(e) Ninguno.

SPOs

16. ¿Qué requisitos, si los hay, debería especificar la Constitución para los códigos de conducta de los SPOs (seleccione todos los que correspondan)?

Los códigos de conducta deben encontrarse publicados en la cadena onchain

Respuesta a. Que implementen códigos de conducta para el control y dirección del blockchain

B.- el código de conducta tiene que estar en línea

Respuesta b. Debe ser un código publico para identificacion.

b. Es necesario que los códigos de conducta de los spo sean públicos en la blockchain

Todas las opciones de A a D deberían ser válidas para SPO's porque son quienes construyen el eco sistema y al ser vital esta posición debe estar completamente regulada en cuanto a conducta y ética

Spos deberían implementar códigos de conducta.

los sapos deben someterse a los lineamientos generales del código de conducta, y de la constitución.

SPOs

16. ¿Qué requisitos, si los hay, debería especificar la Constitución para los códigos de conducta de los SPOs (seleccione todos los que correspondan)?

A.- Los spos deben adoptar un código de conducta la cuales debe estar establecido en la constitución

Debería los código de conducta ser públicos para los SPO, como también existir pautas

Adoptar el código de conducta de manera obligatoria como DREP

C.- el comité constitucional debe revisar y aprobar el código de conducta del SPO

Respuesta c. Seguir un código de conducta alineado con la Constitución mediante un comite permite que la responsabilidad del SPO sea dirigida y monitoreada.

El Comite constitucional debe determinar si los códigos de conducta de spos son consistentes con la constitución

Respuesta d. Debe existir parámetros especificos para diferentes SPO al tener la mision de mantener viva la blockchain en cada sector donde ejecuten su labor.


C) los Spo's deben regirse a conductas y normas de carácter público debiendo tener en consideración la conservación del entorno e intereses colectivos

16. ¿Qué requisitos, si los hay, debería especificar la Constitución para los códigos de conducta de los SPOs (seleccione todos los que correspondan)?

Las opciones (a) y (c) son las más adecuadas, ya que garantizan que los SPOs implementen códigos de conducta y que estos sean revisados por el Comité Constitucional.

SPOs

16. ¿Qué requisitos, si los hay, debería especificar la Constitución para los códigos de conducta de los SPOs (seleccione todos los que correspondan)?



(a) Se espera que los SPOs implementen códigos de conducta.

(b) Los códigos de conducta de los SPOs deben estar en la cadena.

(c) El Comité Constitucional debe determinar si los códigos de conducta de los SPOs son consistentes con la Constitución.

(d) Otras pautas para los códigos de conducta de los SPOs.

(e) Ninguno.

Almuerzo 

SEPARACIÓN DE PODERES

23. ¿Qué debería decir la Constitución acerca de los propietarios de ada votando sobre la misma acción de gobernanza como tanto DRep como SPO?

(a) Prohibir votar sobre la misma acción de gobernanza como DRep y SPO.

(b) Requerir divulgación, pero no prohibir votar sobre la misma acción de gobernanza como DRep y SPO.

(c) Incluir disposiciones especiales de conflicto de interés.

(d) No es necesario abordarlo en la Constitución.

(e) Inseguro.

SEPARACIÓN DE PODERES

23. ¿Qué debería decir la Constitución acerca de los propietarios de ada votando sobre la misma acción de gobernanza como tanto DRep como SPO?

Las políticas de votación deberían aplicarse de manera general para todos los que tengan derecho a voto, sea dRep o SPO

A. Se debe prohibir votar como dos roles ya genera conflicto de intereses.

prohibir que los propietarios de ADA voten sobre la misma acción de gobernanza tanto como DRep como SPO, para evitar conflictos de intereses y asegurar un proceso justo.

A) la constitución deberia establecer que pueden votar únicamente los DREPs, por lo tanto establece prohibición de voto a SPO

En el Workshop QUITO se estableció que los SPO no voten

Incluir disposiciones especiales de conflicto de interés. Esto garantiza transparencia sin limitar la participación, previniendo problemas éticos en la toma de decisiones.

En el supuesto que la convención apruebe el voto para SPO deben incluirse disposiciones que garanticen qué una persona NO sea juez y parte de una acción de gobernanza

A) se debe tener restricciones respecto de la votación ya que no es idóneo dos funciones


SEPARACIÓN DE PODERES

23. ¿Qué debería decir la Constitución acerca de los propietarios de ada votando sobre la misma acción de gobernanza como tanto DRep como SPO?

Respuesta C. Disponer de reglas que no generen conflicto de intereses cuando si ya es un DRep asi como cuando ya se es SPO. Y determinen que sea un solo voto sin abusar del cargo.

SEPARACIÓN DE PODERES

23. ¿Qué debería decir la Constitución acerca de los propietarios de ada votando sobre la misma acción de gobernanza como tanto DRep como SPO?



(a) Prohibir votar sobre la misma acción de gobernanza como DRep y SPO.

(b) Requerir divulgación, pero no prohibir votar sobre la misma acción de gobernanza como DRep y SPO.


(c) Incluir disposiciones especiales de conflicto de interés.

(d) No es necesario abordarlo en la Constitución.

(e) Inseguro.

Descanso ☕

Elección de Delegados 



Cardano Workshop Portal Registration Demo



https://constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/

Reflexión y Conclusión

¿Hubo alguna idea o tema que no tuvo la oportunidad de compartir?

Si la violencia de género

No la verdad todo estuvo muy claro

Si. El peso de los votos de las memorias. Es decir las personas que deciden no delegar.

Mas aclaratorias sobre codigo etica

Mecanismos de equidad para lograr equiparar la representatividad de las minorías

Sobre el manual usado por cada categoría

De acuerdo a todo lo planteado creo que se abordaron los temas de manera satisfactoria

Se deben debatir temas como la impugnacion de resultados por si existe una gran afectación al ecosistema luego de una acción de gobernanza

¿Hubo alguna idea o tema que no tuvo la oportunidad de compartir?

Todo claro con respecto a los debates realizados

No, se pudo compartir lo escencial y más importante

Me interesa entender cuál es el origen de Cardano, con respecto a su representación actual y forma de administración

Un poco más sobre la creación y adquisición de Adas

Alcances de la legislación del Comité Constitucional Sistema de recompensa del sistema para participantes

Cual es la proyección de vida de este ecosistema aproximado de cuanto tiempo perdurara su utilización y actividad con la regulacion que se pretende instituir.

Algo de la historia de cardano

El conocimiento de las nuevas tecnologías vigentes hoy en la sociedad, Se podría profundizar un poco más en la operatividad de cardano en el mundo financiero.

¿Qué le ha gustado y qué cree que se podría mejorar en el taller?

Debe existir reuniones preparatorias previas

Me gusto el poder entender más sobre cómo funciona Cardano y como está nueva tecnología va revolucionando la formar de generar nuevos proyectos que en parte ayudan a la comunidad

La manera dinámica de interacción en la participación del grupo y se podría mejorar en la difusión de este tipo de talleres

La interacción entre los participantes estuvo bien y se podría mejorar los tiempos para ser más precisos

La metodología es apropiada. Deberian hacerse grupos de trabajo desde el inicio para garantizar la interacción de los participantes

Debería haber mayor difusión regional de este tipo de sistemas, plataformas y tecnologías a fin de que la gente se relacione más con su uso

Mejorar la dinámica del evento, tal vez incluir material audio visual

No, todos los temas se abordaron de manera amplia y específica

¿Qué le ha gustado y qué cree que se podría mejorar en el taller?

Me gusto que se abordo el tema principal que fue la constitución, se puede mejorar mostrando videos de personas que estan en esta cultura de Cardano

Mejorar un poco las metologias, y entrar más en contexto de los temas a tratar

Me gustó la participación y el nivel del evento. Para mejorar se podría contextualizar con los temas a tratar con anterioridad

Me gusto la forma de explicacion con terminos de la vida real asociado a los problemas cotodianos. Es necesario un poco mas de ayuda audiovisual que aporte a cada tema.

Conocimiento

Explorar nuevos caminos

Conocimiento de nuevas tecnologías y plataformas.

Reflexión y Conclusión

¿Qué palabra o frase describe mejor lo más importante que se lleva del taller?

12 responses



Formulario de Comentarios sobre el Borrador de la Constitución

Si te gustaría proporcionar comentarios sobre otras preguntas, por favor hazlo aquí: https://forms.gle/6HJVPxQe9CPriHRN6

¡Buen trabajo! 🙌

BEM VINDO!

Oficina Constitucional da Comunidade Cardano Rio de Janeiro

Esperamos incluir suas perspectivas únicas em 4 Tópicos Focais da Constituição. Estamos reunindo feedback da comunidade em workshops ao redor do mundo para moldar o rascunho da constituição antes da Convenção Constitucional em dezembro.

Acesse o rascunho da constituição em:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Acordos

Contribuição constitucional de Cardano

Contribua com sua presença.

Procure entender primeiro em vez de persuadir.

Aproxime-se. Afaste-se. Busque honestidade e profundidade sem falar sem parar.

Busque progresso em vez de perfeição

Siga as Regras da Chatham House Rule

1-2-4-ALL

Processo de discussão

1) Solo: Gere ideias/respostas silenciosamente, sozinho. (3 minutos)

2) Combine grupos de 2/3 para formar grupos de 4/5/6 e gere temas e ideias principais (10 minutos)

4) Todo o grupo: Resuma os principais temas, pontos de intersecção e pontos de diferença. Faça anotações para se preparar para a próxima captura de Menti. (15 minutos)

5) Capture os principais insights sobre Menti e vote. (10 minutos)

Vamos começar 🚀

Q3. A Constituição deveria limitar a governação em cadeia aos proprietários de ADA ou permitir-lhes designar outros para participarem em seu nome?

Permitir que pessoas designadas controlem os direitos de voto é uma forma potencial de lidar com os novos direitos de voto associados à ADA. Isto permitiria aos proprietários da ADA determinar se desejam ou não autorizar um representante, equilibrando a necessidade de proteger os direitos de voto contra o uso não autorizado por terceiros, mas também permitindo-o se os proprietários da ADA assim o desejarem.

governação em cadeia aos proprietários de ADA ou permitir-lhes designar outros para participarem em seu nome?

1) Solo: Gere ideias/respostas silenciosamente, sozinho. (3 minutos)

2) 2 ou 3 pessoas: Compartilhe suas anotações com um parceiro ou 2. (7 min)

3) Combine grupos de 2/3 para formar grupos de 4/5/6 e gere temas e ideias principais (10 minutos)

4) Todo o grupo: Resuma os principais temas, pontos de intersecção e pontos de diferença. Faça anotações para se preparar para a próxima captura de Menti. (15 minutos)

Direitos de voto

3. A Constituição deverá:


(a) Limitar a participação na governança on-chain apenas aos proprietários de ada

(b) Permitir que os proprietários de ADA nomeiem pessoas designadas que terão o direito de participar da governança na cadeia

(c) Inseguro

Derechos de voto

3. A Constituição deverá:



(a) Limitar la participación en la gobernanza en cadena solo a los propietarios de ada

(b) Permitir que los propietarios de ada nombren personas designadas que luego tengan derecho a participar en la gobernanza en cadena

(c) Inseguro

3. A Constituição deveria limitar a governação em cadeia aos proprietários de ADA ou permitir-lhes designar outros para participarem em seu nome?

Acho que deve ser dada a opção para o detentor de ADA decidir sobre a delegação de voto ou não. Em alguns casos não me sinto confortável em votar e acho melhor delegar para alguém mais especializado.

Faz sentido delegar poder de votos a pessoas que têm os mesmos valores e terão mais tempo, energia para votar em projetos e fazer a diferença neles em meu nome.

Foi comentado no debate do workshop que terá um portal da transparência onde será colocado o que os representantes farão ou deixaram de fazer. Isso foi primordial para decidir a questão.

É bem importante ter um portal que exiba todas as ações de governança dos delegados, garantindo a transparência na condução das votações.

A vinculação de contas garante que a delegação seja segura e limitada a capacidades específicas, preservando a governança eficiente e segura.

Somente os detentores de ADA para criar uma moeda forte e livre de conflitos de interesse. A existência de um portal de transparência .

É dificil confiar em terceiros, mas com um portla da transparência mostrando onde o representante votou , o que ele fez e o que deixou de fazer, é importante para saber se está fazendo seu trabalho.

As pessoas interessadas e que tenham como conduzir o processo de forma mais assertiva, podem dar melhores resultados, representando o interessado

3. A Constituição deveria limitar a governação em cadeia aos proprietários de ADA ou permitir-lhes designar outros para participarem em seu nome?

O unico problema na minha opinião são possíveis conflitos de interesses.

O portal de transparência é muito importante para evitar conflitos de interesse e acompanhar.

Por que a pergunta 11 é importante

Os DReps atuarão como uma voz dos proprietários de ada e terão o poder de votar em proprietários de ada que depositaram fé nos DReps aos quais delegam. Além disso, os DReps são um novo órgão regulador para Cardano e aprenderemos muito nos próximos anos sobre como o processo de DRep funciona. Portanto, pode ser importante abordar a conduta de DRep na Constituição. Por outro lado, os proprietários de da são livres para delegar e deselecionar de DReps para sinalizar sua (des)aprovação de um DRep a qualquer momento. Portanto, as forças de mercado podem ser suficientes para governar o comportamento de DRep. Esta questão aborda se a própria Constituição deve incluir padrões comportamentais específicos aplicáveis a DReps.

DReps

[Q11] O que a constituição deve exigir em relação aos códigos de conduta do DRep (selecione todas as opções aplicáveis)?

1) Solo: Gere ideias/respostas silenciosamente, sozinho. (3 minutos)

2) 2 ou 3 pessoas: Compartilhe suas anotações com um parceiro ou 2. (7 min)

3) Combine grupos de 2/3 para formar grupos de 4/5/6 e gere temas e ideias principais (10 minutos)

4) Todo o grupo: Resuma os principais temas, pontos de intersecção e pontos de diferença. Faça anotações para se preparar para a próxima captura de Menti. (15 minutos)


5) Capture os principais insights sobre Menti e vote. (10 minutos)

DReps

[Q11] O que a constituição deve exigir em relação aos códigos de conduta do DRep (selecione todas as opções aplicáveis)?

(a) Os códigos de conduta do DRep devem estar na cadeia
(b) Um código de conduta para todos os DReps
(c) Flexibilidade para cada DRep adotar seu próprio código de conduta
(d) O Comitê Constitucional deve determinar se os códigos de conduta do DRep são consistentes com a Constituição
(e) Outras diretrizes para códigos de conduta do DRep
(f) Nenhuma dessas

[Q11] O que a constituição deve exigir em relação aos códigos de conduta do DRep (selecione todas as opções aplicáveis)?



DReps

Forneça sua(s) resposta(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) e justificativa para a Pergunta 11. Envie uma resposta para cada item selecionado na Pergunta 11

A) obrigatoriamente o código de conduta deve estar 100% em Blockchain.

B) é necessário que seja 1 condigo de conduta único para todos os DReps.

D) é necessário que os membros do comitê constitucional analisem se os DReps estão alinhados com a constituição.

O codigo tem que estar on chain e todos tem que seguir este codigo para não gerar problemas. Como se diz no Brasil, o combinado não sai caro, é de suma importância.

A, B e D andam juntas. O codigo se conduta é 1 para todos, precisa estar na blockchain validado e o comitê constitucional precisa sim reler e aprovar se o codigo está conforme a constituição principal

É necessário que todos os dReps tenham o mesmo código de conduta, seja registrado on-chain e que suas sejam constantemente validadas de acordo com a constituição.

Um codigo robusto, não permite desvios de conduta e traz muita transparência ao processo.

É importante revisar sempre esta Código para mudanças de mercado e de cenários políticos

DReps

Forneça sua(s) resposta(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) e justificativa para a Pergunta 11. Envie uma resposta para cada item selecionado na Pergunta 11

É necessário que o comitê acompanhe sempre se precisa de atualização ou não do código de conduta.

É importantíssimo que o objetivo dos DReps sejam alinhados em apenas promover 100% o puro objetivo de transparência e motivo principal de uma blockchain - Evitar vazamentos desnecessários de ADA e $

(d): Isso oferece uma camada adicional de supervisão, garantindo que as ações dos DReps estejam alinhadas com os princípios fundamentais da rede, protegendo os interesses de todos os participantes.

N/a

A- os cosigos precisam estar na cadeia para a segurança e transparência, creio que esta questão é indiscutível.

B- precisa que seja 1 código de conduta para todos, é mais ético que isso ocorra

Por que a pergunta 11 é importante

[Q12] A Constituição permite que os DReps recebam remuneração pelos seus serviços, mas não fornece quaisquer detalhes adicionais.

[Pertence a: Artigo IV e Depósito de Guardrails para DReps (DRD) e Período de Atividade DRep (DRA).]

DPREPS

[Q12] A Constituição deveria especificar mais detalhes sobre a remuneração do DRep? Selecione todas as opções aplicáveis.

(a) A Constituição deve especificar como a compensação é determinada

(b) A Constituição deveria incluir um limite máximo para a remuneração


(c) A Constituição deve exigir que os orçamentos aprovados da Cardano Blockchain incluam compensação DRep

(d) A Constituição deveria exigir que a compensação fosse a mesma para todos os DReps

(e) A Constituição não exige detalhes adicionais.

(f) Outros

[Q12] A Constituição deveria especificar mais detalhes sobre a remuneração do DRep? Selecione todas as opções aplicáveis.



[Q12] Forneça sua(s) resposta(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) e justificativa para pergunta. Envie uma resposta para cada item selecionado.

(d) O Comitê Constitucional garante que os códigos de conduta dos DReps estejam alinhados com os princípios fundamentais da rede, protegendo a integridade da governança descentralizada de Cardano.

Acho que deve ser incluído em Blockchain qual serão as condições de remuneração de um DRep.

Precisa estar claro, qual será o valor, como será mensurado o resultado, por projetos, por votações, por poder de voto, por quantidade de delegadores.

Precisa ser definido como será o pagamento, se por época da Cardano (5 dias), por semana, por mês ou por ano.

Ser predeterminado facilta a previsão dos projetos. Todos sabem o que podem de antemão.

É importante definir regras para bloquear o pagamento caso alguns KPIS não seja atingidos.

O comite tem que garantir a transparência e a etica, logo poderia determinar a remuneração dos dreps

A, B e C - o comitê precisa existir limites de valor para todos igualmente. Além de determinar como o recurso será distribuído e precisa ter modelo de trava automática para caso de ilegalidade.

[Q12] Forneça sua(s) resposta(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) e justificativa para pergunta. Envie uma resposta para cada item selecionado.

Todo os DReps deveriam ter suas atividades impressas na blockchain. Em portal de transparência paralelo para leitura e meios para leigos em blockchain. Valores de remuneração hora de trabalho justific

Almoço 🍴

Por que a pergunta 14 é importante:

[Q14] A Constituição deveria incluir um mecanismo que fizesse com que os detentores de ADA selecionassem novamente seus DReps ao longo do tempo?

Os detentores de Ada são livres para alterar a delegação para qualquer DRep a qualquer momento, ou nunca alterar sua delegação. Esta questão pergunta se este modelo deve criar condições para uma classe representativa de DReps ao longo do tempo, ou se a Constituição deve incluir restrições adicionais.

Delegated representatives (DReps)

[Q14] A Constituição deveria incluir um mecanismo que fizesse com que os detentores de ADA selecionassem novamente seus DReps ao longo do tempo?

1) Solo: Gere ideias/respostas silenciosamente, sozinho. (3 minutos)


2) 2 ou 3 pessoas: Compartilhe suas anotações com um parceiro ou 2. (7 min)

3) Combine grupos de 2/3 para formar grupos de 4/5/6 e gere temas e ideias principais (10 minutos)

4) Todo o grupo: Resuma os principais temas, pontos de intersecção e pontos de diferença. Faça anotações para se preparar para a próxima captura de Menti. (15 minutos)

5) Capture os principais insights sobre Menti e vote. (10 minutos)

[Q14] A Constituição deveria incluir um mecanismo que fizesse com que os detentores de ADA selecionassem novamente seus DReps ao longo do tempo?



[Q14] Por favor, forneça sua justificativa para sua resposta. Se você respondeu sim, explique o que você acrescentaria à Constituição e por quê

Pois em caso de algum problema , é necessário termos mecanismos de sua remoção

Acho que sempre podemos ter o direito de trocar nossos representantes a qualquer momento, sem necessidade de tempo estipulado. Apenas o desejo de mudar minha representação!

Respondemos que sim, pois qualquer um poderia ter o direito de trocar o seu representante a qualquer momento, por questão de confiabilidade.

Possibilidade de alterar meu representante a qualquer tempo, a depender do que me motivou a isso, desde que haja um padrão de processo de avaliação para todos os casos.

(Sim) Um mecanismo de reavaliação dos DReps é necessário para garantir que os representantes permaneçam alinhados com os interesses dos detentores de ADA, especialmente em um ecossistema dinâmico como

Acredito que a qualquer momento eu posso definir alguém para me representar em votações no sistema. Ficar preso a um representante é algo perigoso pois ambas as partes podem mudar de ideais.

Para que a experiência do resultado, e do comportamento podem nos fazer mudar de ideia. Ter o poder de mudar, pressiona as pessoas a fazerem o melhor possível

É importante poder mudar o representantee o mesmo deverá mostrar um report semanal ou 15 em 15 dias do que foi feito para analise geral, caso seja tirado por informação falsa

[Q14] Por favor, forneça sua justificativa para sua resposta. Se você respondeu sim, explique o que você acrescentaria à Constituição e por quê

Porque a pessoa pode mudar de ideia sem pressão

Devemos sim poder mudar. Deve existir desde a entrada do representante um controle por via de relatório e recibos de seu trabalho e engajamento.

Acho que deria mudar por que por mais que a escolha da pessoa para nos representar seja uma pessoa de confiança, essa pessoa pode quebrar essa confiança e ter atitudes que não concordo ou não aceito

Votei sim. Acrescentaria à constituição o poder de mudar o representante de acordo com os resultados apresentados e de acordo com a gravidade das ações.

Bom, eu acho que série melhor trocar o representante porque se ele fizer alguma coisa que eu não gostei eu posso remover ele e colocar outro representante.

Deve ter a possibilidade de mudar o representante por questão de confiabilidade. Se o representante fez algo que você não concorda, você deve ter o direito de não querer ser mais representado por ele.

Deveria ter um mecanismo para os representantes colocarem seus reports de evolução do que fez para que haja transparência nas decisões de tirar ou não do local de representantes

Seria uma forma segura de compartilhar a ideia e melhoria para o próximo

[Q14] Por favor, forneça sua justificativa para sua resposta. Se você respondeu sim, explique o que você acrescentaria à Constituição e por quê

é uma boa forma de ajudar uma pessoa de confiança a ter um poder a mais na tomada de decisões.


Eu sigo incerto quanto à necessidade de incluir esse mecanismo na Constituição, pois, embora boa pode aplicar uma complexidade desnecessária e causar instabilidade no ecossistema.

[Q14] A Constituição deveria incluir um mecanismo que fizesse com que os detentores de ADA selecionassem novamente seus DReps ao longo do tempo?

Sim	Não	Incerto
0	0	0

[Q6] As obrigações contratuais que regem a utilização de ada recebidas do Tesouro Cardano BC deveriam ser obrigadas a incluir disposições de resolução

[Q6] As obrigações contratuais que regem a utilização de ada recebidas do Tesouro Cardano BC deveriam ser obrigadas a incluir disposições de resolução



[Q6] Forneça sua(s) resposta(s) e justificativa para pergunta.

Para evitar que participantes maliciosos tomem o dinheiro e não entreguem nada.

Para evitar fraudes e não cumprimento de milestones

Precisa ter esse mecanismo porque a gente não pode sair investindo em todo projeto ou em qualquer pessoal que apareça

Mecanismos de verificação, checagem e auditoria são fundamentais para o processo de governança. Sem mecanismos de controle e defesa, ficaria muito frágil a responsabilidade do tesouro da Cardano.

Haver um mecanismo de controle é muito importante para que os recursos sejam melhor utilizados.

Entregar todo dinheiro para um projeto é muito arriscado. Podemos ter casos de má administração dos fundos, mau uso ou até desvios. Fazer a entrega através de milestones seria uma forma mais eficaz.

É uma forma de garantia e confiança, para ter controle do que está sendo feito e como está sendo feito, com a possibilidade de reverter caso precise

Deveria ter porque é uma forma de segurança e confiabilidade para ambas as partes.

[Q6] Forneça sua(s) resposta(s) e justificativa para pergunta.

Esse mecanismo ajuda a assegurar que o investimento não seja desperdiçado por x motivos, como : Incompetência, corrupção, falta de resultado.

A presença de Miles Stones é uma cobrança por etapas do Deep e importantíssima como forma de controle. E possibilidade de seguir com o representante ou não.

Engajamento assistido e com compromisso.

Sim, inclusive precisa ter metas dos entregaveis no projeto. Quando a parte 1 do projeto for entregue libera o dinheiro para fazer a parte 2. Se a parte 2 foi ruim, nao libera para a part 3.

Para uma melhor gestão, segurança e tudo o que englobam o ponto destacado.

Tem que ter auditoria e governança no processo.

Confiar no projeto que a pessoa vai apresentar e tomar cuidados contra fraudes

Sim, porque é uma forma de manter a segurança e confiabilidade de ambas as partes.


07. Caso a Constituição determine que o Orçamento da Blockchain Cardano inclua (selecione todas as opções aplicáveis):

(a) Um fundo de contingência para cobrir custos inesperados não especificados de outra forma no Orçamento Cardano.
(b) Um fundo de indenização para cobrir possíveis reclamações contra participantes da governança, como DReps e membros do Comitê Constitucional
(c) Fundos para cobrir custos administrativos do CC
(d) Nenhum destes

07. Caso a Constituição determine que o Orçamento da Blockchain Cardano inclua

Existem alguns aspectos do ecossistema Cardano que podem ser considerados tão importantes que queremos garantir a disponibilidade de fundos, obrigando o orçamento de Cardano a considerá-los.

07. Caso a Constituição determine que o Orçamento da Blockchain Cardano inclua (selecione todas as opções aplicáveis):



(a) Um fundo de contingência para cobrir custos inesperados não especificados de outra forma no Orçamento Cardano.

(b) Um fundo de indenização para cobrir possíveis reclamações contra participantes da governança, como DReps e membros do Comitê Constitucional

Fundos para cobrir custos administrativos do CC

(d) Nenhum destes

07. Caso a Constituição determine que o Orçamento da Blockchain Cardano inclua (selecione todas as opções aplicáveis):

Nenhuma das alternativas estava muito clara ou consistente.

A letra a pode englobar todas abaixo, então isso poderia já ser colocado num planejamento geral.

Não concordamos com a opção A, pois ela está falando de custos não especificados e isso abriria margem para aprovar recursos de maneira indevida e não planejada.

A letra C já deveria estar inclusa no orçamento anual. O custo administrativo é anual!

O item c deveria fazer parte do orçamento total, o item b deveria fazer parte do a, e o item a deveria ser especificado quando seu fundo for utilizado

Agora eu colocsria uma letra e englobando toas as opções

Bom eu acho que deveria ter um fundo de investimento por causa de alguma coisa inesperado acontecer. Porque nem sempre a gente pensa em tudo

Os fundos de emergenciais são importantes para ajudar na resiliência da rede. Enquanto, outros custos são parte inerente aos riscos de sucesso da rede.

07. Caso a Constituição determine que o Orçamento da Blockchain Cardano inclua (selecione todas as opções aplicáveis):

Bom, todas as questões foram meio vagas, a mais coerente seria a letra "A" porém por uma palavra não foi a resposta definitiva, ao ler as restantes fiquei por marcar a última alternativa!

Tudo deveri fazer parte de 1 fundo. E precisa ser bem justificado para um projeto ter retificação para reajuste de valor para ativar o fundo. Precisa sim existir o fundo.

Um fundo tão importante quanto qualquer outro, que pide ter funções variadas e remanejadas. Possibilita uma sobrevida em situações inesperadas e com ação rápida.

Todas as acima sao importantes mas devem ser detalhadas e esmiuçadas.

Rubricas estão planejadas e são factíveis. Estimativas são dinâmicas e os recursos a elas designados formam vorpo para atender necessidades nao planejadas durante um projeto.

A letra B já deveria estar inclusa em um fundo global de recursos. Portando escolhemos que Nenhuma das alternativas se adequa ao perguntado.

Escolha de letra C, justamente por ser uma opção que deveria estar no orçamento independente de qualquer organização. Letra A é ambígua por ser um custo não especificado.

A. Por mais que sejam não especificados, vão ser usados somente entre o time, sendo uma boa forma de custear alguma emergência.

07. Caso a Constituição determine que o Orçamento da Blockchain Cardano inclua (selecione todas as opções aplicáveis):

É necessário que haja um fundo para riscos, questões administrativas e indenizações.

07a. Contingência/Indenização: Como funcionaria? Para quem? Quem administraria? Nenhum: Você acha que o financiamento deveria ser obrigatório pela Co

Não acho interessante a indenização. Pois ela já deveria estar prevista no orçamento caso seja utilizado. Deveria ser discutido quem teria acesso a indenização e também quem aprovaria a utilização.

O CC deveria gerir os fundos em caso de situações emergenciais. Chancelados pelos DReps.

Indenizações para casos onde pessoas perderam suas ADAs por má fé de outros.

Deveria ficar nas mãos da CC

A contingência pode ser pre estabelecida em texto e dividida em niveis de crise. As ações sucedem os níveis e dreps podem votar de forma emergencial

Quem administraria seria uma parte o comitê e uma parte os representantes. Em casos específicos de flutuação de valor para projetos, deveria ser mais dinâmico em contar a diferença de valor

Para danos visíveis independente do grau ou situação independente do setor, pessoa, departamento/ Administrativo.

Deveria existir um mecanismo de avaliação caso a caso com rigor para que um todo não vire uma torneira aberta dando margem a mal feitos e desperdício do Tesouro levando a Cardano a falência

07a. Contingência/Indenização: Como funcionaria? Para quem? Quem administraria? Nenhum: Você acha que o financiamento deveria ser obrigatório pela Co

A indenização já deveria fazer parte do fundo emergencial

Funcionaria como uma compensação mais amplas, um suporte financeiro gerido pelo CC.

A administração deveria ficar por conta da CC

Deveria ter uma Indenização obrigatória para toda a equipe de forma geral.

O investimento vai ser administrado pelo o financeiro do projeto.

Deveria estar presente no orçamento para gastos imprevistos e especificados

Deveria estar presente no orçamento para gastos imprevistos e especificados.

Eleição de delegados 

Estamos prestes a começar o processo de votação para os Candidatos à Convenção Constitucional. Será seu trabalho como Participantes do Workshop escolher um Delegado e um Suplente para viajar para Buenos Aires, Argentina, em dezembro para representar nosso grupo e esta região na Convenção Constitucional de Cardano. Os participantes que compareceram à maioria do workshop de hoje estão qualificados para votar.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Houve alguma opinião que você não teve a oportunidade de compartilhar?

Espaço ótimo e um bom entretenimento

Gostei muito do espaço, local super bacana. Foi ótimo o evento, o Hub é sensacional! A recepção foi ótima!

Excelente WS. Estão de parabéns pela organização. E o ambiente foi muito agradável.

Excelente recepção. Dinâmicas intelgentes. Ótimas interaçoes e comida mt boa.!

Gostei do ambiente e do espaço de conversa e troca de ideias.

Educação será sempre a resposta para todas os nossos questionamentos.

Tudo muito incrível, muito conhecimento compartilhado e coisas boas absorvidas.

Espaço incrível, comida boa, pessoas maravilhosas, debates saudáveis

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Houve alguma opinião que você não teve a oportunidade de compartilhar?

Ambiente incrível, recepção maravilhosa , evento de muito acolhimento e aprendizado! Saí daqui com outra visão de mundo em muitas áreas!

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Quais pontos positivos + (o que funcionou bem) e deltas – (o que você mudaria) você tem para o workshop?

Tudo maravilhoso e por mais eventos assim. A cooperação com o Node Hub foi incrível, local impressionante, infraestrutura excelente e boa comida!

Gostei muito da dinâmica e interações, achei o tempo adequado e foi super proveitoso. Acho que faria em um dia da semana ao invés de fim de semana.

Nada a reclamar, é um ambiente ótimo!

Tudo funcionou bem, não mudaria nada.

Raciocinar em grupo: fragmentos são encaixes soltos, porém se encontram e neste momento se faz a Blockchain.

A dinamica e organização foi muito bem feita, o evento superou minhas expectativas e não tenho do que reclamar :)

Espaço ótimo, workshop muito bom, ótimas perguntas.

Ambiente ótimo, ótima dinâmica!!

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Quais pontos positivos + (o que funcionou bem) e deltas – (o que você mudaria) você tem para o workshop?

Muito bom ! Nada a reclamar. ❤️❤️

Pontos positivos: conversas, opniões, debates e decisões Saudáveis 🥰 only.

😃👍

Ponto positivo eu gostei do conteúdo eu não tinha conhecido a Cardano, mas gostei muito de conhecer e gostaria de continuar conhecendo ainda mais. Pontos negativos não tem!! Está tudo perfeito


Dinâmica boa, ambiente mt agradável, discussões inteligentes e instigantes

Futuro

Magicoin

Qual palavra ou frase resume melhor os principais aprendizados do workshop?

20 responses



Ótimo trabalho! Obrigada 👏🏻👏🏼👏🏽👏🏾👏🏿

Hora de uma foto de grupo

📷

Mentimeter

WELCOME!

Cardano Saint-Julien en Genevois Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

What we hope to achieve or get out of todays experience

Listen to the views of others

Connection with governance enthusiasts and make history

Have fun

getting a community sense check on the current level of maturity of the draft constitution and see if it aligns with my sentiment.

Good deliberations on the constitution. Here to learn. That's all.

i just really love governance

Informed discussions on shaping a network constitution.

Ensure we focus on constitutionality and not administration. Be precise enough to reduce room for interpretation without getting too operational

What we hope to achieve or get out of todays experience

Critical discussion over the Constitution

To learn and listen

A constitution that set the ground for successful Cardano GOvernance

Contribute to establishing a sound and resilient decentralised governance framework for the Cardano ecosystem

Connect with like-minded individuals and hear their insights on the Cardano draft constituion

Think, make friends, support Cardano

Participate in the foundation of the Cardano ecosystem going forward, and hopefully help make it flexible and avoid costly or frustrating mistakes that might be hard to fix

Build a virtual nation

What we hope to achieve or get out of todays experience

A collaborative work, dive into some key topics, connect to people. Get inspired. Learn and contribute.

rocks!

Have a rounded vision of the community members on the current state of Cardano's constitution

Understand the thought process and the extend of ambition for the formulation of the constitution

Building an exciting future for the people coming after us

Mentimeter

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules

Mentimeter

Let's get started 🚀

WORKSHOP FORMAT: 1-2-4-ALL

1) 5 Mins - Alone: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair up and Share your notes with a partner.
3) 10 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input. note
4) 10 Min -All debrief
5) 5 Min -Add to Menti.com

50 MINS - Voting Model

[1] Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?

The tenets arose to define limits to how Cardano Blockchain development can progress so that the ecosystem principles are not violated. If the community feels that the tenets do not uphold the principles of the Cardano community, a tension between development work and the constitution could develop


Mentimeter

Why Question 01 is important:

The tenets arose to define limits to how Cardano Blockchain development can progress so that the ecosystem principles are not violated. If the community feels that the tenets do not uphold the principles of the Cardano community, a tension between development work and the constitution could develop.

Mentimeter

Q1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?



Voting model

1a. Please provide your answer (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1.

https://docs.google.com/document/d/193nExc-0dcuW4Fz-0EQUOq442jHWCoFkRP1BAOBmdwQ/edit?usp=sharing

THE cardano ethos does not exist as a static thing and is rather a ever evolving phenomena, the current draft does not reflect the constant evolution of the cardano ethos

Too precise technical jargon. This should be reworked into a principles based section

Too precise technical jargon. This should be reworked into a principles based section

Better definitions of terms

The tenets reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain to some extent, but fails to separate the Blockchain, the Community, and the structure fails to define what is relevant to SPOs, CC, Dreps, devs

1.- Too much detail, way too much 2.- Should state the supremacy of the constitution 3.- Definitions. Cardano blockchain used in many different ways (infrastructure, community, etc).

1. Need definitions—who is the Cardano Blockchain, who is a member of the community 2. No/vague purpose 3. Need prioritization 4. Legal and financial sustainability first

Voting model

1a. Please provide your answer (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1.

Referring e.g to "partnerchains" is a red flag as it refers to a specific product

There is no coherent structure to the text. Some terms can be very problematic, such as "unreasonably" etc. Lacking definitions. Corrections and amendments: https://docs.google.com/document/d/193nEx

The article is not properly drafted from a legal perspective. The terminology is often ambiguous. It lacks a logical structure and a hierarchy of the principles.

The section is too wordy, lacks clear definitions, lacks priority. missing the legal jurisdiction, what is "Cardano Blockchain"? it is an object and not a subject. Active ethical enforcement missing.

Missing definitions. Order of text should be revised. Some sentences put together not connected. Promote partner chains should be here?

We need more definitions of key terms. The tenets should have a short memorable title that we can refer to or be numbered. We should not mention specific products like partner chains

The tenants reflect the Cardano ethos in spirit, however, they are written in terms which are not clearly defined and leave too much for interpretation

Defining Cardano community/ecosystem (subject) vs blockchain (object), and using that consistently

Voting model

1a. Please provide your answer (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1.

3. Tenets should be more prinicple-based oriented, instead of specific technical points. Supremacy of Constitution should be established, and language should be non-ambiguous (e.g collective desire)

The intentions are generally justified but not clear enough. Some clauses have subjective interpretations with words such as 'unnecessary'. The whole thing needs to be more concise and clear.

It looks a little random and unstructured for such an important document. The basic principles and the definitions are poorly defined. The order is lacking. The level of specificity varies dramatic...

Unsure of the role of Cardano community's citizen (vs. users, vs. ADA holders).

The tenets are also contradictory in many aspects and lacks clear definitions of concepts introduced and does not clarify what should be prioritized more than the other

(Strongly disagree) 6. Need less words, less technical specifics (that's for the SPOs) 7. The last paragraph is too care-bear fluffy. Don't write things you don't intend to do

No structure, problematic wording (unreasonably), lack of topics like conflict resolution. https://docs.google.com/document/d/193nExc-0dcuW4Fz-0EQUOq442jHWCoFkRP1BAOBmdwQ/edit?usp=sharing

all animals are equal but some animals are more equal than others (if you talk about one ada one vote).

Voting model

1a. Please provide your answer (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1.

The article shall include explicit referees to principles such as equitable access and use of the Cardano blockchains as well as transparency and verifibility.

Some statements are overly specific while other are very generic and abstract

Get IOG products (partner chains) and underlying tech (multi-resource consensus) out of a Cardano constitution. Certainly not promoting these, rather promoting INTEROPERABILITY

The definition of community and citizen are not clear

This document should be reviewed by lawyers for clarity of thought

(Strongly disagree) overall this needs to be more strategic and broad to allow the CC to flexibly (but not too flexibly) preserve the Cardano Blockchain for the Cardano community

Unclear on meaning of 'equal Cardano user treatment' vs. community fair reward being limited to SOP & DReps only.

Lack of definition. Revise text order. Lack of connection between some text. Supremacy of the doc. Mention key principles in a short way.

Voting model

1a. Please provide your answer (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1.

Using too many vague words, lack of definitions, lack of structure, too technical for a constitution

Inconsistency of use of: ada users (tenets), ada holders (rest of doc)

Access to stable currency is always a dependency to be clarified.

The principles embodied in this article shall prevent the "dictatorship" of the majority by establishing some principles that are not derogabile.

Value of the community for new comers / people wanting to join.

Mentimeter

Break ☕

50 MINS - Voting Model

Q2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano blockchain ecosystem?

If no, provide your reasoning. If yes, provide your reasoning. Consider: Should this new tenet include an absolute cap on the circulating supply of ada?

The tenets arose to define limits to how Cardano Blockchain development can progress so that the ecosystem principles are not violated. If the community feels that the tenets do not uphold the principles of the Cardano community, a tension between development work and the constitution could develop


Mentimeter

Why Question 02 is important:

This question stems from the importance of ada to the network's operation. Because it is so foundational, it may be worth codifying as a tenet, and may be done so in many different ways. The example on the cap to circulating ada is here because it has already been of interest to the community. We distinguish between the total ada supply and circulating ada, recognizing that in the future, there may be ada locked in places that take it out of circulation.

Mentimeter

Q2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem?



Please provide your answer and rationale for Q2. If you answered yes, consider if this new tenet should include a cap on circulating supply of ada

Sustainability definition is important: continuation of purpose, survival

The purpose of the constitutional committee should be to ensure the survival of the Cardano Blockchain, including when that survival conflicts with the Cardano community and external parties.

The Cardano Blockchain ecosystem must prioritize efficient use of resources, including financial resources, to ensure long-term sustainability.

It should be included with in the current tenets we defined in the corrected version "Resource Efficiency and Financial Sustainability"

https://docs.google.com/document/d/193nExc-0dcuW4Fz-0EQUOq442jHWCoFkRP1BAOBmdwQ/edit?usp=sharing See Section: Resource Efficiency and Financial Sustainability

Survival of the Cardano Blockchain should include continued pursuit of the purpose of the Cardano Blockchain, not mirror existence.

Any resource expenditure should align with the principles of efficiency and effectiveness.

The conditions to change parameters like treasury income from transaction fees or total supply etc. should clearly be defined because they will always be challenged by aggressive spenders.

Please provide your answer and rationale for Q2. If you answered yes, consider if this new tenet should include a cap on circulating supply of ada

Any proposal to modify the total circulating supply of ADA must be decided through a defined transparent, on-chain governance framework.

We should address that if the circulation supply should be changed it needs a clear community vote process. There should be a clear indication to the "minimum" budget vs overspend.

The treasury system and associated revenue streams, such as transaction fees, should be structured to support long-term operational needs without imposing undue burdens.

There should be a vague mention of it, so as to not overload the constitution. However financial sustainability should include a combination of SPO incentives and treasury.Hard cap supply is debatable

The elements of survival include legal, regulatory, financial, governance, operational, and other elements. So if the CC detects a situation that will lead to the death of the ecosystem, it must act

Yep, the constitution ahould include the fix supply if 45b Ada

Yes. Cardano Treasury should be explicitly protected to ensure financial stability, ensuring treasury burning is unconstitutional. Capped supply not included, but on-chain process if it needs change.

We need to ensure financial sustainability so Cardano can continue to fulfill the other tenets and values

Mentimeter

Please provide your answer and rationale for Q2. If you answered yes, consider if this new tenet should include a cap on circulating supply of ada

Conversely, if something is not a matter of survival of the ecosystem, the cc should not be involved, instead the community should establish the groups and initiatives that will allow Cardano to grow

Financial sustainability is required for the ecosystem to continue fulfilling its purpose (survive) in the long run, the tenants should address it. It is probably not necessary to specify a supply cap

Yes. Again need more clear definitions. More contribution opportunities to keep the sustainability of the network. Full transparency. Disclosure of how funds are used.

What is financial sustainability? It has to with the survival of the ecosystem. What is survival? The capacity of the ecosystem to fulfil its essential and core principles.

Financial sustainability is a strategy in the service of the long term servival of the organisation. The tenents should focus on the core principles that determine what the "survival" actually means

Define sustainability: practice that ensures a long term survival. Prioritise tenets and parameters that define sustainability

Yes, a financial sustainability tenet is needed to ensure the longevity of the platform (also reassuring / encouraging newcomers).

Financial sustainability is fiscal prudence

Mentimeter

Please provide your answer and rationale for Q2. If you answered yes, consider if this new tenet should include a cap on circulating supply of ada

Adressing financial sustainability

Contributions by the Cardano community should be fairly recognized (recognition should be fully transparent), SPOs and DReps, but also community members should be rewarded for their contributions.

No, I don't believe a tenet should include a cap on circulating supply of ADA. What it should include is a behavioral guidance on when to set a cap, why (conditions) & how (limitations).

The community and external experts should be incentivized and recognized through multiple funding and reward sharing mechanisms to ensure sustainable development of tooling and infrastructure.

Lunch Break



Mentimeter

50 MINS - Voting Model

Q7. Discuss your thoughts on if the Constitution should mandate that budgets include a contingency fund, indemnity fund, and CC admin fund

[Pertains to: Article III Section 8, Article VI.]


Mentimeter

Why Question 07 is important:

There are some aspects of the Cardano ecosystem that may be deemed to be so important that we want to guarantee fund availability by mandating the Cardano budget must account for it.

5 Min

Q7 Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include (select all that apply):



07a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d) and rationale for Q7. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each item

Necessary for the sustainability of the ecosystem

This is not a constitutional question, it's operational

This is not a constitutional question, it's operational

The constitution should provide for the existence of a budget allocation for contingency and indemnity purposes but it shall not specify the amount of such allocation.

The constitution should include a principle that there must be funds provided for the mentioned aspects, but how and how much should be part of seperate document and not in the constitution.

A combined reserves fund might exist but there is a risk of creating a new attack vector. A malicious actor can design situations just to drain the specific fund.

Someone needs to ensure Cardano's survival. Either CC admin must be budgeted, or CC must be able to mandate funding for its activity (including for unexpected costs)

Constitutionally, there should be more clear guidelines on attributing responsibility

07a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d) and rationale for Q7. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each item

Having these things required in the budget is important. The actual amounts and process does not need to be in the constitution.

Decisions over budget allocations, including but not limited to contingency funds, indemnity funds, or administrative funds and treasury funds must be approved according to the governance framework

A) the rosk of not having a contingency fund is higher than having one. B) the risk of not enabling funds for admin costs is higher than enabling funds

There is a need for a Roles section in the constitution that includes not only which roles we have but also how we selected them and if they have a time limit.

CC members shall be compensated for their role and CC shall have an operational budget to cover its expenses.

Contingency fund and an indemnity funds should be included, administration n could be part or f the budget. CC members will need protection.

The constitution can/ should include compensation but not define the processes

There are potential claims towards "Cardano Blockchain" and this needs to be covered by the budget. It can be backed by an insurance policy on the market but we should gather for these evantualities.

07a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d) and rationale for Q7. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each item

There needs to be a body that evaluates risk and mandates actions (like provisions or insurance) for those risks. This can be the CC or another constitutional body.

We cannot rely on volunteerism to ensure the success of Cardano for these admin support roles so the CC admin needs to be funded.

abc. Cardano's budget should take into consideration the worst-case scenarios and any legal battle from regulatory entities. Budget should not be an exact number, but should be included in the Consti

Contingency and indemnity funds are essential because the community perceived risk, and admin funds goal is bro compensate those that are oiling the whole machinery

Every corporation has a budget for administration and legal matters, so should Cardano. However, the budgets should be well defined with a small buffer for emergencies. Surpluses should be returned.

A/b/c. I believe all suggested topics are relevant. Would like to include 1 or 2 topics more: 1. Community Growth fund, 2. Shareholder dividends fund (ie. Or equivalent reward mechanism for all users)

Payment for governance officials should be made otherwise network loses social capital. Should it be constitutional? I think the more important aspect is to regulate the potential COI of gov workers

Indemnity requires us to define the subject. The Cardano blockchain is an object and can't be sued. Who is needing protection for indemnity.

07a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d) and rationale for Q7. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each item

There needs to be a definition of how Cardano Blockchain will deal with systemic ecosystem risk (protect members) vs individual misconduct (protect the ecosystem)

In general, someone needs to be able to mandate survival actions and be able to effectively freeze further actions if survival actions are not taken

Mentimeter

Break ☕

Mentimeter

30 MINS - Delegated representatives (DReps)

[Q8] Discuss whether a detailed process for developing and approving the Cardano Budget should be specified in the Constitution. [Article III - sec8]

The Cardano community is expected to propose, not less than on an annual basis, a budget for the ongoing maintenance and future development of the Cardano Blockchain.


Mentimeter

Why Question 08 is important:

Because the process of creating a single Cardano Budget will be new, it is uncertain how it will work, and what influences will be at play. As a result, some details may or may not be desirable to mandate to ensure the process keeps the needs of the ecosystem paramount.

30 MINS - Delegated representatives (DReps)

Q8: Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process?



8a. Please provide your answer and rationale. If Yes, consider: Should the Constitution identify how the Cardano Budget will be administered?...

The framework should establish the annual spending limits for all budgets and define the auditing process to ensure transparency and accountability.

Review 7.3 here: https://docs.google.com/document/d/193nExc-0dcuW4Fz-0EQUOq442jHWCoFkRP1BAOBmdwQ/edit

The Constitution should state the criteria and the principles according to which the budget shall be drafted.

The constitution should not detail the process, but speak about Transparency -> documentation -> audit

Concise and clear budget definition and auditing standards are enough, no need for a detailed process explanation on the constitution itself.

The constitution should be strategic and static. including a process would kind of require continuous re-work.

The constitution should only focus on strategic objectives, not on detailed execution of such objectives.

The framework should establish the annual spending limits for all budgets and define the auditing process to ensure transparency and accountability.

8a. Please provide your answer and rationale. If Yes, consider: Should the Constitution identify how the Cardano Budget will be administered?...

It should not specify the process but the bodies responsible for the process.

It should include timelines, bodies responsible, minimum content, and the approval process. It should have an escalation process, especially if the CC funding is at risk.

Specific details should be left out to the Budget committee. A max limit and audit process should be specified on the financial stability framework, and the budget should adhere to it

In case more details are added they should address the meta-process (governance) and not over describe existing processes.

No, but we need an audit process to validate the funds were used well. The budget should also include KPIs or objectives so we can validate against intent

Setting a budget with some parameters should be included, the process of it is more operative. Audit process should be applied as well.

Yes, it needs to describe 'who does what', and detail the approvals process. With a decentralized / general-vote focus in mind (reflecting the desires of the Cardano community).

No but we need an independent audit committee

Mentimeter

8a. Please provide your answer and rationale. If Yes, consider: Should the Constitution identify how the Cardano Budget will be administered?...

No additional detail, but add a small provision that "on a yearly basis, the Cardano budget process should be preceded by an audit process"

Details of a process should not be included in the Constitution

The constitution should state that the annual budget cannot exceed a certain percentage of the total treasury to ensure financial sustainability.

Independent audit structure and process

The ecosystem should remain competitive and agile in the market. The constitution should state that there should be a defined budget with suggestions, but the budget should be revised every year.

The Constitution shouldn't have these parameters. The budgets should be open for changes. The constitution could refer to a framework, that should be explained and contained in the yearly budget.

When approving the new budget, an audit on the previous budget shall be made available to the community. The audit shall assess if the prepaid budget was spent in accordance with KPIs.

Mentimeter

Break ☕

Mentimeter

Delegated representatives (DReps)

Q18: We have experience electing members to an interim CC but, going forward, the Constitution is silent as to the process for electing future members


The community may wish to experiment with different approaches to electing CC members. Alternatively, the community may wish to specify a specific set of criteria for those elections.

Mentimeter

Why Question 18 is important:

The Constitutional Committee has a vital role in enforcing the constitution. If the process of electing the members becomes compromised, the constitution could be undermined by members who are non-serious, unqualified or intentionally hiding their identity and intentions. This question asks if there are some requirements that are clear and essential enough to enshrine now, or alternately if it should be open so the community can adjust more dynamically as they learn across election cycles.

Q18: Should the Constitution include additional details related to the election of CC members?



18a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 18

READ Governance Roles and Responsibilities https://docs.google.com/document/d/193nExc-0dcuW4Fz-0EQUOq442jHWCoFkRP1BAOBmdwQ/edit

Listen to

Upvote proposal

The CC is the main body of the Constitution it needs to have clear legal statutes.

There should be some criteria to qualify for the CC

The CC is the ultimate guardrail, if it is compromised in any way - the constitution is worthless

Elections can be experimental, not extensively detailed. The real problem is how you deal with the corruption OR how you protect the elected people against attacks. How to elect people can be flexible

Any body linked to the constitution's function needs to be defined and protected by the constitution—CC and SPOs specifically, DReps less so, committees least

18a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 18

Yes. CC section should include election process and terms.

Guard rails for limits of experimentation.

The should be a term limiting the duration in office for CC Memebrs.

Separate voting processes and tools to make them as independent of the CC or anyone related to it!

Keep things open for alternative methods to be experimented.

Yes. Need to avoid role accumulation (ie. Prevent conflict of interest). Ensure independence of CC members decision making (ie. Legal protection).

Yes - because it is essential to cover the basis. CC seats are the heart of the enforcement.

Just like the base rules that a person has to comply to run for president, the Cardano constitution should also define the conditions to run as a CC member.

Mentimeter

18a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 18

Yes. Need to expect corruption and adjust. Need to improve processes, we are still early

Yes the processes should be defined

It should describe parameters on who can be elected, referring to age, expertise, etc.

Mentimeter

Break ☕

Mentimeter

Delegate Election 

Mentimeter

Introduction to Voting

"We are about to begin the process of voting for Constitutional Convention Candidates. It will be your job as Workshop Participants to choose a Delegate and an Alternate to travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina, this December, to represent our group and this region at the Cardano Constitutional Convention.

Participants who attended a majority of today's workshop are eligible to vote. When you receive your ballots please write down your name and email address so that we can validate votes in case of an audit. You will then have a chance to write up to 5 names of individuals that you feel would represent us well at the Constitutional Convention. Each candidate you list will benefit from your vote. The top name on your list will receive 5 points, the second name will receive 4 points, the

Mentimeter

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

By running as a candidate to become a Constitutional delegate or alternate, you are agreeing that if elected, you will fulfill these duties. Duties marked with an asterisk are NOT applicable to the Alternate.

Agree to the Code of Conduct
You will represent your constituents and the Cardano Community's best interests, rather than promoting any personal agenda.
Your constituents are the Cardano Community members who attended the workshop that elected you, the Cardano community at-large in your region, and any Cardano Community members who reach out to you to represent their needs in some way.
You will not do anything to harm other attendees at the Cardano Constitutional Convention
You agree to follow the Intersect code of conduct

Mentimeter

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

Before the Constitutional Convention

*Contact the Alternate and agree how to support each other.
Ex) work together to review constituent feedback.
Attend an online delegate synthesis workshop where you meet other delegates and share your region's constitution feedback.

*Provide your name and contact information publicly for constituents to contact you

*Review constituent feedback and create a summary
Complete Constitutional Delegate training ahead of travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina

Obtain visas and flights necessary to arrive in Argentina for the first week of December 2024. Flight, accommodation, transfers and food costs during the event will be covered for Constitutional Delegates and Alternates selected at Constitutional Workshops.

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

At the Constitutional Convention


Attend all scheduled sessions (3 days)
*Vote on whether to approve the Cardano Constitution

After the Constitutional Convention

Optionally: Become a DRep to vote on-chain to ratify the approved constitution
Optionally: Encourage the DReps and Cardano Community to vote on the approved constitution

Mentimeter




constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/auth/signin
Mentimeter
INTERSECT
Cardano Workshop Login
Enter your email to log in or create an account.
Email
name@example.com
We'll send a magic link to this email.
Send Magic Link

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

Info actions should be one person one vote

Independence challenges of the individual roles vs participation in the intersect committees i.e. Budget, Roadmap

We need to add: Conflict Resolution - point 9 Governance Roles and Responsibilities- section https://docs.google.com/document/d/193nExc-0dcuW4Fz-0EQUOq442jHWCoFkRP1BAOBmdwQ/edit

There are WAY MORE important things to discuss like COI (will be one of the main discussions for a long time) and voter (DRep) incentivization (which is extremely problematic).

Following parts are lacking in the constitution: - conflict of interest/seperation of power/check & balances - conflict resultion

Keeping lines of comms open to the community to provide input post workshops

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s (worked well) and deltas (could be improved) do you have for the workshop?

It was an amazing workshop.

Overall it was good. Questions could have been improved (more open, higher level) to get better quality feedback

+the location was amazing

Discussions were insightful. App didn't work correctly for voting, but for the discussion topics was working well.

The workshop was AMAZING but it will be really good to have a rapporteur that is taking notes of the different conversations to have the overview at the end.

Location and hosting was great

-we need more time! This went too fast

Very good crowd. It was really fun.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s (worked well) and deltas (could be improved) do you have for the workshop?

Everything was awesome, especially the event location, hospitality, and food. The extra bureaucracy in the election process was an overkill.

Amazing host, amazing food, amazing location

Amazing group, really good discussions.

+workflow +Timing - casting votes

The virtual facilitator was really effective with the live hosts, but some issues when hosts are participating

5 questions were too much

Let do this more regularly

Awesome mix of participants, cosy familiar environment.. more freedom and flexibility to adjust imposed workshop agenda & process

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s (worked well) and deltas (could be improved) do you have for the workshop?

were great.

Great organization, location, moderation, and hosts. Also loved the insightful conversations. Thank you very much!!!

Paper backup was totally needed! Thanks for the alternative method being prepared

Great ambiance! Fun sessions, and amazing hosts!!!

+ I liked the use of the tools (Menti) vs previous workshops with Miro. + Well prepared with key questions to focus. + Amazing host - Maybe use questions from other chapters in the constitution

Very well organized, loved the concept of escalating group sizes for discussions

Having some people over 3 days was amazing

Do we get NFTs for participating?

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s (worked well) and deltas (could be improved) do you have for the workshop?

- input from other workshops would have been interesting

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

27 responses



Mentimeter

Great work! 🙌

WELCOME!

Cardano San Diego Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules



Let's get started 🚀

15 MINS - Financial Sustainability

Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....

We're here for educational purposes to understand the space and governance.

We found a mutual desire to leverage this technology to create a paradigm shift in the way humanity governs itself.

Some work in the space, but are enthusiastic to participate in this workshop.

Change systems. Exploratory. Humor among members. Open-minded discussion. Founding fathers. Evolutions of Government. Community. Representation. Various levels of technical background.

Exploration, passion, interest in progressing and evolving systems, philosophical foundations, transparency

Revolution

Some of the common topics we noticed focused on the importance of on-chain governance, and need for participation. Focusing on sustainability we believe cardano serves as sustainable way to operate.

- Should be separate from the Constitution - CoC would slow things down and cause unnecessary drama - This could have potential to put pressure on SPOs

15 MINS - Financial Sustainability

Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....

We want to optimize for freedom, decentralization, and trust... but at the same time we do not want to handicapping SPOs who are helping to grow the chain.

1-2-4-ALL

Discussion Process

1) Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone. (5 min)
2) Pair: Share your notes with a partner. (10 min)
3) Four: Generate key themes and capture on Menti. (15 min)
4) Whole Group: Debrief on key themes, points of intersection, and points of differences. (15 min)
5) Vote: multiple choice and reasoning (10 min)

15 MINS - Stake Pool Operators

16. What requirements, if any, should the Constitution specify for SPO codes of conduct (select all that apply):

(a) SPOs are expected to implement codes of conduct.

(b) SPO codes of conduct should be on-chain.

(c) The Constitutional Committee should determine whether SPO code(s) of conduct are consistent with the Constitution.

(d) Other guidelines for SPO codes of conduct.

(e) None.

15 MINS - STAKE POOL OPERATORS

Q. 16 Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....

One theme that became present, was the need for more clarity, and varying opinions on what we should consider constitutional.

A SPO code of conduct ought to be off chain, not on-chain, and SPOs primary interest should be in technicals. Even technical open source projects have a CoC, but its not automated.

No codes of conduct, creates drama and witch hunts. SPOs should focus on their constituents wants above their own.

Most of the party thinks that enforceability is tricky and shouldn't be in the constitution/law. Violations of Code-of-Conduct "Disclosures"/Claims can be community driven .

- could be separate from the constitution - could adversely affect SOPs

Use of social layer and re-delegation is option to critique or punish violation of codes.

If SPOs don't follow their peoples wishes they will leave their SPOs for a different SPO.

Concerns about Large Ada Holders like exchanges and swinging votes.

15 MINS - STAKE POOL OPERATORS


Q. 16 Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....

Use of opt-in "Best Practices" / Standards.

Allow of programmatic calculation of a code-of-conduct scores*/status.

i believe that the SPO should follow guidelines as most operating companies do. The operation of the stake pools effects all stakeholders on the cardano blockchain thus to ensure the effectiveness of

16. What requirements, if any, should the Constitution specify for SPO codes of conduct (select all that apply):



(a) SPOs are expected to implement codes of conduct.

(b) SPO codes of conduct should be on-chain.

(c) The Constitutional Committee should determine whether SPO code(s) of conduct are consistent with the Constitution.

(d) Other guidelines for SPO codes of conduct.

(e) None.

5 MINS - Stake Pool Operators

16a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 16.

E. Because a code of conduct should not be in the constitution for SPOs. It is unnecessary.

E. Liquid delegation already makes SPO conduct a trivial matter for their delegates to decide. If you have delegation, you are "moral", at least to your delegators

a, all free and open source software guide themselves by a CoC, a code of ethics. CC should focus solely on the constitution, operators ought to figure out how they should operate

I believe that the SPO should follow guidelines as most operating companies do. The operation of the stake pools effects all stakeholders on the Cardano and should be void of bias.

E. Codes of conduct should be community driven and not in the constitution.

Liquid delegation allows delegators to align with SPOs that already behave in a way (conduct) that they (delegator) approves of. No further enforcement or definition needed

Constitution should not include a CoC, constitution should be specific to rights. SPOs need a CoC, off chain, for a guiding light of ethics. To be determined amongst SPOs and Dreps

A mandated coc could be implemented at a later time as more understanding and involvement increases. There is a risky centralization of power with a mandated coc in a permissionless system.

5 MINS - Stake Pool Operators

16a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 16.

A. A code of conduct is desirable but it does come with additional questions about who determines the code of conduct

E. We should avoid enshrining or creating a "morality police" branch of the government that "enforces" and "judges" other actors subjectively

d. It appears that guidelines for SOPs should be separate from the constitution.

E because if any delegators have an issue with the ethics of their SPO they can simply un delegate.

General idea is that although code-of-conduct is a nice-to-have best practice, but inclusion in the constitution seems like overkill and hard to enforce.

D. I believe there should not be a code of conduct because the delegates can freely choose their spo if they dont agree with what a spo is doing.

E Spo's already are in check by their delegators and will lose delegations if not providing value Cardano is permissionless We don't want anyone telling spos what to do No oversight

I don't want to handicap SPOs and I want to optimize for freedom. We feel that delegators leaving an SPO should be sufficient to enforce code of conduct. Or it should be community driven and off chain

16a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 16.

d) if there is a code it should be off chain and OPT IN as a marketing tool. e) Preservation of permissionlessness and fluidity. Simply align incentives and SPOs will self regulate.

A single entity can control multiple SPO's and create their own rules . There needs to be encoded basic fundamental rules/guardrail.

(e) Enforcement should be a responsibility of the community and delegators, and may support certain codes of conduct, that would be off chain, and involve SPO's and/or delegators voluntarily

B

c. I believe that the SPO should follow guidelines as most operating companies do. The operation of the stake pools effects all stakeholders on the cardano and should avoid bias

(e) I like (e) where no code of conduct is needed. The blockchain is permissionless and should be self-governing (b) If a code of conduct is necessary, it should be enforced on-chain in a trustless

Codes-of-conduct can be posted on chain for visibility and history edits.

A - Allows for free market. Delegators are responsible to do their own research & choose to support SPOs that align with their interests & values.

16a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 16.

(c) It makes sense for checks and balances to have a different body verify the code of conduct

A.) code of conduct of spo operators should be sufficient, hence the trust in delegation

E. I want people to have options/freedom/flexibility whenever possible and to be enforced by feedback of the delegators. It matches the ethos of expressing confidence rather than overpowering.

b) programmatic verification and scoring of code-of-conduct would be useful.

e) Community/social layer enforcement prefered.

(e) cont. Essentially organizations could create their own codes of conduct, and SPO's can opt into them either to collaborate, or to promote their stake pool following certain conduct.

(e) cont. Enforceability should be done by the community, and not on a protocol level. Also it is not within the scope of the constitution.

Break ☕

17. Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include.

The Constitutional Guardrails specify a minimum and maximum size for the CC and minimum and maximum term lengths but does not otherwise address details on the composition of the CC.
Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include:

a) How the # of CC members are determined

b) A fixed # of CC members (consistent with the Guardrails)

c) How term limits for CC members are determined

d) A fixed term limit for the CC members (consistent with the Guardrails)

Consider: What size or term length do you have in mind? Should these values be fixed, relational, or

15 MINS - CONSTITUTIONAL COMMITTEE

Q. 17 Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....

speaking with the group we find that we want more than one requirement, and looking towards a mix of all the options. Limited members, and how and why they were determined.

Complicated issues. Lots of debate and feelings.

Limits should be written and decided. What those are is complicated. Written in the number of members depending on growth.

(E Other) 7 cc but stay open if needed to add more or if it naturally evolves to add more. Two year staggered term to have some of the established cc to help show them the ropes.

Term limits minimum of 3 months is good but undecided if 3 years as a max is long enough. How many years a CC member can serve should be written in the constitution.

LFGOAT

pt1 The overarching theme for our group was that the constitution should describe "how" both sets of limits are determined, without specifically saying what the limit is. It's a bit too early to know

pt2. there has to be some flexibility in the near term while we are still figuring out what would work best. We also decided 3 years is too little time of a term limit for someone to get good at it

15 MINS - CONSTITUTIONAL COMMITTEE


Q. 17 Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....

pt3. but that there should definitely be some sort of cap, and that cap should not be determined by the counsel. We also discussed enforceability, and how people don't rename their group or person.

Whatever we decide, we must stand by our actions

A fixed number is important because when it comes to voting, people need to be easily able to assess a seat they are voting for. It is difficult to easily vote when one has to run though multiple ppl

17. *Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include:*



17a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 17.

The more information on how and who is added to the Committee is needed. Term limits allow for a new face and new ideas, and how that was determined who is eligible is just as important.

C. We should mandate staggered terms for members so we don't lose experience and knowledge on the committee

A. A fixed formula that computes how many CC members there should be determined by variable data that is assessed yearly.

Yes to guardrails, no on defining, before hand, term limits / seats. Let the ADA holders decide if the seat / term limit that entities campaign on make sense through an on-chain vote.

D.) As in current governments, set term limits

Term limits need to be clear.

E. Mandate that the (current) CC MUST conduct or facilitate the next election. Not doing so is grounds for a no confidence vote

It is too early to codify term limits and number of numbers. We should enshrine the method of determining these parameters. Ultimately that method should be in the hands of the spo's and the dreps

17a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 17.

a) transparency in reasoning or math mathematical function used to determine number members should be published

a/c/e the constitution needs a principled process to determine how many, and how long. It's too early to determine those limits. Also, the guardrail of 3 years seems too short. Forgot to vote on E, +1

We're in an experimental phase and I think is good to vote on therm limits and how many members... But we still need the flexibility to change these parameters in the future.

A,B,C,D because we should definitely add these details to the guardrails, even if we have yet to decide number of delegates & the limits on terms

B fixed number is important because when it comes to voting, people need to be easily able to assess a seat they are voting for. It is difficult to easily vote when one has to run though multiple ppl

(a) and (c) How CC members are determined and how term limits are determined is important to have in the constitution

B, D, E. Prefer to have a system that is fixed/reluctant to change unless there is a clear emergency. Want to avoid court packing & give confidence that the system isn't changing day to day.

D. 1 year is too short of a term and does not provide enough time to get good at the job and stagger election cycles

17a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 17.

C. A fixed max term limit should be listed that accounts for allowing experienced and new members to be elected to the CC.

a, c, and e| it's important to have transparency, so including the "hows" feels necessary. Other is important to ensure there's more discussion on the "identity" and how to navigate multiple terms

c) transparency in reasoning of term limits should be published

D) because Diane Feinstein

E. 7 cc but stay open if needed to add more or if it naturally evolves to add more. Two year staggered term to have some of the established cc to help the newly added CC the ropes.

D. A term should be decided, but longer than 3 years should be considered so that experienced members are leading the charge.

E. Methods should generally define a philosophy as opposed to prescribing a specific approach, which would be better outlined in a subsidiary document. Consider: emerging methods and social dynamics

d) I support fixed term limits with expiration, re-election, and incumbents

17a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 17.

(d) Fixed term limits make sense with rules around re-election

b) a set of fixed number of delegates should be sufficient. Staggering date/period of serving can help stability and maturity

A B C D - Liked staggered limits for committee members idea - Fixed number of members leaves less room for manipulation - Should be term limits but 3 yrs may be too short

Yes

Break ☕

18. Should the Constitution include additional details related to the election of CC members?

We now have experience electing members to an interim CC but, going forward, the Constitution is silent as to the process for electing future members of the CC.

The community may wish to experiment with different approaches to electing CC members. Alternatively, the community may wish to specify a specific set of criteria for those elections.

15 MINS - CONSTITUTIONAL COMMITTEE

Q. 18 Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....

Doxxing should be the make or break of whether the constitution includes certain requirements. Community based requirements and groups can set their own requirements to run within a system/primary (1)

we believe that there may need more, we are unsure on what solution to provide better candidates. However solutions like KYC, AML, or DIDs may be appropriate for ensuring quality candidates

Doxxing is important to ensure multiple things, but primarily that eventually an "anon" AI doesn't become a representative. Also if "reputation" is enough to run as a candidate this sets a (2)

Very Broad Question. Many factors involved within. The umbrella answer is YES to cover at least the "mechanics" of future elections aka "Handing of the Torch"

potentially dangerous precedent that peoples online reputations is more important than their human person/identity. Imagine "instagram persona" becomes more important than their real identity. (3)

If doxxing is not required, fundamentally nothing else matters in terms of inclusion on the constitution. If we agree doxxing is a minimum, there might be other important things to include in constit.

"constitution". (4)

Minimum, elections should be open, participation in primaries/debates should be reqired. Narrowing down the number of canidates is necessary!


15 MINS - CONSTITUTIONAL COMMITTEE

Q. 18 Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....

Some kind of primary elactions to narrow down canidates. Some kind of educational program or test to show knowledge/seriousness of the canidates.

Yes, we will need some restrictions/guidelines but they should be mailable in these early years as we inevitably encounter problems.

18. *Should the Constitution include additional details related to the election of CC members?*



18a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 18.

Someone needs to pass the torch.

We need some help getting to the next CC

Yes, current CC to hold election format for new CC or to retain current seat

The use of KYC, AML and DIDs can provide a useful tool to verify and keep information private. the question afterwards would lie around who verifies the members information.

Yes | there should be a specific set of criteria and a process in place to facilitate torch passing

Rank choice voting seems important, but I'm open to new ideas and possibilities. And I think that if you want to run to represent us then there should be some sort of KYC.

Yes, because trust and identity and competency must be part of the election process if we are to ensure our project endures

Yes: Voting types like rank choice voting. Should support voting history or supplemental data about member. CC should designate and facilitate next voting election.

5 MINS - Constitutional Committee

18a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 18.

We need some amount of identity disclosure to ensure diversity on the committee

Yes, we should have restrictions/guidelines but it should be mailable during the early stages as we inevitably encounter issues and bad actors.

Yes. Open elections, proof of life required. Some kind of primaries to decrease the number of canidates based on votes.

The current/sitting CC should have a mandate to orchestrate the next cc election

Yes: bot account flag and ai flag

Yes: doxxing alternative can include some extra device 2FA, proof of location etc

Yes. We need to have at a minimum some sort of doxxing requirements for members. To prevent AI overlord risk but also to have some form of accountability, & recognize "real human ID" > "digital ID"

Break ☕

19. What requirements, if any, should the Constitution include for the Constitutional Committee's code of conduct?

The Constitution expects the CC to adopt and operate pursuant to a code of conduct but is otherwise silent as to how a code of conduct should be adopted and who it should include.

What requirements, if any, should the Constitution include for the Constitutional Committee's code of conduct? :

(a) The CC code of conduct should be on-chain

(b) The Cardano Community should have a role in approving the CC's code of conduct

(c) Other guidelines for the CC code of conduct

(d) None of these

15 MINS - CONSTITUTIONAL COMMITTEE

Q. 19 Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....

Code of conduct should exist with input external of the CC

b) the community has yet to be fully involved. This is a good opportunity to allow the community to have input on who and what they want to see represented in the committee.

That the community should be involved. Potentially every vote in regards to the code of conduct be worth only one to get a true majority vote.

point to mention: Enforcement of conduct should be decided and placed into constitution. whether no confidence in the CC is enough etc.

Talking about minorities having a voice in the long run. How could we allow voices, even small, to thrive over time to allow the best ideas to thrive. Is it by setting a "code" right now, or allow (1)

Code of conduct should be defined so there is a way to see if committee members are in a state confidence or no confidence

it to flourish over time? As a culture, over time, our expectations of the counsel members will evolve as we determine the use cases, and participants of the ecosystem that we are trying to grow.


Leave flexible so CC can do their job Use proposals in future to introduce codes of conduct if necessary

15 MINS - CONSTITUTIONAL COMMITTEE

Q. 19 Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....

Requirements to vote on % of proposals Requirements to hold and attend meetings Etc

19. *What requirements, if any, should the Constitution include for the Constitutional Committee's code of conduct? (select all that apply)*



19a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d) and rationale for Question 19.

Cardano users should decide the future not small meetup groups

its important to involve the community at this time, the more they can be involved. The happier they will be

B. The community should be involved in a code of conduct that sets rules for the ICC in regards to role expectations.

Guidelines but not in constitution

B. C. - Guidelines should be defined, voted on & enforced offchain. Codes of conduct should consist of rules & procedures in governance. Code of conduct should evolve outside of the constitution.

We want the minimum bedrock constitution. It is too early to firmly enshrine codes of conduct as it may require change.

It was a bit unclear what "on-chain" meant for (a). Also (c) seems a bit out of scope for todays limitations. (b) was the only thing that made sense because the community should be involved in process

B) the Cardano community should be able to submit and approve updates to versions of CoC

19a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d) and rationale for Question 19.

b & c | approaching this from the cardano community and a global perspective can be advantageous to growth and inclusivity

B community should have a say on how the CC operates, and be flexible in that say. All other options, debatable

B) Because community involvement is essential.

(b) because the "culture" of conduct should not be immutable based on current users, as we adopt new users and countries the fundamental values we hold will evolve, so the culture should also be able

A, B because including more voices in whatever becomes the code of conduct is vital.

B. While there are concerns with potential tyranny of majority, should be fine as long as there are unfiltered means for minority actors to voice opinion. Determine the most universal set of values

B) Want the people to be able to provide feedback and to edit/approve a CoC. Agree it should be Cardano Community but concerned if Coinbase or Binance get involved in voting.

A) no. The code of conduct should be an external document that doesn't need to be on-chain or part of the constitution

19a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d) and rationale for Question 19.

A procedural code of conduct for how and when we expect the CC to participate in governance makes sense

C. Thinner and more universal set put into the code and other guidelines in secondary documentation

a) no. The constitution should provide reference up the external CoC document

e | Enforcing this would require knowing identities and if anonymity is allowed it would become something that cannot be enforced. How would we know that one person is DRep & SPO?

Break ☕

15 MINS - Separation of Powers

23. What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?

(a) Prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.

(b) Require disclosure but do not prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.

(c) Include special conflicts of interest provisions.

(d) Does not need to be addressed in the Constitution.

(e) Unsure.

15 MINS - Separation of Powers

Q. 23 Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....

D. The constitution should have no stipulation on voting. The more votes the better.

we do not agree with a) spos are expected to submit a code of conduct

Enforcing behavioral morality is beyond the scope and capability of the Blockchain ledger itself so, why complicate the Constitution?


Maybe having the constitution mention that there is no way to actually police this. That acting ethically is appreciated.

there was a core theme of flexibility between b,c,d at time certain provision such as "cannot own 35% or more equity in x" is common place, or other guidelines

Noticed and was surprised upon discussion that by design, market based forces and incentives alignment will cover conflicts of interest. Subgroups can dilute power of any individual member of CC

How is this enforced?

23. What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?



(a) Prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.

(b) Require disclosure but do not prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.

(c) Include special conflicts of interest provisions.

(d) Does not need to be addressed in the Constitution.

(e) Unsure.

23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

D. The more votes the better. Does not need to be addressed in the constitution.

I wrote unsure because I had no other option. I think it should be addressed in the constitution, but what should be addressed is the fact that at a protocol level it cannot be prohibited. (1)

The overlap between entities and what they can vote on is minimal and the ADA holders and delegators can already solve or mitigate most things by moving delegation

a) no. I don't think there is anything wrong* with voting as SPO and DRep. They may represent different interests

Remove your ada to spo and drep if not in agreement with their vote

Since it cannot be prohibited, neither can it enforce "required disclosure", or enforce special conflicts or interest provisions. Instead, the only real enforcement that can happen is from the (2)

D) There is no enforcement of this type of rule available to us. We don't need it in the constitution.

e | options a-c appear to be unenforceable and option d leaves room for loopholes

23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

B) no. Disclosure is not require or enforced

B we cannot prevent SPOs and Dreps from hiding the fact they are voting on the same governance action. Best we can do is trust the most honest ones, that actually disclose

D. The vote(s) as an SPO may be different from how they would vote as a DRep. All votes should be considered.

was here

community, and I think in order to empower the community to understand and act on their responsibility to hold DReps and SPO's accountable for not "double dipping" on the power mechanisms, I think (3)

E, because requiring is too forceful and there are no ways of enforcing a prohibition. I do feel it should be addressed in the constitution as a guide / guardrail, but I'm unsure

C) no. Conflicts of interests optionally be disclosed

Unsure, While I would like special provision. what are those, how could they be enforced? Other than i would lean towards no, there should be no provisions. The president etc vote for themselves.

23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

Seems unenforceable but would like know special conflicts of interest to be disclosed.

D) yes. I think this is outside scope of constitution

that it has to be addressed at a constitutional level in order to reinforce that we as a community have to police with our votes & $, because there is no other function that would allow for it. (3)

D. Market forces will modulate behavior. Individual actors/ coalitions cant get enough power to sway toward a conflict of interest. If they did they're the comm. Special provisions is a slippery slope

Delegate Election 

Introduction to Voting

We are about to begin the process of voting for Constitutional Convention Candidates. It will be your job as Workshop Participants to choose a Delegate and an Alternate to travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina, this December, to represent our group and this region at the Cardano Constitutional Convention.

Participants who attended a majority of today's workshop are eligible to vote.

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

By running as a candidate to become a Constitutional delegate or alternate, you are agreeing that if elected, you will fulfill these duties.
Duties marked with an asterisk are NOT applicable to the Alternate.

Agree to the Code of Conduct:
You will represent your constituents and the Cardano Community's best interests, rather than promoting any personal agenda.
Your constituents are the Cardano Community members who attended the workshop that elected you, the Cardano community at-large in your region, and any Cardano Community members who reach out to you to represent their needs in some way.
You will not do anything to harm other attendees at the Cardano Constitutional Convention
You agree to follow the Intersect code of conduct

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

Before the Constitutional Convention

Attend triweekly delegate synthesis and Convention rehearsal workshops where you meet other delegates and share your region's constitution feedback.
(From the time of your election until mid November.
Dates: Aug 27th, Sep 10th, Oct 1st, Oct 17th, Nov 12th)

Complete Constitutional Delegate training ahead of travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina

Obtain visas and flights necessary to arrive in Argentina for the first week of December 2024. Flight, accommodation, transfers and food costs during the event will be covered for Constitutional Delegates and Alternates selected at Constitutional Workshops.

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

Before the Constitutional Convention
(these duties do NOT apply to the Alternate)

**Contact the Alternate and agree how to support each other. Ex) work together to review constituent feedback.*

**Provide your name and contact information publicly for constituents to contact you*

**Review constituent feedback and create a summary*

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

At the Constitutional Convention

Ability to communicate in English during the event.
Attend all scheduled sessions (3 days), the first week in December. Travel costs will be covered.
**Vote on whether to approve the Cardano Constitution*

After the Constitutional Convention

Optionally: Become a DRep to vote on-chain to ratify the approved constitution
Optionally: Encourage the DReps and Cardano Community to vote on the approved constitution



Photo 📸

Break ☕

Constitutional Delegate Voting Process

Step 1: Registration


Step 2: Candidate Speeches


(time permitting)


Step 3: Voting



https://constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/


constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/auth/signin
INTERSECT
Cardano Workshop Login
Enter your email to log in or create an account.
Email
name@example.com
We'll send a magic link to this email.
Send Magic Link


INTERSECT
My Workshops
Register for Workshop
Workshops
Search by name or short ID
All Statuses
Name
Short ID
Status
Memphis Workshop
memphis
Not Started


Register for a New Workshop
Enter the registration code provided by the workshop administrator.
Registration Code
f57r4t37
Your name
George Washington
Run as candidate for constitutional delegate
Register

Candidate Speeches

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

None

ADA to the moon!

I'd like to have seen more info about example Code of Conduct docs/clauses.

This was a very cool process to participate in. TY

In terms of protecting community members, onboarding nation states, and long term decentralization, we must institutionalize policies that allow us to honor the sovereignty of those nations.

its was great to see a printout of question to focus on. Too many questions would have been difficult.

Identity and how it relates to today's proposed questions More of a shift to global/growth perspectives

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

Great conversation. Genuine critical discussion was awesome to be a part of

Would love access to workshop questions ahead of time

It was a rather long day. Preview of questions and more required reading would have helped. Everyone that attend was polite and engaged.

Nothing. Sounds great.

+ cool, smart people doing cool, smart things Δ maybe more snacks and food around the tables so breaks are merged into the event

Close

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

8 responses



Great work! 🙌

WELCOME!

Cardano Santander, Spain Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 4 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

15 MINS - Introductions

What brought you here today? What are you hoping to get from this experience?

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules



Let's get started 🚀

30 MINS - Principles and Tenets

2. The tenets arose to define limits to how Cardano Blockchain development can progress so that the ecosystem principles are not violated.

If the community feels that the tenets do not uphold the principles of the Cardano community, a tension between development work and the constitution could develop.

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem?



2a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 2. If you answered yes,...

Yes, It is necessary to have an external audit entity without conflicts of interest to verify that the budgets are spent on what has been decided.

Unsure

Es importante ya que el tesoro de ada no es infinito, y si cae el número de transacciones, el tesoro puede acabarse y que Cardano se quede sin fondos.

NO, rotundamente NO. Se debería de modificar el circulante de ADA.

Implement external audits with decision-makers required to stake for a period. This ensures those handling financial strategies have no direct personal interests in fund management. Who watches the wa

Está bien que haya gobernanza. Los más difícil es darle forma a la manera en que se arricula

El circulante debe ser finito. Esta caracteristica define los fundamentos de Cardano desde el primer momento

An absolute cap could create critical scenarios that haven't been considered

2a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 2. If you answered yes,...

Aprecio que si el objetivo es descentralizar se debería encontrar una forma de articularlo no centralizada

Break ☕

10 minutes


30 MINS - VOTING RIGHTS

3. Allowing for appointed designees to control voting rights is a potential way to handle the new voting rights associated with ada. This would enable

owners of ada to determine whether or not they want to authorize a designee, balancing the need to protect voting rights from unauthorized use by third parties, but also permitting it if ada owners would like. 3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees who are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance?

1) 5 Mins - Solo
2) 10 Mins - Pair
3) 15 Mins - Group

3. *The Constitution should:*



(a) Limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada

(b) Allow ada owners to appoint designees who are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance

(c) Unsure

3a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

There is no need to have an additional 2nd layer which could distortion the original idea of the voter, subject to misinterpretation.

Delegating a vote should be a right, but the voting system must ensure that the interests of both large and small holders align.

Creo que se debería de tener en cuenta la participación de cada votante en otros procesos de gobernanza de la cadena, como por ejemplo las votaciones de Catalyst.

No veo mal que se pueda delegar en alguien para votar. Es razonable y contribuye a qué el entorno siga siendo sano

Yes, it should be possible to delegate the vote to a DRep so they can vote on our behalf.

Yes. In the future thinking everyone is going to participate in the governance seems unrealistic. Delegators can play a key role. Important to define the requirements for becoming a delegator

B). Al igual que sucede en el voto del accionariado se debería permitir la delegación de voto y el cambio de dicha delegación en cualquier momento, teniendo que demostrar su valía el delegado

Cualquiera que posea ADA puede votar, con lo cual no veo necesario delegar el poder de voto a otra persona si no tiene ADA en posesión

15 MINS - VOTING RIGHTS

3a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

Para determinados proyectos ,En ocasiones tomarán mejores decisiones organismos , empresas , D-reps .....que tengan mejor información que el individuo

Break ☕

10 minutes


30 MINS - Constitutional Committee (CC)

17. The Constitutional Guardrails specify the minimum and maximum term limit (3mo-3yrs) and CC size (3-10 members).

However, the draft constitution does not specify how terms and size are determined within the minimum and maximum limits. It may be desirable to clarify the CC size and term lengths so the community has clear direction when electing the CC following the expiry of Interim CC terms.

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

17. Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include:



17a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 17. Consider: What size or term length do you have in mind?

Fixed.

Que queden establecidos límites en la constitución

Fixed according to constitution. 3 months-1 year

Others, there shouldn't be a minimum duration but the maximum should remain at 3 years. Regarding size, there should be a minimum and maximum in proportion to the number of ADAs in circulation.

Fijo

El número de representantes, debería de ser proporcional en función del número de dReps. El periodo de permanencia de los representantes debería de ser como máximo 2 años.

11 es un buen número

a) Its important to have a notion about the process on how the number of members is selected since depending on the size of the community, the number of participating members should be defined.

17a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 17. Consider: What size or term length do you have in mind?

Fijos, tal y como se ha constituido la constitución interina.

Break ☕

10 minutes

30 MINS - SEPARATION OF POWERS


23. CIP-1694 separates out the voting roles of SPOs from DReps to create a balance of powers.

Technically and socially, there is nothing in place that stops an SPO from voting as a DRep and vice versa, although they can easily lose delegation if ada owners are unhappy with their actions.

Currently, there is no rule against voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO. Discuss your thoughts on whether voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO creates unique concerns that need to be addressed in the Constitution.

1) 5 Mins - Solo
2) 10 Mins - Pair
3) 15 Mins - Group

23. What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?



(a) Prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.

(b) Require disclosure but do not prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.

(c) Include special conflicts of interest provisions

(d) Does not need to be addressed in the Constitution

(e) Unsure

23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

Es conveniente evitar el conflicto de intereses

Prevent one entity from accumulating too much power while allowing public advice, ensuring leaders' knowledge benefits the ecosystem without excluding them from influencing indirectly.

La separación de las decisiones ejecutivas debe de estar separado cuando pueda existir conflicto entre SPO y dRep.

Debe existir la separación en la votación, por los conflictos de intereses que puedan existir en el futuro.

A. Con el ánimo de evitar el conflicto de intereses que pueda generarse en la duplicidad de poderes, abogo por su diferenciación, ya sea prohicion explícita o mediante disposiciones muy específicas

In order to ensure avoid conflicts and corruption , it's important to separate the roles and powers.

Conflict of interest

Hay que separarlo y añadir para evitar conflictos de intereses

23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

Los conflictos existen y lo mejor es separar o limitar

Crear un equilibrio de poderes

Longer Break!

30 minutes

Delegate Election 

Draft Constitution Feedback Form

If you would like to provide feedback on other questions, please do so here: https://forms.gle/6HJVPxQe9CPriHRN6



REFLECTION & CLOSE

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide (about anything else in the Constitution)?

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s (did you like) and deltas (improvements) do you have for the workshop?

Exchange with ppl from the ecosystem. I would have liked to get an intro about cardano and how it workes. Main key points

Great work! 🙌

Time for a group photo!

WELCOME!

Cardano Santiago Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

What questions or expextations do you have for today's session?

Aprender sobre la gobernanza en Cardano y conversar sobre los eventuales principios que se ratificarán en la constitución

Aprender más acerca de la construcción y aportar ideas para contribuir

Talk about cardano governance with the memembers of the cardano community in chile and share the experience around the world

Aprender más sobre el sistema de blockchain

Tengo la expectativa de conocer mas sobre la estructura de la constitución de cardano y como influirá en el ecosistema actual

Conocer a fondo la estructura de la constitución de Cardano para comprender sus principios y valores.

Entender de mejor manera está nueva era de Voltaire, con la constitución hecha por la comunidad, para la gobernanza en los tiempos de los DAO



Let's get started 🚀

7. Discuss if the Constitution should mandate that budgets include a contingency fund, an indemnity fund, and admin funds to cover costs of the CC.

7a. Should the Constitution mandate that the Budget must include (select all that apply). Please make your selection and provide rationale.

(A) A contingency fund to cover unexpected costs not otherwise specified in the Cardano Budget
(B) An indemnity fund to cover potential claims against governmennce participants such as DReps and Constitutional Committee Members
(C) Funds to cover administrative costs of the CC
(D) None of these

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

7. Discuss if the Constitution should mandate that budgets include a contingency fund, an indemnity fund, and admin funds to cover costs of the CC.

Sí, la Constitución debería exigir que los presupuestos incluyan un fondo de contingencia, con la condición de filtrar correctamente a quien se financia

It should to protect the people involved, as long as they are playing by the rules

Yes, because its importante defender a quienes tienen la responsabilidad de decidir sobre el protocolo, entendiendo que cc es una gran responsabilidad y te convierte en un objetivo de la regulación

Si , tienen que existir instancias de protección contra leyes o intereses políticos de países que atenten en contra de la evolución del o de los posibles proyectos en cardano

Si, debido a que si una propuesta fue revisada por pares, validada y pese a ello se presentó un problema legal, la tesorería debe hacerse cargo de los costos asociados, operaría como un seguro


No, es parte del riesgo y del estudio previo necesario antes de formular un proyecto. Las personas no son responsables con su propio patrimonio y Cardano no tiene una unidad central para demandar

Si, siempre y cuando el fondo sea utilizado para contingencias estrictamente relacionadas con el comité constitucional.

Yes ,solo cc

10 MINS - [TOPIC]

7. Discuss if the Constitution should mandate that budgets include a contingency fund, an indemnity fund, and admin funds to cover costs of the CC.





Break ☕

30 MINS - [TOPIC]

11. Review: “DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps, make such codes publicly available."

11a. Please provide your answer(s) and rationale for Question 11. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each item you selected in Question 11.

(A) DRep codes of conduct should be on-chain
(B) One code of conduct for all DReps
(C) Flexibility for each DRep to adopt its own code of conduct
(D) The Constitutional Committee should determine whether DRep code(s) of conduct are consistent with the Constitution
(E) Other guidelines for DRep codes of conduct
(F) None of these

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

11. Review: “DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps, make such codes publicly available."

Yes

No lo veo necesario para cumplir sus funciones.

It would be good to show a code of conduct in order to make someone accountable for their doings Yes. But its hard to force poeple to commit to it

Yes they should, so everything is more transparent.


No, en la práctica la democracia liquida permitirá quitar poder al drep si este no cumple los lineamientos prometidos hacia su delegante.

Si, debe existir unos lineamientos mínimos para garantizar que la conducta del DRep sea en pos de cardano y no de intereses personales, no basta con asumir la pérdida automática de la delegación

No, es solo aumentar la entropia, si yo como delegante veo que mi Drep ha votado distinto a mi pensamiento, solo cambio mi delegación a otro.

10 MINS - [TOPIC]

11. Review: “DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps, make such codes publicly available."





Break ☕

30 MINS - [TOPIC]

18. We've now experienced electing members to an interim CC, but going forward, the Constitution is silent for electing future members of the CC.

The community may wish to experiment with different approaches to electing CC members. Alternatively, the community may wish to specify a specific set of criteria for those elections.

18a. Should the Constitution include additional details related to the election of CC members? Please provide your answer and rationale for Question 18.

Yes / No / Unsure

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

18. We've now experienced electing members to an interim CC, but going forward, the Constitution is silent for electing future members of the CC.

Yes it should be clear so everyone can know with clarity the conditions

Changes should be proposed and voted to be valid

Por su puesto que sí. Las reglas del juego deben estar claras y predefinidas previamente, sin perjuicio que se pueden cambiar en el futuro

Si debe existir siempre claridad del número

Si, deberian estar especificados el numero de integrantes que van a constituir el comité constitucional para que haya transparencia sobre las reglas de esta entidad

Si, debido a que es la base de la institucionalidad y permite dar certezas de las desiciones tomadas, sin perjuicio de que puedan ser modificados en el futuro

Si, es necesaria la seguridad jurídica para mantener la estabilidad del gobierno.

Yes, it's for set some know rules.


15 MINS - [TOPIC]

18. We've now experienced electing members to an interim CC, but going forward, the Constitution is silent for electing future members of the CC.

Yes. Its to establish a minimal functioning requirement to work as institution. These could change on the future going through votation

10 MINS - [TOPIC]

18. We've now experienced electing members to an interim CC, but going forward, the Constitution is silent for electing future members of the CC.





Break ☕

30 MINS - [TOPIC]

23. Is there any concern with DReps and SPOs voting on the same governance action? Discuss whether this issue should be addressed in the Constitution.

What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?

23a. Please provide your answer and rationale for Question 23.

(A) Prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.
(B) Require disclosure but do not prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.
(C) Include special conflicts of interest provisions
(D) Does not need to be addressed in the Constitution
(E) Unsure

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

23. Is there any concern with DReps and SPOs voting on the same governance action? Discuss whether this issue should be addressed in the Constitution.

A We need to know if are interest compromised

No, ninguna preocupación.

Yes, ethics its important so it should be disclose but not an obligation. Nakamoto style anyone can get in or get out

A. We need rules to function. And if i we find out something, it should be clear the protocol to proceed (inhabilitation etc)

No. El delegante puede, posterior a la votación, desdelegar al Drep o al SPO en caso que vote contrario a sus intereses.

Si , un Spo podría tener conflictos de interés , en el fondo sería un poder de voto x 2 , siendo poco ético

No, dada la naturaleza descentralizada del protocolo los spos y dreps podrian ser anónimos lo cual hace poco controlable identificar si la misma persona se repita.

Si, ya que los SPOs son las personas que más conocen la red es probable que se postulen como DReps, por lo que existe un conflicto de interés, debido a que existe la posibilidad que velen por su Pool

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

23. Is there any concern with DReps and SPOs voting on the same governance action? Discuss whether this issue should be addressed in the Constitution.

It should be adressed


Yes it should be disclose

It should be clear beforehand

It should be clear beforehand

10 MINS - [TOPIC]


23. Is there any concern with DReps and SPOs voting on the same governance action? Discuss whether this issue should be addressed in the Constitution.





Break ☕

Delegate Election 



Delegate Election 🗳️

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

No

Nope

Yeah, probably i would love to have an online event with wider participation from the whole communityb

Me pareció un buen momento para compartir y conocer mas personas del ecosistema, agradecido por la oportunidad aguantee Cardanoo!!

Se deben mantener ciertos principios de Cardano en la constitución: 1) Tecnología basada en Blockchain 2) Supply máximo 3) inflación regulada 4) Gobernanza

Es muy fácil ahora hacer las traducciones a idiomas nativos distintos al inglés, esperaría que estás instancias pudieran ser en español.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

11 responses



Great work! 🙌

BEM VINDO!

Cardano São Paulo Community Constitutional Workshop/Oficina Constitucional da Comunidade Cardano São Paulo

Esperamos incluir suas perspectivas únicas em 4 or 5 Tópicos Focais da Constituição. Estamos reunindo feedback da comunidade em workshops ao redor do mundo para moldar o rascunho da constituição antes da Convenção Constitucional em dezembro.

Acesse o rascunho da constituição em:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Acordos

Contribuição constitucional de Cardano

Contribua com sua presença.

Procure entender primeiro em vez de persuadir.

Aproxime-se. Afaste-se. Busque honestidade e profundidade sem falar sem parar.

Busque progresso em vez de perfeição

Siga as Regras da Chatham House Rule

1-2-4-ALL

Processo de discussão

1) Solo: Gere ideias/respostas silenciosamente, sozinho. (5 min)

2) 2 ou 3 pessoas: Compartilhe suas anotações com um parceiro ou 2. (10 min)

3) Combine grupos de 2/3 para formar grupos de 4/5/6 e gere temas-chave e capture no Menti. (15 min)

4) Grupo inteiro: Faça um resumo sobre temas-chave, pontos de intersecção e pontos de diferença. Continue a capturar insights-chave no Menti. (15 min)

Vamos começar 🚀

Por que a pergunta 01 é importante

[Q1] Você acredita que os princípios do Artigo I, Seção 1 refletem adequadamente o espírito da Blockchain Cardano?

Os princípios surgiram para definir limites de como o desenvolvimento do Blockchain Cardano pode progredir para que os princípios do ecossistema não sejam violados. Se a comunidade sentir que os princípios não defendem os princípios da comunidade Cardano, pode surgir uma tensão entre o trabalho de desenvolvimento e a constituição.

[Q1] Você acredita que os princípios do Artigo I, Seção 1 refletem adequadamente o espírito da Blockchain Cardano?

1) Solo: Gere ideias/respostas silenciosamente, sozinho. (5 min)
2) 2 ou 3 pessoas: Compartilhe suas anotações com um parceiro ou 2. (10 min)
3) Combine grupos de 2/3 para formar grupos de 4/5/6 e gere temas-chave e capture no Menti. (15 min)
4) Grupo inteiro: Faça um resumo sobre temas-chave, pontos de intersecção e pontos de diferença. Continue a capturar insights-chave no Menti. (15 min)

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

[Q1] Você acredita que os princípios do Artigo I, Seção 1 refletem adequadamente o espírito da Blockchain Cardano?



10 MINS - [TOPIC]

[Q1] Por favor, forneça sua justificativa para a pergunta 1

Creio que seja importante mantermos a expressão "interesse da comunidade" pois isso norteia o propósito da rede: o coletivo.

Acredito que devemos incluir as palavras “Descentralizada”, e também promovendo uma cultura “Sustentável”.

Importante colocar a palavra comunidade Cardano descentralizada, cultura sustentável e representação democrática.

Ser principalmente sustentável

A constante natural é mudança e adaptação se o sistema que rege não for adaptável está fadado a cair

sustentabilidade financeira

Acredito que precisamos incluir que a governança deve ser “DESCENTRALIZADA” e precisa promover uma cultura “SUSTENTÁVEL” a longo prazo.

Concordo com os artigos

10 MINS - [TOPIC]

[Q1] Por favor, forneça sua justificativa para a pergunta 1

Acredito que a constituição representa da na sessão 1 seja mais completa, simples e objetiva , porém de forma superficial (mas necessária para evitar travas e atritos para as votações seguirem).

Ter sustentabilidade financeira, para manter a rede, até com novos fontes de renda.

sustentabilidade

sustentabilidade

Acredito que sim pois os princípios refletem adequadamente o espírito da Cardano. Adoção de uma constituição para o ecossistema reforça o compromisso com uma governança decentralizada e inclusiva

Os projetos não podem perder sua essência, a concorrência é desleal e inescrupulosa, apoiar q pessoas representem seus pilares são uma solução justa de forma Descentralizada e segura para a comunidade

Precisamos manter o pensar sustentável da tecnologia, visto que transparência é base de qualquer blockchain. Sustentabilidade no sentido de manter sempre a visão de baixa preferência temporal da rede.

Apenas com regras claras e bem definidas, que visam o interesse comum, identificação e mitigação dos riscos, é que se torna possível a construção robustez necessária a qualquer tipo de negócio.



Break ☕

Por que a pergunta 02 é importante:

[Q2] Deve haver um princípio adicional abordando a sustentabilidade financeira do ecossistema Cardano Blockchain?


Esta questão decorre da importância de ada para a operação da rede. Por ser tão fundamental, pode valer a pena codificá-lo como um princípio, e pode ser feito de muitas maneiras diferentes. O exemplo sobre o limite para a circulação de ada está aqui porque já foi de interesse da comunidade. Distinguimos entre o fornecimento total de ada e ada circulante, reconhecendo que, no futuro, pode haver ada bloqueado em locais que o tirem de circulação.

Principles and Tenets

[Q2] Deve haver um princípio adicional abordando a sustentabilidade financeira do ecossistema Cardano Blockchain?

1) Solo: Gere ideias/respostas silenciosamente, sozinho. (5 min)
2) 2 ou 3 pessoas: Compartilhe suas anotações com um parceiro ou 2. (10 min)
3) Combine grupos de 2/3 para formar grupos de 4/5/6 e gere temas-chave e capture no Menti. (15 min)
4) Grupo inteiro: Faça um resumo sobre temas-chave, pontos de intersecção e pontos de diferença. Continue a capturar insights-chave no Menti. (15 min)

[Q2] Deve haver um princípio adicional abordando a sustentabilidade financeira do ecossistema Cardano Blockchain?



[Q2] Por favor, forneça sua justificativa para a pergunta 2

Sim. De acordo!

Sim.

Apesar de muitos detalhes, não fica claro para um leigo se a constituição aborda um conjunto de regras suficientes.

Sim

Sim, precisa incluir algumas travas relacionadas ao fornecimento e/ou circulação de Cardano.

Sim, os projetos deveriam ter limites de valores de acordo com o tempo e total de tokens, para evitar que aventureiros venham manipular a verba do tesouro e isso se mantenha de uso da comunidade

O controle já existe no ecossistema

45 bilhões

[Q2] Por favor, forneça sua justificativa para a pergunta 2

Não, a estrutura atual é boa

Sim. 45 bilhões.

É necessário colocar discriminado a forma de sustentabilidade, o quanto necessario para nao ser inflacionavel, para no futuro não perdemos e ser diluido. Precisa ser descrito na constituiçao detalhadamente

A menor unidade de ADAs (Lovelaces) precisam ser declaradas de forma clara e imutável na constituição.

Com limite, para não inflacionar.. Colocar como cláusula pétrea.

Sim. 45 bilhões de ADAs.

Almoço 🍴

Por que a pergunta 11 é importante

Os DReps atuarão como uma voz dos proprietários de ada e terão o poder de votar em proprietários de ada que depositaram fé nos DReps aos quais delegam. Além disso, os DReps são um novo órgão regulador para Cardano e aprenderemos muito nos próximos anos sobre como o processo de DRep funciona. Portanto, pode ser importante abordar a conduta de DRep na Constituição. Por outro lado, os proprietários de da são livres para delegar e deselecionar de DReps para sinalizar sua (des)aprovação de um DRep a qualquer momento. Portanto, as forças de mercado podem ser suficientes para governar o comportamento de DRep. Esta questão aborda se a própria Constituição deve incluir padrões comportamentais específicos aplicáveis a DReps.

DReps

[Q11] O que a constituição deve exigir em relação aos códigos de conduta do DRep (selecione todas as opções aplicáveis)?

1) Solo: Gere ideias/respostas silenciosamente, sozinho. (5 min)
2) 2 ou 3 pessoas: Compartilhe suas anotações com um parceiro ou 2. (10 min)
3) Combine grupos de 2/3 para formar grupos de 4/5/6 e gere temas-chave e capture no Menti. (15 min)
4) Grupo inteiro: Faça um resumo sobre temas-chave, pontos de intersecção e pontos de diferença. Continue a capturar insights-chave no Menti. (15 min)

DReps

[Q11] O que a constituição deve exigir em relação aos códigos de conduta do DRep (selecione todas as opções aplicáveis)?

1) Solo: Gere ideias/respostas silenciosamente, sozinho. (5 min)
2) 2 ou 3 pessoas: Compartilhe suas anotações com um parceiro ou 2. (10 min)
3) Combine grupos de 2/3 para formar grupos de 4/5/6 e gere temas-chave e capture no Menti. (15 min)
4) Grupo inteiro: Faça um resumo sobre temas-chave, pontos de intersecção e pontos de diferença. Continue a capturar insights-chave no Menti. (15 min)

DReps

[Q11] O que a constituição deve exigir em relação aos códigos de conduta do DRep (selecione todas as opções aplicáveis)?

(a) Os códigos de conduta do DRep devem estar na cadeia
(b) Um código de conduta para todos os DReps
(c) Flexibilidade para cada DRep adotar seu próprio código de conduta
(d) O Comitê Constitucional deve determinar se os códigos de conduta do DRep são consistentes com a Constituição
(e) Outras diretrizes para códigos de conduta do DRep
(f) Nenhuma dessas

10 MINS -DRep

Por favor, forneça sua(s) resposta(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) e justificativa para a Pergunta 11. Você pode enviar múltiplas respostas. Por favor, envie uma res

B, D

B,D

a) Um comportamento ético e de acordo com os princípios definidos pela rede, através da sua constituição.

B,D

a) Os códigos de conduta devem estar na cadeia. Pois isso é transparência; b) Um código de conduta para todos. Sim. É necessário códigos comuns a todos.

B,D

A e B. Os códigos de conduta devem ser criados com base na voz da comunidade e fiscalizados pelo Comitê e estar on chain.

A, B, D

10 MINS -DRep

Por favor, forneça sua(s) resposta(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) e justificativa para a Pergunta 11. Você pode enviar múltiplas respostas. Por favor, envie uma res

B,D

A , B e D Tem de ter um padrão, mas precisa ser adaptado para cada região , respeitando a adversidade cultural, mas sempre seguindo os padrões mais éticos possíveis

a d limite de conduta

A,C

A, B, D ... equilíbrio entre a legalidade e a finalidade

A,c,d



Break ☕

Por que a pergunta 14 é importante:

A Constituição deveria incluir um mecanismo que fizesse com que os detentores de ADA selecionassem novamente seus DReps ao longo do tempo?


Os detentores de Ada são livres para alterar a delegação para qualquer DRep a qualquer momento, ou nunca alterar sua delegação. Esta questão pergunta se este modelo deve criar condições para uma classe representativa de DReps ao longo do tempo, ou se a Constituição deve incluir restrições adicionais.

Delegated representatives (DReps)

[Q14] A Constituição deveria incluir um mecanismo que fizesse com que os detentores de ADA selecionassem novamente seus DReps ao longo do tempo?

1) Solo: Gere ideias/respostas silenciosamente, sozinho. (5 min)
2) 2 ou 3 pessoas: Compartilhe suas anotações com um parceiro ou 2. (10 min)
3) Combine grupos de 2/3 para formar grupos de 4/5/6 e gere temas-chave e capture no Menti. (15 min)
4) Grupo inteiro: Faça um resumo sobre temas-chave, pontos de intersecção e pontos de diferença. Continue a capturar insights-chave no Menti. (15 min)

[Q14] A Constituição deveria incluir um mecanismo que fizesse com que os detentores de ADA selecionassem novamente seus DReps ao longo do tempo?



[Q14] Por favor, forneça sua justificativa para sua resposta. Se você respondeu sim, explique o que você acrescentaria à Constituição e por quê

Para evitar corrupção e manter a comunidade de Dreps ativa e oxigenada

Isso evitaria a permanência vitalícia e reduziria consideravelmente o risco da corrupção e ineficácia do mandato.

Porque é importante que haja uma renovação de tempos em tempos no poder de decisão.

1 ano salario correspondente
Penalidade se sair antes

Precisa ter um tempo limite para ter o incentivo do bom resultado, 1 ano, ter um otimo salario em dólar, ser exclusivo e poder ter reeleição.

01 ano de mandato com exclusividade e salário dolarizado.

Melhoria continua e plano de continuidade

Melhor continuidade

[Q14] Por favor, forneça sua justificativa para sua resposta. Se você respondeu sim, explique o que você acrescentaria à Constituição e por quê

Para evitar corrupção e má intenção.

Fazer melhorias de acordo as novas tecnologias

1 ano

Mecanismo de rever governança

Sim. Ao determinar aos delegadores, isso evita gargalos nas decisões e desvios de condutas dos DRes.

Considero necessário incluir a votação pelo menos a cada 1 ano para garantir eficiência e entregas contínuas.

Eleição de delegados 

Estamos prestes a começar o processo de votação para os Candidatos à Convenção Constitucional. Será seu trabalho como Participantes do Workshop escolher um Delegado e um Suplente para viajar para Buenos Aires, Argentina, em dezembro para representar nosso grupo e esta região na Convenção Constitucional de Cardano. Os participantes que compareceram à maioria do workshop de hoje estão qualificados para votar.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Houve alguma opinião que você não teve a oportunidade de compartilhar?

Não, obrigado pela oportunidade.

Uso do tesouro com colatera 1 ada= 10^6 lovelace

Agradecer a organização e parabenizar a Cardano por ser uma referência para outras blockchains

Até este momento, tudook pra mim!

Sim. Creio que seja importante definir limites proporcionais entre o montante destinado a tesouraria e as recompensas.

🚀👍🏻

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Quais pontos positivos + (o que funcionou bem) e deltas – (o que você mudaria) você tem para o workshop?

Positivo foi a organização e dinâmica de brainstorm Negativo foi a falta de happy hour Para ter uma integração e criação de vínculos de amizade

+ comunidade - prova de presença

Positivo foi a interação. Não muito negativo, porém, um tempo menor de workshop.

Foi otimo para disseminação de conhecimento

Ótimo

Positivo: Uma verdadeira jornada de aprendizado e trocas; Negativo: não pude observar.

Ótimo workshop 🚀

Positivo: iniciativa deste tipo de workshop já é algo considerado construtivo para reforçar os fundamentos. Negativo: tive pouco tempo para me inteirar nos tópicos

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Quais pontos positivos + (o que funcionou bem) e deltas – (o que você mudaria) você tem para o workshop?

Como disse nosso colega de classe, um NFT como forma de presença seria perfeito

Gostaria de mais eventos presenciais da cardano de capacitação

Nivelamento de conhecimento e NFT de participação

Positivo: Creio que seja uma boa ideia continuar com a política de informar a comunidade.

Qual palavra ou frase resume melhor os principais aprendizados do workshop?

12 responses



Ótimo trabalho! Obrigada 👏🏻👏🏼👏🏽👏🏾👏🏿

Hora de uma foto de grupo

📷

Workshop Topic: Voting Rights and Separation of Powers

Selected topic: 3, 11, 16, 23

What we hope to achieve or get out of todays experience

:10 response

- Attending to create a constitution for more transparent and decentralized Cardano governance
- It means a lot to me to be a part of creating the world's first decentralized constitution.
- It's a topic I'll be thinking about for the rest of my life, so I want to go home today with the big picture of the Cardano Constitution in my head.
- I want to understand the basic structure of the Cardano Constitution.
- Hi. Just a big fan of cardano. Nice to meet you all. Thank you.
- 1. participate in the construction of Cardano's constitution 2. understand the blockchain governance structure 3. communicate Korean news to the Cardano Asia community.
- As a member of the ecosystem, I'm here to understand and contribute to the Cardano blockchain constitution.
- Deep understanding and contribution to Cardano governance
- Understand Cardano governance
- I want to go to Argentina

[11] The draft Constitution currently states:

"DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps and making such codes of conduct publicly available."

Qn 11. Provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each item.

- D
- (A) for motivation (C) need to have their own motivators such as OKRs (D) to prevent the ecosystem from being compromised
- (B) Strict discipline beyond the code of conduct that should be applied equally, but need to rebrand the name of the discipline that is applied equally through (E) (C) Diversity of dreps should be respected with flexibility for each one, with minimal discipline to allow for diverse opinions.
- It may be difficult for innovative leadership to emerge if activities are too restricted from the beginning. There are opportunities for the whole community to benefit from such a move, and there should be at least some brakes if it goes badly.
- For (A), I think the Code of Conduct should be recorded on-chain to ensure consistency with the Code of Conduct. For B, I think the Drep should at least maintain the Code of Conduct as they all need to go in the same direction for Cardano.
- (A). (B). and (D). Code of conduct should be recorded and same for all dreps. The constitutional committee should set the rules for dreps to follow.
- Basically it should be transparently disclosed under the concept of on-chain governance
- Priority 1 – (A) for transparency
    - Priority 2 – (D) for consistency, conformity judgement
    - Priority 3 – (C) for flexibility to suit the situation
- (A): To ensure transparency based on the Blockchain ecosystem (B): Code of conduct within minimum social norms is required (D): Judgement of constitutionality is required
- (A) I think it is the duty of the voters to publicly disclose the code of conduct, which is a benchmark for Drep. (C) Thought that flexible thinking and criteria are needed to adopt a code of conduct from time to time as per Article 4(3) of the Constitution.

- (A) - It should be recorded on-chain so that it is transparent to everyone and cannot be easily changed. (B) - We need basic rules that everyone should follow. (C) - We should be able to change basic rules or add new rules if there is a good reason. (D) - There should be a body to review it.
- (A) I think it should be registered on-chain because people's behaviour is clearly different when they publicly state what they do and don't do. (C) I believe that codes of conduct, like political candidate pledges, represent what the drep is trying to achieve and should be left up to them.

[16] Should SPOs be subject to a Consitutionally -mandated code of conduct (CoC)?

Qn 16. Provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each item you selected?

- (C), (D): Since the activities of SPOs have a significant impact on the ecosystem, it is necessary to follow the constitution in case of direct involvement: a Clear regulations are needed, and it is important to specify the scope and criteria for direct involvement when deemed necessary.
- (E): When operating a node, it should be operated based on the code itself to enable new ecosystem participation and expansion. Additional constitution will act as a hurdle for ecosystem expansion and operation.
- Code of conduct should be recorded.
- (E): I don't think it is necessary for the original role of spo, node operation, but a code of conduct like DApps is necessary for voting power.
- (A): Needed because they have voting power. (B): Should be on-chain to ensure transparency. (C): Should be subject to review.
- (E): Not applicable, as we already don't allow voting on governance actions where SPOs can adjust the numbers in their favor. Since SPO is a technical group, feels that the parameters and guardrails themselves have enforceability above the code of conduct.
- Not applicable
- There is a question as to why we need to constrain the SPO since the guardrails are clear.
- (A) I think it's good that the Code of Conduct is applied like a non-binding commitment and has the same flexibility as a DREP. (B) For transparency purposes, it should be applied the same way it is applied to DREPs.

prohibiting them from voting by default, hence (C): I think it would be a good idea to add a check to limit some voting.

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

- It was very informative and fun, I wish there were more seminars like this.
- More specifically, we need another time to discuss the constitution with the community after the constitution is finalized or after actual governance is in place. There is currently too little visibility to the general community.
- I think it would be a more productive discussion if there was some consensus on the level of prior knowledge and context.
- In addition to public input, it would be nice to have the opportunity to have legal experts from different countries comment on the draft, even if they have to pay for it.
- thank you

——I'm curious about the reason for the topic change. I think we need clearer definitions of words in the constitution. ~~The pizza was delicious.~~

What +s (worked well) and deltas (could be improved) do you have for the workshop?

- It was perfect
- It was nice to have the opportunity to interact with people with the same interests. The food was delicious and the venue was pleasant. As I said before, I think we need more clear definitions of words in the discussions.
- What went well - everything was organized.
  - What could be improved - I think if the questions were a little more specific in setting the context, we would have gotten more diverse opinions.
- Need to provide specific examples of situations for better understanding.
- What went well: Workshop organization and good discussion topics
  - What could be improved: None
- What went well: Compared to last year's CIP1694 workshop, the experience of using Mentimeter was much more interactive with the participants
  - What could be improved: The process of providing topics was rough and a bit less transparent
- I feel like I'm repeating myself in both the solo, 2-person discussion, and plenary sessions. This is probably because it's all about individual opinions. I think it would be meaningful to aggregate, but it seems to be done after the session. The process needs some optimization.
- (+) smooth communication
- Decentralization (?)

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?



Most popular

- Governance (2)
- Code of Conduct (2)

Also prominent

- Brainstorm (1)
- Drep (1)
- Flexible (1)
- Insightful (1)
- Thoughtful (1)
- Check (1)
- Power (1)
- Separation of power (1)
- Dispersion of power (1)
- Diversity (1)
- Balance (1)
- Interests (1)
- Decentralized (1)
- The right to vote (1)
- Constitution (1)

Mentimeter

WELCOME!

Cardano Singapore Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Mentimeter

What we hope to achieve or get out of today's experience?

Elect some great Constitutional delegates to join us in Argentina!

Trying to understand what we are collectively achieve and excited to see how it evolves with time.

Knowledge and a constructive conversation about the constitution of Cardano. A way to collaborate with the community.

To contribute to the cardano governance structure and give our feedback

Understand more about Cardano governance & ecosystem.

- Bit more insights onto the whole cardano governance and interim constitution per say.
- Networking - Getting involved in the voting procedure and become a part of governance

To know more about governance

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules

Mentimeter

Let's get started 🚀

1. The tenets arose to define limits to how Cardano Blockchain development can progress so that the ecosystem principles are not violated.


If the community feels that the tenets do not uphold the principles of the Cardano community, a tension between development work and the constitution could develop.

1) 5 Mins - Alone: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair up and Share your notes with a partner.
3) 10 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input, notes
4) 10 Min -All debrief
5) 5 Min -Add to Menti.com

Mentimeter

Principles and Tenets

1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?



1a. Please provide your answer (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1.

No need to give specific examples like in develop and deploy applications.

No need for “digital subscriber lines“, “formal verification support“, etc. Promoting some features but not others explicitly is unhelpful

Wherever it mentions “unreasonable“ , this introduces a lot of ambiguity What is “unnecessary“ in the context of spending resources ?

So I agree with most of the things but there are still a lot of unclear and restrictive statements like the usecases where we are providing the examples according to the current setting.

80% I agree with what’s in there. It’s a living document, and I strongly believe that many changes will continue to occur for the better. In a few years, we will have an updated version.

Great overall summary of the ethos, but there might be a need to tighten up the language - perhaps have an appendix for terms and examples

Definitions about certain sections / words have to be given that we all understand the same and after that we need to have a conversation if this reflects everything correctly.

Overall is transparent & fair

Mentimeter

1a. Please provide your answer (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1.

Unsure

Mentimeter

Break ☕


50 MINS - Voting Rights

3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees who...

are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance? [Notes: This is not a reference to delegation to DReps. Pertains to: Article II Section 2, Article III Section 4 and 8, Article IV Section 2, Article VI Section 1, Article VII Section 1.]

1) 5 Mins - Alone: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair up and Share your notes with a partner.
3) 10 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input. note
4) 10 Min -All debrief
5) 5 Min -Add to Menti.com

3: Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees?



Voting Rights

3a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

Everyone who wants to participate in governance should at least hold 1ADA.

Bc they might be more thoughtful about the chain and it's governance that they got their money on

If someone is not even associated with the economy of the network, I dont feel they are best suited to take decisions for the network.

Delegation is required, many people don't have the time to read everything and take a decision

We need only serious holders to be able to vote. Also by appointing designees, we are open for political votes. People are able to group up unfair votes

DReps and voters should have skin in the game

Should not matter as long as they are part of the ecosystem. Setting a minimum bar is just formalities i would say

🤔

Lunch Break




40 MINS - Financial Sustainability of Cardano

06. Contractual obligations governing the use of ada received from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury could be mandated to include dispute...

resolution provisions. Discuss your thoughts on if the Constitution should require these dispute resolution provisions. [Pertains to: Article 3, Section 8.]

1) 5 Mins - Alone: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair up and Share your notes with a partner.
3) 10 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input. note
4) 10 Min -All debrief
5) 5 Min -Add to Menti.com

06. Should the Constitution require these dispute resolution provisions?



06a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 06.

Best practice should evolve outside of the constitution and implemented over time if we see fit for it.

Yes because in case of a company not delivering the KPIs or are trying to cheat or steal is a clear case of a dispute. Hence, we need a dispute resolution process in place.

A decentralised dispute resolution system is vital for a sustainable ecosystem

We need to resolve disputes and address issues legally

Even if its legally unclear if its possible, the proposer should think about it and come up with a plan of how to resolve resolutions. Its in his best interest too to have a clear plan.

The enforcement mechanisms don't really exist and global respect for the constitution will be unequal. But it's a positive thing to have good ethos and forward thinking be included - aspirationally

To maintain the decorum of transparency and fairness yes we should

During a dispute, there must be another voting on this particular issue.

Mentimeter

06a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 06.

To be fair , yes

11. The draft constitution currently states: “DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps and


11. The draft constitution currently states: “DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps and make such codes of conduct publicly available.” [Pertains to: Article III, Article IV.]

1) 5 Mins - Alone: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair up and Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input. note
4) 15 Min -All debrief
5) 5 Min -Add to Menti.com

Mentimeter

Mentimeter

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?



11a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each

Every dRep should have the flexibility to decide their own code of conduct offchain.

It can on chain like guidelines but it's very hard to enforce it.

I believe there should not be any code of conduct as requirement in the constitution because a) it'll be impossible to enforce b) code of conducts will be established via social pressure anyways

As dReps are from various backgrounds, they should have flexible code of conduct to all categories

Onchain for integrity one for all for fairness

We should not limit the possibility of Drops to participate through a hard coded Code of conduct. Let the delegators decide directly.

Transparency - on chain codes would ensure public scrutiny.

Yes they should as it establishes like a set rules of expectations and also taps into the part of integrity

Mentimeter

11a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each

Code of conduct = how serious is Drep. A good rating on Drep will be easy for deciding to appoint them. Like holding substantial ADA tokens, Reputable reviews, attended important cardano meetings.....

On chain. Transparent

Mentimeter

Break ☕

Delegate Election 

Mentimeter

Introduction to Voting

"We are about to begin the process of voting for Constitutional Convention Candidates. It will be your job as Workshop Participants to choose a Delegate and an Alternate to travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina, this December, to represent our group and this region at the Cardano Constitutional Convention.

Participants who attended a majority of today's workshop are eligible to vote. When you receive your ballots please write down your name and email address so that we can validate votes in case of an audit. You will then have a chance to write up to 5 names of individuals that you feel would represent us well at the Constitutional Convention. Each candidate you list will benefit from your vote. The top name on your list will receive 5 points, the second name will receive 4 points, the

Mentimeter

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

By running as a candidate to become a Constitutional delegate or alternate, you are agreeing that if elected, you will fulfill these duties. Duties marked with an asterisk are NOT applicable to the Alternate.

Agree to the Code of Conduct
You will represent your constituents and the Cardano Community's best interests, rather than promoting any personal agenda.
Your constituents are the Cardano Community members who attended the workshop that elected you, the Cardano community at-large in your region, and any Cardano Community members who reach out to you to represent their needs in some way.
You will not do anything to harm other attendees at the Cardano Constitutional Convention
You agree to follow the Intersect code of conduct

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

Before the Constitutional Convention

*Contact the Alternate and agree how to support each other.
Ex) work together to review constituent feedback.
Attend an online delegate synthesis workshop where you meet other delegates and share your region's constitution feedback.

*Provide your name and contact information publicly for constituents to contact you

*Review constituent feedback and create a summary
Complete Constitutional Delegate training ahead of travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina

Obtain visas and flights necessary to arrive in Argentina for the first week of December 2024. Flight, accommodation, transfers and food costs during the event will be covered for Constitutional Delegates and Alternates selected at Constitutional Workshops.


Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

At the Constitutional Convention

Attend all scheduled sessions (3 days)
*Vote on whether to approve the Cardano Constitution

After the Constitutional Convention

Optionally: Become a DRep to vote on-chain to ratify the approved constitution
Optionally: Encourage the DReps and Cardano Community to vote on the approved constitution


constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/auth/signin
Mentimeter
INTERSECT
Cardano Workshop Login
Enter your email to log in or create an account.
Email
name@example.com
We'll send a magic link to this email.
Send Magic Link

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s (worked well) and deltas (could be improved) do you have for the workshop?

The effort and flow was amazing, more workshops are required

More workshops like this. Was engaging and insightful

The flow is very good. It was smooth. No immediatamente changes required.

The team was very good at improvising even though there were some challenges wth the attendance at first. Everyne was extremely engaged!

More marketing to increase the number of participants.

More Cardano activities in Singapore.

I think under the circumstances theres not much too improve. Maybe have better coffee

Decentralized

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

13 responses



Mentimeter

Great work! 🙌

Group photo!

WELCOME!

Cardano Sydney, Australia Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 4 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

15 MINS - Introductions

What brought you here today? What are you hoping to get from this experience?

Cardano having a planned future

The opportunity to learn how the governance process from the ground up comes to be

I have been interested in Cardano since late 2017 and been working for IOHK/IOG since Feb 2018.

Interest in the Cardano Community and the emergent governance mechanisms and practices that are shaping the ecosystem. Hoping to make connections with people and learn more about the community.

I like engaging with all things cardano

Provide input to the continued growth of the Cardano community. I always learn from the face to face gatherings.

Particulate in the development of the governance framework for a leading layer 1 protocol.

I am here today to find the community, learn more about Cardano. I would love to contribute to cardano community

15 MINS - Introductions

What brought you here today? What are you hoping to get from this experience?

Opportunity to work with like mind people and be part of this moment in time.

I got invited by my friend Dani who has been sharing his knowledge about Cardano. I am here to learn more about it

First time and to gain knowledge

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules



Let's get started 🚀


30 MINS - VOTING RIGHTS

3. Allowing for appointed designees to control voting rights is a potential way to handle the new voting rights associated with ada. This would enable

owners of ada to determine whether or not they want to authorize a designee, balancing the need to protect voting rights from unauthorized use by third parties, but also permitting it if ada owners would like. 3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees who are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance?

1) 5 Mins - Solo
2) 10 Mins - Pair
3) 15 Mins - Group

3. *The Constitution should:*



(a) Limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada

(b) Allow ada owners to appoint designees who are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance

(c) Unsure

3a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

It is a decentralised system, so can't control. Allow the liquid nature of the system to self-correct based on monitoring.

Having DREPs means that a larger portion of ADA holders have a say in the governance process.

Allowing for designees, with appropriate safeguards could enhance governance participation without compromising integrity. That being said, it is not clear what the difference is from a Drep.

If there are more options for ADA, the threat or leakages in the whole ecosystem.

C:Unsure the question doesnt resolve or answer what checks and balances are available

An Ada holder has voting rights that should be able to be given to a designated party. The designated party should also be an Ada holder.

B People who own ada should have the right to design someone else to represent them in the best interest of the owner. There must be a duty of care with the owner and with the Cardano Community

c. Voting rights without ownerhsip and accountability will lead to results not in resonance with the philophy of dectralised voting; likewise with large holders of ada such as clearing houses

3a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

b - as 1 DRep will be available to represent on behalf of a community and as a collectively majority. This Drep ideally will be educated, and informed to make that decision for the group. c - pros/con

A) Need skin in the game, B) delegation is allowed so decisions can be made by active and informed participants. Ie dreps.

B) Not everyone will be completely into cardano or might not be aware of voting on onchain governance. Hence assigning to designees makes sense.

A. I think only people who are stakeholders in Cardano blockchain should have voting power. Letting exchanges vote for example is giving a huge amount of power to an entity that may not have best inte

B but with proviso that there is a mechanism to time out the delegation, eg no transactions for a year. Definitely only ADA holders should be allowed as this is not a high barrier to entry

Designees to handle the rights of voting means that -I, with my wallet and Ada- do not have any de facto right. The de facto right goes to the designee who has the right to manage the rights.

Having a delegated representative system increases involvement in the governance of the ecosystem, albeit by a smaller number of people, taking 'ownership' in the system.

Fail safe to inactive contributors/ act as proxy if unable / should they be able to give their vote

15 MINS - VOTING RIGHTS

3a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

B) ADA holder who are not technically sound, can nominate someone who is expert. but we should restrict the category to designate someone.


Is not hard to own a small amount of ADA, so I would think that would be useful for data and onchain tools to have this. better question is how much weighting to ada or how decisions are made based

06. While on-chain approval to disburse funds from the Cardano Treasury is well defined, the process to oversee the use of funds is not. In a...

decentralized ecosystem like Cardano, there is little precedent for handling commercial disputes, and we may want to define some guidance in the Constitution. Keep in mind that dispute resolution provisions can still be included in contracts if not mandated in the Constitution, but may be deemed so important that it must be stated in the Constitution. Also keep in mind that even if the Constitution does mandate the use of dispute resolution...

1) 5 Mins - Solo
2) 10 Mins - Pair
3) 15 Mins - Group

06. Should the Constitution require these dispute resolution provisions?



06a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 06.

Yes - would be best to have the parameters for dispute resolution provisions defined as guidelines, in the event that a scenario arises where a dispute needs to be resolved.

Yes. Natural Law Provisions should apply without going into the granula. Accepting Treasury Money specifically should be attached to acceptance to a charter that includes provisions for dispute resol

No/ Need to make sure only have things in the constitution that are actionable by the Constitutional Committee. Think best to be handled at the instutional level.

Yes. Leaving the protocols for dispute options will grant the safety and flexibility at the same time for the Cadeno constitutions.

Unsure. We agree that there should be high level provisions but unsure if it needs to be in the constitution. Could it be handled by intersect? Who will be responsible for mediation and legal action?

Yes- learning from the catalyst project, milestones should be embedded into the contract as well as establishing general principles of the dispute resolution process. All data should be open to public

In my opinion, including more content, such as detailed dispute resolution mechanisms, could make the constitution overly bulky. Additionally, it may require extra funding for implementation.

No, dispute resolution is too complicated to encode in something like the CC. As much as possible, proposals that require funds should lay out milestones and be paid on completion of those milestones.

06a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 06.

The risk should be mitigated in the proposal and as any process for disputes would be difficult to enforce, I see no need for it to be in the constitution as such. Unsure if the constitution should.

Yes, need it part of constitution as a general guideline for all to follow. Anyone one new to coardano will be aware of these guidelines even though it cant be enforced.

Yes! Requires some form of dispute resolution, with independence

Yes/ unsure - out line . No definitives - provisional to statement of guidelines. - deeper outline within proposals put forward

On the one hand it makes sense to have provisos in place for such scenarios, but at the same time if they are not actionable and/or enforceable then what is the point?

Yes, having a guidelines to proceed in case of a dispute resolution to find the fairer outcome for the parties involved. This might be suitable for a wide case escenarios.

Yes - but just a basic flowchart for resolution steps that should be followed provided by a subject matter expert on dispute resolution. Eg both present your cases publicly, choose arbitrator etc

Because there are competing views and the knowledge of how dispute mechanism evolve needs to be preserved, so an initial set of rules should be in place for efficient decisions when conflict appears

06a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 06.

yes, an independent validation framework should be included. A min set of requirements needs to be set for ada of a certain value in usd

something to serve as guide or at a high level the common step taken in depute resolutions to serve to get more people to engage or bring more eyes to coming with a more defined process

Break ☕

15 minutes


30 MINS - Constitutional Committee (CC)

17. The Constitutional Guardrails specify the minimum and maximum term limit (3mo-3yrs) and CC size (3-10 members).

However, the draft constitution does not specify how terms and size are determined within the minimum and maximum limits. It may be desirable to clarify the CC size and term lengths so the community has clear direction when electing the CC following the expiry of Interim CC terms.

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

17. Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include:



17a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 17. Consider: What size or term length do you have in mind?

term length - 1 year to 3 years. determined by on-chain voting. 7-9 members

a/b/c/d: 1 yo (to warrant investment in seat) and 3 yo to keep turning over knowledge.

There should always be an odd number of CC members. Term lengths of less than a year is too short.

a,c; The number and term limit should be determined flexibly.

A.C.D;Term minimun of one year which allows to develop and deliver projects from scratch to end. Maximun of 3 or 4 years with the option of one relection to promote variety over years. 9 o 11 years

It should be long enough to get it right if things are going right. And short enough to get it right if things are not going right.

c) term lengths determined (1 year min) then propose re-election (up to 3 years) voted by the community. Community referendums on size and terms could be scheduled.

b/d: fixed odd numbers, but not too many - maybe 9, and fixed term length of 3 years

17a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 17. Consider: What size or term length do you have in mind?

a/c/d Term length should be at least 1 year. CC members should be min 5 - max 11 (should be an odd number).

Yes for a/b/c/d. 3 year term limit, to retain institutional knowledge and stability, and 9 members, allowing for maximum deliberation (given majority voting)

no fixed term limit, but regular renewal and instance removal with provision for reinsertion of proven "blue tick" representatives for short term to bring back stability. term limits min 12 months.

A, B, C Need to know how CC members and terms are determined, for common awareness

CMTL-03 = 3 months to low Idea of Constitutional Reps? CREATION of a Cincinnatus act Creation of a advisory/educational board to assist the CC

Size: 5 -9, terms 1 - 3 with a maximum for 9Years

1 year term with ability to repeat for up to 3 years

Odd numbers. So 5 to 9 or 5 to 11 members. Terms min 1 year, max 4 years. Re-election ok. (3 mo too short)

17a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 17. Consider: What size or term length do you have in mind?

Research the best historical team size/term of humans collaborating. Bake that into the guardrails.

(a,b,c,d) odd numbers for CC members. Between 5 & 9 members. Minimum term 1 year.

1-3

Lunch!

60 minutes



30 MINS - SEPARATION OF POWERS

23. CIP-1694 separates out the voting roles of SPOs from DReps to create a balance of powers.

Technically and socially, there is nothing in place that stops an SPO from voting as a DRep and vice versa, although they can easily lose delegation if ada owners are unhappy with their actions.

Currently, there is no rule against voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO. Discuss your thoughts on whether voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO creates unique concerns that need to be addressed in the Constitution.

1) 5 Mins - Solo
2) 10 Mins - Pair
3) 15 Mins - Group

23. What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?



(a) Prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.

(b) Require disclosure but do not prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.

(c) Include special conflicts of interest provisions

(d) Does not need to be addressed in the Constitution

(e) Unsure

15 MINS - SEPARATION OF POWERS

23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

Because there must be a self balance mechanism. It is because this suppose to be a check an balance mechanism therefore a balance should be achieved as perfect as possible

d/ Should not be included as can't be executed and also should allow maximum number of participants in DGov. Allow the community to self-correct any perceived issues with aggregation of power.

Does not need to be in the constitution.

d) Shouldn't be addressed in the constitution as Reps and SPOs play distinct roles, and the community can regulate delegation and voting power through oversight

d - there's ambiguity that still needs to be navigated through. There is a bit of conflict of interest with the grey area, and therefore may be best default with offline and not via the constitution.

B Since CIP 1694 have jus 4 governance actions common to drep and spos, which is not of personal gain for these entities, should be allowed to vote but disclosed

All voting needs to disallow for multiple votes through any new mechanism by the same person. It seems unclear as to how these can be currently, clearly, differentiated.

It does not need to be included in the constitution. If there were conflicts of interest then it would be difficult to address these in any case. The community of Dreps and Spo's will detect risks.

15 MINS - SEPARATION OF POWERS

23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

D - Unclear of whether the potential or unseen issues, are if fact an problem. The system to "self-balance" itself and therefore does not need to be addressed within the constitution

B+D: (B) not to “require” disclosure, just that it is “encouraged”. Feel specific scenarios cannot all be listed in detail, and would be rare + handled by people layer=nothing else to include (D)

a.) If anyone with sufficient ADA can register to be a Drep, wouldn't a more powerful actor (as Drep + SPO) be able to multiply their votes in support of/opposition to a particular governance action?

Unsure. the concern of conflict of interest should be address as well as the ethics of having a dual role as a Drep and Spos.

D) SPOs do not have a conflict of interest with DReps. Therefore, I believe that including specific clauses about this in the constitution would unnecessarily burden to the document

B. C Solo citizen, Block reward percentage variances equation No first past the post voting, aim for preferential in the motion where Drep and SPO are forced to decide on a conflicting concept

B. Both should be able to vote as the min viable governance. We don’t want to disenfranchise our most engaged community members. If they act transparently delegates will be represented well or go...

A. The issue is block reward. BR up and the SPO gains. BR down and the ADA delegator gains. If an SPO is also a DRep, then they are likely to vote in their own interest in both roles on BR changes.

15 MINS - SEPARATION OF POWERS

23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

Disclosure is required. SPO should register as DREP and regain the delegated ada voting power. Those that allocate to SPO for staking rewards may be different for general network governance.

D. I am unsure. We do need the expertise of the SPO at the beginning of this process. I don't think this should be a constitutional matter

Draft Constitution Feedback Form


If you would like to provide feedback on other questions, please do so here: https://forms.gle/6HJVPxQe9CPriHRN6



Break ☕

15 minutes

Delegate Election 



Cardano Workshop Portal Registration Demo



https://constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/

Reflection & Close

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide (about anything else in the Constitution)?

N/A

None

NA

Is there any plans for changing the constitutions guidelines or how often will it happen

NA

Can we reward active participation in governance, ie wallet gets poap nft so we know this person has been proactive. Can serve as a factor for future community roles or positions.

N/A

Let's make it a simple as can.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide (about anything else in the Constitution)?

What fail-safes do we have? What happens if nobody stands for the CC? What happens if a governance proposal is voted for, but the SPOs disagree and decide not to fork

N/A

It would have been helpful to have more background information on some of the vexing issues that came up for discussion, such as the SPO and Drep dual voting, conflict of intere and its implications.

na

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s (did you like) and deltas (improvements) do you have for the workshop?

Loved the conversations. Liked the structure for inclusion and getting through the content.

The questions on the slides contained too much ambiguity and not enough specificity Otherwise found this very useful.

was wonderful, considering it was remote. Very impressive!

Location - great: Timing of questions and group discussions - great. Overall very productive day

I am satisfied over all, it was a good organised event.

The facilitator did a great job running the workshop. Larger character limit on these responses (in this form) Diverse and large attendance Clear and easy to follow structure Great energy

The voting/interactive platform was great. A little time in the start to understand more about each other and roles in the ecosystem would be great.

like - the regular breaks, to ensure productivity during scheduled hours and break time to recharge. The ongoing discussions & the opportunity to share thoughts & perspectives in a safe environment.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s (did you like) and deltas (improvements) do you have for the workshop?

Workshop was great, the format worked well, but maybe there could be more time dedicated for discussion and guidance on the topics being discussed. Very well organized and kept us well fed! Thank you!

The location is convenient. The venue is fine.

I like having a host remoting in to manage event, kept the flowing nice. maybe having a notification of list of tools/notes that was going to be used before the event would of help with flow

Remote participation could be a viable option, offering flexibility and reducing the need for physical presence

Liked involved hosts , facilitator, and the process. Good breaks, great venue. Good location even though I needed to travel from Melbourne to Sydney to attend.

+s Timed discussions of solo, pair and group Prioritized topics Great community and hosting Improvements Better clarity in questions - if someone can explain questions and what to focus

improvements - Further context which support the questions, to provide historical background. This will ensure that questions are understood before views or decisions are madee

Well organised event and nice structured to promote discussion. It was great to have a female host running the workshop that hopefully will lead to bring more participation from women. Vegan options.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s (did you like) and deltas (improvements) do you have for the workshop?

Liked: Whole structure/timeframe/breaks/3x solo+pair+table discussions were excellent. Food great, venue great Deltas: n/a, all good - thanks for all the effort

Likes: Set up, host approachability, work shop structure Improvements: group discussion before final submission of answers, to clarity any unknowns or miss information regarding any topic.

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

13 responses



Great work! 🙌🏻🙌🏼🙌🏽🙌🏾🙌🏿

Time for a group photo!

WELCOME!

Cardano Taipei Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

impromptu connections

What brought you here today? What are you hoping to get from this experience?

impromptu connections

Did you notice any connections, themes, surprises, and/or commonalities as you talked with their partner(s)? Please input into Menti

Support development of Cardano governance

Yes. There is a lot of curiosity on the inner working dog the governance system and the contents of the constitution draft

Yes, we all hoped that Cardano would be a force for good. BUT, there is no language in the Constitution which sets an expectation to work for "good". Compare to other constitution

To improve Cardano's constitution, and most of us are concerned that the current democratic legal framework for humanity is inadequate.

Was good to talk about the traction that cardano ecosystem can get doing more outside web3

Yup! A shared interest in the current state of the cardano community, and what's being built on the blockchain.

yes, came to know more about Cardano governance and interest in its governance.

what is new government and how to get pretty human life.

impromptu connections

Did you notice any connections, themes, surprises, and/or commonalities as you talked with their partner(s)? Please input into Menti

I am surprised by the common mindset/interest that I share with my partner.

Happy to be here!

How to avoid corruption? How to avoid becoming a place full of crime like Telegram? If we want to be so free, how can we be protected?

OK

meet someone great!

We want to discuss about democracy on chain.

Working agreements

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.

Seek to understand first rather than persuade.

Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.

Seek progress over perfection.

Adhere to Chatham House Rules.

Working agreements

Discussion Process

1) Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone. (5 min)

2) 2 people: Share your notes with a partner. (10 min)

3) Combine groups of 2 to form groups of 4 and generate key themes and capture them in Menti. (15 min)

4) Whole group: Summarize key themes, points of intersection, and points of difference. Continue to capture key insights in Menti. (15 min)



Let's get started 🚀

why question #1 is important

[Q1] Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?

The tenets arose to define limits to how Cardano Blockchain development can progress so that the ecosystem principles are not violated. If the community feels that the tenets do not uphold the principles of the Cardano community, a tension between development work and the constitution could develop.

discussion process

[Q1] Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?


1) Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone. (5 min)

2) 2 people: Share your notes with a partner. (10 min)

3) Combine groups of 2 to form groups of 4 and generate key themes and capture them in Menti. (15 min)

4) Whole group: Summarize key themes, points of intersection, and points of difference. Continue to capture key insights in Menti. (15 min)

[Q1] Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain? Provide your rationale on next slide



[Q1] Please provide your answer again (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1

No - The tenets are currently too specific on outcomes and not general goals

5 because I strongly agree with The Cardano Blockchain shall not lock in an ada owner's value without an owner's consent.

No - Censoring Transactions includes Node operations (should remain to censor any transaction), and stablecoins freeze accounts as a feature.

The tenant talks a about accessibility of Cardano for everyone, from the point of cost, censorship and support for developers

4 Yes

5, yes, as stronlgy believe that The Cardano Blockchain shall not lock in an ada owner's value without an owner's consent.

I agree with most of the material technology-wise. However, I believe it is essential to include a moral guideline.

No - There should be a general focus on positive development of the Cardano blockchain and interoperability for benefit of the ecosystem.

[Q1] Please provide your answer again (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1

Strong no, there is a critical missing expectation to "do good" or "be moral". Charles always said, "If you let us we will change the world"..but for good or bad?-morality is critical. ie US preamble

No - Focus should be on features that creates operational efficiency, positive growth

Yes, I agree the content.

It feels very comprehensive

It appears reasonable, but I'm not entirely sure if my understanding of the ethos of cardano is generally accurate.

Unsure

4 我認為section 1有點出cardano的personality，但是有些地方太模糊，for example 我認為我們社群應該是要把好的事情作為第一優先，而非利益

No - DRep reward sharing is currently not defined and hard to measure.

[Q1] Please provide your answer again (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1

Specially the part of the block Haines should scale and taking in consideration the settlements and de pricing model.

No - Open source as a tenant is missing for open source, collaboration, and active participation.

No - Need to add real-time monitoring and accessibility to information and processes to operate Cardano

Example-yesterday deep-fake nude coins of several Cardano influencers were launch, there is nothing in the Constitution which implies that action is against what Cardano sees as "proper behavior".

我覺得應該加上，區塊鏈的使用者應該遵守普世價值。

4 I agree with the current version; the constitution should be the most flexible.

No - Should add positive adoption of Cardano blockchain and ecosystem, betterment of product market fit and sustainable usage.

No - There is no mention of broad participation in governance.

[Q1] Please provide your answer again (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1

4 In principle, it's the right direction It is recommended to add more clear universal values

No - The risk is on ecosystem brain-drain if we do not specify for the benefit of Cardano ecosystem

We should be "a responsible blockchain"

An example of what is good: The Cardano Blockchain shall not unnecessarily spend resources. The Cardano Blockchain shall promote efficient design, memory and storage.



Break ☕

why question #2 is important

[Q2] Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem?

This question stems from the importance of ada to the network's operation. Because it is so foundational, it may be worth codifying as a tenet, and may be done so in many different ways. The example on the cap to circulating ada is here because it has already been of interest to the community. We distinguish between the total ada supply and circulating ada, recognizing that in the future, there may be ada locked in places that take it out of circulation.

discussion process

[Q2] Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem?


1) Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone. (5 min)

2) 2 people: Share your notes with a partner. (10 min)

3) Combine groups of 2 to form groups of 4 and generate key themes and capture them in Menti. (15 min)

4) Whole group: Summarize key themes, points of intersection, and points of difference. Continue to capture key insights in Menti. (15 min)

[Q2] Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem? Provide your rationale on next slide



[Q2] Provide your answer (yes/no/unsure) and rationale for Question 2

yes, Scarcity is the premise for maintaining value and will affect ADA's actual purchasing power.

It is critical that an expectation for long term stability of the blockchain is considered above any budget. Country level governance has been improved where balanced-budget expectations were added.

Scarcity is the premise for maintaining value and will affect ADA's actual purchasing power.

yes, need more detai about financial sustainability limits and functions.

We should have a tenant saying that the proposal or actions taken during the decision-making process should not violate the financial sustainability of the Cardano blockchain.

Total Supply of ada should not be changed until 2030. We need to maintain the tokenomic model of Cardano.

Scarcity is the premise for maintaining value and will affect ADA's actual purchasing power.

Yes, I believe the constitution should include a provision stating that annual expenses cannot exceed revenue, or that expenses can only account for a certain percentage of the revenue.

[Q2] Provide your answer (yes/no/unsure) and rationale for Question 2

Yes, I believe the constitution should include a provision stating that annual expenses cannot exceed revenue, or that expenses can only account for a certain percentage of the revenue.

Not sure, because we don't know what vulnerabilities and consequences these parameters will have in execution. It would be easier to make a decision if there is a statistical model or any chart that c

Someone/Something must guarantee the sustainability of the Ada finance.

Promote greater number and high quality diversity of transactions on Cardano.

Yes. We must balance budget.

Yes

There should be some reasonable stipulations to avoid obvious bad decisions that would be catastrophic

Yes, I believe continuity is important, and it is necessary to protect the treasury from being maliciously drained. I don't think a supply cap should be set, as it ensures the ability to adjust the su

[Q2] Provide your answer (yes/no/unsure) and rationale for Question 2

Unsure. I think this is very professional question, we should let the expert to make a model for it , see the consequences first, then let people choose which model is better.

I am not sure, because no economic diagram support people how to predict future.

Yes, but total circulating supply of ada does not need a tenat. There are budgets for annual treasury usage that needs approval.

Unsure as I'm not strongly opinionated on this particular item.

Yes - Could also consider a pool of transaction fees for DReps based on governance actions. It matches purpose with the economic actor.

Germany, Switzerland, Sweden, Chile all showed that after adding requirements for balanced budget to their constitutions / governing law in the 2000's financial stability improved.

However, setting a supply cap like in the Bitcoin system helps build trust in it among people.

Have a fiancial plan

[Q2] Provide your answer (yes/no/unsure) and rationale for Question 2

I do not think it is necessary to include this. This is an implementation of financial sustainability and should be written in a law instead of a constitution.

The total supply of ADA must remain fixed, without the possibility of burning or minting new tokens. However, a portion of the rewards can be locked, reducing market liquidity

If needed, the locked ADA can be unlocked through a voting process. When making any financial or equity-related changes, both supporting and opposing sides must present economic models to prove that t

Minimum threshhold for total ada voting power should be added

yes, may need to setup a screening committee for each request.

LUNCH

why question #6 is important

[Q6] Contractual obligations governing use of ada received from Cardano Blockchain Treasury could be mandated to include dispute resolution provisions

Should the Constitution require
these dispute resolution provisions?

[Q6] Should the Constitution require these dispute resolution provisions?

While on-chain approval to disburse funds from the Cardano Treasury is well defined, the process to oversee the use of funds is not. In a decentralized ecosystem like Cardano, there is little precedent for handling commercial disputes, and we may want to define some guidance in the Constitution. Keep in mind that dispute resolution provisions can still be included in contracts if not mandated in the Constitution, but may be deemed so important that it must be stated in the Constitution. Also keep in mind that even if the Constitution does mandate the use of dispute resolution provisions, there may be no way to actually enforce such a requirement.

discussion process

[Q6] Contractual obligations governing use of ada received from Cardano Blockchain Treasury could be mandated to include dispute resolution provisions

Should the Constitution require these dispute resolution provisions?

1) Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone. (5 min)

2) 2 people: Share your notes with a partner. (10 min)

3) Combine groups of 2 to form groups of 4 and generate key themes and capture them in Menti. (15 min)

4) Whole group: Summarize key themes, points of intersection, and points of difference. Continue to capture key insights in Menti. (15 min)

[Q6] Should the Constitution require these dispute resolution provisions? Provide your rationale on next slide



[Q6] Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for “Should the Constitution require these dispute resolution provisions?”

increased accountability = less personal gain.

Greater credibility and structured agreements to make clear delivery, RACI, and risk mitigations.

Unsure,it's difficult for regulations to effectively compensate for losses in practice.

An ecosystem without "law" can not function. A ecosystem that is "trustless" but can not ensure disputes are solved can not be trusted. Thus, dispute resolution and acceptance by all is requiired

unsure~ The philosophy of CARDANO is decentralization, but it should not be without regulation.

yes, to avoid project discrepancies and improve provisions.

Yes, the constitution should potect ada holder right.

Yes, I agree. The Constitution should express its aim to protect each user's right to open a dispute, resolve it through a mediation party, and require each user to respect it.

[Q6] Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for “Should the Constitution require these dispute resolution provisions?”

Consider Arbitration circles, multiple grant administrators, Intersect Working Group, Trust / Reputation Scores

Yes, the constitution should include provisions to resolve disputes, but they shouldn’t be too specific, we must still rely in the legal framework of different countries

Yes, It is necessary to find an appropriate approach to balance the cost of dispute resolution and the losses from the dispute.

Will be necessary a entity for arbitration in the conflicts, especially when more the one legislation it is involved.

The funding and roadmap of any project should be voted on. A project's roadmap has several stages and several voting and funding times. The larger the project, the more DReps and user votes and the hi

Yes The constitution is a principle, a principle Detailed issues require more detailed laws

Set a floating threshold, where projects with higher budgets must receive support from a higher percentage of representatives to secure funding.

In each phase, select auditors from the previous phase’s dReps to be responsible for higher-level auditing. These auditors will conduct progress analysis reports on projects that have received funding

[Q6] Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for “Should the Constitution require these dispute resolution provisions?”

Dispute Resolutions > Structured Agreements > Payment Milestones > Risk Mitigation Section > Arbitration > Reputation Score

Direct Legal Actions take time, money and does not easily scale globally.

Dispute Resolutions as a component of audits

An acceptance and agreement by all participants to accept and abide by mediation to solve dispute resolution is a basic form of law that will work for decentralized systems.

More courts of law

[Q7] Should the Constitution mandate budgets include, e.g., a contingency fund, an indemnity fund and funds to cover administrative costs of the CC?

Periodic Cardano Blockchain Budgets will be developed and approved by the community. It is expected that the community will periodically approve budgets through on-chain governance actions. The Constitution specifies that no disbursements from the Cardano Treasury can be approved through on-chain governance actions unless at the time of the disbursement, a community-approved budget is then in place.

[Q7] Should the Constitution mandate budgets include, e.g., a contingency fund, an indemnity fund and funds to cover administrative costs of the CC?

However, the Constitution leaves to the community decisions as to what should actually be included in a budget, how detailed a budget should be and how budgets should be developed and approved. Given that this budget process is new and presently untested, it may be best to leave such questions to the community and allow the budget process to naturally develop and evolve over time. Alternatively, it may be desirable to mandate in the Constitution that certain requirements be included in community-approved budgets.

why question #7 is important

[Q7] Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include specific funds?

There are some aspects of the Cardano ecosystem that may be deemed to be so important that we want to guarantee fund availability by mandating the Cardano budget must account for it.

discussion process

[Q7] Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include specific funds?


1) Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone. (5 min)

2) 2 people: Share your notes with a partner. (10 min)

3) Combine groups of 2 to form groups of 4 and generate key themes and capture them in Menti. (15 min)

4) Whole group: Summarize key themes, points of intersection, and points of difference. Continue to capture key insights in Menti. (15 min)

[Q7] Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include (select all that apply):



(a) A contingency fund to cover unexpected costs not otherwise specified in the Cardano Budget.

(b) An indemnity fund to cover potential claims against governance participants such as DReps and Constitutional Committee members.

(c) Funds to cover administrative costs of the CC

(d) None of these

[Q7] Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include? Provide rationale. **Submit 1 response for each item you selected**

Contingency Fund - No: There is always a reason to increase budgets. Better to focus on proper budgeting.

a) If a family or company should have an emergency fund, it makes obvious sense an ecosystem must have one. Its use should cover emergencies, but also cc approved budget shortfalls. (cc must approve)

These funds should be mandated for unforeseen events. Unexpected costs should be covered but carefully examined by the committee. Indemnity funds should be used to protect these administrative roles.

Contingency: No, the reason is not strong enough. Be better at budgeting! Indemnity; This is a new process so makes sense to have a budget CC Admin costs: Not right now. Not clear why

I think we need a committee to manage these funds, if everyone needs to vote, then we may as well take the money directly from the treasury as needed

Should be an external committee to avoid that the CC owns too much power.

b) Directors insurance is usually mandatory for any large company. For similar reasons, people like the CC could be sued for not protecting users from fraud. Thus, the ecosystem should protect them

Contingency fund-No, The budget cannot be increased indefinitely.

[Q7] Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include? Provide rationale. **Submit 1 response for each item you selected**

Administrative costs should be used to incentivize administrative actions.

Contingency fund is needed due to risks like SEC lawsuits. Blockchain also requires cooperation with governments, making an administrative fund appropriate. An indemnity fund is to protect investors~

Indemnity fund-yes

the Contingency and Indemnity funds cover things which are not expected, or budged properly for - thus, their appropriateness is part of the CC remit to determine appropriateness

emergency or compensation
Make preparations first Deal with it when it happens For everyone who needs it
Everyone has the right to make management decisions
Funding needs are consistent Constitutional

The constitutional committee and Dreps operate a stake pool and pledge the annual budget. Any unused budget should be returned to the treasury.

b. About the administration maybe we can adapt the jury concept of US and just choose ppl randomly (with good credits) to review the indemnity application

Yes, because these budgets should be compiled, they should be fixed every year, but the more activities CC or voting can have, the more budgets they can get, but they must not exceed a certain proport

Financial Sustainability

[Q7] Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include? Provide rationale. **Submit 1 response for each item you selected**

I think these questions are already happened ever since Cardano have been invented. I think we should let constitution committee member made the decision.

Select A. Contingency fund can use for many purposes. For lawsuit,



Break ☕

why question #8 is important

[Q8] Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process?

Because the process of creating a single Cardano Budget will be new, it is uncertain how it will work, and what influences will be at play. As a result, some details may or may not be desirable to mandate to ensure the process keeps the needs of the ecosystem paramount.

context

[Q8] Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process?

The Cardano community is expected to propose, not less than on an annual basis, a budget for the ongoing maintenance and future development of the Cardano Blockchain. Discuss whether a detailed process for developing and approving the Cardano Budget should be specified in the Constitution. [Pertains to: Article III Section 8]

discussion process

[Q8] Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process?


1) Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone. (5 min)

2) 2 people: Share your notes with a partner. (10 min)

3) Combine groups of 2 to form groups of 4 and generate key themes and capture them in Menti. (15 min)

4) Whole group: Summarize key themes, points of intersection, and points of difference. Continue to capture key insights in Menti. (15 min)

[Q8] Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process? Provide rationale on next slide



[Q8] Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process? Yes/No/Unsure. Provide rationale.

Yes there must be very clear and defined guidelines on how the budget must be administered

Yes/ Article 3 Sec 8 budget should include an outline of critical operations and note that this is a core function for the security of the blockchain ecosystem

No - process is a detail and not a principle which is the purpose of a constitution. Significant change will happen with time so it is not appropriate for a Constitution which mostly should be static

No, I believe the budget does not need to include too many details because it would lose a lot of flexibility.

No, too detail. It should write in another law, not constitution

I think we can discuss the details of it annually, but don't put the details in the constitution. We should put the details in the lower level of law.

No - this is mostly detail, except there is a principle which should be included which is the budget should be balanced - model on Germany, Sweden, Switzerland constitutions.

Yes - Article 3 Section 8 No entity in Cardano has been created with the purpose of providing maintenance service and keeping the lights on.

[Q8] Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process? Yes/No/Unsure. Provide rationale.

Not sure if there is any relevant law under the Constitution to formulate budget detail opportunities in more detail, and there is no reference to previous ways of using details. Maybe we need a few w

the budgetary process ensures transparency. This would give the community and stakeholders a clearer understanding of how funds are managed, allocated, and usEd.

Yes - Future development of Cardano should be reviewed with a core roadmap. Set a standard for what to include in the budget. Its minimum requirements. Research falls into this category.

No Constitution no longer needs to spell out budget details Because the budget changes It is not appropriate to write it into the constitution

Independent audits need a framework for what qualifies as independent and if working groups can provide a framework. There also needs flexibility in funding based on the activity.

No, No, Yes

no - only roughly describe the process, then set the lower level law to describe detail, make more elastic way to modify in the future

Participatory Governance: maintain 1 ada is one vote as one vote is always one ada, no matter where it is or who is voting. Designee or other

Delegate Election 

Delegate Election 🗳️



https://constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

I hope I can have a way to share my thoughts on the workshop to future participants.

it's sad that we didn't have enough time to discuss about one ADA one vote~

Thanks for the activity.

There is nothing in the Constitution which speaks to the gravitas and fudicary responsibility to the users of our blockchain. It is a serious thing to be responsible for peoples money.

Thank you for everyone

The community needs to continuously hold forums for discussion, which will help the community reach consensus.

This is the first blockchain event I ever participated and I just wanna say thank you for everyone who make it happen! Learned a lot and hopefully we can see more events in the future!

An emergency response team needs to be selected in the CC to respond to emergencies. The team can then use the emergency budget. When used, it will be seen by the community and raised a trust or distr

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

Designees are important for compliance and allows for exchanges, custodians, or investors who may not be allowed to vote due to local regulatory requirements / compliance frameworks. Real situation

我們應該限制，一個人或一個地址最多只能投一定比例的ada, 而且質押的時間應該超過一個月以上才能投票。避免過多的ada集中在一個地址上。

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

Delta: We could not see all the responses from the audience

+ We are able to communicate deeper on topics that are generally understood, yet have major impact on the structure of the Cardano ecosystem.

+ I found the discussions very productive

+Even the ADA price down, but you guys still host this event, well done!

The difference between registration and people who showed up was disappointing. Perhaps a token fee or other commitment action would have kept people from holding slots. Food was great!

Thanks for the events so I can learn more about cardano ecosystem and have a deep conversation with everyone.

One plus is the ice breaking event in the beginning, which really opened me up. One delta is I wish I could have a chance to talk to other groups. Like shuffle every 2 questions.

The air conditioning was very cold, but the venue was great. Thank you all for attending. ADA to the moon!

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

Why is ADA not pumping now?

More people, more promotion. For this kind of discussion should get as much possible minds inputs.

Learn a lot about Cardano

I appreciate the discussion to understand ADA government with everyone.

As someone who had never been to a blockchain event and doesn't have relative background, I still feel included and encouraged in the theme from the start to the end. Thank you! Sherry you are awesome

the event is good

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

37 responses



Great work! Thank you 🙌

Mentimeter

WELCOME!

Cardano Constitution Workshop Estonia



Mentimeter

WELCOME!

Cardano Estonia Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Mentimeter

What do we hope to achieve or get out of todays experience?

Knowledge

launch the Stablecoin&Defi on Cardarno

Understanding constitution process and give our input to select dRep

Help to really give some good a feedback to the community. Also willing to understand better how!

See the technical background behind Cardano and see how do market or organizational participants interact with the voting system

Empower and onboard new people to take look, how they can leverage Cardano technology and institutions to help their ideas

Investment Insights: Explore potential investment opportunities within the Cardano ecosystem, whether through ADA (Cardano's cryptocurrency) or related projects

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules

Mentimeter

Let's get started 🚀

Q1 - Principles and Tenets: Define Cardano's Core Values


Do the tenets outlined in Article I, Section 1, adequately capture the core values and ethos of the Cardano Blockchain??

If the community feels that the tenets do not uphold the principles of the Cardano community, a tension between development work and the constitution could develop.

1) 5 Mins - Alone: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair up and Share your notes with a partner.
3) 10 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input. note
4) 10 Min -All debrief
5) 5 Min -Add to Menti.com

Principles and Tenets: Define Cardano's Core Values

Q1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?



Q1a. Please provide your answer (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1.

I joined late (10 min ago) so I am not sure yet

We need some clarification around moral responsibility and soft cap.

It is generally writing down most important values. In details we can see further on.

It's a good starting point, rules can be added over time

This draft adheres to the permissionless nature of the network (outside of governance).

there is more flexibility for future changes

It's too general and doesn't bring out the uniqueness of Cardano compared to other chains. Yes it has few good sentences in it, but the list of all general information buries down the key concepts

Individual liability / responsibility

Mentimeter

Q1a. Please provide your answer (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1.

Define stable gas

The tenets effectively guide Cardano's development to uphold its core principles like decentralization and transparency. While they are generally strong

Mentimeter

Break ☕


Q2 - Principles and Tenets: Define Cardano's Core Values

Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem?

1) 5 Mins - Alone: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair up and Share your notes with a partner.
3) 10 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input. note
4) 10 Min -All debrief
5) 5 Min -Add to Menti.com

Principles and Tenets: Define Cardano's Core Values

Q2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem?



Q2a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 2.

Yes

There is no point adding additional rules this early on.

No, because it adds complexity and risks which are outside of possible scope of this workshop.

no

Adds complexity and risks

We would definitely need some experts who could help us analyze and provide a service to community to provide insights. But I would be vary about the decision making power they will get.

no absolute cap

It's a tricky one. Let the systematic requirements be as straightforward as black and white So there is no gray zone to observe Maybe I'd only do Relationship management with fattest wallets

Q2a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 2.

Small delegates' fine. But eventually, monopoly might show up as targeting on collecting the votes.

No point right now

Mentimeter


Break ☕

Q3 - Voting Rights

Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees who are the

1) 5 Mins - Alone: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair up and Share your notes with a partner.
3) 10 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input. Scribe note
4) 10 Min -All debrief
5) 5 Min -Add to Menti.com

Q3: Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees



Q3a: Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

Because there's a concern of voting apathy.

That's how democracy works

People should have right to choose their delegates

Unnecessary complexity

Delegating votes to smaller ADA holders makes sense, but only in distributed way, not pribing.

I prefer the if the experts have some "skin in the game" of course we can also hire a service

There is already a DRep feature which mostly covers all delegation needs

They should have a vested interest in ADA

Mentimeter

Q3a: Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

Democracy works like that

Isolating a new coin represents voting rights

Lunch Break



Q17 - Community Questions


The Constitutional Guardrails specify a min/max size for the CC and min/max term lengths but does not otherwise address details on the composition

The Constitutional Guardrails specify a minimum and maximum size for the CC and minimum and maximum term lengths but does not otherwise address details on the composition of the CC.

1-2-4-All

Community Questions

Q17:Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include:



Q17a: Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 17. Consider: What size or term length do you have in mind?

Size and term are irrelevant. Just need to agree on the logic

Should be on-chain

CC members should be policing each other.

Term should allow the committee to set and fulfill long term obligations with ecosystems outside. I suggest 1 year minimum.

should be on-chain and should be max 2 year, not more to keep the power less corrputed.

In current state 1 year feels good enough, it's fast moving space and would like to see diversity in the people on leadership. New params about backlog if members are removed

On chain. At least 1-2 years to ensure continuity of projects

on chain and max 2 years of period for CC.

Q17a: Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 17. Consider: What size or term length do you have in mind?

2 years and relational 2 years is enough to reap the fruits of the chosen directives Relational as there are multipliers to various roles

Value should be fixed and enforced onchain

The draft has staggered terms. CC has significant power currently and its power should be limited further.

Mentimeter

Break ☕


Q18 - Constitutional Committee: Outline Roles and Responsibilities

Going forward the Constitution is silent as to the process for electing future members of the CC.

We now have experience electing members to an interim CC but, going forward, the Constitution is silent as to the process for electing future members of the CC. The community may wish to experiment with different approaches to electing CC members. Alternatively, the community may wish to specify a specific set of criteria for those elections.

1-2-4-All

18. *Should the Constitution include additional details related to the election of CC members?*



18a: Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 18.

To keep the decentralization on high level

I support the direct election process.

because it's important for the constitution to function properly.

This way we can ensure that we align with the code of conduct

Process of electing CC members cant change every time before electing, has to be time-proof

You might loose to competitors who will have clear line on how and what their authorities should be Imagine changing narrative every 2 years and selecting different types of CC? Like conceptually

love and control should go together

Needs to be a transparent and fair pricess

Mentimeter

18a: Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 18.

As we are going to have more capabilities and understanding of people, it wouldn't mind if committee is put together based on some mathematical algorithms and verified merit instead of popularity

Mentimeter

Break ☕

Q23 - Separation of Powers: Ensure Balanced Governance


Currently, there is no rule against voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.

Currently, there is no rule against voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO. Discuss your thoughts on whether voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO creates unique concerns that need to be addressed in the Constitution. [Pertains to: Article III Section 2, Article IV, Article V.]

1-2-4-All

Mentimeter

Q23. What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?



Q23a: Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

Disclosure makes more sense

Looks like SPOs don't have separate governance voting powers, so there seems to be no conflict.

B/ as long as it's transparent voting can be allowed

I am a bit confused atm but assume it refers Back to conflict of interest

I think having code of conduct or provisions should be part of constitution.

Yes, it creates concerns.

For transparency and clarity it would be fair if they let people know they are wearing multiple hats.

As long as it is disclosed then it shiuld be ok and managed case by case

Delegate Election 

Mentimeter

Introduction to Voting

"We are about to begin the process of voting for Constitutional Convention Candidates. It will be your job as Workshop Participants to choose a Delegate and an Alternate to travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina, this December, to represent our group and this region at the Cardano Constitutional Convention.

Participants who attended a majority of today's workshop are eligible to vote. When you receive your ballots please write down your name and email address so that we can validate votes in case of an audit. You will then have a chance to write up to 5 names of individuals that you feel would represent us well at the Constitutional Convention. Each candidate you list will benefit from your vote. The top name on your list will receive 5 points, the second name will receive 4 points, the

Mentimeter

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

By running as a candidate to become a Constitutional delegate or alternate, you are agreeing that if elected, you will fulfill these duties. Duties marked with an asterisk are NOT applicable to the Alternate.

Agree to the Code of Conduct
You will represent your constituents and the Cardano Community's best interests, rather than promoting any personal agenda.
Your constituents are the Cardano Community members who attended the workshop that elected you, the Cardano community at-large in your region, and any Cardano Community members who reach out to you to represent their needs in some way.
You will not do anything to harm other attendees at the Cardano Constitutional Convention
You agree to follow the Intersect code of conduct

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

Before the Constitutional Convention

*Contact the Alternate and agree how to support each other.
Ex) work together to review constituent feedback.
Attend an online delegate synthesis workshop where you meet other delegates and share your region's constitution feedback.

*Provide your name and contact information publicly for constituents to contact you

*Review constituent feedback and create a summary
Complete Constitutional Delegate training ahead of travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina

Obtain visas and flights necessary to arrive in Argentina for the first week of December 2024. Flight, accommodation, transfers and food costs during the event will be covered for Constitutional Delegates and Alternates selected at Constitutional Workshops.


Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

At the Constitutional Convention

Attend all scheduled sessions (3 days)
*Vote on whether to approve the Cardano Constitution

After the Constitutional Convention

Optionally: Become a DRep to vote on-chain to ratify the approved constitution
Optionally: Encourage the DReps and Cardano Community to vote on the approved constitution


constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/auth/signin
Mentimeter
INTERSECT
Cardano Workshop Login
Enter your email to log in or create an account.
Email
name@example.com
We'll send a magic link to this email.
Send Magic Link

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

Like to add thoughts around legal side of things

it was really good. I made so many contacts

More context - questions didn't have enough options.

Set up a base in Baltics or Estonia as Cardano is not present here at all , whereas tech ecosystem is strong Like Avax is looking into it and we have Ripple , don't miss out

On the best jurisdiction to enact the constitution

Many good experts. Questions were too general & we could not give enough feedback. Agenda was good.

Would have been interesting to discuss about the ratification thresholds and differences, basically assessing parameters and the selected guardrails for it.


The future of Cardano and if there are some necessary changes in the roadmap

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s (worked well) and deltas (could be improved) do you have for the workshop?

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

19 responses



Mentimeter

Great work! 🙌

WELCOME!

Cardano Community TAMALE, GHANA Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules



Let's get started 🚀


30 MINS - VOTING RIGHTS

3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees who...

are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance? [Notes: This is not a reference to delegation to DReps. Article II Section 2, Article III Section 4 and 8, Article IV Section 2, Article VI Section 1, Article VII Section 1.]

5 Mins - Solo: Generate
ideas/responses silently, alone.
10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

3. The Constitution should:



(a) Limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada

(b) Allow ada owners to appoint designees who are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance

(c) Unsure

3a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

B

(a) In my openion, the primary concern is maintaining a straightforward, secure, and directly accountable governance process, so limiting participation to ADA owners may be the best approach.

b

b. Yes, ada owners should be allowed to appoint designees to represent them in on be the whole idea about cardano is to decentralized the project, appointing designees will help reach out to the masse

The participation of on-chain governance can be a bit technical, in effect, limiting on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada would limit the effectiveness of the participation.

B. Yes, ADA owners should be allowed to appoint designees who can then participate in on-chain governance on their behalf. This approach allows for more flexible participation, enabling ADA holders t

b, so that the third party can vote on behalf of the ada holder in case of any emergency

ada holders are signs of those who are interested in the ecosystem and are ready to vote on products or projects that will help in developing the ecosystem.

3a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

By allowing them to appoint designees, they can choose individuals or entities with the necessary expertise to make informed decisions on their behalf.

(B) Owners or ada holders can also try to trained trusted persons to vote on his/her behalf when they're not available to vote. I suggest it should not be limited to only ada holders.

I think Ada holders should be allowed to appoint designees to participate in on chain governance because it will allow many others to participate for the wider Cardano Community support.

a) will prevent individuals who doesn't have the ecosystem at heart from participating in important decisions making.

The constitutions should allow ada holders to designee who are entitled to participate. Because Non ada holders may to be serious in the community but can't afford to participat, this will help them.

The Ada owner can appoint designees who has knowledge about the constitution of Cardano in other to participate in on chain governance on their behave when they are not available to participate

B. There's the need to involve others during decision making process since it's not just solely for the owners but would benefit others as well.

(B)ada holders should be allowed to appoint designees who are not entitled to participate in on-chain givernance They might have ideas to add up their ideas qnd build up the governance

15 MINS - VOTING RIGHTS

3a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

A. Since, we have DReps in play, it will be good we limit on chain governance to only ada holders.

Owners of Ada can also appoint and train a trusted person to vote on his/her behalf when they are not available to vote which will benefit both the appointee and designee

B.The designees may have valuable inputs and insights to share, which can help promote and improve the ecosystem

Answer. B Making room for designees to pariticapte in governance would promote flexibility for ADA holders and designees who are active community members

Ada should be allowed to appoint designees because someone may have the knowledge or experience who is not part of the owners of ada

Allowing Ada owner to participate in on-Chain governance will strengthen the security concerns on Ada owners

b, this is to ensure flexibility and to make sure that everyone's voice is heard and taken into account.

Holders of ada with limited capabilities should disignate capable people without ada to participate on their behalf.

3a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

(a) The Constitution or governance is a critical system that should be kept safe and prevent third parties from compromising the system. (ADA holders have fair ideas about governance than others)

This is to ensure flexibility and to make sure that everyone's voice is heard and taken into account.

B In my opinion ,ada owners should allow competent desgnees to share their ideas on their behalf.

A . The reason is that only ada holders are the cardano ecosystem members and should be limited to the holders of ada. It also means they are the real cardano enthusiast

B. Because there are times one will not be available due to circumstances beyond your control. Example ill-health, lack of Internet access etc. One's opinion or contribution should be counted.

A person who is not entitled to the on chain governance might not have knowledge about it

B. Appointing designees to participate in the on chain decision making process helps enhance inclusiveness and participation in the Blockchain. This will help improve dcentralisation process.

B. Allow ada owners to appoint designees who are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance. Which will allowed to bring effectiveness in the cardano ecosystem in the appointment process.

3a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

a) This is to ensure that the views of the owners of ADA are strictly adhered to so as to avoid a situation where the designates vote on things the owners do not want. Also for security concerns.

B. Flexibility and accountability

b.This will provide more opportunities for members of Cardano to engage the designees to be active in the Cardano ecosystem.

B. This can help build the cardano community. In such a way that it can bring new members on to the cardano community. Note: the person appointed should have a bit knowledge about cardano and how it.

b. all users should be allowed to vote regardless of being an ada member or not... this will be fair in myu opinion since all members are given equal rights and chance to decide.

As an ada holder , I should be given the right to entitle a designee on my behalf on the on-chain governance if I am not able to participate in on-chain the governance.

(a) i think governance should limit on-chain participation to only ada. this further increase the gathering of accurate and fair decisions made knowing well that ada holders are those participating

(a) . I strongly support option (a); Thus limiting on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada . Non-ada holders could be people who are likely to be uninterested in the cardano ecosystem

3a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

my reason is that, ada holders are signs of those who are interested in the cardano ecosystem and are ready to vote on products or projects that will help in the development of the ecosystem.

holders might be more willing to engage in governance if they can delegate their voting power to trusted representatives. This system could encourage broader participation.

I selected option b because, when an owner is at a place where he/she can't access the internet it will become difficult to participate in an on-chain-governance. Appointing third party will be good.

b.) By allowing the appointments of designees, it helps everyone in the ecosystem to be involved. Ada owners will be ease when they are too tight to participate in the onchain governance.

My opinion is that all members should be allowed to vote regardless of been an ada member or not..

a/ my reason is that, ada holders are signs of those who are interested in the cardano ecosystem and are ready to vote on products or projects that will help in the development of the ecosystem.

b

Allowing Ada owners to appoint designees will ensure broad spectrum collaboration from other participants as they equally bring their individual ideas to help develop the Blockchain.

3a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

Allowing Ada holders to appoint designees to vote will promote collaboration and growth of Cardano, because Blockchain enthusiasts could be engaged as designees, attracting them to Cardano.

(A) I think Ada holders would in one way or the other have a more positive interest in the ecosystem. So therefore when limited to them would give an opportunity of decide what they think is best.

(B) I think the constitution should not limit on-chain governance to only ada owners, because a person without ada may have an idea that may be beneficial to the cardano community.

My opinion is that all members should be allowed to vote regardless of been an ada member or not..

a, because beining ada holder already show how committed you are to the ecosystem, for a person to designee his participation to another person who is not a ada holder is not motivative

Allowing ada holders to assign designees to represent in the on-chain governance will allow every ada holder to participate in the governance.

B. Since this is governance, it will be good to delegate most especially in an instance where you have no time or access to Internet connectivity.

(B)The Ada owner should be allowed to appoint designees to participate in on-chain governance if only the appointed designee have knowledge about the Cardano constitution in order to participate

15 MINS - VOTING RIGHTS

3a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

The ada holders shouldn't delegate since their opinion will be visible during voting in relations to their ada holdings, delegation may mislead the thro intent of real ada holders

Option b will promote transparency inthe on-chain governance.

B .Allow ada owners to appoint designees, which will bring about effectiveness in the cardano ecosystem. Moreover allowing ada holders to participate in the voting process is important to take be part

(C) unsure. On-chain governance should be shared to users of idea scale.

I think (b) in the sense that allowing Ada holders to participate in the on-chain in the sense that it will make ways for those who are not haven Ada to feel that they are part of the community.



Break ☕

30 MINS - DReps

11. The draft constitution currently states: “DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps...

and make such codes of conduct publicly available.” [Pertains to: Article III, Article IV.]

What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

10 MINS - DREPS

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?



15 MINS - DREPS

11a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response...

When codes of conduct are on-chain, they are accessible to everyone in the network.

C. Flexibility for each DRep .. easy to access and to make changes when the need arises

b) because Drep govern their time and activities and make codes available on public

(C) Flexibility for each DRep since they will act as a voice of Ada owners and will be given the chance to vote on their behalf it should be flexible for each of them to access

DRep code of conduct should be on-chain for the purposes of transparency, accountability, security and for community trust

A. The DReps constitution should be dependent on the On- Chain because their conduct should be built around the chain

B. One code of conduct is very necessary to ensure a standard code of conduct for all DReps.

a/ So that ada holders can help monitor the behaviours of the Dreps and report accordingly to those who can sanction them if it is necessary.

15 MINS - DREPS

11a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response...

d) given that the Dreps will not be individuals of the same region or country, I believe it will be much better, for the constitutional committee take such decision.

C. Transparency

C, flexibility for each Dreps, this is ensure to easy amendment to the constitution and as well as the blockchain.

(D)Accounable to citizens, ither Dereps and other bodies

(C) There should be flexibility for each Drep to ensure that each Drep can work at his or her own pace and within his /her capacity.

A. DReps Codes of conduct should be provided to help bring shape the image on-chain B. Code of conduct will help DRep to ensure good ethics when working.

B. Their conduct should be determined by the constitutional committee and as to when there is a need for amendment.

Since the constitutional committee will be supervising on chain proposals, it will be good to subject the DReps code of conduct to a review of the CC.

11a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response...

a because DRep are entitled to cast votes directly for on-chain governance action

(b)Code of conducts are set of rules set aside for the appropriate practices of an organization or entity, so for compliance sake it's necessary for one code of conduct so members could easily abide

b.) One Code of conduct will help ensure uniformity of conduct and help in proper regulation.

A. A specified codes of conduct should be determined by the committee to ensure consistency in standard for Ada holders and their Dreps.

(B) I think there should be one code of conduct for all DReps to ensure that individual DReps do notdecide what they think is best for them but then Equality amongst DReps across the ecosystem

1.In other to take part, the executives of cardano should lead the DReps and directly involved in the day-to-day activities of the cardano ecosystem system. 2 . It also has to be systematic order of

(a) This ensures transparency and accessibility, allowing all participants to review and verify the code of conduct

The DRep should be guided with constitution in other not to abuse the authority given to him/her

15 MINS - DREPS

11a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response...

Flexibility for each DRep is important because it allows them to adapt their voting strategies to better reflect the evolving needs and preferences of the stakeholders they represent. This responsive

c. Dreps must be flexible in other to delegate their voting rights. b. Dreps are expected to adopt their code of conduct feom time to time governing their activities and publicly available.

The Constitutional Committee is responsible for safeguarding the principles and rules outlined in the blockchain's constitution. This ensures that all decisions and changes align with the core values.

C. One code of conduct for each DRep will help the issue of bias on the ecosystem

a. The DRep code of conduct should be on-chain . this will help them to adopt the code of conduct from time to time .

A. It should be on chain because it can help provide security and flexibility.

(b) one code of conduct ensures consistency across all DReps, reducing confusion and maintaining uniform standards.

C. Flexibility for DRep will help ensure transparency

15 MINS - DREPS

11a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response...

I selected option B because, unified code of conduct ensures that all representatives are held to the same standards, promoting fairness and consistency in decision making processes.

b) One code of conduct will bring coherence in the Drep activities. d) The Dreps should be represented in the constitution to avoid constitutional issues in relation to Dreps activities

a)To ensure the code of conduct is not compromised by third parties. b)There should be one code of conduct to ensure there's no unfair advantage to other DRep.

Flexibility of each Drep making the constitution flexible

(A) the availability of DRep codes of conduct on the blockchain will make easier for them to access it which further increases the transparency level level in the community

A. Should be considered because it will help regulate the behavioral activity on the chain

d)Constitutional committee should conduct a code for the on chain to keep it safe

a) Yeah, to avoid compromising the constitution by third parties b) This would help harness the assessment of each Drep member d) The need to have a committee to regulate Drep's code of conduct

15 MINS - DREPS

11a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response...

c. it should be flexible for all to make it easy for DRep.

(d) This ensures that the codes of conduct align with the broader constitutional principles.

A/B/C/D/E: The rational behind this choice is to provide flexibility, guidelines and better understanding of the constitution in other to make the right choice.

C. TO work in accordance with the code of conduct of the constitution.

It should be flexible for each DRep

Their contact should be determined by the cardano ecosystem. It should be laydown rules and regulations for them to follow They must take part in every activity regarding the the cardano ecosystem

(D) The Drep should be determined by the Constitutional committee.

A . There should be on-chain code of conduct for transparency. B. The code of conduct for Dreps members should be uniform for fairness purposes D. The constitutional committee should determine

15 MINS - DREPS

11a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response...

It should be flexible for each DRep

DRep code of conduct should for one for all because of fairness, a unified community vision, efficient enforcement of the code, simplicity and for clarity and consistency

D) I believe it will be much better because the Dreps won't be from the same region or country...

(A.)This helps streamline the on-chain process. (B.)Having one code of conduct for all DReps ensures uniformity and more orderliness. (D.) This will help streamline the process

(c) It's deemed fit that the constitutional committee determines whether the code of conduct is consistent as monitoring and evaluation would be done after the code of conducts are put in place to ..

Drep codes should be on-chain because it helps in the governance of the Cardano ecosystem with participants applying the rules and regulations to vote effectively

I selected option B because a unified code of conduct ensures that all representatives are held to the same standards, promoting fairness and consistency in decision-making processes.

a. Ensures transparency, enforceability, and alignment with Cardano's decentralized ethos. b. Should emphasize integrity, and decision-making c. Allows for adaptation d. Guidelines are being adhered

15 MINS - DREPS

11a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response...

C . Should also be considered because flexibility is important because it brings unity among owners , any change can happen

flexibility as in? if it is base on easy access I believe that's the best option as it will enable more participation from the community members... thus, the promotion of decentralization.

ABCDE: The rational behind this choice is to provide flexibility, guidelines and better understanding of the constitution

B. Code of conducts for DReps should be unique to ensure a viable standard code of conduct.

A. This will help easy access of the code of conduct whenever need be. B. Each Drep might have a different way of doing things and therefore different code of conduct to run things smoothly

flexibility as in? if it is base on easy access I believe that's the best option as it will enable more participation from the community members... thus, the promotion of decentralization.

d, the Constitutional Committee should determine whether DReps code(s) of conduct are consistent with the constitution ,this is to ensure checks and balance in the the community.

C


Lunch 

30 MINS - DReps

014. Should the Constitution include a mechanism that causes ada holders to re-select their DReps over time? [Pertains to: Article IV.]

5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

014. Should the Constitution include a mechanism that causes ada holders to re-select their DReps over time? [Pertains to: Article IV.]



014a. Please provide your answer (Y/N/Unsure) and rationale for Question 014. If you answered Yes, please explain what you would add to...

Reason for Including a Re-Selection Mechanism: A periodic re-selection mechanism ensures that DReps remain accountable and responsive to the evolving needs of ADA holders. It prevents stagnation

Yes because, this is necessary to promote accountability and allow checks and balances in the on- chain governance.

They should be re-selection because, it encourages DReps to remain accountable to ADA holders, ensuring they perform their duties effectively.

The Dreps should be subject to periodic changes over time, allowing members to exercise control over who represents them and ensuring that their interests are consistently voiced and valued.

Transparency, flexibility and accountability

Yes. Delegated DRep with expiration can help check the activities of DReps since they are aware that they can be replaced from time to time.

(NO): Because some DRep may be working harder and someone may not like him and want him to be changed. so when we make it forever it will help stop that problems.

ADA holders should occasionally re-select their DReps to ensures accountability, prevents complacency, adapts to changing community needs, and encourages active participation in governance

15 MINS - DREPS

014a. Please provide your answer (Y/N/Unsure) and rationale for Question 014. If you answered Yes, please explain what you would add to...

Yes, there should be a period of reselecting DReps. This will ensure accountability to DReps and will ensure that owners are interested in what the Dreps use their ada for.

Yes, there should be Dreps delegations that expire after which they can be assessed on their improvement and new appointments can be made.

Yes. DReps should be reselected after a time frame set by the constitutional committee. This reselection will hold DReps accountable and prevents DReps stagnation and encourage active participation.

Ada holders should be allowed to re-select their DReps within certain framed timelines because of perculiar reasons

A, for a DRep not have term limits, the DRep can decide not to do any reasonable work in the ecosystem, so we need to have term limits for them so that they will be serious

Yes, the constitution should be amendable so that in situations where any of the designated DReps might not be available to partake in governance sessions or voting process another can be assigned

Yes, there should be a mechanism that can allow ada holders to change their DReps at anytime when they fail to perform their duties

Yes. Constitution should include a mechanism that requires Ada holders to periodically re-select their Dreps. This is to promote accountability and active participation

014a. Please provide your answer (Y/N/Unsure) and rationale for Question 014. If you answered Yes, please explain what you would add to...

It also ensure ADA holders to replace DReps who are underperforming or no longer aligned with community interests

Yes, the Constitution should include a mechanism for ADA holders to re-selct their DReps overtime This ensures 1.Accountability 2.Performance 3.New people elected would come with their ideas

(y) It will help in disciplining Dreps who are not living up to expectation and even ginger them to be committed to their work and do the needful for the betterment of the ecosystem.

I answered yes because if the constitution provides or include a working mechanisms it will help maintain, create and improve the administrative work.

Dreps members should be re-sellected for every 2 years to ensure good gorvernance so that Dreps members can be held accountable for every 2 years term

Dreps should have a term limit this may enable new members come on board and the Dreps

Yes, because ada holders should be able to re delegate if their chosen DReps are not representing them well, as outline in their background. Maybe every epoch should be a window for ada holders to act

They should be re-selection because, it allows ADA holders to exercise control over who represents them.

014a. Please provide your answer (Y/N/Unsure) and rationale for Question 014. If you answered Yes, please explain what you would add to...

Yes, the constitution should include a mechanism that causes ADA holders to re-select their Dreps over a time being. This will help Dreps to decide on what soever they wish during voting.

The constitution should allow ada holders to reselect DReps over time. it helps ada holders change their DReps incase their think the DRep does not represent their views and thoughts well again

YES because limiting terms helps prevent any single individual or group from gaining excessive influence or power within the delegation.

Yes. DReps should be reselected so that every individual gets the chance to participate in the decision making process

A periodic selection will allow members to have authority to decide their voting authority

The mechanism should be included so that ada holders can re select Dreps over time maintain on going criteria to be relevant

Yes. DReps should have time limits , this will help ada holders assess decide whether to re-elect DReps based on performance

Yes the constitution should include a mechanism that causes ada holders to reselect the DReps overtime.

15 MINS - DREPS

014a. Please provide your answer (Y/N/Unsure) and rationale for Question 014. If you answered Yes, please explain what you would add to...

Because there times and situations where the current Drep might not be suitable to represent or to contribute something impacting to the governance.

Yes! In a sense that, in situations where there's a future error that need to amend. Ada holders can come in to amend it with the constitution committee to suits the current concern

Accountability, Re-election allows constituents to hold their Representatives accountable for their actions and performance.

(Yes) Drep delegation that expires is an awesome mechanism to go with; as this will avoid complacency that may be associated with long serving reps. and enhance diversity among the Dreps .

Yes. Regular re-selection ensures that DReps remain accountable to the ADA holders who have delegated their voting power.

DRep replacements in case of emergencies

Yes , The should be a tim period for DReps. They should be like , for example every 4 years , the should be and election for who to lead th cardano ecosystem. They should be voting to who to lead it

YES. Since they act as the voice and are empowered to vote on behalf of Ada owner s they should be changed to make them work actively since they are aware of being changed at any time.

15 MINS - DREPS

014a. Please provide your answer (Y/N/Unsure) and rationale for Question 014. If you answered Yes, please explain what you would add to...

YES: Because, in the absence of a delegate (whether dead, alive or injury, end of tenure), they can be represented by others.

Yes, Accountability, Re-election allows constituents to hold their Representatives accountable for their actions and performance.

The Cardano community's demands and priorities may evolve over time. Holders of ADAs can select representatives who share their objectives and beliefs through re-selection.

Yes. This is would ensure Dreps abide by the standard codes as established in constitution. It will also give ADA holders the opportunity to express their satisfaction with roles of their appointees

Yes. Because it would give right to potential community members of being DReps in instances where the serving DRep tends to be incompetent or looses interest in being a DRep

YES This will make Dreps accountable for their actions and also work hard The reason being that Dreps will know there could be another rep in their place, so they will work hard to be reinstated.

Y, this ensures transparency and flexibility, since DReps delegations are to changed over time.

Yes. The constitution should spell out all the guidelines that will help dreps to perform their duties diligently and effectively.

014a. Please provide your answer (Y/N/Unsure) and rationale for Question 014. If you answered Yes, please explain what you would add to...

Yes because DReps are expected to retain the accountability of it

Delegators having power to change DReps can help eliminate stubborn DReps (Inactive DReps).

YES. 1. Ada holder should be given a chance to change their DReps within a particular period of time. 2. It can allow Ada holder to choose the a time period after which they can then change their DRep

Yes because Drep should always be changeable, any organization that keeps their executives forever later face challenges in the organization.

Yes. Because the Constitution as the regulatory document should encompass most issues of the Cardano ecosystem. Hence, there should be provision for dRep tenure during delegation.

Yes. The DReps should be given term limits as it will help involve everyone who wish to be a DRep to also get the opportunity. This will help bring in new people with fresh ideas.

Thete should be one code of conduct that guides the activities of all Dreps.

Reflect about how the system makes sure that representatives change to reflect the community's changing objectives.

15 MINS - DREPS

014a. Please provide your answer (Y/N/Unsure) and rationale for Question 014. If you answered Yes, please explain what you would add to...

This will also ensure the constitution stays for a little longer before revision or amendments. Making dRep tenure limited for a specified time or having an expiry will promote transparency and just.

Yes. The constitution committee should do thoroughly analysis about the constitution to make sure there's no inconsistencies in it.

I will say there is a need for a periodic reselection of Dreps as it will ensure the ideas and needs of members at a point in time to be well attended to by those well versed in the current happenings

I will say there is a need for a periodic reselection of Dreps as it will ensure the ideas and needs of members at a point in time to be well attended to by those well versed in the current happenings



Break ☕

30 MINS - SPOs


17. The Constitutional Guardrails specify a minimum and maximum size for the CC and minimum and maximum term lengths but does not otherwise address...

details on the composition of the CC. [Pertains to: Article VI and Guardrails Maximum Constitutional Committee Term (CMTI) and The minimum size of the Constitutional Committee (CMS).]

5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

10 MINS - SPOS

17. Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include:



17a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 17. Consider: What size or term length do you have in mind?

A. The number should be 35 to 55 C. the term limits should 3years to 4years

(d) A fixed term limit.

(c) because term limits ensure accountability, prevent entrenchment, and allow fresh perspectives, which is crucial for a dynamic and effective Constitutional Committee.

I selected option D because a fixed term limits help prevent members from becoming too powerful or entrenched in their positions, which can lead to corruption, bias, or lack of innovation.

A. On the number, if should be odd number in every term. And the term limit should be 24 months.

A. These should include an odd number for the CC so as to enable them reach a decision

a CC size might range from 5 to 15 members, balancing diverse representation with effective decision-making.

There should be a fixed term limit

17a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 17. Consider: What size or term length do you have in mind?

The lengths might be set between 1 to 3 years to provide stability while allowing for regular turnover

B. A fixed number of 21 CC

It is important to address the criteria of selecting CC members, number of CC members and period of term in service. This will provide more insight into the CC members selection process.

d. A fix term is essential in offering opportunity for other community members to serve on the CC.

d)Members of the CC should serve terms to be determined from time to time by owners of ada

The constitutional guardails for the cardano (CC) council seem to establish basic parameters, but leave room for flexibility

b) The fix number will be good in selecting those who are really interested in running their duties. So that in case they misbehave, they will be replace my serious ones

The number of CC helps to make voting more competitive and easier to the public, because each member will be able to excecute their roles effectively .

17a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 17. Consider: What size or term length do you have in mind?

A. Establish a nomination process for CC members D. Candidates term limit for CC members to prevent entrenchment and to outline a process for removing CC members.

A fixed number of 4 and a limit of 8 terms

C. A fixed term limit to give others the chance to take the mantle so as to bring new ideas and innovative approaches to foster a smooth transition

(B) since each constitutional committee member has a duty to perform the number should be fixed for the individual/individuals to concentrate mainly on their assign duties.

(a) How the number of CC members are determined, this very important because selection should not be done by an individual, hence should be agreed on by team or any other collective means.

B .There should be a fixed number that can help prepare CC minds of the positions they hold for an effective work yield.

A. A typical range for effective governance is between 7 to 15 members

D. A fixed tenure of 2 years then afterwards there can be a re-selection of CC's

17a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 17. Consider: What size or term length do you have in mind?

B. A fixed number of CC in accordance to the guardrails can help maintain the sanity of opinions with regards to governance and participation.

C

There should be a fixed term for the CC . A five years term limit will be a sufficient term enough for the CC and the number of CC should be relational.

The constitutional committee should be appointed for a long term service and I suggest a a range of 7 CC.

c. Constitutional committee should be appointed for a long-term service with a range of 5 CC

(A) the number of CC should be determined. this makes it easier to regulate the CC. lets say 35 to 55CC and a fixed term limit, once your term expires you are either replaced or reassessed

c) Term limits for CCs should be set and the constitution should specify how they are set. This will ensure that CCs are kept on their toes to carry out their duties and be liable to accountability.

D because, fixed term limits help prevent members from becoming too powerful or entrenched in their positions, which can lead to corruption, bias, or lack of innovation. Fixed number of 4 years.

17a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 17. Consider: What size or term length do you have in mind?

Considering the number of proposals that are usually submitted, the committee size should be 11 The term length of the committee should be limited to each funding round.

b) A fixed term limit should be implemented to avoid overusing the position. This can help assess their performance and whether to maintain or change them.

I think the criteria for selecting CC members should include experienced Cardano community or builders who to file for CC selection. This will allow those with experience to perfom the role better.

(c) 'Term limits' prevent concentration of power, promote diversity of thought, and maintain the CC's responsiveness to the people they serve

A. The number should 5-15. B. A fixed number will limit the members to be more powerful. C. A term limit should be 20 month. D. A fixed term will regulate their behavior in service

C. **How Term Limits Benefit Governance:** Term limits prevent the concentration of power within the Constitutional Committee (CC) by ensuring regular leadership turnover. This encourages the introdu

A. There should be a requirement for selecting CC members B. There should be fixed number of CC members D. There should be a fixed number of years, like 2 years for CC members

a) In selecting the constitutional committee members, there should be a consideration of having a representative from each continent to ensure transparency and fairness.

17a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 17. Consider: What size or term length do you have in mind?

(d) A fixed term limit s for CC members, this will also ensure shift in ruling, hence different in governance, which very essential to the community.

D. The CC members should be given a fixed term limit before new members are appointed. This will help encourage members to work hard in order to be elected as a CC member.

d.) A fixed term limit will ensure good governance and not bring about authoritative or dictatorship which will in the long run not affect the ecosystem.

(a) I think this will help

The cardano ecosystem is rapidly evolving, a limited term of 2-3 years would be useful for achieving geographical, and cultural diversification in CC

Separation of Powers, Clearly define the roles and responsibilities of the legislative, executive, and judicial branches to prevent abuse of power.

B: The reason is to provide a fixed number to form or determine a quarum should there be a need for voting. D: The rational is to remind them on their mandate to work within their time limits.

(b) A fixed number , the guardrails specifies on the number of CC members; but in my opinion a fixed number of CC members will set the records straight to avoid uncertainties

17a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 17. Consider: What size or term length do you have in mind?

a. The size of CC should be determined based on the total number of active participants b. A fixed number of 35 to 55 c. Balance between continuity and new perspective d. Adjusted by on-chain voting

Specifying how members of the CC are determined will help address any issues or concerns that may arise due to the process.A fixed odd number of CC should be determined with fixed term limits.

a. The size of CC should be determined based on the total number of active participant. b. Number of 35 to 55 c. Balance between continuity and new perspective. d. Voting

(a) I think the number should not be fixed because there might be a time when the system needs to be expanded there is going to be work overload in the system there by reducing the efficiency.

a) They should be a requirement set b) term limit may bring new members thereby ensuring proper governance eco system

7-9 members And 1 to 2 years

(d) a fixed term limit should be set for CC members so they know time limits that are required of them to perform duties so they execute appropriately

a. Establish a range for the number of members in the constitutional Committee (minimum and maximum size) Define the short-term and the long-term lengths for constitutional Committee members



Break ☕

30 MINS - SEPARATION OF POWERS


23. Currently, there is no rule against voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.

Discuss your thoughts on whether voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO creates unique concerns that need to be addressed in the Constitution. [Article III Section 2, Article IV, Article V.]

What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?

5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

23. What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?



23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

To my understanding, DReps should be be voting on social proposals whiles the SPO should focus on more technical and advanced projects.

Voting in both roles (DRep and SPO) could lead to conflicts where one role's interests might influence or overshadow the other

A. Voting as both DRep and SPO risks conflict of interest, power concentration and reduced transparency.

a) Prohibiting this practice ensures the integrity and fairness of the governance process."

Option B. The Constitution should require that any individual acting as both a DRep and SPO must disclose their dual role before participating in governance decisions.

A. It brings about conflict of interest C. Lack of diversity, since DReps and SPOs may have similar interests, it becomes difficult to diversitify

(A) Voting on the same governce acting as both digital and representative (DReps)and stake pool operators raises concern that warrant constial adress

Prohibiting dual-role voting eliminates potential conflicts of interest. When an individual has influence from both roles, their decisions could be biased or self-serving, impacting the fairness.

23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

Interest of conflict provision, ideas varies hence reduce trust

SPOs may act as a check on the power of th Constitutional Committee under exceptional circumstances by separately voting on .motion of no confidence and update Committee thresholds

Voting on the same governance as a DRep and spo which provides good decisions making and restrictions to any requirements.

A: The rational behind this is to provide checks and balances and also provide avenue for separation of powers.

A. This will mean that there will be unnecessary double voting.

(a) It seems a duplicate of vote when a single individual will have an option of voting on the same governance action as a Drep and SPO.

c.Voting on the same governance as a Drep and SPO which provides good decision making and restrictions to any requirement.

(D) It creates unique concerns about voting on the same governance since there is a collective decision made base on specific consensus threshold required to participate by Cardano block chain.

23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

To avoid undue influence, the Constitution should stipulate that an ADA owner can't cast votes on the same governance action in multiple capacities (i.e., both as a DRep and SPO).

A. prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO

(A) DRep and SPO should be prohibited from voting on the same action of governance since they both have different roles to play in the ecosystem.

C)Voting in both roles will make a person not to have much time to perform his duties well

a) Establish a governance committee to oversee and resolve potential conflicts or disputes arising from dual voting.

(a) this ensures the effectiveness of separation of powers in the community, through this, each and every individual has his field of work and powers respectively

D. Considering the experience of SPOs, having them perform different tasks like governance, cannot be difficult for them.

b. Disclosure and non-probition are needed in seeking variable governance opinions of Dreps and SPOs

23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

a) This one will help in transparency since their identity will be disclosed. And also a neat work will be assured.

A. A person acting as both a Drep and SPO should not be allowed to vote on the same governance action because a single person opinion is going to be recorded twice.

B they should be a model that can guide them for not functioning out of content .

(a). there should be a seperation of powers in which DRep and SPO members are only allowed to vote in their respective governance actions as they can best denote the situation faced.

Owners of ada are both DReps SPOs shall either refrain from voting in on chain governance actions in both capacities or disclose such dual rules in governance in the cardano ecosystem

(A)prohibiting voting on the same governance actions will help avoid double representation. (C)This will minimize the risk of individuals prioritizing personal interest and ensure accountability.

A. Each of then should be assigned to perform different tasks in order to eliminate conflict of interest

c) In cases where the governance is more technical and concerns SPOs the most, it should be restricted to SPOs and vice versa. But it should be allowed when the proposal that is not the case.

23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

d) there is the need to establish guidelines of voting right separately from the constitution

Require disclosure but do not prohibit voting

a. It helps prevent conflicts o interest. b. Acting as a both DReps and SPO must publicize their dual role before participating in Cardano. c. Decisions are made in the best interest of the communit

Option B. The Constitution should require that any individual acting as both a DRep and SPO must disclose their dual role before participating in governance decisions to prevent conflict of interest.

(a) There should be a provision in the constitution that ensure that each person can only vote as either a Drep or a SPO but not both. This will curb the challenges associated double/multiple voting .

SPOs and DReps should have distinct roles in the Cardano ecosystem. So, the Cardano constitution should address this by prohibiting one having both roles to vote on same governance action.

Prohibiting all- inclusiveness is more or less establishing specialised units to address specific issuess. It is necessary to facilitate swift decision making.

a. Helps prevent conflicts of interest. b. Acting as a DRep and SPO must publicize their dual roles before participating in ADA governance decisions

23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

a) They both have different functions and as such there is a need for each of them to perform their functions without interrupting. Working separately will help prevent conflicts in their roles.

(c) Provisions should be made for members who happen to fall in two categories(DRep and SPO) so to be able to monitor their inputs and rewards in situations where ideas from both bodies are required

Include special conflicts of interest provisions to manage the situation of SPO and DReps to help enhance checks of selfish interests.

c.) With the provision of special conflicts of interest, it will help both the DRep and SPOs to work independently so as to ensure smooth running of the cardano Governance ecosystem.

yes, prohibit voting on the same governance action as a Drep and SPO

A.Conflict of interest May emerge . C. Dreps and SPO May hold one interest

C. They shouldn't be conflicts of interest the conduct of duties.

c. Conflict of interest must be included so that decisions can be made in the best interest of the broader community. d. Needs to be included in the constitution allowing fairness in the governance

15 MINS - SEPARATION OF POWERS

23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

A They should be a model that will guide them in their day to day activities of the constitutional Committee .

(b) in instances where a participant happens to fall in two categories as ideas garnered from both sides will have equipped the participant to make decisions that will develop the community

Delegate Election 

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

No

No.

NO

No

No

No

No

No

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

It's been exceptional and amazing

No

No

Well, we thank the almighty God. Very thing was successful and we are okey with everything.

I think it went all well and I have really achieved the reason I attended this workshop.

No. The meeting has been amazing and lovely. Thanks to the organizers especially the Cardano Ghana Team for bringing us together for such an amazing milestone

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas (changes) do you have for the workshop?

no

no

No

The team for this workshop has done a great job and I'll say everything is on point.

Everything was great.

Provision of wifi or data

We did have a great time as I made new friends .. with the organization it was perfectly done and we hope to hear from you guys again .. thank you so much for the opportunity

The workshop was very well organized right from the venue, the explanation to the questions asked and the refreshment.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas (changes) do you have for the workshop?

Thanks for this eye opening workshop. I have really learnt a lot. Everything was great.

Venue of the workshop should be large to accommodate all attendees.

No. The meeting has been amazing and lovely. Thanks to the organizers especially the Cardano Ghana Team for bringing us together for such an amazing milestone

Questions presented was good for our understanding and they team make more time to carry us all along.

Giving the increasing number members, a much bigger space/location would help going forward. All in all, everything went on well. I'm impressed.

The discussion was okey, the speakers did very well and i can we all understood. venue and and everything about the programme is fine. Its the network that was somehow bad.

The workshop was well organised with emphasis on the openness of it where everyone had a chance to express their views with regards to the constitution

TnT should be made available

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas (changes) do you have for the workshop?

Since the whole thing involves the use of mobile phones and laptops, I suggest, WiFi should be made available next time to facilitate most of the processes. Overall, Kudos to the organizers 👋

everything was okay

Indeed , it's an interesting workshop. Thenk you for organising such a workshop really learn a lot. Especially the voting part it was transparent. Everything was been displayed for us to see. Thank

I will say Wi-Fi should be provided next time to ensure that participants are actively participating

In your subsequent workshops try to share ADA coins to participants as way of motivating them.

It's a nice experience, Will recommend next time Ada coins should be rewarded to participants.

Good networking, Provide nice facility for the program, Good presentations .

The workshop was great. I would recommend if we could add knowledge sharing sessions to future workshops

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas (changes) do you have for the workshop?

Sensational 😌

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

66 responses



Great work! 🙌

WELCOME!

Cardano Tauranga Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules



Let's get started 🚀


17. The Constitutional Guardrails specify a min/max size for the CC and min/max term lengths but doesn't address details on the composition of the CC.

17a. Please provide your answer(s) and rationale for Question 17. What size or term length do you have in mind? Should these values be fixed, relational, or determined by on-chain voting?

Select additional provisions the Constitution should include:

(A) How the number of CC members are determined
(B) A fixed number of CC members (consistent with the Guardrails)
(C) How term limits for CC members are determined
(D) A fixed term limit for the CC members (consistent with the Guardrails)
(E) Other
(F) None of these

17. Select additional provisions the Constitution should include (select all that apply)



17. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale

(B) should have an uneven number of CC members, eg 7 or 9.

E) perhaps have rolling members terms so there is cross over with members.

The number of CC members should be fixed (9?). Term limits maximum up to 2 years. Smaller number of CC members as role is limited. DReps are the main governance role

a/Yes, to take account of a changing world b/Yes, to stop bloat c/Yes, consistent and public rules d/Yes, to get change and reflect society e/ Provisional terms; staggered start/end to allow overlaps

a. It is important to have transparency and flexibility around how CC member numbers are determined, as well as a faculty to adjust this over time. If not, then rule can become law

Committees tend to grow.. Flexible size is good but it should be clear how that number can change. Other:rotation by voting only for part of a committee aids continuity. Max number of terms would good

All provisions are required so we have in the constitution the provisions based on how we select cc members. Additional provisions: Succession planning, provisional term, fixed consecutive terms

E) I think there should be a requirement that some of the committee are individuals-entities can be changed too easily C) I believe term lengths should be 2-3 years so people have time be familiar

17. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale

e. Selection of membership should be increase to 9. And selection based on a rotational vote where three members are elected at a time for a term specified at the time of the election.

a - yes: random sortition c - yes: fixed terms are important to prevent fixed member lock in. And is interlink with answer a.

b) I think there should be a fixed number or tight range. e.g. 9-11. We want to balance protecting against collusion, diversity of perspectives, cost of running the roles (which MUST be resourced)

d) we need terms long enough to support learning, exchange of knowledge, commitment. e.g. 12month min (with provision for people to be able to exit for personal reasons)

a,b,c,d. Yes. I prefer everything to be pre-determined and detailed in the Constitution.

A. Yes, Number of cc members should represent sentiment/opinion, and potentially voted on B. No. C. Yes,Term length should represent sentiment/ opinion and potentially voted on D. No.

E. Succession planning needs to be built in. At least a third to half members should roll off so new thinking rolls on. Limit consecutive terms of any one person. Be able 2 exit any time if red

d. It is helpful to have a rolling term to help new and previoua members transition into their term

17. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale

a & c yes b & d no, should be a range Having a range ensures operation within a desirable band (not moving to undersirable extremes) and allows for flexibility as the network learns & deveops.

e) we need to resource CC roles. This will be deeply connected with how many CC members there are, and what the ask of commitment is. This is essential to make sure this is not an extractive pro



Break ☕

30 MINS - Constitutional Committee


18. We've now experienced electing members to an interim CC, but going forward, the Constitution is silent for electing future members of the CC.

The community may wish to experiment with different approaches to electing CC members. Alternatively, the community may wish to specify a specific set of criteria for those elections.

18a. Should the Constitution include additional details related to the election of CC members? Please provide your answer and rationale for Question 18.

Yes / No / Unsure

18. Should the Constitution include additional details related to the election of CC members?



18. Please provide your answer (yes/no/unsure) and rationale

Yes there should be a process provided. As long as it is open to changes in future as we probably won't get it right first time. DReps should approve CC members (check on power).

Yes - should be guard rails in place to minimise the chances “bad actors” being elected to the CC, and criteria for which they can be removed.

Yes - Needs to be in the Constitution so arguments don’t occur. Also should have process for removing members if they act poorly etc. Could require say 2/3 vote by ada holders to remove person.

If we value certain things about the makeup of the CC, we want to set up processes which commit us to those values. e.g. diversity of perspectives. We should specify that election is resourced

Yes. We don’t want bad actors. We want someway to remove them that can’t be manipulated. We need to specify a mixture of individual and consortium or organisational seats. No all one kind.

Yes. It’s important to have this in constitution to prevent gaming of it by bad faith actor. Another addition to constitution should be a random sortician - say 50 reps voted for and cc selected

Yes, additional details will make it more transparent.

Yes. The process should be specified where candidates are selected through a voting/vetting process. Then all candidates that met a threshold vote become eligable for being randomly select for seat.

18. Please provide your answer (yes/no/unsure) and rationale

It should be set out at the start so it's harder for someone to potentially highjack for their own purposes. Agree the process should be clear for how we update the election process

The constitution must address problem of exception. When certain thresholds are met intervention should be made possible by outside, to resolve conflict.

Yes...need clarity, certainty, equity built-in. non-capture!!

Mechanics needs to be clear from beginning. Details of how/what can be operational roles. Random sortition will avoid plutocratic voting and less power dynamics.

No. I believe most of the issues raised are self correcting and further issues can be addressed however they need to be without addition to the constitution.

Yes. A process to eject a member of the committee for non-performance and/or false representation.

Yes. It is important to provide space to allow for experimentation and flexibility in voting protocols, if the current system fails or becomes biased. Including randomisation, AI mechanics, sortition

Is it necessary? A public encoding for the way voting is done right now (or this time around) is necessary. But do things have to be set in stone? Nut sure. Transparency is more important.



Break ☕


19. The Constitution expects the CC to adopt a code of conduct but does not specify how it should be established or who should be included.

19a. Please provide your answer(s) and rationale for Question 19. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each item you selected in Question 19. Consider: How would any of the selected requirements be enforced?

What requirements, if any, should the Constitution include for the CC's code of conduct? (select all that apply)

(A) The CC code of conduct should be on-chain
(B) The Cardano Community should have a role in approving the CC's code of conduct
(C) Other guidelines for the CC code of conduct
(D) None of these

19. What requirements, if any, should the Constitution include for the CC's code of conduct (select all that apply)



a) The CC code of conduct should be on-chain

b) The Cardano Community should have a role in approving the CC's code of conduct

c) Other guidelines for the CC code of conduct

d) None of these

19. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d) and rationale

A) on chain soo it's verifiable C) it should be reviewable and the community should be consulted (but not have a final say)

A and B. Foundational level set in stone with ability to consult and review or adapt. Must be malleable.

a) on-chain. commit to our human processes like with commit to our code. canonical versioned, updateable, refrenceable

Should be on chain to show history and verify document over time. Constitution should specify what the code of conduct needs to cover (e.g. conflict of interest, attendance, etc.) Minimal but focused

A. Yes with versioning for any updates to the code of conduct on chain with reeference to earlier versions. B. Yes. The requirement is in the constitution the how is determined outside of constitutn

a) it's important that there is a record or history of changes and revisions for full transparency..

a,b It should be on the chain for reference, and the community should devise what it looks like.

a/b/c. Onchain is appropriate for storage (along with the constitution). Cardano community input is valuable for distributed input. Revies needed overtime (say, yearly)

19. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d) and rationale

b) yes community input is important. What that process is will need to balance cost/ time/ reperentation. Could be things can be proposed by anyone but escalated for consideratoin by voting.

a, b Yes. c Yes, allow that an individual can bring their own code of conduct, as part of their candidate package for people to vote for or against them with. This is published onchain and enforced

a) on-chain transparency give a definitive version to look back too when conflict arises b) changes to the cc should have a request for comment in the event of agreements and disagreement

Accountability. Put it out there and make it count. Idea: let candidates pit forward their own cc. More likely to stock to it. Also direct community vote based on individual cc. Dreps cc and SPOs too.

Code of conduct needs to be focused on what is required for effective governance. A selected committee should create it, not the Constitutional committee - may include some - it's a distinct function

(A) so source of truth (B) community involvement is nesscessry, eg majority of ada holders agreeing to it (C) code of conduct reviewed each year for first 4 years and every 2 years after that

a/b/c Yes on chain, yes the Commuity should have role. c. The CC should be developed through interect commitee in conjunction with the Constitutional Committe. On chain answers what is authorative.

a - yes, for visibility, accountability and a source of truth for its development (ie a history). b. Yes - to reflect the values of the community. Needs more clarity on purpose & consequences for COC

19. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d) and rationale

c) other guidelines - what is the scope, purpose. e.g. the CoC must specify how conflicts of interest are addressed.

B. No, it's not specified that this is a code of conduct for how they interact with the community but simply how the cc and the community may not approve things that the CC finds necessary to operate

Yes. With detailed insteuction

Yes. The CC should also consider DR mechanism as part of the Goverance 'Tools'. The infrastructure of Goverance.



Break ☕

30 MINS - Financial Sustainability


6. Contractual obligations governing the use of ada received from the Blockchain Treasury could be mandated to include dispute resolution provisions

Discuss your thoughts on if if the Constitution should require these dispute resolution provisions.

6a. Please provide your answer and rationale for Question 6.

Yes / No / Unsure

6. Should the Constitution mandate dispute resolution provisions for contractual obligations related to ada from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury?



6. Please provide your answer (yes/no/unsure) and your rationale

unsure - I would seek the advice of legal experts who have a lot more real world experience of how these things play out.

Yes. Dispute resolution provisions are wise.

Yes but could provide general requirement with case specific nuanced mechanism detailed in each contract for delivery.

Yes. But look for examples of such provisions in existing contracts on BCs/DLTs and learn form the reasons and outcomes from those.

This is about recognising there are humans are involved, conflict will happen, it's messy. Having guidelines helps. Be clear about the care for community / ecosystem.

The constitution should include a requirement that all contracts include a dispute resolution process. But the details should be left to the contract. We should also develop templates to assist

Yes. Provisions however do not need to be prescriptive. Nor should the constituion define any one DR mechanism type. However all appropriations should have considerations for dispute handing.

Parties ought to have thought about this upfront. So yes. Instead of a specific threshold put e.g 'appropriate to cross-party cultural and legal project complexity, contract volume etc'

6. Please provide your answer (yes/no/unsure) and your rationale

There needs to be a process in place before there is a dispute, with reference to mediation & arbitration and a view as to how the costs of resolution are paid/allocated

Yes: disputes for the basis of how we learn and maintain the long term durability of the cardano ecosystem.

Yes, but the Constitution should require dispute resolution provisions on the contract, not the Constitution itself. The contract should be as detailed as needed.

Disputes will happen. The Constitution should say that dispute resolution clauses are needed in most contracts, if low value then maybe no clause needed.. The actual clauses are written elsewhere.

Yes. It is critical to have the dispute resolution included. It's also important to ensure the details of this is worked on immediately (though not added to the constitution a working group tasked.

Yes. Necessary for the development of the network and the effective use of funds (over time). The requirement for dispute resolution with certain agreed parameters is necessary (e.g. time frame and...

Yes. There has to be some mention of the dispute resolution process in the constitution ideally that is non specific so that it can be built upon and be adaptable.

We need our own experts....




Break ☕

7. Discuss if the Constitution should mandate that budgets include a contingency fund, an indemnity fund, and admin funds to cover costs of the CC.

7a. Should the Constitution mandate that the Budget must include (select all that apply). Please make your selection and provide rationale.

(A) A contingency fund to cover unexpected costs not otherwise specified in the Cardano Budget
(B) An indemnity fund to cover potential claims against governance participants such as DReps and Constitutional Committee Members
(C) Funds to cover administrative costs of the CC
(D) None of these

7. Should the Constitution mandate that the Budget must include (select all that apply)



a) A contingency fund to cover unexpected costs not otherwise specified in the Cardano Budget

b) An indemnity fund to cover potential claims against governance participants such as DReps and Constitutional Committee members

c) Funds to cover administrative costs of the CC

d) None of these

7. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d) and rationale

You won't get good people coming forward to govern if they don't feel safe.

Yes to a b and c because it's important to find cc properly to do the work and avoid perverse incentives

a/b/c - yes! and "It depends what these mean, what we're talking about covering, in what circumstances and to what degree"

Yes to all three. As long as there are strict rules around each. E.g. contingency fund should only be for mission critical protocal improvements. CC funding should be reasonable (not excessive).

A. Contingencies will always be in budgets to it is better to make these contingencies explicit to avoid artificial inflation of budget by hiding then in budget items. This also encourages innovation

Don't forget indemnity can be covered via UCC commercial instruments like bonds and securities. The operations of the CC officers should be protected via bonds.

a. I beleive contingency should be mandated (to cover admin shortfalls, indemnity), while indemnity, admin costs should be budgeted.

No to be and c because in my experience once some costs are mandated then they get inflated. So, leave it to the community and let the budget process evolve.

7. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d) and rationale

No. I interpret this as a request of the cc to the workshop participants to pre-approve these budget points to some degree. A yes would mean community cam not Vote on this later on.

Yes A, B - indemnity should be in the constitution and the dispute cost for community clear to be able to plan themselves. C - no. Admin shouldn't need to be covered if the role is paid.

a,b,c Yes, like all businesses, Contingency, indemnity, and administrative costs should be part of the operation.

All are impt. But need limits, eg indemnity doesn't cover fraud, recklessness etc. Reasonable admin costs for CC only. The limits can be set out in different document, but need something in Constituio

a)b)c) without consideration for risk and the ecosystem's maintenance creates a vacuum from which perverse and risk adverse behaviour can emerge

A/b/c Yes, these should be mandated by the constitution to include in the budget even if no funds are put towards them, these are future issues that are likely to cause issue if left out of budget

Yes for a, b & c. They need to be made explicit because (a) they are important, and (b) they are often implicit in a budget. Can still be $0. Creates the need for consideration, justification & accoun

A yes. Necessary for legal and community support, e.g.; a population elects to use Cardano to manage its economy / identity and the world banking system refuses to trade with it as a consequence

Delegate Election 

(show video)

GROUP PHOTO! 

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

Workshop was well organized and interesting. No suggestions for improvement at this point.

+s - well organised, facilitated and hosted. Open, inclusive and fun environment with lots of space for participation. Deltas - can't think of any.

It was good overall. Too much food. Should be more widely advertised and not rely purely on personal contact. Good that it wasn't in Auckland.

It was great thanks 🙏

+ people. - pace, some questions don't need three stages, sometimes we can get straight into group discussion.

Generally well structured and there was time to get into discussions on the topic to get reasonable feedback on the questions. No negatives.

This was a well run workshop. Very grateful for the organisers, the time and effort put it. It is difficulty to cram in a lot of info in a short amount of time, so emphasising pre-reading is helpful.

+ Good having 1: 2: 4 format. - some of the exercises could have been explained better/in more detail for those coming in cold - ie one page per exercise, rather than two pages per exercise

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

Many of the questions posed had the answer "it depends". I think then the focus is "what needs to be considered?", or "what values do we want to see represented?"

I loved the 1-2-4 scenario - will be using this myself. I liked the pre work - I wish I had spent more time on it

The structure of the topics, our thinking time, pairs then group was fantastic. Topic 4 was hard because the way it was structured created more questions than answers.

the word limit was ridiculous for some questions. especially multi-choice. I had to open another browser window in privacy mode to be able to full-fill the request..

I loved the 1-2-4 Pre work was good - wish I'd spent more time on it

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

18 responses



REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?



Feedback form on ALL questions

Great work! 🙌

Mentimeter

WELCOME!

Cardano Greece Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

What we hope to achieve or get out of todays experience

A good constitution and a better future.

As part of OdyC Dao, I am here to get connected with the people, and all together move forward the Cardano by answering important questions that will shape it's future.

Discuss every aspect of the temporary constitution and apply our comments.

A better know on Cardano constitution

To leave a better and more fair place than I found

to meet other people from the workshop and discuss the constitution

Learn about Cardano Constitution and lay the foundations for something strong

Understand the whole governance idea, try to make it a sustainable, fair, tool to express us all. And give power to our voice and opinion for a better world 🌎🙏🙂

What we hope to achieve or get out of todays experience

Shaping the Cardano ecosystem the right way and build the proper foundation for a decentralized network, the use of which will make the world and it's legacy systems and processes better.

To meet other members of the community and shape the Cardano future together

I believe that we are here to help create a better World than we found it. And one of the steps to do that is drafting the Cardano Constitution.

Show people that we care to actively participate in this historic moment, creating the first blockchain's constitution and inspire more individuals to join! Also to meet irl my virtual friends!

Learn about the constitution and shape Cardano's future, together with the worldwide community. Be a part of something very important

I hope that I can help the ecosystem with a small piece of me and my thoughts and finally to build a better future ❤️

Get a handle of how a constitution in Blockchain can workout

🌍

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules

Mentimeter

Let's get started 🚀


Mentimeter

Qn 3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees

[Note that this is not a reference to delegation to DReps.]

1) 5 Mins - Alone: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair up and Share your notes with a partner.
3) 10 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input. Scribe note
4) 10 Min -All debrief
5) 5 Min -Add to Menti.com

Qn 3: Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees?





The screenshot couldn't be generated

50 MINS - Voting Model

[11] The draft Constitution currently states:

"DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps and making such codes of conduct publicly available."

(a) DRep codes of conduct should be on-chain
(b) One code of conduct for all DReps
(c) Flexibility for each DRep to adopt its own code of conduct
(d) The Constitutional Committee should determine whether DReps code(s) of conduct are consistent with the Constitution
(e) Other guidelines for DRep codes of conduct
(f) None of these

[11a] Provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each item.

A,C,D Dreps should be able to choose their own code of conduct (on-chain) but they should be consistent within the general Constitution.

a/b/d I believe that the code of conduct it should be on chain so anybody can see it there. I believe it should be one code for all and constitutional committee should supervise it.

a, b, c

A, b, d

A)B)D)

A/B/D

A/b/c

A,B,D

[11a] Provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each item.

a/c Code of conduct should be op-in since no rule would ever be able to cover all coulttures and characters chain, but on chain to hold people accountable when they brake them.

A/B/D

A, B, D

A, C, D. The code should be on chain for everyone to see and validate. Each Drep can decide about his code of conduct and the delegators can decide and immediately redelegate.

A, A, for transparency on what code of conduct someone represents

C C, Give the apportunity for everyone to have his own code of conduct (Different cultures, counties, different needs). .

There should be 2 code of conducts (CoC) for each DRep: 1. Basic code of conduct which is the same for every DRep 2. Personal CoC, that capture own specifics & DRep has to draft himself.

D. It is the role of the CC to decide if the code of conduct is aligned with the constitution or not.

[11a] Provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each item.

a/c/d (A) for accountability (C) for flexibility, creativity and individuality (D) for some body to oversee all these different code of conducts

b It's very important to follow a core CoC and have the same reference point

A- a must ,b- homogenus and simple structure ,d- shall be observed also - the best combination of what I think will work, as system and society stands right now

A, : There must be a commitment for each drep through the chain C : Each drep should have the freedom to operate as he wants, always according to the statutes D : There must be a check that the drep

CoC 1 should be onchain for all DReps. All CoC 1 & 2 have to be checked for inconsistencies regarding the Cardano Constitution by the CC. 2nd CoC (personal) - no need to be on-chain. a,b,c,d -MIX

D D: Constitution committee should be able to oversight if code of conducts follow constitution, something like a "constitutional court"

A,B,D I believe the code of conduct has to be on chain so anyone can audit/read anytime. Dreps need to have the same code of conduct because they all represent the global community.

The CC must play a vital role towards the definition of the code of conduct .

Mentimeter

[11a] Provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each item.

A, b, d A) Blockchain should have the rules on chain B) There should be a some basic and easily understood but specific rules for all dreps D) There should be some control, periodically

A : ensures the transparency and commitment of DReps B: it gives them the freedom to choose what they think is best C: there must be a minimum level of control

[14] Should the Constitution include term limits for DReps?

1) 5 Mins - Alone: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair up and Share your notes with a partner.
3) 10 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input. Scribe note
4) 10 Min -All debrief
5) 5 Min -Add to Menti.com

Mentimeter

Mentimeter

[14] Should the Constitution include term limits for DReps? If yes or no, please provide reasoning?

Yes. This will provide a "pressure" to DReps to act at their best. A timeframe of 10 months (60 epochs), would be a good timeframe. Not too low, not to high.

YES Every 60 epochs, which in the crypto world is a decent time period to reevaluate your decision.

B) delegation should expire after 4 years, leveraging the fact that most democracies use the 4 year timeframe and people are used to it

B) expiration in one year

Yes, every 2 years

Yes, because the active DReps should be rewarded and not rested in a long tenure

Should be a time limit of 1 year. Shall establish a date called redelegation date on.the callendar

Yes. every 10 months is enough time to review the drep I delegated my ada to

[14] Should the Constitution include term limits for DReps? If yes or no, please provide reasoning?

Yes, for there to be a confirmation of trust and this to be done every 9 months which will also be the term limit

Yes, there should be a limit of 1 year after which the ADA holder shall vote again for the same or another DRep.

Yes, there should be an end of term for dreps. I think 1 year is a good term period. Also for the ada holders, between delegations, it is a good idea to fall into auto abstaine.

Yes. Every DRep should renew his will to run as a Drep every 2 years. That would keep him up to date with the chain-ecosystem involvement and would prevent inactive wallets to delegate still, retired.

Yes every 1 year

Yes Because is a way to keep ada holders active. Participate to the process is the only way to have a successful governance and all of this work properly

Yes every year, and give the voter the right to postpone his vote for a period of one month if they are late. So 12 months to a maximum of 13 months

60 epochs

[14] Should the Constitution include term limits for DReps? If yes or no, please provide reasoning?

Yes. I think ADA holders should be forced to re-select or vote their DRep in every 200 epochs, which is a little bit less than 3 years.

Yes, 1 year.

Answer yes Its should be a time period between 1 and 2 years

E . there is no need for the spos to have extra pressure

Lunch Break



50 MINS - Voting Model

[16] Should SPOs be subject to a Constitutionally -mandated code of conduct (CoC)?

Discuss your thoughts on SPOs being required to adopt a code of conduct and whether it merits inclusion in the Constitution.

(a) SPOs are expected to implement codes of conduct
(b) SPO codes of conduct should be on-chain
(c) The Constitutional Committee should determine whether SPO code(s) of conduct are consistent with the Constitution.
(d) Other guidelines for SPO codes of conduct
(e) None

[16a] Provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each item you selected?

A B C like Dreps

E Reason is that as SPOs are responsible for the operation of the network, it doesn't make that much sense to give them more pressure on them

E) no code of contact. SPOs should be free to act in a manner that they see fit as long as their delegators support them

A, B, C, because we should all operate under the same rules, in the same Constitution, but maintaining our own philosophy

A- shall have a code of conduct like d reps because they have voting power on governance B- on chain code for transparency C- also be approved by the commite for further transparency

(E) I don't think it is a good idea to start enforcing rules to the operators of the network.

Answer E gives freedom and progress

e/ An SPO shouldn't have any code of conduct, the community should be active and with that way choose to delegate to one SPO that favours our ecosystem at the best way.

[16a] Provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale. You may submit multiple responses. Please submit one response for each item you selected?

(a), (b), (c). Regarding the SPOs role in governance, they should be treated like the Dreps. I think that each SPO should have his own code of conduct though and not a general one applies to all SPOs.

A/b like dreps

a, b Every part of the machine has a specific role to play and everyone should be following a level of obligations

E. SPOs should be independent from CC.

E, we leave them undivided in their work

A It's fair to be some basic rules B No need to explain that C Yes Because that what we trying to succeed here

a -> d ALL + 2 CoC like DReps: 1. Genuine for all SPOs and 2. Personal one Clarification: Genuine for all SPOs and one personal that every SPO needs to draft for him/herself. CC should check all CoCs.

A,C,D A,C what ever its fixed and longlasting breads corruption D stability to work and progress by a plan

50 MINS - Voting Model

[17] Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include.

The Constitutional Guardrails specify a minimum and maximum size for the CC and minimum and maximum term lengths but does not otherwise address details on the composition of the CC. [Article VI]

(a) How the number of CC members are determined
(b) A fixed number of CC members (consistent with the Guardrails)
(c) How term limits for CC members are determined
(d) A fixed term limit for the CC members (consistent with the Guardrails)
(e) Other
(f) None of these

[17a] Provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale.

a,d

A/C/D CC members hold an office with considerable power so they should be stopped from becoming complacent/corrupt. Term limits and CC size should be selected in a democratic and transparent process

E. There should be 7or 9 members in CC. The duration of the committee should be 1 or 2 years.

E AI technologies are getting more and more advanced. Replacing comitee with AI agents can completely eliminate the need for comitee.

(d) as for the limit of the term. As far as the number of the CC members, (a) it can be variable from 5 to 9, starting with a fixed number in the middle.

a - Number of CC members to be decided every 250 epochs. That way we have the possibility to adapt in the future, depending on the need of the ecosystem.

F, already existing Guardrails it's enough for the smooth operation of the system

B- Max memebers for transparency D- one year term

[17a] Provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale.

B There should be a stable number of people 10 D Every two years

A C It is better to have various parameters that determine the number of terms of the Constitution Committee and the number of people who make up the committee. It is better than having these 2 strict

(E) It seems safe a term limit of 60-70 epochs for 7 entities, which should be replaced by an AI agent when the tech is mature enough to be implemented.

a) and d) I'd suggest 5-10 people in the CC, i find 3 people too less. As for the time limit i believe the guardrails are fine as is now, that's why i go with "d"

A, B, D - I propose to vote on the number of CC members (9), the length of their term (3y) as well as the number of terms they will be able to participate at the CC (2 times)

A/c/d flexible and max number of members for transparency, 2 years duration

F I don't believe that any of this gonna work we should find another way to make all of this work

c - The term limits for the CC members to be decided also every 250 epochs but to not be possible to be less than 150 epochs and maximum of 500 years. (150-500 epochs bandwidth)

Mentimeter

Break ☕

Delegate Election 

Mentimeter

Introduction to Voting

"We are about to begin the process of voting for Constitutional Convention Candidates. It will be your job as Workshop Participants to choose a Delegate and an Alternate to travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina, this December, to represent our group and this region at the Cardano Constitutional Convention.

Participants who attended a majority of today's workshop are eligible to vote. When you receive your ballots please write down your name and email address so that we can validate votes in case of an audit. You will then have a chance to write up to 5 names of individuals that you feel would represent us well at the Constitutional Convention. Each candidate you list will benefit from your vote. The top name on your list will receive 5 points, the second name will receive 4 points, the

Mentimeter

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

By running as a candidate to become a Constitutional delegate or alternate, you are agreeing that if elected, you will fulfill these duties. Duties marked with an asterisk are NOT applicable to the Alternate.

Agree to the Code of Conduct
You will represent your constituents and the Cardano Community's best interests, rather than promoting any personal agenda.
Your constituents are the Cardano Community members who attended the workshop that elected you, the Cardano community at-large in your region, and any Cardano Community members who reach out to you to represent their needs in some way.
You will not do anything to harm other attendees at the Cardano Constitutional Convention
You agree to follow the Intersect code of conduct

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

Before the Constitutional Convention

*Contact the Alternate and agree how to support each other.
Ex) work together to review constituent feedback.
Attend an online delegate synthesis workshop where you meet other delegates and share your region's constitution feedback.

*Provide your name and contact information publicly for constituents to contact you

*Review constituent feedback and create a summary
Complete Constitutional Delegate training ahead of travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina

Obtain visas and flights necessary to arrive in Argentina for the first week of December 2024. Flight, accommodation, transfers and food costs during the event will be covered for Constitutional Delegates and Alternates selected at Constitutional Workshops.


Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

At the Constitutional Convention

Attend all scheduled sessions (3 days)
*Vote on whether to approve the Cardano Constitution

After the Constitutional Convention

Optionally: Become a DRep to vote on-chain to ratify the approved constitution
Optionally: Encourage the DReps and Cardano Community to vote on the approved constitution


constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/auth/signin
Mentimeter
INTERSECT
Cardano Workshop Login
Enter your email to log in or create an account.
Email
name@example.com
We'll send a magic link to this email.
Send Magic Link

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

Nope. I had a great time! See you at the next one!

I had more fun than I thought.

Everything was perfect.

45B hardcap and unchangeable.

No, it was an open and respectful discussion were everyone shared their thoughts

Happy new Era! Good luck to Cardano!

Everything was amazing

I think we have covered sufficiently the topics we have chosen and I have no more thoughts to add

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

I believe that we need to develop an automatic mechanism of unstaking or undelegating in every governance delegation structure and for the retired pools as well.

It was an amazing workshop, very constructive where we discussed the constitution of the Cardano ecosystem. I think we heard very interesting proposals and the results that came out were very useful.

Cardano to the moon 🤣 See you next time

Everything was Ok

Everything was ok!

.

we should be able to discuss more questions

In my opinion there should be more discussion about the rules that should have about the jurisdiction of the Dreps, the SPO's and the CC.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s (worked well) and deltas (could be improved) do you have for the workshop?

I think everything was OK

Beers

It was very well organized and executed.

More beers

I really liked it

I liked the overall discussions and the way we all interacted. Maybe, time could be reduced, eg: skip two person's conversation from the start.

everything was organized very well and there was a cooperative atmosphere. I wouldn't change or add anything extra (maybe some beers 🍻)

It's very good organized

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s (worked well) and deltas (could be improved) do you have for the workshop?

It was a great experience. Maybe have the coffee until the end of the Workshop.

Everything was absolute great !!!

The discussions on the physical level work good, maybe the per table opinion doesn't make much sense cause most of the time a table can not come to an unanimous decision.

Well organised! See you in the next one!

Everything went great, very satisfied with the procedure

There was not an afterparty

I believe that it wasn't so well communicated to the Cardano community the whole process of Draft constitution and forming it. I think Intersect should find a way to be more attractive to the people

S The discussion was open and everybody was heard and help us to make decisions. Very good vibes D The question were not specific

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

39 responses



Mentimeter

Great work! 🙌

WELCOME!

Cardano Tokyo, Japan Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input | カルダノ憲法のインプット

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules

以下の日本語訳をご参照ください。
貢献する際には、積極的に関与すること。
説得する前に、まず理解することを目指す。
近づき、そして一歩下がる。正直で深みのある発言を心がけ、長々と話さないようにする。
完璧さよりも進展を求める。
チャタムハウスルールに従う。
これらのガイドラインは、健全な議論と前向きなコミュニケーションを促進するためのものです。



Let's get started 🚀 始めましょう

What brought you here today? What are you hoping to get from this experience? ここに来たきっかけは何ですか？ この体験から何を得たいと考えていますか？

1, 2, 4, All Structure

Solo (3)

Pairs (5)

Whole (7): Ask participants if they noticed any connections, themes, surprises, and/or commonalities as they talked with their partner(s).

8. カルダノコミュニティは、少なくとも年に1回、カルダノブロックチェーンの継続的なメンテナンスと将来の開発のための予算を提案することが期待されています。カルダノ予算の策定および承認プロセスを詳細に憲法で規定するべきかどうかについて議論してください。[該当: 第三条第8節]

8. The Cardano community is expected to propose, not less than on an annual basis, a budget for the ongoing maintenance and future development of the Cardano Blockchain. Discuss whether a detailed process for developing and approving the Cardano Budget should be specified in the Constitution. [Pertains to: Article III Section 8]. Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process?

1) 3 Mins - Solo
2) 5 Mins - Pair
3) 10 Mins - Group

8. 憲法はカルダノの予算プロセスについて、より詳細に規定するべきでしょうか？



8a. 質問8に対するあなたの回答（はい／いいえ／わからない）とその理由を記入してください。「はい」と答えた場合、以下を考慮してください：憲法はカルダノ予算の管理方法を特定すべきですか？憲法はカルダノ予算を管理する者を特定すべきですか？憲法で年間のカルダノ予算の上限を規定すべきですか？

予算規模がわからない	予算規模がわからないため	予算	なし。柔軟性をもたせるため
はい	・数字的な部分など詳細に決める必要は無い。大枠、プロセスについてのみを考えるべき。 ・Catalystを廃止するべき、残すべきの両意見が出た。	状況によって予算配分も変わるので決めない方がよい。また、カルダノがサステイナブルに継続するためのメンテナンスコストなどが分からないので、判断が難しい。	みんなのお金という意味合いですから取り決めた方が良いです もし支障があれば改訂すればよいのでは

8a. 質問8に対するあなたの回答（はい／いいえ／わからない）とその理由を記入してください。「はい」と答えた場合、以下を考慮してください：憲法はカルダノ予算の管理方法を特定すべきですか？憲法はカルダノ予算を管理する者を特定すべきですか？憲法で年間のカルダノ予算の上限を規定すべきですか？

いいえ。どのレベルで憲法に書き込めば良いのかがわからないし、一度決めたら変えるのが難しくなるのは好ましくないため。しかし何かしらの方向性は憲法に記載されるべきで、例えば「運営予算の運営ルールを作ること」、「ルール作成の議論の過程を公開する」、「ルールは広く公開する」といったレベルの記載はあるべきである。

日本のような法体系を取るという仮定すると憲法ではなく、法律や政令のようなものに詳細を明記して、変更しやすくする。 憲法はなるべく変更すべき

1 It's hard to predict, so we should not set the proceses 2 The constitution should not be changed often 3 We can still set principles, not processes, so that we can still pronounce dangerous proposa

エイダとヒィアットカレンシーを何らかの形でpegして予算をプールして使用する額を決める。

管理はブロックチェーンのプログラムでやるべき。 人がやるべきではないと思う。 予算上限は決めるべき。

数字的な部分は特定せず、大枠、プロセスのみ特定するべき。Catalystを廃止すべきか残すべきか両意見が出た


Break ☕🥪 休憩時間

11. 現在の憲法草案には、「DRepは、DRepとしての活動を規定する行動規範を随時採用し、その行動規範を公表することが期待される」と記載されています。[該当: 第三条、第四条] 憲法には、DRepの行動規範に関して何を要求すべきでしょうか（該当するものをすべて選択してください）？

What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

(a) DRep codes of conduct should be on-chain
(b) One code of conduct for all DReps
(c) Flexibility for each DRep to adopt its own code of conduct
(d) The Constitutional Committee should determine whether DRep code(s) of conduct are consistent with the Constitution
(e) Other guidelines for DRep codes of conduct
(f) None of these

11. 憲法には、DRepの行動規範に関して何を要求すべきでしょうか（該当するものをすべて選択してください）？



11a. 質問11に対する回答（a/b/c/d/e/f）とその理由を記入してください。複数の回答を提出することができます。質問11で選択した各項目について、1つの回答を提出してください。

可能性が不可能なものをなるべく憲法にかかないでください、違反が普通になります。

It is hard to enforce but these rules need to be as basic and mutually agreeable to have separate nations accept them. Keep it simple.

強制が不可能なものを憲法に書きすぎると違反してる人だらけになります！強制が不可能なものはかかないで

前提条件がよくわからなかった

ガチガチに行動規範を決めなくてもいいけど、1つか独自かという選択肢はわかりにくく、属性に応じて制定して例外についても憲法の範囲内なら認められらるようにすればいい。

Cについては程度による。他の項目は細かく決める事で違反やミスを防ぐことができると考える

dを選択。憲法委員会など他の機関がより柔軟に議論を進めるdRepの行動規範を監視、制限承認するべきだと思いました

分散型自律組織を目指す以上行動規範は各drepが決めるべきもので、憲法で強制すべきものではない。

15 MINS - DReps

11a. 質問11に対する回答（a/b/c/d/e/f）とその理由を記入してください。複数の回答を提出することができます。質問11で選択した各項目について、1つの回答を提出してください。

e Drepの行動を憲法委員会が合致しているかを見ることはいいことだと思うが、Drepの人数が多い場合は監督が難しいのかわからない。

そもそもWeb3のDAOの概念は、aが合致している。不正を防ぐのが目的であれば、aがよいのでは？ bかcは、Drepの人数が分からないので判断が難しい。 eは、ガイドラインを規定したとして、守られているかどうやってチェックするのか。

B keep it simple

The code of conduct for all DReps should serve as ground rules for all DReps. However, the code on conduct should not be on chain because it might give other governments too much power over the DReps.

a)改竄不能な形とした方が良いため b)いろんな人がDrepになってしまうためミニマルなルールが必要である c)憲法上でがんじがらめにされるよりある程度の柔軟性を担保した方が良い d)第三者評価が必ずされる環境を確立した方が良いため e)行動規範がどのようなものであるかの基準があ...

b) Multiple codes of conduct increase interpretation complexity and introduce ambiguity with applicable law d) Constitutional committee veto/modify power allows for emergency CoC updates

b) one code of conduct for everyone: because it will avoid creating unnecessary cliques and processes. All professions (e.g., politicians, doctors)


16. SPOsが特定のガバナンスアクションに関して憲法により投票権を持つことになったことを考慮すると、SPOsは憲法により義務付けられた行動規範（CoC）の対象となるべきでしょうか？SPOsが行動規範を採用する必要があるかどうか、またそれが憲法に含まれるべきかについての考えを議論してください。

Given that SPOs will now have a constitutionally-mandated voting role on certain governance actions, should SPOs be subject to a Constitutionally-mandated code of conduct (CoC)? Discuss your thoughts on SPOs being required to adopt a code of conduct and whether it merits inclusion in the Constitution.

What requirements, if any, should the Constitution specify for SPO codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

1) 3 Mins - Solo
2) 5 Mins - Pair

16. 憲法がSPOの行動規範に関して規定すべき要件は何ですか（該当するものをすべて選択してください）？



15 MINS - [DREP]

16a. 質問16に対する回答（a/b/c/d/e）とその理由を記入してください。複数の回答を提出することができます。質問16で選択した各項目について、1つの回答を提出してください。

SPOに自由に活動して欲しい

利益相反の議題があったときにaがよい。SPOがマジョリティをとり強い権限を持つようになったときには、aやbが抑止になる

いりません、違反してるspoがたくさん出てくると、憲法なんて守らなくてもくないか？spoがほとんど違反しているし、となります。なのでいりません。

ＡＢＣについては必要と考えられる　Cについては案件により必要度が高くなると考えらえる。Dは必要性がない

厳しく書く必要は無いが、力をつけたSPOがクーデターや分裂をしないように、行動規範を守れない場合は投票できないといったルールが欲しい。

a) Cardano-wide SPO regulations are important to ensure functionality. I believe it would be good to take inspiration from ICANN/domains: whois can be private, but must be subpoena functionality

SPOをしばらないほうがよい

a SPOに権限があり、ユーザーと利害が対立する場合がありうるので、憲法で規定すべき。

15 MINS - [DREP]

16a. 質問16に対する回答（a/b/c/d/e）とその理由を記入してください。複数の回答を提出することができます。質問16で選択した各項目について、1つの回答を提出してください。

SPOはスキャム攻撃などを避けるためにも行動規範に従うべきであると考える。行動規定のうち、もっともシンプルで重要だと思われるものはオンチェーンで憲法に残し、それ以外はオンチェーンに載せずに規範のみを作っておくべきである。

a) Common scams should be banned. Ponzi schemes, viruses forcing delegation, anticompetitive behavior should be banned

19,憲法はCCが行動規範を採用し、運営することを期待していますが、行動規範がどのように採用されるべきか、誰が含まれるべきかについては特に言及していません。[該当: 第六条第5節憲法は、憲法委員会の行動規範に関してどのような要件を含むべきでしょうか？（該当するものをすべて選択してください）


9.The Constitution expects the CC to adopt and operate pursuant to a code of conduct but is otherwise silent as to how a code of conduct should be adopted and who it should include.

What requirements, if any, should the Constitution include for the Constitutional Committee's code of conduct?

(a) The CC code of conduct should be on-chain
(b) The Cardano Community should have a role in approving the CC's code of conduct
(c) Other guidelines for the CC code of conduct

Break ☕🥪休憩時間

19. 憲法は、憲法委員会の行動規範に関してどのような要件を含むべきでしょうか？（該当するものをすべて選択してください）



19a. 質問19に対する回答（a/b/c/d）とその理由を記入してください。複数の回答を提出することができます。質問19で選択した各項目について、1つの回答を提出してください。考慮点: 選択した要件のいずれがどのように施行されるかを考えてください。

分散型自律組織である以上、行動規範は各自に委ねられる。 システムとして不適切な人を排除する規定を整備することの方が重要。

A - Basic rules of how committee members interact with each other and the system. No harm or fraud. B - Checks and balances. Avoid an elite above the law class.

Cardanoの発展を願っているならOK! アンチを排除する仕組みは必要。

(b)行動規範が明確なルールではないのであれば、オンチェーンに載せても意味がなく、コミュニティにゆだねるしかないため

あくまでもコミュニティの解答決定が非中央集権だと思います

a) CC code of conduct is vital, CC members will have a lot of power, and their limits should be codified on-chain

A. は必要と考える。bはコミュニティによるところに依存する　なしｃ憲法にガイドラインを入れるほどではないと思う　なしｄccにインセンティブを与える機会を作ってほしい　しっかりと仕事をするため

b 憲法委員に対しても、三権分立のような権力監視は必要であると思います。

19a. 質問19に対する回答（a/b/c/d）とその理由を記入してください。複数の回答を提出することができます。質問19で選択した各項目について、1つの回答を提出してください。考慮点: 選択した要件のいずれがどのように施行されるかを考えてください。

CC行動規範は、各メンバー毎にではなく所属する国とかある程度のまとまり毎にあるべきと思います。

spoが投票できる項目は限られるので、 ダブル時だけ制限をつける


d 強制ができないし検証もできないので、憲法ではない何かのガイドラインレベルにしてください

23, 現在、DRepとSPOとして同じガバナンスアクションに投票することに対するルールはありません。DRepとSPOとして同じガバナンスアクションに投票することが、憲法で対処するべき特有の懸念を生むかどうかについての考えを議論してください。[該当: 第三条第2節、第四条、第五条]

What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?

(a) Prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.
(b) Require disclosure but do not prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.
(c) Include special conflicts of interest provisions
(d) Does not need to be addressed in the Constitution
(e) Unsure

23. 憲法は、ada所有者がDRepおよびSPOとして同じガバナンスアクションに投票することに関して、何を言うべきでしょうか（該当する場合）？



23a. 質問23に対する回答（a/b/c/d/e）とその理由を記入してください。

ネットワークを支えているのはSPOであり、技術的なことはそこに頼ることにもなるので、SPOの発言権を大きくした方が良い。

a)DRepとSPOを兼任した場合、権限を持ちすぎるため c)利益相反の案件が出てくることが考えられるため。SPOはネットワークフィーから稼ぎたい。DRepはCardanoユーザのために利することをした。利益相反はあり得る。

C それぞれの権利、利権が関わる部分が多いそれぞれセパレートできれば良いと思います。

b and c) A DRep running an SPO may nit necessarily be bad: an experienced Cardano user will have better input to the Community. But they should not vote on conflict of interest actions

DrepとSPOは別の組織運営、決定権を基本とし、双方の決定をコミュニティで決定する。

a) 利益相反が発生しないような仕組みを確立すべきであるため b) a)が達成されない場合は利益相反を防ぐ他の仕組みが必要であるため c) 利益相反を防ぐのに有用であるため

現時点ではCardanoの進捗にキャッチアップできるADAホルダーの多くはSPOだとしたら、彼らがDrepを兼任する事はスタンダードな事だと思って対策を考えるのが早いと思います。 SPOとの兼務の場合は投票パワー（ADA委任量）50%以下になるようにバランス調整できるようにするとい

DRepもSPOも投票する事前にどのような投票行動をとるかをオンチェーンで公表する決まりにしたら良いと思います。

23a. 質問23に対する回答（a/b/c/d/e）とその理由を記入してください。

続き。さっきのは、SPOにステーキングで委任しているホルダーは何も考えないでDREPも同じ人に委任すると兼任者の投票パワーが大きくなり過ぎるという前提も考えました。DREPの委任はフラットに集められるようであれば偏りは少なくなるのかもしれません。

Special Announcements 🎉 特別なお知らせ



Delegate Election



REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide? 提供する機会がなかった考えや意見はありましたか？

I think it would've been better to give more of the information about the convention&process up front. It was explained later on, but caused some confusion

運営の仕方。内容が初見だと難しいので、一度憲法について説明会を開催した上で、意見を収集するほうが有意義だと思った

できるだけミニマムにしてください。 強制できない、検証できないルールをなるべく避けてください！大多数のメンバーが実質違反しているという事態になると憲法の価値が減少し、それは最大のリスクです。

憲法は定期的に見直しをするようにしてほしいです。その際はコミュニティ全体で議論できるようにしてください。

Catalystは廃止すべき。お金を配ることは利権を生み出してシステムを腐敗させると思います。また、プロジェクトをコミュニティの財産に頼ってやっているようでは起業家精神も失われると思います。

オフラインで色々な意見がきけてとても参考になりました

アルゼンチンに行く人の条件など事前にはっきりできたらよかったですね

なかった

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide? 提供する機会がなかった考えや意見はありましたか？

前提知識として憲法、法律、条例などの法体系の階層があるのかとDrep,SPO,憲法委員会それぞれの役割と権利がわかればより建設的な意見が言えたと思う。

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop? ワークショップに関して、どのような「プラス点（+)」と「デルタ（改善点)」がありますか？

ZOOMなどでWeb参加できるようにして欲しかったです。

できれば完全日本語編にするとスムーズかも。。。

完全英語編も別日にやります

ある程度カルダノの運営のシステムを理解していないといけない 同じような知識仲間で集まった方がいいと思った

Timing was pretty good, could have maybe started an hour earlier but like this was good. Food was fantastic, but little, hard to think on an empty stomach. Location great

Find a methodology to avoid handing out multiple codes to the same person so as to avoid confusion.

プラスなのは、憲法の要点がある程度分かったこと。改善点は、そもそも誰がどういうコンセプトで憲法案を作ったのかも分からず、憲法の各規定が本当に必要なのかも検討できなかったこと。

事前に内容がもう少し詳しくわかると準備できるので

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop? ワークショップに関して、どのような「プラス点（+)」と「デルタ（改善点)」がありますか？

・QAの前に説明会を開いてほしい。 ・前提知識やワークショップの位置づけなどもう少し説明が欲しい ・場所は澁谷近辺がうれしい


+ カルダノコミュニティーの方々と意見交換できたのはよかった。 デルタ　憲法の位置付けなどそれぞれのロールやDrepとSPOの投票が重複する際の具体例などは事前に示して欲しかった。

憲法とかDrepとか、非中央集権から離れているような感じが高まったような感じがします。憲法、政府というヒエラルキー、本当に必要なのかわからなくなった。

ワークショップ良い点、 動向把握

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop? ワークショップから得た重要な気づきを最もよく表す一語またはフレーズは何ですか？

20 responses



Great work! 🙌 素晴らしい仕事です！🙌

WELCOME!

Cardano Toronto Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 4 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules



Let's get started 🚀

15 MINS - Financial Sustainability

Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....

Interest in decentralised tech
Interest in Cardano - mission, tech & the manner in which it's being executed, what's stagnating growth
Democratising governance and bringing it into the 21st century

- who decides what is reasonable and unreasonable?
- who decides what is necessary and unnecessary? - who defines equality? - there should be a tenant that definers/prevents overreach of governance

1-2-4-ALL

Discussion Process

1) Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone. (5 min)
2) Pair: Share your notes with a partner. (10 min)
3) Four: Generate key themes and capture on Menti. (15 min)
4) Whole Group: Debrief on key themes, points of intersection, and points of differences. (15 min)
5) Vote: Multiple choice and reasoning (10 min)

Draft Constitution Feedback Form

If you would like to provide feedback on other questions, please do so here: https://forms.gle/6HJVPxQe9CPriHRN6



15 MINS - Principles and Tenets

1. Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?

15 MINS - Principles and Tenets


Q. 1 Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....

- the cost of the transaction should be reasonable/unreasonable - who decides? - maybe we need an appeal process? - need a definition of reasonable? Eg transaction cost is X % of the transaction - po

- how is necessary / unnecessary for the treasury to spend to enforced/determined - not slow down transactions is a tradeoffs - what happens in a DDOS - should the Constitution should have ambiguity?

- Should the constitution be aspirational or specific? - How to anticipate future needs? There is a process to amend the constitution.

1. *Do you believe the tenets in Article I, Section 1 appropriately reflect the ethos of the Cardano Blockchain?*



1a. Please provide your answer (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1.

I like the overall vibe. What needs clarification is the use of words like 'reasonable' and '(un)necessary' in these contexts. Who decides what fits the criteria? And how can decisions be appealed?

4 agree... Wording isn't perfect but it reflects the correct sentiment. Good level of ambiguity

In general I agree with the ethos. What I find as a flag is specific to what is the mechanism of determining what is "Unreasonable or Unnecessary" and who decides that.

Agree. Tenets are just the resemblence of the ethos of Cardano. But I want some of the things to be more specific, rather than vauge, but no numbers. It should tell about the structure in specifics.

Agree) "unnecessarily spend resources" might mean no burning treasury withdrawals. That's a good thing to include

A: 2 The ethos of Cardano is transparency and accountability, and therefore, we need to avoid ambiguous statements in the Constitution such as "unnecessary" or "unreasonable." Who decides?

3. And The reason I'm neutral is because of all the unsure/vague descriptions in the Article. According to the ethos, it should clearly state the values and principles. It is prone to easy overriding.

Agree. But would love to see some of the principles on the use of Treasury and supply cap.

1a. Please provide your answer (1-5/Unsure) and rationale for Question 1.

The specific mentions of forward-thinking ideas like post-quantum security and decentralised storage are welcome additions. We should pursue this level of clarity throughout the constitution.

I agree with the Ethos; statements need further clarity, perhaps a formula for what considerations determine: 'reasonable', 'unreasonable', 'necessary', 'unnecessary' etc. by each unique context

Some tenets imply that someone is making a judgment, but ultimately only what is code-able and coded can apply the tenets and constitutional committee has to be limited in its choices/desires by what

Yes. We need an absolute cap of total Ada supply

Break ☕

15 MINS - Principles and Tenets

2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem? [Yes/No/Unsure]

If yes, consider if this new tenet should include an absolute cap on the circulating supply of ada.

[Pertains to Art and Guardrails section 2.2 Economic Parameters.]

15 MINS - Principles and Tenets

Q. 2 Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....

[redacted] thinks ADA would not need the FIAT/Gold value to determine its value. If we remove FIAT from the equation then, even with gold, we are at the mercy of the exchanger

Coming back the question, [redacted] thinks in addition to the tenat, the annual budget should locked in. And then as the year ends, we should reflect on how much profits and value we have created.

[redacted] thinks treasury should reduce as the protocol is massively adapted. The foundation should start reducing simply putting money in projects.

[redacted] thinks there will come a time when we will have to go beyond the wallets, Consensus and erc-4337 will come into play in the future.


We all agree the answer is yes. except I [redacted] summarises that what we agree on is that it should be an aspirational network where it is a low cost network.

[redacted] doesnt like IBM coming and needing access to Treasury when they already have money. Most Treasury should go to development and treasury.

[redacted] thinks there should be a protocol to reward the players(developers) that are completely hands on, william disagrees because that would change the decentralization and trustless of the system.

Summary: - We dont know what we dont know about the future. - Future use of the treasurry - Transaction costs and How you can calculate that - Current Annual Budget vs the future budget.

2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem?



2a. Please provide your rationale. If you answered yes, consider if this new tenet should include an absolute cap on the circulating supply of ada.

Having a cap is important, but not a "hard coded" cap. Measures should be in place for a possible change of that cap for unforeseeable circumstances.

We need an absolute cap on the total Ada supply not only the circulating supply. We . Just never "remind" lost ada

It should include an absolute cap on the supply of ADA. Not sure, what you mean by circulating - People should be able to circulate/spend 100% of their account supply without any restrictions.

Yes, there should be a hard cap and it must be mentioned in the constitution. But along with that, there should be a way to change it with a 2/3rd majority of community, in accodance to future events.

- we should state that that ADA circulating supply is capped at 45 billion - we should state that the treasury spend must not exceed the prior year contributions

Given how critical a finite supply is to the stability of value an absolute cap is desirable.

- ADA fees must be low cost and reasonable for every day usage.

Never "remint" lost ada --> need absolute cap on total Ada supply, not just circulating supply

2a. Please provide your rationale. If you answered yes, consider if this new tenet should include an absolute cap on the circulating supply of ada.

Yes -45 billion is a great foundation at this time - Treasury allocation should reduce as Cardano is adopted; should eventually be used for research only - Tx fees should reduce as ADA value increases

Yes, there should be a cap. The max supply of crypto gives it scarcity, and this is a vital foundation for future development of our space.

yes this needs to be balanced against maintaining network incentives for validators.

Re: wider financial sustainability, it's all about incentive - how do we get more spending, and less hoarding - how can we encourage the establishment of more non-profits/watchdogs?

15 MINS - Voting Rights

3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees...

...who are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance?

The Constitution should:
(a) Limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada
(b) Allow ada owners to appoint designees who are then entitled to participate in on-chain governance
(c) Unsure

[Notes: This is not a reference to delegation to DReps. Pertains to: Article II Section 2, Article III Section 4 and 8, Article IV Section 2, Article VI Section 1, Article VII Section 1.]

15 MINS - Voting Rights

Q. 3 Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....


In the context of centralised exchanges, they should not be receiving the power of vote of their users. In the context of a DRep, a DRep should have the voting power of their delegates.

Encode the philosophy that our institutions should encourage self custody to help promote decentralization and good/healthy governance

It is a big no at the present moment as trusting a centralized instituion with ADA user's interest is is not viable right now. There are ways that CEX's can, leading to harm to decentralization.

At present there is very less clarity on traparency and monitoring on a ethical and monitored operation. With more clarity, this can become viable in future, and help and protect ADA users' interest.

3. The Constitution should:



3a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

NO because it is not viable currently

A: b We can't enforce who is an isn't an Ada holder, so this is not enforceable at current time and should not be in the consitution

a - individuals should be voting, not entities that exist to accumulate ADA and/or voting power

B) you have to allow this because there is no decentralized way to forbid it. Also CEXs are legitimate holders

So much opacity & at the same time endless possibilities...needs longer tome..more trial & error phase to show more depths to such a critical decision

not sure how legally it can be done at the moment but in the future standards can be in place such that if certain tokens are checked they can be added to the list.

A- Limit. 'cause there are a lot of uncertainties and undetermined factors with B- Allow.

No, for now I think we need to consider all Traffic definitions of an institution and assign frameworks for operation in the future (Trusts, Municipalities, Investment brokers, etc)

3a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c) and rationale for Question 3.

a This ensures decisions are made by those with direct investment in the network, maintaining accountability.

A We can not trust the owners right now however we may able to prove their identity based on new technologies in the future and I think it is better for the voting system to be anonymous.

CEXs have an interest in network well being

We need more time in order to have the technological means to differentiate between a custodial wallet and an exchange wallet. A corporation shouldn't inherit voting power of "non-participant" users

Because designees have proven themselves not to be perfectly reliable to represent the interests of the owners, they should not be voting but the vexing part is how to make it so.

Depending on the institution, some parameters should be in place. Eh. Municipality can vote on behave of citizens, but citizen vote must be through majority blockchain vote. Not through delegates.

- a standard code of conduct accross the ecosystem + personal code of conducts

Lunch 🥗

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

The draft constitution currently states: “DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps and make such codes of conduct publicly available.” [Pertains to: Article III, Article IV.]

(a) DRep codes of conduct should be on-chain
(b) One code of conduct for all DReps
(c) Flexibility for each DRep to adopt its own code of conduct
(d) The Constitutional Committee should determine whether DRep code(s) of conduct are consistent with the Constitution
(e) Other guidelines for DRep codes of conduct

15 MINS - DReps

Q. 11 Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....

- how to hande cultural norm yet not be excluding / limiting inclusivity


- a standard code of conduct accross the ecosystem + personal code of conducts

Agree with points A - D Believe some DRep responsibilities could hold greater weight than others (community justice advocate vs L1 engineer representation) Open to Other Guidelines but unsure what

There should be categories of qualifications for DReps to ensure people with the right knowledge are part of discussions that are aligned with their personal skills

A is already being implemented by the DRep Metadata. Great if it can be improved Total agreement on C In strong disagreement with D - let the people decide which codes are valid for their DReps

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?



5 MINS - DReps

11a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. Please submit one response for each item you selected in Question 11.

- all DREPs should have a common basic code of conduct but needs to be able to add more if desired, but not change the basic code of conduct

A) drep metadata already sufficient for this purpose C) let people know what your all about Not D because that's not their job

I would love to see a basic structure of COC in the contituion, around which Dreps can have felxiblity to operate, until they don't voilate the basic COC, which can be determined by Const. Comm.

On chain is key for anonymity, one major standard code that encompasaes roots of technical & ethical stansarda with aomw fkezibility(that still abides by the codes)

- code of conduct should be onchain so there is an immutable record with no confusion to what and where it is

In general, I want a general code of conduct on chain for DReps along with the flexibility to have their own additions to create an inclusive env with the committe to VETO if bad additions are in play

a - I need to know more about my DRep, and how we align. Add community notes to fact check claims b - need a baseline of expectations c - adapt the baseline for geo/cultural/in-group requirements

a - on-chain codes ensure transparency, allowing the community to easily access and verify conduct rules. c - enabling DReps to create tailored codes that address their specific community needs.

5 MINS - DReps

11a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. Please submit one response for each item you selected in Question 11.

Codes of conduct should be stated by the Dreps so they can show what they stand for.

Agree with choices A-E DRep categories should be considered to ensure votes are cast by subject matter experts Minimum DRep requirements should be enforced, with optional requirements allowable

- code of conduct must not violate the constitution, so constitutional committee should veto a code of conduct governance action if not constitutional

b, c, d - there should be one standard code of conduct which applies to all DReps but with flexibility to accommodate personal code of conduct after review by constitutional committee.

a. It's good for transparency. b. All DReps should have a base code of conduct c. Depending on what the DRep is representing, they should be able to have a code specific their representation

I think a and c are the good ones first because it should be on chain to be public and accessible for everyone and they should have flexibility for choosing their own representation

provided in the previous slide

Delegate Election 



Photo 📸

Break ☕

Introduction to Voting

We are about to begin the process of voting for Constitutional Convention Candidates. It will be your job as Workshop Participants to choose a Delegate and an Alternate to travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina, this December, to represent our group and this region at the Cardano Constitutional Convention.

Participants who attended a majority of today's workshop are eligible to vote.

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

By running as a candidate to become a Constitutional delegate or alternate, you are agreeing that if elected, you will fulfill these duties.
Duties marked with an asterisk are NOT applicable to the Alternate.

Agree to the Code of Conduct:
You will represent your constituents and the Cardano Community's best interests, rather than promoting any personal agenda.
Your constituents are the Cardano Community members who attended the workshop that elected you, the Cardano community at-large in your region, and any Cardano Community members who reach out to you to represent their needs in some way.
You will not do anything to harm other attendees at the Cardano Constitutional Convention
You agree to follow the Intersect code of conduct

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

Before the Constitutional Convention

Attend triweekly delegate synthesis and Convention rehearsal workshops where you meet other delegates and share your region's constitution feedback.
(From the time of your election until mid November.
Dates: Aug 27th, Sep 17th, Oct 1st, Oct 17th, Nov 12th)

Complete Constitutional Delegate training ahead of travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina

Obtain visas and flights necessary to arrive in Argentina for the first week of December 2024. Flight, accommodation, transfers and food costs during the event will be covered for Constitutional Delegates and Alternates selected at Constitutional Workshops.

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

Before the Constitutional Convention
(these duties do NOT apply to the Alternate)

**Contact the Alternate and agree how to support each other. Ex) work together to review constituent feedback.*

**Provide your name and contact information publicly for constituents to contact you*

**Review constituent feedback and create a summary*

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

At the Constitutional Convention

Ability to communicate in English during the event.
Attend all scheduled sessions (3 days), the first week in December. Travel costs will be covered.
**Vote on whether to approve the Cardano Constitution*

After the Constitutional Convention

Optionally: Become a DRep to vote on-chain to ratify the approved constitution
Optionally: Encourage the DReps and Cardano Community to vote on the approved constitution

Constitutional Delegate Voting Process

Step 1: Registration


Step 2: Candidate Speeches


(time permitting)

Step 3: Voting



https://constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/


constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/auth/signin
INTERSECT
Cardano Workshop Login
Enter your email to log in or create an account.
Email
name@example.com
We'll send a magic link to this email.
Send Magic Link



When dialogue box is filled, click Register, then View Workshop

Enter your Registration Code, Name, and whether or not you wish to be a Candidate for Constitutional Delegate

Candidate Speeches

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

No, it all went great so far

Nope all good. Some of these were covered in the group discussions

We want to be able to see our elected representives live-streamed at the conference so that we keep a check on the shared opinion they are posting.

No. I was able to express and discuss my thoughts with other and listen to their feedback.

Add a clause in the constitution forbidding burning treasury funds. Never shall a treasury withdrawal be burnt.

+1 for forbidding the burning of treasury funds

No term limits on CC members

Given what is happening to]ublic politicians, the need for participation to be anonymous should be considered.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

Aspirational / ambiguous language is sometimes beneficial

Drep compensation, dispute resolution process,

+1 for no term limits for CC members. They should be able to run as many times as they want.

All group discussions effectively covered the topics.

The constitution feels very long and verbose - would have liked a condensed, bullet point style version that can be expanded upon later Wording was vague for a number of conditional phrases

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

Great job,

- great workshop, excellent facilitator! - was a little late getting started, but turned out great!

Great effort overall - really appreciate the workshop which brought us together

Facilitation and facilities were great

Great Workshop, Well-organized and engaging.

Well put together. Massive thank you to

Great workshop with an amazing flow and very well guided facilitation.

Exceptionally incredible..wealth of information Needs to be more frequent Allow zooms for interested people who are out if town sometimes

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

Fantastic work organising the workshop ! The workshop was perfectly structured and added so much value! Thank you for this!

I learned a lot about cardano and its constitution from this workshop.

I like the group talking and all the different ideas that everyone have regarding the topic and the presenter did a very good job

Great learning experience!

Close

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

10 responses



Draft Constitution Feedback Form

If you would like to provide feedback on other questions, please do so here: https://forms.gle/6HJVPxQe9CPriHRN6



Great work! 🙌

WELCOME!

Cardano Mongolia Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules



Let's get started 🚀

30 MINS - [TOPIC]

3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees?

ADA эзэмшиж байгаа хүний хувьд дотоод асуудал болон бусад саналуудыг илүү тодорхой, оролцоотой, үнэн зөвөөр шийдвэр гаргах тул илүү хариуцлагатай хандах боломжтой.

The voting power of the centralized exchanges should be restrained as the exchanges are not the person who owns the ada. But other than that, ada owners should be able to appoint designees.

А болон В сонголоо. Нөхцөл байдлаасаа хамаарах буюу уян хатан байх ёстой

(A) ADA эзэмшигч нар өөрсдийн хөрөнгийн үнэ цэнийг өсгөнө.Тухайн хүний өөрийн хөрөнгө тул илүү ADA эзэмшигчийн эрх ашгийг хамгаална. BOT-ийн асуудлыг шийдэнэ.

Hey [redacted] here! Granting voting power to centralized platforms like exchanges and staking services is problematic. Instead, voting rights should be either restricted on exchanges or managed by indi

(A) on chain засаглалын оролцоог зөвхөн ADA эзэмшигдчдэд хязгаарлах нь зөв ADA эзэмшигчид нь асуудалд хариуцлагатай няхуур хандах учраас

(B) Allow ada owners to appoint professional designees who are entitled and responsible for on chain governance.

A . on chain засаглалын оролцоог зөвхөн ADA эзэмшигчдээр хязгаарлах. Хүн өөрт байгаа өмч хөрөнгийн талаар илүү нухацтай бодож сэтгэж, бодитоор харна гэж бодож байна.

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees?

B.1,2хон цөөн хүнд voting эрх хэт өндөр байх нь бусад гишүүпийнхээ саналын эрх ашигт сайн гэхээсээ илүүтэй муугаар нөлөөлнө. Койны хэмжээнээс voting power хамаарах бус гишүүдийн тооноос хамөарөх нь х

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

3. Should the Constitution limit on-chain governance participation to only owners of ada or should ada owners be allowed to appoint designees?



30 MINS - [TOPIC]

8: Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

8. Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process?

Блокчэйний үндсэн давуу тал буюу ил тод нээлттэй байх талын дагуу аливаа төсөв, түүний зарцуулалтыг тусгах нь шаардлагатай гэж үзэж байна.

The answer is YES. The law should specify how to handle the remaining funds on Treasure, including the mechanisms for decision-making and expenditure. Additionally, the system for allocating these f

Yes. It is the nature of Cardano to being transparent particularly in budget and finance matters.

Тийм. Хэрхэн төсвөө зарцуулах талаар дэлгэрэнгүй тусгах нь ирээдүйд маргаан гарахад шийдвэрлэхэд хэрэгтэй

Yes we agree on that since its blockchain project visibility of the finance should be clearly defined on the constitution. Hence it will gather more trust and confidence from the ada community

Yes! As we aim to develop a self-sustaining ecosystem, the constitution should also include budgeting. Otherwise, the budget is at risk of becoming centralized in a single entity.

Yes. It should be in greater details since its blockchain project.

Санхүү төсөв нь чухал асуудал учраас зохицуулалт хийж өгөх

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

8. Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process?

Тийм.Бага хэмжээний токен эзэмшигчидэд ашиггүй шийдвэрүүд гаргах боломжтой.их токен эзэмшигч нар өөрсдийн эрх ашгийн төлөө санал гаргана.Төслийг хэрхэн зарцуулах,дээд хязгаарыг зааж өгөх шаардлагатай.

Тийм. Төсвийн зохицуулалтын талаар ил тод байх нь аюулгүй хийгээд маргаан шийдвэрлэхэд чухал баримт болно гэж үзэж байна

yes. Төсвийн үйл явцын талаар дэлгэрэнгүй мэдээлэл өгөх ёстой. Эзэмшигчид их болон бага хэмжээгээр хувь нэмрээ оруулснаас үл хамааран бүхий л чиглэлүүдийн үйл явцыг бүрэн хянаж байх ёстой гэж бодож ба

Yes жилийнхээ plan дээрх ажлуудаа төлөвснөөр тухайн төсвөө тодорхой болгох нь чухал. Хуулиндаа хэрхэн зарцуулах талаар тодорхой заах нь зөв ба үүнийг зарцуулах шийдвэрийг Community шийдэх хэрэгтэйбай

La respuesta es sí.

10 MINS - [TOPIC]

8. Should the Constitution provide greater detail about the Cardano budgetary process?



17: The Constitutional Guardrails specify a minimum and maximum size for the CC and minimum and maximum term lengths

The Constitutional Guardrails specify a minimum and maximum size for the CC and minimum and maximum term lengths but does not otherwise address details on the composition of the CC. [Pertains to: Article VI and Guardrails Maximum Constitutional Committee Term (CMTI) and The minimum size of the Constitutional Committee (CMS).]

1-2-4-All

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

17. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 17.

Depending on the operations, the number of members in a working group should be determined based on the scale of the operations. Legal provisions should specify the suspension of powers for these memb

(C) Эрхээ зөв захиран зарцуулах маш чухал

Cc members should ideally be more then 1 year in length to be make stability of the commitee and number of members should be odd in number ideally be more than 3 to include diversity of the committee

a) on-chain voting (b) 5 members (c) on-chain voting (d) 6 months = 1 term CC members should be able to run for 1 extra term, and no longer after that due to conflict of interest.

B. Fixed in consistent with Guardrials. Term length suggested to be 2 years.

a. On-chain voing b. 11 members c. More than 1 year d. 6 month

On chain voting. Should be in odd numbers, 1 years max and can be extanded once.

abcd. The number of CC members should depend on the current circumstance the cardano is going through. More members, sometimes better as it support decentrilization.

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

17. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 17.

Need to include details on how to fire a CC members when inconsistent on duties. Usually all CC become friends and do not initiate such action, so an on-chain voting should be available to any 1 ADA

Should be on chain voting and to increase efficiency and cost of the voting length of the cc should be more than 1 year and 5 to 7 members

A,c,d Үх-д Тодорхой мэдлэгтэй, эрхээ зөв зарцуулах хүмүүсийг оруулах. Дунджаар 6 сараас 1 жил байлгавал энэ хугацаанд тодорхой үр дүн харагдах учир боломжийн. Үр дүнгээс хамааран хугцааг богино уртасг

On chain voting. b. odd numbers at least 7 members. c. At least 11 months d. 5-6 months

(A) гишүүдийн тоог 5 болон түүнээс дээш сондгой. (C) хамгийн багадаа 1 жилээс 1,6 жил байвал зүгээр. Хугацаа хэтэрхий богино байх нь зарим үйл явцын хэрэгжилтийг харахад хүрэлцэхгүй.

a. Votación en cadena. b. Al menos 5 miembros deben ser miembros fijos. c. 1 año. d. 13 meses.

a. it is necessary to form a team based on Cc members, and it is right to make decisions after operating for more than 6 months.

a. Votación en cadena. b. Al menos 5 miembros deben ser miembros fijos. c. 1 año. d. 13 meses.


15 MINS - [TOPIC]

17. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 17.

Гишүүдийн тоог хязгаарлаж 5-11 хүн байлгаж хугацааг багадаа 1 жил

10 MINS - [TOPIC]

17: The Constitutional Guardrails specify a minimum and maximum size for the CC and minimum and maximum term lengths



30 MINS - [TOPIC]

18: Should the Constitution include additional details related to the election of CC members?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

15 MINS - [TOPIC]

18: Should the Constitution include additional details related to the election of CC members?

It should be possible to incorporate community suggestions into legal provisions. Common proposals received from community members should be included in the decision-making process through voting, all

Yes: - can an empty wallet run for CC election? - the wallet running should have ADA in wallet? - minimum hold time for snapshot? - min participation in governance? - anonymous campaign?

Should be clearly outlined in the constitution, with future members having the ability to vote on any required changes.

Yes! The CC members have to pass a certain threshold that we have yet to discuss. And should include clauses ensuring the fairness and inclusivity of the election as web 3.0 is a recent system.

Community should have general election committee that works in accordance with previous cc member election length and each election should hold specific requirements for members running for the cc mem

Нэмэлт зүйлсийг оруулах шаардлагатай. Учир нь үндсэн гишүүн болохын тулд тодорхой шаардлагуудыг хангах ёстой тул шаардлагуудыг нарийн тодорхойлох нь зөв гишүүдээ сонгоход туслах юм

Yes. Including additional details in the Constitution about the election of Constitutional Commission members would enhance transparency, consistency, and public trust.

No need to include additional details

15 MINS - [TOPIC]


18: Should the Constitution include additional details related to the election of CC members?

Нэмэлт зүйлсийг тусгаснаар УХ-ийн гишүүдийг сонгоход өндөр шаардлага үүсгэх хэрэгтэй

Үндсэн хуульд УХн гишүүдийг сонгохтой холбоотой нэмэлт зүйлсийг оруулах шаардлагатай. Энд хамгийн түрүүнд токен эзэмших доод хэмжээ зааж өгөх болон wallet эзэмшигчийг баталгаажуулах. өөр өөр улсаас т

10 MINS - [TOPIC]

18: Should the Constitution include additional details related to the election of CC members?



Delegate Election 



Delegate Election 🗳️

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

No tengo ningún comentario

Нэмэлт зүйлс байхгүй. Та бүхэнд талархаж байна.

The constitution should be accessible to everyone to be understood easily. Thus every technical thing should also be written in plain English.

Can anonymous wallet run for CC? Will there be a foundation running the treasury? Will they KYC the nominees for CC?

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

27 responses



Great work! 🙌

WELCOME!

Cardano Vancouver Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules



Let's get started 🚀

15 MINS - Financial Sustainability

Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....

Seeing each other in person; in-person meeting format. Being part of a revolutionary/evolutionary process with this technology. Desire to take part and contribute to something so meaningful.

Contribute to a foundational document that captures the ethos that attracted us from the start; this potent shift in the way we (communities, groups, individual) can interact with each other.

Contributing to a system and document that welcomes all, empowers participants with equality as well as sovereignty of choice around one's participation.

1-2-4-ALL

Discussion Process

1) Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone. (5 min)
2) Pair: Share your notes with a partner. (10 min)
3) Four: Generate key themes and capture on Menti. (15 min)
4) Whole Group: Debrief on key themes, points of intersection, and points of differences. (15 min)
5) Vote: Multiple choice and reasoning (10 min)

15 MINS - Stake Pool Operators

2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem? [Yes/No/Unsure]

[Pertains to Art and Guardrails section 2.2 Economic Parameters.]

15 MINS - STAKE POOL OPERATORS

Q. 2 Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....

Some shared a deep 'yes' to ensuring their are additional guardrails that provide tenents and guidelines that would provide clear instructions. Fragility of the ecosystem awareness was also mentioned

'fragility' and 'vulnerabilities' were strong motivators for seeking to add additional tenents to this section of the constitution that would provide direction and ensure integrity of project managers

We do need a tenent specifically around fiduciary responsibilities, ensuring we do not spend more than the network garners in revenue

Clear stipulations of how funds should be spent is also an important factor in seeking to have a new tenent that speaks to this piece.

it was also observed that there is already language in other tenents that can provide protections to this section, there is a thought of potential conflict as we keep evolving and creating conflicting

As we narrow the scope of the tenents, we may be looking at creating conflicting policy rather than supportive tenents that work well together

The case was made for using common sense and ensuring that people should work within realistic parameters of the ecosystem

A case is made for the definition of language and what financial sustainability actually means. Does financial sustainability have a larger scope or is it purely related to the treasury.

15 MINS - STAKE POOL OPERATORS


Q. 2 Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....

Sticking to the 45 million cap is important and knowing that there are protections and guardrails to change this is also important as if this needs to change, we can convene

worst case scenarios/dooms day scenarios were explored and there is a consensus that we believe current language is protective and flexible and there is language to reconvene and change in future

An argument is made for simplicity that would not have long term negative impacts and perhaps seeking minimalist language would be a positive

2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem?



2a. Please provide your rationale. If you answered yes, consider if this new tenet should include an absolute cap on the circulating supply of ada.

We should not spend more than the treasury takes in.

A cap is necessary to ensure a financial policy that attracted the majority of the community from the start.

I answered No, because I believe there are enough protections as is. Adding additional language and parameters may create conflicting limitations that seem unnecesary

A minimalist approach to the initial Constitution would be ideal. Future additions to the Constitution can address any shortcomings that are discovered.

We should enforce the cap of 45 billion ADA and make it really hard to change that parameter.

Yes, absolute cap on circulating supply of 45bn. Prevention of a complete drain of treasury and/or malicious actor seeking to gain control.

unsure as to the question of a new tenet, because it could just as well go into an existing tenet with unnecessary resource spend. However I do believe the 45b hard cap should be included in some form

Consider stating explicitly in the Constitution that Cardano commits to taking responsibility for intended as well as unintended consequences (externalities) from actions that the community may...

2a. Please provide your rationale. If you answered yes, consider if this new tenet should include an absolute cap on the circulating supply of ada.

It should be unconstitutional to burn the treasury

I believe there sould be a limit because the treasurer needs to be able to locate funds to projects befitting the Cardano block chain while having excess funds for problems that may arise.

A hard cap could in future be changed if needed, but there should be protection in constitution to be the last defense against an adversary like behavior if SPOs and DREPs became compromised.

...exercise, and ensure that the treasury maintains sufficient funds to meet those commitments, including from a legal liability perspective.

It is difficult to predict the breadth of outcomes from such a new system, and keeping the constitution lean will reduce un-intended events/conflicts.

Set a maximum annual treasury budget

My reasoning for an unsure answer is based on my lack of knowledge on the topic at large, also I was late to the start of the workshop.

Make it unconstitutional to withdraw the entire treasury

2a. Please provide your rationale. If you answered yes, consider if this new tenet should include an absolute cap on the circulating supply of ada.

I believe limiting the current scope by further distilling language and implementing rules beyond what exists is not necessary. I am concerned creating explicit rules would be limiting

The tenant should be written in a way that specifies the hard cap is a fixed supply, meaning there is no adding of supply NOR can there be any burning of ADA.

Further, state explicitly in the Constitution that Cardano commits to supporting real-world projects, not just software application development projects.

In addition, this tenant should have a guardrail that keeps treasury withdrawals so they can't exceed the income of the treasury from the year prior.

The CC is only going to make a determnination whether something is constitutional, so if a proposal comes in with community support (but could be adversarial) the CC is the last stand.

Managing the maximum budget limits each year is important for financial sustainability.

Allow budget to exceed previous year inflow IF the additional funds were acquired to treasury donation/deposit mechanic.



Break ☕

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

The draft constitution currently states: “DReps are expected to adopt codes of conduct from time to time governing their activities as DReps and make such codes of conduct publicly available.” [Pertains to: Article III, Article IV.]

(a) DRep codes of conduct should be on-chain
(b) One code of conduct for all DReps
(c) Flexibility for each DRep to adopt its own code of conduct
(d) The Constitutional Committee should determine whether DRep code(s) of conduct are consistent with the Constitution
(e) Other guidelines for DRep codes of conduct
(f) None of these

15 MINS - DReps

Q. 11 Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....

Consensus is to having code of conduct on chain. Flexibility for DReps across cultures, countries, etc is really important. A single code of conduct must be simple enough to allow flexibility.

Single code of conduct example: Financial disclosure of conflict of interest. To preserve transparency

In the absence of code of conduct, if the community became upset by Drep lack of transparency on conflicts of interest, they could say they are being targeted for one reason or another.

If a conflict of interest were a siingle code of conduct by example, and a DREp were found to not be honoring this code, then it would be reasonable and justified that community could be upset.

I question whether script-based DReps qualify under the objective of achieving minimal viable governance. Implementing script-based DReps seems a step beyond minimal viable governance...

...and should be excluded from the governance discussion for now, on that basis. What is the research basis for introducing script-based DReps? ...

... How would the effects on the network of introducing script-based DReps into the network be studied? The threshold for introducing script-based DReps should be research demonstrating...

there could someday be hundreds of thousands DReps; it cannot be managed by the CC.

15 MINS - DReps

Q. 11 Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....


...usefulness and benefits of doing so, rather than technological feasibility.

There was also some significant questions about how any code of conduct could be enforced. So while we like the idea of it being on chain, that isn't clear what it means at this time

Once a code of conduct becomes clear over time we could add later if necessary; and after more tooling is available.

liquid staking allows for the community to support/or not the dreps who operate transparently/or not by their own code of conduct that aligns or maligns with community.

11. What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?



11a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. Please submit one response for each item you selected in Question 11.

DReps need access to legal advice to prepare a code of conduct, as well as to confer in specific situations that may arise on how to act in order to follow the code of conduct...

Ideally, a code of conduct is recorded on chain as a shared record of that code.

B - yes, keep it simple

Since the CC has no way of enforcing the CoC or penalizing it, I believe other than saying dReps should act in a way that is constitutional, no additional CoC should be required.

C because I believe in the decentralization of the block chain and making sure d reps can present different ideas for different groups of people

There should be one generic ethos outlining fiduciary responsibilities and awareness of the Dreps to their delegators, beyond that personal moralities do not need to be codified

C - i believe dreps should have flexibility,and not be governed by any one preset value. We want as diverse a group as possible to help govern.

...as well as how to respond in the outlying circumstance that the code of conduct may have been breached.

11a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. Please submit one response for each item you selected in Question 11.

Because there is no way to enforce what happens when someone does not have a CoC or does not follow the global one (or their personal one), I do not believe it should be included in the constitution.

One code for all, designed that people across cultures and around the world, and different abilities (ie, blind, hearing impared, etc.) can adhere to the code of conduct; and retains flexibility also.

Very basic code of conduct to ward off egregious violation of constitution; largely symbolic and not restrictive. DRep can have their own optional/additional clauses off chain.

Yes I believe that the constitution should the flexibility for each DRep to adopt its own code of conduct.

C yes, because this code of conduct can be individual to the type of person and what that code means to them. D. Yes, because all conduct should be consistant with the constitution

D- when the drep provides their code of conduct I believe a body of people should be able to verify that they arent going against anything in the constitution.

Yes - b. But also paired with the idea that being insides of the code of conduct also indemnifies the dreps from legal action, ie, they can access legal help or funds if needed and they are onside

Requiring a Code of Conduct can cause issues or discourage smaller dReps and makes no real sense when it comes to people representing themselves as dReps (Direct Voters). As such, the answer is none.

11a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d/e/f) and rationale for Question 11. Please submit one response for each item you selected in Question 11.

Morals and ethics in my opinion vary, morals are personal opinions and strongly held beliefs where as ethics are those principles aligned with the Cardano ethos and adherence to the C. Constitution

Allowance for DReps to adopt their own code that is in alignment with and in addition to the on-chain code of conduct. Allows for DReps to personalize their platform and promise to their constituents

Yes to (c). Flexibility is critical to enable the inclusion of a diverse set of DReps from different legal jurisdictions and economic backgrounds. We also have to limit exclusionary outcomes.

One code to rule them all, one code to bind them. But allow them to publish any additional codes they are representing to help delegators to chose based on their commitments

The one code of conduct for all DReps that is on chain needs to be constitutional. If it should be updated, the CC must ensure it is consistent with the constitution.

(c) As DReps will be from different nations and cultures as well as falling under various legal jurisdictions the DReps need to have the flexibility to meet those expectations and requirements.

It might make sense to encourage dReps to have CoCs & act constitutional but requiring it adds a lot of bureaucracy with no real way of enforcing it. Liquid stake allows voters to change dReps anytime

I do not believe flexibility to a basic standard should exist as it creates too much variance that cannot be accounted for in any significant way and it would over burden the constitutional committee



Break ☕

18. Should the Constitution include additional details related to the election of CC members? [Yes/No/Unsure]

We now have experience electing members to an interim CC but, going forward, the Constitution is silent as to the process for electing future members of the CC.

The community may wish to experiment with different approaches to electing CC members. Alternatively, the community may wish to specify a specific set of criteria for those elections.

15 MINS - CONSTITUTIONAL COMMITTEE

Q. 18 Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....

- Is the Constitution the correct place to store these types of details? - I would say no. The details related to electing a CC member is outside the scope of the Constitution itself. - The details s

The group was unsure about this one in general, rich discussion about the potential risks, how they are mitigated by community and the election process. But also the risks

Process should be transparent, but not necessarily in the constitution or on-chain, until we have a better understanding of what it entails.

Commonality was that everyone thought that there should be a process, but not to specify in detail what that process entails so we have flexibility


We agreed that there should be something in the constitution, but not too specific of a process. Things we thought might be part of it: Term limits, Diversity (geographical, cultural), Transparency

Questions Term limits: (how to confirm/enforce) Composition/Diversity (encourage or enforce) Transparency (how to discover)

Term limits, committee size should be parameters and not in the Constitution

Risks in the election process: stake-based voting, no cap or max # of CC members. If a malicious actor wanted to compromise the CC, they could use stake power to elect more CC members for quorum

18. *Should the Constitution include additional details related to the election of CC members?*



18a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 18.

The constitution should demand that there be a process, but not define it.

Committee term limits, size, diversity should be parameters.

Yes there should be additional details. The CC is the only part of governance that is not stake-driven, ergo officially independent of delegation. They are our last protection against malicious actors

Yes We believe there should be a little more rigorous choosing process due to the nature of the CCs job. Possibly doxxing, but at least very transparent.

The SPOs and DReps are afforded a greater latitude of annonymity but when it comes to the CC, it is a special seat, and with it ought to come a bit more rigorous requirment... a DID, SSI, or something

The details related to electing a CC member is outside the scope of the Constitution itself. The process should be as transparent as possible

The process, if not in the Constitution, should be discoverable.

Unsure. Perhaps general and more maliable guidelines off chain to avoid frequent changes in the constitution.

18a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 18.

A basic rubric of elections and term lengths is necessary, it will still allow flexibilities to exist outside the constitution but a basic rubric should exist within the language of the constitution

The Constitution by design is difficult to change. I believe that the details related to the election of CC members should require a lower bar of difficulty to modify.

In terms of mitigating risks of betrayal or corruption on the CC, consider designing repeated interactions between CC members, other CC members and the community...

The process itself does not (should not)be in the constitution, but generally a process should be set forth, that we can regularly refine. We need to be flexible, especially in the beginning.

Unsure, I feel that some basic crteria could be included in the con, yet the details of an elections and things that may come up in the future should should be saved for an official doc outside of it

The Constitution should reference an external document that contains all of the details related to the election of CC members

Transparency is crucial both for who are the members of the CC, and what the process for election is. DIDs and other identification might help mitigate risks here, but needs to be considered carefully

The CC is so important it should have a bit more detail flushed out for the election of it's members, to mitigate and minimize potential gaming of the system or adversarial behavior.

18a. Please provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 18.

...people are less likely to betray someone who they know they must interact with again. So maybe emphasize the possibility of re-election if CC members to a good job?

Term limits, encouraging (not enforcing) diversity in both geography, cultural heritage, affluency and other criteria might make sense in the constitution and/or its principles, rather than specifics

I believe yes there needs to be details in the constitution for CC members and the election process, but I am unsure of what the specifics should be in order to protect the integirty of the ecosystem.

Unsure. Language ensuring a smooth transition of responsibility between CC members is important. It needs to be flexible, so an external document that is referenced by the Constitution may be used.

Building processes around accountability for both elected officials and who is defining and refining the election process makes sense, but remains to be discussed how much belongs in the constitution.

...perhaps set an expectation that CC members maintain a certain level of participation, visibility or leadership within the community for a certain period of time (3 to 5 years?) after leaving the CC

Yes - there should be one, and the community should ratify it. But don't specify it in the Constitution itself.

Yes - should be on chain but only apply to a standing committee and require a new committee to publish their own when they transition into power



Break ☕

19. What requirements, if any, should the Constitution include for the Constitutional Committee's code of conduct?

The Constitution expects the CC to adopt and operate pursuant to a code of conduct but is otherwise silent as to how a code of conduct should be adopted and who it should include.

What requirements, if any, should the Constitution include for the Constitutional Committee's code of conduct? :

(a) The CC code of conduct should be on-chain

(b) The Cardano Community should have a role in approving the CC's code of conduct

(c) Other guidelines for the CC code of conduct

(d) None of these

Q. 19 Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....

agree a code of conduct for CC members is VERY important for many reasons, but should not be placed in the constitution itself.

More open to a defined CoC for CC members, even though likely not on chain, as we need to evolve more quickly. On chain or not is not as important as that its clear what it is and who agreed to it.

CC members code of conduct should define how they should not use their position to influence favor or personal gain.

Repeated interactions= trust. The interpretation of the code of conduct needs to be discussed and defined. Codes of conduct should be generalized around the cc, with an addition of not taking bribes


Personal involvements with radical social movements for example could reflect poorly on the community as a whole if the position was used to gain influence or personal favor. Very inappropriate

Code of Conduct should contain what is off limits but also what is expected

Perhaps to help address corruption on the CC, a mechanism may be needed to remove one member from the CC without using a vote of non-confidence, which would trigger an election for the whole CC

Perhaps an "Oath of Office" or "Oath of Service" versus "conduct" could be a powerful and positive affirmation of the responsibilities of the position.

19. *What requirements, if any, should the Constitution include for the Constitutional Committee's code of conduct? (select all that apply)*



(a) The CC code of conduct should be on-chain

(b) The Cardano Community should have a role in approving the CC's code of conduct

(c) Other guidelines for the CC code of conduct

(d) None of these

19a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d) and rationale. Consider: How would any of the selected requirements be enforced?

Violations against the CC CoC could result in motions of no confidence, or info action votes that call for the removal of individual seats on a council. The latter could be technically challenging.

Maybe instead of a code of conduct, CC members take an oath that they understand their responsibilities and will uphold the constitution

An Oath of Service to set the tone of service for a CC member. And then we would need either an Info Action or some mechanism to remove a single member of the CC if that member breaches their oath.

Code of Conduct should not only list what is not allowed, but also what is expected.

The CoC could be voted for as an info action in which the CC votes are ignored, and only certain thresholds for dReps and CCs are required. It would be inform but can still reference an on-chain doc

a) the CC code of conduct SHOULD NOT be on-chain
b) the Cardano Community SHOULD have a role in approving the CC's code of conduct

Code of Conduct should only reflect expectations of the role, not their personal lives.

Group consensus of a top-level pledge/oath to uphold the constitution abd abide by a code of conduct. Agreed. So long as the code sticks to basic principles to not be exckusionary.

19a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d) and rationale. Consider: How would any of the selected requirements be enforced?

Set an expectation of having a history within the Cardano ecosystem for some period of time that may be shared with the community prior to being eligible for election to the CC

The community should ratify the CCCC via an info action.

The only real way to do it would be a vote of no confidence, and a motion for challenge.

The Cardano community should have a say in the Oath and/or Code of Conduct because a CC member's behavior could, for better and worse, reflect on the community as a whole.

c) other guidelines for the CC code of conduct should be referenced in the Constitution but all of the details should be detailed in an external document

As opposed to many dReps, CC members have a much more public position, and may even represent the Cardano community to the outside world which makes a CoC more reasonable to encourage proper behavior.

B. I think it would be enforced by a vote out to the cardano community, regarding the code of conduct. And the cc would be held accountable by an oath of office taken before election.

Maybe the code of conduct is created by the community and not the CC itself

19a. Please provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d) and rationale. Consider: How would any of the selected requirements be enforced?

The idea of instead of a CoC, a oath of service could be used resonated with me, either in place of or in addition to the code. It could have adjustable parts to allow for legislative limitations.

Provisions for votes of no confidence are already in place. If an "Oath of Office" was instituted then outside legal actions holding errant CC members to account would have strong bearing.

for example, if a member had too much to drink and became a bit beligerent in a public setting and stated they were a CC member while behaving poorly, maybe it caught on video, or article, etc...

Violations and consequences should be listed, even if it is just a no confidence motion.

The CC code of conduct, I believe should be approved by the cardano community because of the responsible the CC has in representing the ecosystem in a larger capacity then DReps or SPOs.



Break ☕

23. What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?

(a) Prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.

(b) Require disclosure but do not prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.

(c) Include special conflicts of interest provisions.

(d) Does not need to be addressed in the Constitution.

(e) Unsure.

Q. 23 Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....

A collective thought is the if a person is a DRep and a SPO they should have one vote per person.

We haven't identified a scenario where an actor has the ability to abuse having the 2 roles of being a DRep and an SPO voting on the same proposal. We feel keeping the Constitution lean is important.

our group talked about how could you register and ensure seperation and require disclosure. We also agreed we would need practical examples of abuse of power.

another thing we talked about was how the constitution protects us from ledger rules so we need to make sure we ensure that.

As an SPO you can game the system by voting for Drep and it would be better not to bloat constitution with things that address that.

Increasing transparency by pledges for Dreps instead of connstant chases for people who are SPO's and Drep's

catalyst voting, what is the power Dreps vs SPO's will have and what is the metric.

Digital idetity???

Q. 23 Any connections, themes, surprises, commonalities that you noticed.....


1 person 1 vote ensures safety of vote mattering and keeping community equal.

Protections in community in case of conglomerate and large grabbing of ADA and control.

"One person, one vote" would be better than the "one ADA, one vote" principle enshrined in CIP-1694

1 key 1 vote CC 1 ADA 1 vote for Drep

23. What should the Constitution say, if anything, concerning ada owners voting on the same governance action as both a DRep and SPO?



(a) Prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.

(b) Require disclosure but do not prohibit voting on the same governance action as a DRep and SPO.

(c) Include special conflicts of interest provisions.

(d) Does not need to be addressed in the Constitution.

(e) Unsure.

23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

D - does not matter if they vote as both, can't see a downside

There is no way to enforce this separation of powers at this time without sacrificing something else we hold dear like our identities and right to psuedoanonymity.

In a group of various SPOs, we could not find many obvious scenarios where the vote would be compromised any more than already possible if SPOs could not be dReps themselves.

If someone does vote as both a drep and spo, disclosure would allow the community to self audit if they felt the need .

D - SPOs mainly vote on parameter changes so their scope of influence is limited.

If by doing this it will make it more flexible for spo and dreps to get the inclusion on both sides

Without a digital identity mechanism, there isnt a way to identify overlap between SPOs and DReps. Thus, they each party should be allowed to vote as they see fit.

Provisions make sense as inevitably there will be a conflict of interest, but beyond that the way the voting power is distributed seems to naturally balance and weed out bad actors

23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

As governance is more liquid than even SPO staking, as an SPO i could simply just before the epoch boundary delegate my ada to a dRep that votes the same way I want the vote to go. Voted d) hence

D - both are delegated their power, so misuse will lose them the delegations.

d) Does not need to be addressed in the Constitution. Let's try and keep the Constitution as lean as possible.

I think further discussions are needed, because i see benefits on both sides. Letting them vote as both and figuring out if they could take advantage of the system this way

Even if hypthetically we could technically prevent an SPO from voting as a DREP as well, what would it accomplish. The SPO could just wait and delegate with a DRep that votes the way they want.

D - There is no way to tell if some one is both of they chose not to disclose it. It is unenforceable on-chain

Requiring disclosure is not possible as wallets can be anonymous. It would require game theory that encourages SPOs to put their ada into pledge to make it so their dRep votes can be tied to the pool

I chose e as my answer because after the group talk the other four answers did not convince me, therefore I am unsure.

23a. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d/e) and rationale for Question 23.

Interesting note that almost everyone at this workshop is an SPO

Provide an ethical framework for the CC to help make decisions that may not be covered by the Constitution or Code of Conducts

There needs to be a practical example of what this is intended to prevent and perhaps it could be more explicitly addressed. Because SPOs shouldn't be prevented from being a Drep to vote on their own

One provision might be to prohibit exchanges (who are huge SPOs) from participating as dreps altogether due to their concentration of ADA power that is not delegated

(e) Unsure. More thought needs to be put towards potential vectors of attack from a lack of separation of powers.

Serving on the Cons titutional Committee is a privileged position

Delegate Election 

Introduction to Voting

We are about to begin the process of voting for Constitutional Convention Candidates. It will be your job as Workshop Participants to choose a Delegate and an Alternate to travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina, this December, to represent our group and this region at the Cardano Constitutional Convention.

Participants who attended a majority of today's workshop are eligible to vote.

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

By running as a candidate to become a Constitutional delegate or alternate, you are agreeing that if elected, you will fulfill these duties.
Duties marked with an asterisk are NOT applicable to the Alternate.

Agree to the Code of Conduct:
You will represent your constituents and the Cardano Community's best interests, rather than promoting any personal agenda.
Your constituents are the Cardano Community members who attended the workshop that elected you, the Cardano community at-large in your region, and any Cardano Community members who reach out to you to represent their needs in some way.
You will not do anything to harm other attendees at the Cardano Constitutional Convention
You agree to follow the Intersect code of conduct

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

Before the Constitutional Convention

Attend triweekly delegate synthesis and Convention rehearsal workshops where you meet other delegates and share your region's constitution feedback.
(From the time of your election until mid November.
Dates: Aug 27th, Sep 17th, Oct 1st, Oct 17th, Nov 12th)

Complete Constitutional Delegate training ahead of travel to Buenos Aires, Argentina

Obtain visas and flights necessary to arrive in Argentina for the first week of December 2024. Flight, accommodation, transfers and food costs during the event will be covered for Constitutional Delegates and Alternates selected at Constitutional Workshops.

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

Before the Constitutional Convention
(these duties do NOT apply to the Alternate)

**Contact the Alternate and agree how to support each other. Ex) work together to review constituent feedback.*

**Provide your name and contact information publicly for constituents to contact you*

**Review constituent feedback and create a summary*

Constitutional Delegate & Alternate Duties

At the Constitutional Convention

Ability to communicate in English during the event.
Attend all scheduled sessions (3 days), the first week in December. Travel costs will be covered.
**Vote on whether to approve the Cardano Constitution*

After the Constitutional Convention

Optionally: Become a DRep to vote on-chain to ratify the approved constitution
Optionally: Encourage the DReps and Cardano Community to vote on the approved constitution



Photo 📸

Break ☕

Constitutional Delegate Voting Process

Step 1: Registration


Step 2: Candidate Speeches


(time permitting)


Step 3: Voting



https://constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/


constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/auth/signin
INTERSECT
Cardano Workshop Login
Enter your email to log in or create an account.
Email
name@example.com
We'll send a magic link to this email.
Send Magic Link


INTERSECT
My Workshops
Register for Workshop
Workshops
Search by name or short ID
All Statuses
Name
Short ID
Status
Memphis Workshop
memphis
Not Started


Register for a New Workshop
Enter the registration code provided by the workshop administrator.
Registration Code
f57r4t37
Your name
George Washington
Run as candidate for constitutional delegate
Register

Candidate Speeches

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

Nope

This was a cool process!

Thanks.

a fun experience

How many people are supposed to come to these things i thought that it was a lot more people than 12 how do we get more people how do we grab more

Establish oaths of office or oaths of service for Constitutional Committee rather than adding to the constitution

The current Preamble is significantly too long and wordy, and reads more like a history document than outlining the principles we should adhere to.

Good time!

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

In the tenets, there is a lot of "listing examples" that may not be helping to clarify much.

The conversations naturally went toward a number of other topics that were not directly being addressed today that were also very important. However, i was able to express all my thoughts today.

The Constitution represents the culmination of two years of development for Cardano. In a time when the project should have seen a run-up to the hard fork, the project dropped out of the top 10 list..

The workshops focused only on specific preselected questions - one dedicated section to provide general feedback on the constitution would have been good.

...of blockchain projects by market cap. The Constitution is a chance for Cardano to flip the narrative that Cardano may be a dying project.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

8 hours is a long time. But it was good.

More of those little chocolate covered cheese cake balls more of em!

very constructive

I would have preferred a less structured format

Excellent voting and feedback processes.

Came, saw, got the TShirt!

We held the event in Delta, BC

Great flow, on time, respectful of each others opinions and times even when we werent in agreement. Got exposed to every person in the workshop due to the small size.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

More time to network and chat would have been great.

It was structured very well kudos on making the day flow quickly. For the breadth we have to span this format works well.

I think it went really well today. The format went smooth, the conversation was brilliant, great perspectives, and input. I really want to hear more of the community's constructive input on more!

The intimacy of the workshop and talking to everyone as part of it was great, but also indicated a seeming lack of broader interest. Would be interesting to see how other workshops did in comparison.

Everyone was so respectful and gave space for all voices

I can tell you all gave this thorough thought, had we been able to freely explore all sections of the Cons, we would need days

Close

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

9 responses



Great work! 🙌

WELCOME!

Cardano Varna, Bulgaria Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Working agreements

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules

impromptu connections

What brought you here today? What are you hoping to get from this experience?

impromptu connections

What brought you here today? What are you hoping to get from this experience?

New expectations

Pure curiosity

As an blockchain enthusiast I am exited to know more about Cardano and participate in the development of the basic rules. I also consider it as a valuable experience and of course networking.

Inspired to inovate the world

[redacted] told us about the workshop, we are not sure what to expect but we are enjoying the process

I want to live in a fairer world of finance and for people to have good financial literacy. I think this is the right place.

I saw a Facebook post about today's event on my college page, where the event is hosting.

Not sure

impromptu connections

What brought you here today? What are you hoping to get from this experience?

The reason to be part of this workshop is to learn more about all the blockchain technology connected with Cardano. I have some questions about the ecosystem and i expect to have answers at the end

I came because of my interest in investing in Cardano. I want to learn more about the platform's potential.

I'm keen on world development

I wanted to participate in the Bulgarian Cardano Workshop because I wanted to take part in the overall discussion, brainstorming and feedback concerning the Constitution.

Development.



Let's get started 🚀

1-2-4-ALL

Discussion Process

1) Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone. (5 min)

2) Pair: Share your notes with a partner. (10 min)

3) Four: Generate key themes and take notes for input into Menti later (15 min)

4) Whole Group: Debrief on key themes, points of intersection, and points of differences. (15 min)

5) Vote and input thoughts into Menti. (10 min)

[Q6] Should the Constitution require dispute resolution provisions?

Contractual obligations governing the use of ada received from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury could be mandated to include dispute resolution provisions. [Pertains to: Article 3, Section 8.]

[Q6] Provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 6

Yes. I think there should be some basic rules and guidelines. Probably even to use the treasury funds.

No.I don't think they are needed,if they can be included in the individual contract

Yes! I would recommend 2 set of rules - required and recommended. Each contract will have specific rules which are going to overwrite the recommended, but can not interfere with the required!

Yes. It will bring more reliability

Yes, because regulations will help the overall process of the cardano blockchain.

No- The Constitution should not include provisions for dispute resolution, but a reference to a transparency framework would be beneficial to avoid conflicts and ensure flexibility.

Yes. There must be a clear structure for the users to be aware of when closing any contracts.

Yes - to prevent fraud. I can propose an idea to carry out a project and want to use it for personal causes, so it is better to require dispute resolution provisions.

[Q6] Provide your answer (Yes/No/Unsure) and rationale for Question 6

My answer is “Yes” In my opinion using of the treasury have to be part of the dispute. This would be giving more meaning of the idea for the blockchain technology.

Yes, because everyone is participating and contributing to the treasury and the people need to be supported by the budget.


No - because the contracts should include dispute provisions. The entities in the contract should take the responsibility for the disputes, funds from the Treasury should not be allocated for that.

Yes, because they should be involved a basic standards, but disputes not be permised with withdraw from treasury.

Yes

Yes

[Q6] Should the Constitution require dispute resolution provisions?



[Q7] Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include (select all that apply):

(a) A contingency fund to cover unexpected costs not otherwise specified in the Cardano Budget.

(b) An indemnity fund to cover potential claims against governance participants such as DReps and Constitutional Committee members.

(c) Funds to cover administrative costs of the CC.

(d) None of these.

CONSIDER: If (a) or (b), how would it work? Who could it be used for? Who would administer it? If (d), do you agree with the need for funding but disagree that it must be mandated w/in Constitution?

[Q7] Provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d) and rationale for Question 7

D

Yes to all! a) Yes.This will serve as insurance which sounds mandatory to me. b) Yes. This would be necessary at some point. There are a lot of possible cases that will need funding in some form ->

A- because as a member of the community you must be able to use this as a type of insurance.

Answer D is because other answers are applicable in direct democratic system

I think that a) is good to be included because anything can happen.

A and C - Regarding answer a, it can happen to anyone that they have to have an operation and I think that it is advisable to take this money from the treasury so that employees are

c) Administrative costs are a point that we cannot ignore. In case they are neglectable, they can be just covered by the the members of the commission, having in mind that they will be paid positions.

C - all expenses in interest of the efficient work should be covered by the CC budget

[Q7] Provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d) and rationale for Question 7

a.Contingency fund should exist.It will help with solving problems faster, without waiting for voting,if everything is defined properly

I think b) needs to be included as well because anybody can decide to file a lawsuit against a member of the CC. The member of the CC need to be comfortable

are satisfied with their work and therefore work harder. As for answer C, I think that administrative and technical costs should be taken from the treasury and not paid by the employees to make them

happier.

c. This fund is needed to make CC work more effective and for them to be motivated to do their job

Yes for all. My proposition is a Control Body to be made which would decide on appeals for covering costs, eg: governance partipants involved in claims against them should apply for covering of costs.

I think c) has to be included as well because the CC may need to use let say a software for their work. That has to be covered in my opinion.

A: Yes. There are always an unexpected expenses B: Yes. It is mandatory for better control over DReps and CC members C: Yes but with specified cap on the annual budget

[Q7] Provide your answer(s) (a/b/c/d) and rationale for Question 7


a) The emergency fund should be included in the Constitution but regulated to prevent misuse and protect against private interests. Clear criteria and accountability are essential.

A,B,C. Of course, under strict reports, definitions and control.

b) In my opinion, it overlaps to some extent with A.

c) Administrative expenses: in my opinion, there should be a clearly defined fund to cover them in order to motivate and ensure the effective functioning of the Constitutional Commission.

[Q7] Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include (select all that apply):



(a) A contingency fund to cover unexpected costs not otherwise specified in the Cardano Budget.

(b) An indemnity fund to cover potential claims against governance participants such as DReps and Constitutional Committee members.

(c) Funds to cover administrative costs of the CC.

(d) None of these

Lunch

Let's get a group photo!



DReps

[Q11] What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

(a) DRep codes of conduct should be on-chain

(b) One code of conduct for all DReps

(c) Flexibility for each DRep to adopt its own code of conduct

(d) The Constitutional Committee should determine whether DRep code(s) of conduct are consistent with the Constitution

(e) Other guidelines for DRep codes of conduct

(f) None of these

DReps

[Q11] What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

a) Yes, that is necessary to keep them responsible. b) General guideline to follow would be lovely to have. ->

A, B and D, like in codecs of conduct should be involved barrier for Dreps

-> c) They should have the freedom to have their own code as long as it follow the common guidelines from b) and the constitution. d) Yes, there should be control applied when crafting the rules ->

A) and B) There must be a clear standard and rules for every Drep, no exceptions. Also, once it is placed in the blockchain it will be global for all users to be aware of too.

a.Codes of conduct should be on the blockchain in terms of security, transearency and easy acces for the comunity

A,C,D - a - Since everything is related to the blockchain I think it is right to have codes of conduct in it. c - When one is more free one feels more comfortable therefore will try harder.

D - Since the constitution is the supreme law it is advisable that codes of conduct are in line with it.

Yes to all. If the Dreps are allowed to make their own additional codes of conduct, the volume to be approved would potentially be too big for the CC alone to check. Additional body must be appointed.

DReps

[Q11] What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

-> e) This would be too much, making the process unnecessary difficult. Also it is mostly covered in b) and c).

A- they must be included in the blockchain because to be visible for all of us B- one code of conduct for all DReps so all of DReps to have equal rights all over the world

I think we need to have 2 different codes of conduct. 1. Basic in the blockchain (checked by CC) 2. Individual CoC of every DRep not needed to be in the blockchain. So... a), b), c), d) and e)

a) This will ensure transparency and immutability of the rules by making them accessible to all stakeholders.

There must be a primary code of conduct for all Reps, which is implemented in the block chain and a secondary one chosen by the DReps.

b) I believe this will guarantee that all codes of conduct are in accordance with the fundamental principles and goals of the constitution.

b. I think all Dreps should follow the same code,have same rights and obligations for the fairness of the proces

In order to prevent too much influence concentrated in few persons, it would be vital to have a maximum voting power for the DReps - I would suggest around 5%.

DReps


[Q11] What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?

c) ; d) I believe there should be some flexibility, but within moderate limits that can be controlled by DRep, and each proposal should be voted on.

A, B. I think that everyone has to follow particular behavior, especially when they are responsible for other users finances.

DReps

[Q11] What should the constitution require related to DRep codes of conduct (select all that apply)?



[Q17] Constitutional Guardrails specify a min/max size for the CC and min/max term lengths, but doesn't otherwise address details on its composition.

Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include (select all that apply):

(a) How the number of CC members are determined

(b) A fixed number of CC members (consistent with the Guardrails)

(c) How term limits for CC members are determined

(d) A fixed term limit for the CC members (consistent with the Guardrails)

(e) Other

(f) None of these

[Q17] Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include (select all that apply):

a - the number of members to be determined by ballot and the members themselves to be an odd number.

b - members should not be fixed so that there is adaptability, if there were enough five at the beginning and then not, new members can be added.

A) The members should follow specific rules and to meet high skill standards to be part of the CC

The CC member number need to be decided/voted at the end of each period/mandate for the next mandate. So it should not be fixed in this regard. a)

a) Yearly voting to determinate the count of the CC members. b) No, this should be flexible and adaptive. c) CC members to be chosen yearly, with maximum of 4 mandates. ->

a.The number of CC members should be voted according to the needs if the ecosistem

The mandate duration itself needs to be also voted at the end of each mandate. c)

B) The number of members should be one that can prevent a tie when voting

[Q17] Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include (select all that apply):

The number of the CC members and the the term should both be voted by ADA owners (Dreps). The term should be not less than 1 year. Both votes should be based on the anticipated work due for the term.

There need to be a cap to the number of mandates that each member can have. e)

A - with voting B - at the end ot warrent of attorney with motion vote to choose same or differnt number of members (an uneven number) C - with voting

-> d) No, this should remain flexible for more adaptivity and corrections when required. However, for starters there could be an yearly meeting in order to take all the decisions regarding CC members.

A+ C in my opinion in every single end of the mandate would have to be made a decisions based on past events for taking a solutions about the next one connected with the number of members and time

b.The number should vary and be determend after analysing the needs of the comunity

D - at the end of warrent of attorney with motion vote to choose same or different period

"Clear and precise rules to help improve the functioning of the committee in order to avoid potential conflicts. This should be based on voting and with a defined mandate."

[Q17] Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include (select all that apply):

C) The duration of membership in the CC should be no less than 2 years as like that is given time to develop ideas and accomplish them as well

B) There should be an initial fixed version, which can be changed after each mandate according to the needs."

c.Term limits should be determined by the lenght of the future projects

"C) With a mandate of a specific term, which shall be voted on."

D) The term should also be fixed, but with the possibility of flexibility, again through voting (in case of potential violations and non-compliance with the rules)."

A:The number of the members must be uneven and determined by the necessity. C: If the members can be unelected there is no time limitation needed (within reasonable time frame)

d.Terms should have limits, voted by the comunity,according to the future needs, but should not be a fixed to a same lenght every time

A - The number of CC members should be voted on, at the end of each mandate, depending on the needs of the ecosystem.

[Q17] Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include (select all that apply):

C - The durations of the mandate needs to be voted on at the end of the each mandate.

Constitutional Committee

[Q17] Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include (select all that apply):



Break ☕

Constitutional Committee

[Q19] What requirements, if any, should the Constitution include for the Constitutional Committee's code of conduct? (select all that apply)

(a) The CC code of conduct should be on-chain

(b) The Cardano Community should have a role in approving the CC's code of conduct

(c) Other guidelines for the CC code of conduct

(d) None of these

[Q19] What requirements, if any, should the Constitution include for the Constitutional Committee's code of conduct? (select all that apply)

a.Should be on the blockchain because of transparancy

A and B - logically

A:Yes B:Yes

b.Should be voted by the comunity to preserve the democratic model

a) Yes, as it is easy to implement, it come natural to be a part of the blockchain, which would give some transparency. ->

I think a) and b) are tge right ones as answers to this questions, in my opinion. One common CoC for the CC implemented in the blockchain and voted on by the ADA holders (the community).

-> b) Yes, the CC CoC is important piece, which is better to be approved by the whole community, including the DReps. c) Nothing to add.

B - for the community to feel part of the governance of this decentralized state, it is right that they should be able to endorse the CC code of conduct

[Q19] What requirements, if any, should the Constitution include for the Constitutional Committee's code of conduct? (select all that apply)

Yes to a), b) & c). For sure the Cardano Community should take a role/vote in approving the Code for CC. As for additional guidelines applied to Code of Conduct - there should be an option for that.

A) The code of conduct should be in the blockchain as it provides clarity and immutability, preventing manipulation.


A, B. - In that way the DReps and CC will be reggulating each other.

D) In Cardano, the community can aid in developing and approving the code of conduct, with CC and Dreps providing feedback and mutual oversight.

A and B when you have no propolsals, of course

A) It is important for the members to regulate each other and to provide transparency of their actions


[Q19] What requirements, if any, should the Constitution include for the Constitutional Committee's code of conduct? (select all that apply)





Delegate Election 



https://constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/


constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/auth/signin
INTERSECT
Cardano Workshop Login
Enter your email to log in or create an account.
Email
name@example.com
We'll send a magic link to this email.
Send Magic Link


INTERSECT
My Workshops
Register for Workshop
Workshops
Search by name or short ID
All Statuses
Name
Short ID
Status
Memphis Workshop
memphis
Not Started


Register for a New Workshop
Enter the registration code provided by the workshop administrator.
Registration Code
f57r4t37
Your name
George Washington
Run as candidate for constitutional delegate
Register

Candidate Speeches

2-3 minutes each

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

I think we are going in the right direction!

I think we are on our way together to create something extraordinary.

Everything is good

I want to underline again the idea of setting a cap of ~5% of the DReps voting rights. This would be vital for giving the influence to more people, so it would be not concentrated to a small group.

To lay in constitution options about create a rules, which support the MAIN LAW

The Cardano blockchain is providing a lovely opportunity for all users to have a fair saying in the development of the whole crypto communications and actions

The Constitution says that the term of the members of CC "shall not be less than one year" but the Guidelines "3 months-3 year". There's a discrepancy as the Guidelines allow for less than 1 year.

The creation of the Cardano Constitution is an important step toward decentralization and transparency. This shows that Cardano is on a good path toward achieving its goals.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

I think that we are going in the right direction.

No. Indeed, the workshop and the discussions covered all related topics. It was quite informative.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What positives and negatives do you have for the workshop?

I think all went as perfect as possible

It was an amazing journey in the world of Cardano. As a crypto enthusiast it was very interesting for me to dive into details into a specific blockchain. Decentralization is the future :)

Everything was fine

:)

🔥🚀🍀💯🌍

😊⚡💯

:-D

Only positive vibes from the Workshop. Great atmosphere and also informative and the organizers pushed for discussions in which all participants took part.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What positives and negatives do you have for the workshop?

I did enjoy all the topics, the questions were chosen very carefully. The performance from [redacted] was exquisite!

It was very interesting and helpful for me personally and everything was great and satisfying.

Positives - great interactive discussion, professionally organized and informative. No negative thoughts.

Close

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

11 responses



Draft Constitution Feedback Form

If you would like to provide feedback on other questions, please do so here: https://forms.gle/6HJVPxQe9CPriHRN6



страхотна работа! благодаря 🙌 🇧🇬

Welcome Vienna Cardano Community! 👋

Welcome

Cardano Vienna Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 5 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Group Agreements

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules

Discussion Process

1) Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone. (3 min)

3) Small groups: Generate key themes and capture on Menti. (15 min)

4) All: Debrief on key themes and insights across groups. (20 min)

5) Vote. (2 min)



Let's get started 🚀

FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY OF CARDANO

06. Should the Constitution mandate dispute resolution provisions for contractual obligations related to ada from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury?

Contractual obligations governing the use of ada received from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury could be mandated to include dispute resolution provisions. Discuss your thoughts on if the Constitution should require these dispute resolution provisions. [Pertains to: Article 3, Section 8.]

Yes / No / Unsure

06. Should the Constitution mandate dispute resolution provisions for contractual obligations related to ada from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury?

Unsure. We are asking the wrong question. The constitution should foresee safeguards for (material?) treasury withdrawals. It does not need to specify dispute resolution specifically.

No, in general it would be better to do pay it in milestones to not run into such disputes. Also very hard to enforce, adds so much complexity

No - What is the legal entity in each country in the world that allows 'Cardano' to be able to launch legal disputes in those countries? Since Cardano isn't a legal entity, it cannot sue?

No - I don't believe the constitution should mandate dispute resolution, but there should be a process outside/beyond the constitution that promotes accountability from whomever is requesting

Unsure, because there are lot of open questions that need more additional information (eg defining provisions, defining what disputes are).

Yes, although I am not sure how Cardano could go after someone.

No, we should first observe what kind of disputes are popping up. And disputes can be very specific and are hard generalize for the constitution.

Unsure - It may be a good thing to have a provision requirement to ensure there is a path to resolve issues with Contracts, however, how can any legal action actually be funded?

06. Should the Constitution mandate dispute resolution provisions for contractual obligations related to ada from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury?

Yes. Prevent bad actor who abuse the system. Should have a system to release fund based on milestones. To have a judges to decide the delivery. Even better to have real world legal bindings.

Unsure. General yes, having a safety mechanism to stop bad actions is good. But the question is to open for me as there is not enough guidance on how the disputes can be handled. More guidance need

No - Are we guaranteed that ALL Treasury Withdrawal Proposals will have alongside them a formal Contract when requesting their ADA Funding? How can we enforce a need for a formal Contract?

Unsere, wrong question to ask. We should not specify Dispute resolution processes in the constitution before we have clear guidance on the contractual process of treasury withdrawals

Yes, it should be constitutional for anyone to request ada to go after (to fund a law suit) someone else who withdrew ada and didnt deliver what they said they would.

Unsure. Until we know how these disputes look like, I think guidance to resolve disputes can help but I'd rather outline values on which these resolutions should be based on instead of hard rules.

No - Who would be the overseers of Contracts being in line with their requirements? Does there need to be a central entity?

since we have no idea what this resolution looks like, lets learn first how this would look like. We can borrow the ways of resolving those issues from states first before finding our own solutions

06. Should the Constitution mandate dispute resolution provisions for contractual obligations related to ada from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury?

No - Even if a dispute is raised and won how can the withdrawer of the ADA be forced to use their Private Key to pay back any ill gotten gains?

Ultimately it is up to the ada holders to decide what's gonna happen

No - We should NEVER give up self custody control of people's ADA.

yes, because I think at the start of governance someone should have the opportunity to lodge an objection to prevent a group from doing something stupid with the budget

06. Should the Constitution mandate dispute resolution provisions for contractual obligations related to ada from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury?



Break ☕

DREPS

014. Should the Constitution include a mechanism that causes ada holders to re-select their DReps over time?

[Pertains to: Article IV.]

Yes / No / Unsure

14a. Please provide your answer and rationale for Question 14. If you answered Yes, please explain what you would add to the Constitution and why.

DREPS

014. Should the Constitution include a mechanism that causes ada holders to re-select their DReps over time?

No - The Constitution should be as refined (small) as possible so that it is easy to understand.

nooope noope nope. 1. automatic expiry should, if at all, be in the ledger, not the constitution. 2. automatic expiry is a bad solution for stale/sticky delegations 3. many more reasons. no space

Yes.

Yes. We need to prevent a governance environment where people have no obligation to re-engage with the process.

No - Should not be in the constitution, but I do believe that there should be a mechanism where Ada holders have to re-select their delegation.

No, because the Constitution already enables a re-delegation at any time.

Yes. 73 epochs after delegating to a DRep the ada holder should reconfirm their choice. This should not affect the pre-defined voting option

A clear yes. We want to have an active community and an expirind DRep delegation can be on part of it.

DREPS

014. Should the Constitution include a mechanism that causes ada holders to re-select their DReps over time?

Yes. A reset can motivate both the voters and DRep to engage with the community and making decisions. It also prevent a stale democracy. A stagnation of DRep selection is very dangerous.

Yes- so dead people cant vote! We are used to it from traditional voting, so it makes it familiar. It keeps people active in governance and up to date with happenings.

No - It could be a centralisation vector whereby those who have simply left their ADA Delegated to a DRep 'set and forget' don't realise they were removed from the system and are no longer represented

No. I believe it does not make sense to include in the Constitution that the protocol is not able to enforce. (We did this already on different places like max treasury withdrawals)

No, We would agree on a future protocol roadmap with this. Also problematic: side effect of stake dropping hard after some predictable cycles.

yes, ADA that are not participating in governance, should be weeded out.

No - An inactive Wallet does NOT mean a person who owns that Wallet is inactive or dead. Savings accounts aren't always accessed regularly.

Yes. Sticky stake is no joke

DREPS

014. Should the Constitution include a mechanism that causes ada holders to re-select their DReps over time?

No - Centralisation risk due to auto expiry of Delegations could severely reduce the Delegation set that is Active for Voting on Cardano Governance.

DREPS

014. Should the Constitution include a mechanism that causes ada holders to re-select their DReps over time?



CONSTITUTIONAL COMMITTEE

17. The Constitutional Guardrails specify a min/max size for the CC and min/max term lengths but doesn't address details on the composition of the CC.

17a. Please provide your answer(s) and rationale for Question 17. What size or term length do you have in mind? Should these values be fixed, relational, or determined by on-chain voting?

(A) How the number of CC members are determined
(B) A fixed number of CC members (consistent with the Guardrails)
(C) How term limits for CC members are determined
(D) A fixed term limit for the CC members (consistent with the Guardrails)
(E) Other
(F) None of these

17. The Constitutional Guardrails specify a min/max size for the CC and min/max term lengths but doesn't address details on the composition of the CC.

a - Should be determined ONLY by On-Chain Proposal Voting and NOT be fixed in Constitutional Text. Guardrails should NOT have a maximum number of committeeMinSize

Fixed min. CC members, Fixed max. term length (tbd), NO max. CC members

a) number of cc members should be selected by the community via DRep and spo voting

Fixed min cc minimum of 7 and MUST BE ODD number. Fixed max so we don't get to many people sucking out the treasury.

b and d fixed number for members and term to prevent big players getting power over CC

(b) (d)

c - committeeMaxTermLength should ONLY be determined by On-Chain Proposal Voting and the Guardrail max should be 146 Epochs. Voted variability is an extremely important aspect of Cardano Governance.

No - Should not be in the constitution but somewhere else.

17. The Constitutional Guardrails specify a min/max size for the CC and min/max term lengths but doesn't address details on the composition of the CC.

I choose b and d. A fixed size and term length provide a stable structure and weed out unpredictability. U.N. Is a good example. Only 5 countries have the power to veto. Less complexities = better.

It should be a fixed number, 7 ideally. It should be a fixed timeframe and longer 3-4 years.


b)/d)) Setup currently described fine: 3mo-3y and 3-10, fixed number based on the guardrails, but always possibility to adjust and change after first experiences and practical implementation.

B and D, (range in line with the guardrails)

c) Term length is what this question is referring to and not term limit. The term lenght should be not more than 3years, but not more than that for one term

b and d

17. Select additional provisions that the Constitution should include (select all that apply):



Lunch 

07. Should the Constitution mandate that budgets include a contingency fund, an indemnity fund, and admin funds to cover costs of the CC?

If (a) Contingency or (b) Indemnity fund: How would it work? Who could it be used for? Who would administer it? If (d) None, do you agree with funding, but disagree it mandated in Constitution?

(A) A contingency fund to cover unexpected costs not otherwise specified in the Cardano Budget.
(B) An indemnity fund to cover potential claims against governance participants such as DReps and Constitutional Committee members.
(C) Funds to cover administrative costs of the CC.
(D) None of these.

07. Should the Constitution mandate that budgets include a contingency fund, an indemnity fund, and admin funds to cover costs of the CC?

No - Should not be in the constitution but suggested in the budget itself, which can be voted on by the DReps. Could also be requested directly from the treasury

a - A Contingency Fund should be a line item requirement in the Constitution for every Budget but its AMOUNT should NOT be specified. The reason is that no Budget is ever wholly accurate, buffer good.

Yes - The core budget for the core entities need to have a stable and protected budget to operate or in case of an emergency instead of having to wait for votes that can be delayed.

a) Only a contingency fund, and that should be set only through a mechanism and not a fixed/set amount.

For A and B: I'd go for "no" on the contingency fund because I believe this should be decided on a case by case basis instead of taking out the money and manage it from someone else.

No support for Indemnity or CC Admin costs from me. People should take out their own personal Insurance for liabilities. I do not believe CC Members should be a paid role.

A) Don't create a separate bucket. A good budget should include contingency cases

B) No. Idemnity funds should not exist separately.

07. Should the Constitution mandate that budgets include a contingency fund, an indemnity fund, and admin funds to cover costs of the CC?

Yes on everything!

Only (c). No on others. Treasury withdrawals are possible at any time, for contingencies or otherwise. Even (c) should not be dependant on the CB. It should be automatic at the infrastructure level.

no. decide the need for ada on a case by case basis and have a GA. However, this is not compatible with the requirement for "every withdrawal needs to be budgeted". AND: no salary for CCs


No to a) and b) it is better to not create more pots of money. It might lead to future issue on spending. I vote yes to c) because it encourage smaller group without enough budget to participate in CC

C) CC compensation is actually two questions. Cover setup costs and actual compensation for their work

Yes to all 3. The cc protects the treasury, therefore we need to protect and fund the cc responsibly.

d) funding should be decided on a case-by-case vote. Admin costs should be funded but from a given budge proposal.

07. Should the Constitution mandate that budgets include a contingency fund, an indemnity fund, and admin funds to cover costs of the CC?



(a) A contingency fund to cover unexpected costs not otherwise specified in the Cardano Budget.

(b) An indemnity fund to cover potential claims against governance participants such as DReps and Constitutional Committee members.

(c) Funds to cover administrative costs of the CC.

(d) None of these.

PRINCIPLES AND TENETS

2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem?

[Pertains to Art and Guardrails section 2.2 Economic Parameters.]

Yes / No / Unsure

2a. If you answered yes, consider if this new tenet should include an absolute cap on the circulating supply of ada.

2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem?

Please stop referring to a cap of “circulating supply”, it is “total supply”.

Yes - 45 Billion ADA Fixed Supply should be enshrined in the Constitutional.

I second the "Total" and "Circulating" supply comment

Yes, everyone signed up for 45b ada.

Folks this is not a chat.

Yes - burning coins should be prohibited

No. I believe following the current guardrail is a good practice. However I do believe a well defined hardcap for ADA in the constitution is crucial.

Yes. But only if the tenet is explained and reduces the level of ambiguity. Yes it should include the total cap of ada (45b) to formalize the social contract

2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem?

Yes, the max. cap should be in the constitution.

Yes!

No, we should be open to adjustments if needed.

Yes - The wording should say TOTAL supply, not 'circulating' supply.

Unsure - sustainability is to losely written and undefined. Financial sustainability need to be breaken down in to core points and vote on separatly.

No. Because as framed it is too vague. We need to think much more specifically about incentives, longevity of the treasury etc. and whether or no these topics need to be addressed in the constitution.

Putting the total supply into the constitution would make it something that is debatable and discussable

no, the incentive is to never get rid of this provision, especially if we have 1ada 1 vote in the constitution.

2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem?

yes, if the tenet can be unambiguously formulated. no if it cant. otherwise interpretation of whats sustainable or not would be too toxic.

2. Should there be an additional tenet addressing financial sustainability of the Cardano Blockchain ecosystem?



Draft Constitution Feedback Form

If you would like to provide feedback on other questions, please do so here: https://forms.gle/6HJVPxQe9CPriHRN6




GROUP PICTURE

Break ☕

Delegate Election 



https://constitutional-workshops.intersectmbo.org/



Cardano Workshop Portal Registration Demo

Reflection & Close

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

The constitution needs to be inspirational and at all costs consistent with terms and wordings used.

No - was a great meeting :-)

DReps should not get financially incentivised.

45b ada total supply must be included in the constitution. Cement the social contract that is currently only in the code

I have fundamental concerns that the annual budget will be too high to be sustainable overall.

dReps should not get financially incentivised.

Give me the total supply of 45b. 🚀

Certain question came out to broad. There was to much interpretation on what specific topic being discussed could mean in stead of being cristal clear about what it is or is not

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

Should I check the box? Just kidding. It was a greate workshop with greate people

I agree with the person, who is worried about the budget being too high.

It's DRep, not dRep

Conflicts of interest between the three governance roles should be explored more in detail.

rocks.

I wish we get to discuss more on the motivations for DRep and voters (financial incentives for participation) How compensation works and where it comes from.

Went good despite not looking at tenets and principles first.

dRep is the style for actual Parameters in the Ledger.

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Were there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

putting 1 ada 1 vote policy into the Cardano constitution makes it harder to move into 1person 1 vote systems.

I would not change a thing. Greta facilitation, perfect location! Great media support, good food, good people, much ADA love 💕

Get people that are passionate to commit more time

Organisation was great. Location, moderation, food and so on are a 10+ 👍 I sometimes lacked more background knowledge for the questions. But that's my topic. I just have to prepare better.

DRep

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

The workshop location is awesome

All good - was a pleasure to participate.

did a great job on hosting. Fantastic location as well.

Your advertising could appear here.

Location was awesome for the topic The hosts were amazing Discussions were interesting and very productive Food was bomb

I loved that so many people showed up to the workshop and contributed their precious time. ❤️

Hybrid facilitation is a bit strange to do, and not sure it is necessary. Hybrid facilitation has to work perfectly with technology otherwise it can disrupt the working rhythm of the group.

well organised, great facilitation. The only negative I can think of is that there wasn't more of it!

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

A very diverse set of opinions in the room with an almost 50/50 split on some topics was very interesting to experience. The Delegates will have their work cut out for them to represent in Argentina!

I think we were missing going into the constitution itself.

the questions asked tend to imply or warp an underlying issue. yet - discussions were fruitful and the workshop well facilitated.

Location was very nice. Organization was great, thanks to ! So great to be part of this movement. Great people joined today!

Amazing workshop in Vienna. Love the venue! The food and snacks were amazing. Great facilitators. I enjoyed the social exchanges. Learned a bunch too. Wish we can discuss more on economic incentives.

Level of detail of some questions vary greatly. Some are simple yes,no questions while others had multiple options to choose

Hybrid facilitation usually is challenging, but worked very well today

It's DRep

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

The only thing better then a Wiener schnitzel is a Wiener Cardano Workshop

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

10 responses



Great work! 🙌

WELCOME!

Cardano Washington DC Community Constitutional Workshop

We hope to include your unique perspectives across 4 Focal Constitution Topics. We are gathering community feedback across worldwide workshops to shape the draft of the constitution prior to the Constitutional Convention in December.

Access the draft constitution at:
links.voltagecontrol.com/cc

Reference glossary of terms

Cardano Constitutional Input

Contribute with your presence.
Seek to understand first rather than persuade.
Move in. Move back. Go for honesty and depth without going on & on.
Seek progress over perfection
Adhere to Chatham House Rules



Let's get started 🚀


30 MINS - FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY

[6]. Contractual obligations governing the use of ada received from the Cardano Blockchain Treasury could be mandated...

...to include dispute resolution provisions. Discuss your thoughts on if the Constitution should require these dispute resolution provisions.

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

[6]. Should the Constitution require these dispute resolution provisions?



10 MINS - FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY

[6a]. Please provide your answer (yes/no/unsure) and rationale

Yes, requiring dispute resolution provisions in funding contracts is necessary to ensure accountability and responsible use of treasury funds. Clear dispute resolution mechanisms would not only protec

No too many jurisdictions to be able to create one set resolution mechanism

Case by case basis.. theres no blanket for this. An oversight committee (rebrand catalyst) needs to design resolution options when milestones aren't met

As ecosystem grows disputes will increase thus need for dispute resolution mechanisms preferably enforceable automatically.

I think requiring dispute resolution is not always necessary so having it at the constitutional level is overly prescriptive.

Not enforceable, so dont undermine the constitution with it. Maybe consider putting in preamable that, as a community, there is the expectation of fair dealing, good faith and reasonableness.

there should be conflict resolution however it does not need to be nor should it be in the constitution it will only add unneeded work for the CC

I believe that currently there is no way to enforce and kind of disputes. There would need to be systems in place to enable the possibility of disputes resolution.

10 MINS - FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY

[6a]. Please provide your answer (yes/no/unsure) and rationale

Yes, If done right, with a fixed budget cap (unrenewable if unused per year). No private database vote for who is going to take care of the dispute resolution. Smart contract strict rules for spend.

We need taco construction oversight

Break ☕

30 MINS - FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY

[7]. Discuss your thoughts on if the Constitution should mandate that budgets include...

...for example, a contingency fund, an indemnity fund and funds to cover administrative costs of the CC.

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

30 MINS - FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY

[7]. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include (select all that apply)

a) A contingency fund to cover unexpected costs not otherwise specified in the Cardano Budget.
b) An indemnity fund to cover potential claims against governance participants such as DReps and Constitutional Committee members.
c) Funds to cover administrative costs of the CC.
d) None of these

If a or b: How would it work? Who could it be used for? Who would administer it? If d: consider if you agree with the need for funding, but disagree that it must be mandated within the Constitution.

[7a]. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d) and rationale

Abstain

Yes, with clear guidelines. Here is why: -Preparedness and Resilience: A contingency fund allows for proactive responses to unforeseen events, enhancing the resilience of the Cardano ecosystem.

Yes, protect & support members acting in good faith

A. Yes B. Maybe C. YES

No, not appropriate for the constitution. However, everyone should be allowed to submit for catalyst funding with full disclosure of their status on the ICC. As long as disclosed, no conflict of in

D. none of them The constitution should only limit the powers of the governing body

a) Locked in Budgets need to be flexible and resolved in a reasonable amount of time so contingency funds can help with this. b) Indemnification can lead to poor decision making.

B

[7a]. Please provide your answer (a/b/c/d) and rationale

Minimum protection is a must

c i believe administration costs should be mandated to ensure professionalism and consistency of service. It's a signaling of professional responsibility to be paid for work.


D, make people work for their pay. But provide them with opportunity to work for this pay

F we don't have enough info yet

Lunch ☕

15 MINS - FINANCIAL SUSTAINABILITY

[7]. Should the Constitution mandate that the Cardano Blockchain Budget must include (select all that apply)...



[17] The Constitutional Guardrails specify a minimum and maximum size for the CC and minimum and maximum term lengths...

...but does not otherwise address details on the composition of the CC. [Pertains to: Article VI and Guardrails Maximum Constitutional Committee Term (CMTI) and The minimum size of the Constitutional Committee (CMS).]


30 MINS - Constitutional Committee

[17] Should the Constitution include additional provisions for the number of CC members and/or term limits?

(a) How the number of CC members are determined
(b) A fixed number of CC members (consistent with Guardrails)
(c) How term limits for CC members are determined
(d) A fixed term limit for CC members (consistent with Guardrails)
(e) Other
(f) None of these

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

[17] The Constitution should include these additional provisions (select all that apply):



Provide your rationale for:

[17a] Please indicate your choices (a/b/c/d/e/f) and provide your rationale:

You DO NOT want to enforce guardails on "Update Committee" governance action. CC have a say in those guardrail's values.

A,The number of CC members could be relational to the size of the Cardano comm. or the number of active DReps C, The Constitution could specify term limits to prevent CC members from serving indefinit

C for everything.. cuz i cant remember what A thru F are. Bring back thr previous slide on another screen rn

Why not B,D: Fixed Number or Term Length: While the Guardrails specify minimum and maximum values, fixing a specific number or term length in the Constitution could be too rigid.

A.. CC members should be scaled to their DRAP community to eliminate the DRAPs ability to capture power .

F because by putting these parameters into the constitution that would allow the CC to have influence over the state of these prams. I believe there should be a separation of concerns.

a) Yes. Should be proportional to SPO and D-rep representation to ensure a balance of power. b) No. The guardrails provide reasonable protection on members. c) Yes. To ensure diversity of thought

Yes to A,C. No to B,D to change these as ecosystem evolves

Provide your rationale for:

[17a] Please indicate your choices (a/b/c/d/e/f) and provide your rationale:

d. because I believe that rather it can or can not be enforced at this time it must be in the constitution from the start to prevent the corruption we see in the house of representatives in the USA

Break ☕

30 MINS - Constitutional Committee

[19] The Constitution expects the CC to adopt and operate pursuant to a code of conduct but is otherwise silent...

...as to how a code of conduct should be adopted and who it should include. [Pertains to: Article VI Section 5.]

30 MINS - Constitutional Committee


[19] What requirements, if any, should the Constitution include for the Constitutional Committee's code of conduct?

(a) Code of conduct should be on-chain
(b) The Cardano Community should have a role in approving the code of conduct
(c) Other guidelines for the code of conduct
(d) None of these

Consider: How would any of the selected requirements be enforced?

1) 5 Mins - Solo: Generate ideas/responses silently, alone.
2) 10 Mins - Pair: Share your notes with a partner.
3) 15 Mins - Group: Generate key themes and prepare input.

[19] What requirements, if any, should the Constitution include for the Constitutional Committee's code of conduct (select all that apply)



a) The CC code of conduct should be on-chain

b) The Cardano Community should have a role in approving the CC's code of conduct

c) Other guidelines for the CC code of conduct

d) None of these

[19a] Please indicate your selections (a/b/c/d) and provide your rationale:

C to involve the community

This doesnt belong in the constitution.

A,No, while transparency is essential, storing the CC's code of conduct on-chain might be overly rigid.

Should be decided outside if constitution by the community

Conduct doesn't need to be on chain. But community should have a say

B,Yes, involving the Cardano Community in approving the CC's code of conduct promotes transparency and accountability.

All

C,Yes.the Constitution should provide some high-level guidelines for the CC's code of conduct to ensure it meets certain standards

[19a] Please indicate your selections (a/b/c/d) and provide your rationale:

All 4 of them

B. This will provide the regular Cardano ADA holder some say about conduct and promotes transparency.

a) on chain implies enforcement/accountability which doesn't make sense for a global community. b) Unless the community has a voice in what is on the COC then it's a waste of time for them to certify

I do not think that the code of conduct is important enough to include in the constitution

c it's important to allow the community to have a share in the process of creating the CC and it's members how they act and represent themselves

Delegate Election 

(Show video)

GROUP PHOTO! 

REFLECTION & CLOSE

Where there any thoughts you didn't get a chance to provide?

REFLECTION & CLOSE

What +s and deltas do you have for the workshop?

I really liked how this workshop was conducted! Just wanted to say that!

More time for open conversation and discussion.

Enjoyed the conversations!

More time for Random Constitution discussions. Some of us couldn't talk about specific matters in the constitution.

Enjoyed the session. I feel like a patriot

should have been time to talk about concerns not brought up

I liked the format of the 1-2-4 discussions and the focus on critical questions. It would be nice if there more grounding on key terms and context/considerations around the questions.

What one word or phrase best sums up your key takeaway(s) from the workshop?

0 responses



Feedback form on ALL questions

Great work! 🙌