

**Candida albicans as a Biochemical Computer:  
Cross-Kingdom Signaling, Parasexual Reproduction, and  
Genetic Foundations of a Unique Fungal Symbiont**

Jim Craddock

Redacted Science Research Initiative

[redactedscience.org](http://redactedscience.org) | [jimcraddock.com](http://jimcraddock.com)

April 2026

V1.1

## Abstract

*Candida albicans* functions as a distributed biochemical computer, processing host and environmental signals through multiple parallel channels to modulate immunity, metabolism, tissue remodeling, and endocannabinoid tone. This paper details its distinctive genetic hardware (~1,300 orphan genes with no orthologs in other yeasts), ancient CUG codon reassignment (~171 ± 27 Ma), divergence from *C. dubliniensis* with asymmetric gene-family expansion (~20 Ma), parasexual cycle for rapid diversity generation, and layered signaling architecture (extracellular vesicles with morphology-dependent cargo, candidalysin and Ece1 peptide panel, secreted aspartyl proteases, cholinergic receptor interface, authentic prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> production from host arachidonic acid, cross-kingdom RNA potential, tryptophan-kynurenine pathway modulation, mast cell activation via cell wall pattern recognition, and a dopaminergic signaling intersection through the Gpr1 receptor). The interstitium, a body-wide network of fluid-filled collagen-lined compartments (Benias et al., 2018), is identified as the physical distribution layer connecting peripheral colonies to host nutrient interfaces and enabling inter-niche signal propagation without bloodstream exposure. Multi-timescale epigenetic memory, from white-opaque phenotypic locking to chromatin-level bet-hedging and mitochondrial genome methylation, enables state maintenance without genomic change. No other organism is known to combine this full toolkit. Twelve testable predictions, grouped by architectural layer, are offered to evaluate the model, including volatile organic compound output profiling and host-mediated subroutine termination via glucose override. The conventional "opportunistic pathogen" classification is re-examined; the organism appears tuned for regulated, long-term persistence rather than unchecked exploitation. This technical grounding is straightforward and stands on its own.

**Keywords:** *Candida albicans*, biochemical computer, cross-kingdom signaling, orphan genes, CUG codon reassignment, parasexual cycle, bet-hedging, extracellular vesicles, candidalysin, Ece1 peptides, cholinergic interface, prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub>, arachidonic acid competition, Hgt4 glucose sensor, peptide transporters, spatial multiplexing, host adaptation, phenotypic switching memory, tryptophan-kynurenine modulation, mast cell degranulation, Gpr1 dopaminergic interface, mitochondrial epigenetics, symbiont, volatile organic compounds, interstitium, extracellular space, connection tissue, fibroblasts, receptors, purigenic, fatty-acids, medium-chain, antifungal agents, basement membrane, dermis, keratinocytes

## 1. Introduction

A biochemical computer is defined here as a biological system that (1) senses environmental and host-derived inputs, (2) integrates multiple signals via internal regulatory states, (3) produces adaptive outputs, and (4) maintains state across time through memory mechanisms such as epigenetic or phenotypic locking. These properties satisfy standard criteria for information-processing systems as defined in biological signaling literature.

*Candida albicans* meets these criteria. Conventionally labeled an “opportunistic pathogen,” the fungus is in fact an obligate commensal with no known environmental reservoir outside mammalian hosts. It colonizes the gastrointestinal tract of a large percentage of healthy individuals and possesses an extraordinary suite of capabilities that extend far beyond simple opportunism.

This paper argues that the “opportunistic pathogen” label understates the organism’s true nature. Rather than a passive opportunist, *C. albicans* operates as a distributed biochemical computer: it continuously senses host conditions through dedicated input channels, integrates signals via parallel metabolic and regulatory pathways, produces context-specific adaptive outputs, and maintains heritable phenotypic states. The goal is to present the genetic foundations, reproductive strategy, and signaling architecture in a standalone, technically rigorous manner.

## 2. Genetic Foundations & Evolutionary Origins

The genetic architecture of *C. albicans* supplies the foundational hardware. One of the most distinctive features is the CUG codon reassignment ( $\sim 171 \pm 27$  Ma; Mühlhausen et al., 2016) in the ancestor of the CTG clade. The CUG codon switched from leucine to serine via an ambiguous intermediate tRNA (Santos et al., 1995). Strong AT bias fixed the new system, while residual low-level mistranslation ( $\sim 3\%$  leucine incorporation at CUG sites; Bezerra et al., 2021) introduced proteomic diversity useful for early bet-hedging under stress. This reassignment enabled expansion of novel protein families central to host interaction.

Approximately 20 million years ago, in the early Miocene, *C. albicans* diverged from *C. dubliniensis*. *C. albicans* expanded gene families associated with host interaction and virulence, while *C. dubliniensis* followed a reductive path. Roughly 1,300 genes ( $\sim 21\%$  of the  $\sim 6,200$  protein-coding genes) have no clear orthologs in other yeasts (Butler et al., 2009); many are predicted to support GPCR ligands, immune modulation, and neuropeptide-like sequences.

## 3. Parasexual Reproduction & Bet-Hedging

*Candida albicans* reproduces by budding (clonal) or parasexuality (genetic mixing without meiosis). Parasexuality follows the opaque switch: opposite mating types fuse to a tetraploid that undergoes chromosome loss and mitotic recombination, generating genetic mosaics with novel traits including filamentation, drug resistance, and invasion (Mishra et al., 2021).

The process is stress-gated (low oxygen, glucose depletion, pH, CO<sub>2</sub>, antifungals). Frequency can rise to 10–20% under anaerobic or glucose-depleted conditions that mimic host niches; glucose depletion can even bypass the opaque step entirely (Guan et al., 2023). This is a controlled subroutine for diversity generation when conditions deteriorate. The resulting variation functions as bet-hedging: different cells run different transcriptional programs, increasing population survival across micro-niches. Parasexual recombination further refines signaling efficiency, creating feedback between diversity and computational adaptability.



## 4. Biochemical Computer Architecture

### 4.1 Inputs

Ten dedicated peptide transporters (two PTR and eight OPT family members; Dunkel et al., 2013) import host-derived peptides as nitrogen sources and environmental sensors. A muscarinic-like cholinergic receptor senses acetylcholine (Nile et al., 2018); Hgt4 is calibrated to human serum glucose (~5 mM; Brown et al., 2006); additional sensors detect pH, ions, oxygen, and CO<sub>2</sub>.

### 4.2 Integration & Processing

Secreted aspartyl proteases (SAP1–10) digest host proteins. Authentic prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> is produced from host arachidonic acid via divergent enzymes (Ole2/Fet3; Erb-Downward & Noverr, 2007), directly competing with host endocannabinoid synthesis (Acharya et al., 2017). Extracellular vesicles carry morphology-dependent cargo including proteins, proteasome components, and RNA (Zarnowski et al., 2018).

*C. albicans* modulates host tryptophan metabolism through the kynurenine pathway. The organism produces enzymes that divert tryptophan away from serotonin synthesis and toward kynurenine and its downstream metabolites, which suppress IL-17-mediated antifungal immunity at mucosal surfaces (Cheng et al., 2010; Zelante et al., 2013). This rerouting simultaneously depletes a neurotransmitter precursor and disables the host's primary mucosal defense against fungi, achieving two objectives through a single metabolic diversion. The Gpr1 receptor, primarily characterized as a carbon source sensor through Gpa2-coupled cAMP-PKA signaling, also responds to the antipsychotic clozapine, a dopamine receptor antagonist, which inhibits morphogenesis through this pathway (Midkiff et al., 2011). This pharmacological intersection places Gpr1 at a node between metabolic sensing and dopaminergic signaling, suggesting the receptor's ligand range extends into host neuroactive compound space.

### 4.3 Outputs

Yeast-to-hyphal transition, immune modulation (Th2 shift via PGE<sub>2</sub>, dendritic cell suppression via farnesol, LILR engagement via Ece1-II and Ece1-V peptides), tissue remodeling (candidalysin pores, EGFR ubiquitination/lysosomal degradation, and CCNH binding that inhibits DNA damage repair; Lin et al., 2024; Moyes et al., 2016), bidirectional pH engineering, and endocannabinoid tone modulation.

Cell wall components provide an additional immune output channel. Alpha-mannan and beta-glucan surface structures trigger mast cell degranulation and stimulate arachidonic acid release from host macrophages via mannose and beta-glucan receptor engagement (Castro et al., 1994). This is distinct from the prostaglandin, farnesol, candidalysin, and LILR-mediated immune mechanisms described above: it operates through innate pattern recognition receptors rather than secreted metabolites, and the degranulation response activates downstream

inflammatory cascades (histamine, proteases, cytokines) that the organism's other immunomodulatory outputs then redirect toward the non-protective Th2 profile.

#### **4.4 Memory & State Maintenance**

White-opaque phenotypic switching is epigenetically heritable across thousands of cell divisions without DNA sequence change, stabilized by histone modifiers (Sir2, Hda1, Hda2/3) that lock transcriptional states (Lohse et al., 2009; Qasim et al., 2021).

Beyond the white-opaque switch, the organism's epigenetic architecture includes additional layers. Chromatin modifications, specifically histone methylation and acetylation patterns, regulate the commensal-to-pathogen transition and provide the mechanistic basis for the transcriptomic heterogeneity observed across genetically identical populations (Dumeaux et al., 2023; Mishra et al., 2011). Mitochondrial genome methylation represents a further regulatory layer governing energy metabolism (Bartelli et al., 2018). These modifications are fast and reversible compared to genetic mutation, enabling rapid phenotypic switching across the population without altering the underlying genome. The result is a memory system operating at multiple timescales: white-opaque locking for long-term state maintenance, chromatin-level switching for medium-term niche adaptation, and mitochondrial epigenetic tuning for metabolic responsiveness.

#### **4.5 Spatial Multiplexing & Feedback**

Different subroutines run concurrently in distinct micro-niches (lumen glucose harvesting, invading hyphae with SAPs/alkalinization, phagosomal lactate/ketone use). Biofilm regulatory networks contain feed-forward loops that reinforce expression of key targets (e.g., via Brg1, Wor3, Ume6 reinforcing Efg1 outputs), stabilizing the network against genetic variation (Cravener et al., 2023). Multi-input integration is evident in hyphal morphogenesis: pH, temperature, nutrients, CO<sub>2</sub>, and quorum signals converge on shared pathways (cAMP-PKA, MAPK) to produce a single morphological decision (Kornitzer, 2019).

Rapid emergence of multiscale interaction networks has been observed in experimental bacteria-phage coevolution, where simple reciprocal selection in well-mixed cultures produced nested-modular cross-infection patterns in just 21 days (Borin et al., 2023). “We show that multiscale network structure can evolve rapidly under simple ecological conditions without spatial structure [...] illustrating Darwin’s idea that simple adaptive processes can generate entangled banks of ecological interactions.” The *Candida* biochemical computer operates with far richer input channels and output layers, yet follows the same principle: fluctuating host conditions (nutrient gradients, immune pressure, pH, perfusion) drive the rapid refinement of distributed, hierarchical subroutines across the population.

No other organism is known to integrate this full suite.

## 4.6 The Interstitium as Distribution and Signaling Infrastructure

The preceding sections describe the organism's sensing, processing, and output capabilities at the cellular and population level. This section addresses the physical medium through which the distributed computer's signals propagate and through which peripheral colonies access host resources.

The interstitium, historically treated as inert connective tissue, was recharacterized by Benias et al. (2018) using confocal laser endomicroscopy on living tissue. Standard histological fixation collapses the fluid-filled spaces, rendering them invisible on fixed slides. In vivo imaging revealed a body-wide network of collagen-lined, fluid-filled compartments present beneath the dermis, surrounding the gastrointestinal tract, lungs, urinary system, and vasculature. The structural continuity of these compartments across organ boundaries establishes a pre-lymphatic fluid highway that connects otherwise anatomically distinct tissue sites.

This network has three properties directly relevant to the biochemical computer model.

First, it functions as a signaling medium. Interstitial fluid carries cytokines, extracellular vesicles, damage-associated molecular patterns, and purine nucleotides between tissues. The organism's documented output channels, including extracellular vesicles with morphology-dependent cargo (Section 4.2), candidalysin-mediated ATP efflux activating purinergic receptors (Section 4.3), and prostaglandin E2 produced from host arachidonic acid (Section 4.2), are all soluble or vesicle-borne signals compatible with interstitial fluid transport. A colony at any mucosal or dermal site can broadcast signals into the interstitial network without requiring bloodstream access, reducing exposure to circulating immune surveillance.

Second, it provides a nutrient interface at the dermal boundary. The epidermis is avascular. Basal keratinocytes, the proliferative layer at the bottom of the epidermis, receive all nutrients by diffusion from dermal capillaries across the basement membrane. The interstitium interpenetrates the dermis and surrounds these capillaries. Hyphae positioned at or near the basal layer would occupy the optimal location for intercepting glucose diffusing upward from the vasculature while simultaneously accessing a continuously renewing cellular substrate (basal keratinocytes themselves). This positioning is consistent with the Hgt4 glucose sensor calibration described in Section 4.1: the organism does not need to be intravascular to sense and consume host glucose at physiological concentrations. It needs only to be at the nutrient interface where capillary-derived glucose enters avascular tissue.

Third, the interstitial compartments are mechanosensitive. Fibroblasts populating the collagen matrix express purinergic receptors (P2X, P2Y families responsive to extracellular ATP), toll-like receptors (TLR2, TLR4 recognizing fungal cell wall components including mannan and beta-glucan), and cytokine receptors. Physical compression or stretch alters fluid flow through the compartments and can activate mechanosensitive signaling cascades in resident fibroblasts. For an organism that produces candidalysin (a pore-forming peptide that causes ATP efflux from damaged host cells) and whose cell wall components trigger innate pattern recognition, the interstitial fibroblast network represents a distributed array of signal-responsive cells that the organism can activate through its normal virulence toolkit.

The interstitium therefore functions as the organism's equivalent of a bus architecture: a shared physical medium connecting distributed processing nodes (peripheral colonies at distinct anatomical sites) and enabling both nutrient access and signal propagation without dependence on the bloodstream. The spatial multiplexing described in Section 4.5, in which different subroutines run concurrently in distinct micro-niches, requires a physical substrate for inter-niche coordination. The interstitial fluid network provides it.

Topical antifungal response as indirect evidence. If peripheral colonies at the dermal nutrient interface constitute functional nodes in the distributed system, topical antifungal agents that penetrate the stratum corneum should produce measurable effects disproportionate to the surface area treated. Lipophilic azoles (miconazole, clotrimazole) are designed for epidermal penetration and would reach basal-layer colonies directly. Medium-chain fatty acids (caprylic acid C8, capric acid C10, lauric acid C12, the primary antifungal components of coconut oil) disrupt fungal cell membranes through a non-azole mechanism with no cross-resistance to ergosterol synthesis inhibitors. The combination of two azoles with different binding profiles plus MCFAs would present three independent kill mechanisms against peripheral colonies simultaneously.

The longitudinal case study (Craddock, 2022, 2026b) documents recurrent topical antifungal use over a multi-year period, with Amazon purchase records providing third-party-timestamped evidence of treatment intensity correlating with documented symptom severity: 22 tubes purchased in the 2022 crisis year, declining to 8 tubes in 2026. The burning sensation reported during topical application, intensified by pressure against surfaces (compressing interstitial compartments and concentrating inflammatory mediators from colony die-off against sensory nerve endings), and responsive to ice (vasoconstriction reducing inflammatory mediator delivery plus direct TRPV1 inhibition), is consistent with an active colony destruction event rather than a dermatological irritation response. Healthy skin does not produce a burning inflammatory response to antifungal ointment.

Prediction (proposed as Prediction 13 or renumbered as appropriate): Topical antifungal application over defined skin areas in subjects with elevated commensal *Candida* colonization (assessed by mycobiome profiling) will produce measurable changes in local skin temperature (infrared thermography, as a proxy for altered vascular tone) and local interstitial cytokine profiles (microdialysis sampling) that scale with pre-treatment colonization density and differ between azole-class and MCFA-class agents, consistent with colony reduction at the dermal nutrient interface rather than nonspecific irritation. Subjects with low colonization density will show no such response to the same agents.

## 5. Testable Predictions

The biochemical computer model frames *C. albicans* as a distributed processing system: it senses inputs via dedicated sensors/transporters, computes responses through parallel pathways, and deploys context-specific outputs via morphology- and vesicle-dependent mechanisms. Its orphan genes, codon reassignment, parasexual cycle, and multi-layered interfaces supply the hardware. The predictions below test these components at molecular, cellular, and population scales. They are ordered by increasing technical demand to reflect a realistic feasibility hierarchy. Positive results would strengthen the integrated architecture; null or opposing results would falsify or require refinement of specific sub-models.

### 5.1 Genetic and Reproductive Foundations

#### ***Prediction 1: Orphan gene functional signatures.***

Analysis of the ~1,300 *C. albicans*-specific genes using genomic foundation models (e.g., Evo 2 or successors) will reveal enriched signatures consistent with host-interaction roles, including predicted GPCR ligands, neuropeptide-mimicking sequences, or regulatory elements for peptide processing. **Method:** chromosome-by-chromosome variant-effect scoring, sparse autoencoder feature extraction, and clustering against known symbiont or mammalian prohormone substrates. Enrichment for interaction motifs would support expanded hardware for the control surface; absence would weaken claims of deep co-evolutionary integration.

#### ***Prediction 2: Parasexual recombination as regulated bet-hedging.***

Under host-mimicking stresses (low oxygen, glucose depletion, sub-inhibitory antifungals), parasexual progeny will display greater phenotypic variance in virulence traits (hyphal elongation, biofilm matrix, EV cargo diversity) and improved survival in macrophage/epithelial assays compared with mitotic clones. **Method:** mating-type homozygosis, tetraploid formation, ploidy reduction, single-cell phenotyping, and whole-genome sequencing. Stress-dependent diversity gains (absent under benign conditions) would confirm parasex as a controlled subroutine; lack of elevation would falsify its bet-hedging role.

### 5.2 Signaling Inputs

#### ***Prediction 3: Hgt4 as high-affinity glucose sensor calibrated to host physiology.***

Wild-type *C. albicans* will exhibit an inflection in HGT4-dependent transcriptional responses (induction of HGT12, HXT10, HGT7) and morphological outputs (yeast-to-hyphal transition) near 5 mM extracellular glucose (human serum range), while a constitutively signaling Hgt4 variant drives hyper-filamentation even at lower levels, and an *hgt4*Δ mutant shows defective growth and hypofilamentation on low-glucose media. **Method:** dose-response curves (0.1–10 mM glucose) with qRT-PCR/reporter assays and filamentation scoring. Precise

calibration to ~5 mM with corresponding virulence phenotypes would validate Hgt4 as a tuned input sensor for host glucose homeostasis; lack of inflection would refute host-specific sensory adaptation.

***Prediction 4: Peptide transporters as dual nutrient/sensor channels.***

Exposure of wild-type *C. albicans* (versus the septuple OPT/PTR transporter mutant) to physiologically relevant host gut-derived peptide hormones (GLP-1, CCK, PYY) or neuropeptides (Substance P, NPY) will elicit measurable transcriptomic or morphological responses (e.g., altered hyphal induction, pH alkalization genes, or SAP expression). Because these peptides exceed the typical substrate size for direct OPT/PTR transport (2–8 amino acids), the response is predicted to depend on prior extracellular proteolytic processing by secreted aspartyl proteases (SAPs) to generate importable fragments. **Method:** RNA-seq or fluorescent reporter assays after exposure in defined media, with parallel assays in SAP-deficient mutants to confirm the two-step (proteolysis → import) requirement. Attenuated responses in the transporter mutant (or in SAP-deficient strains) would demonstrate that these transporters function as environmental sensors in addition to their nutritional role; no difference would restrict them to purely nutritional functions.

***Prediction 5: Cholinergic interface bidirectionality (long-term validation target)***

Acetylcholine or muscarinic agonists will inhibit biofilm formation and hyphal transition *in vitro* (reversed by scopolamine). Reciprocally, colonized versus germ-free murine gut models are predicted to differ in host acetylcholine levels and vagal tone metrics, scaling with fungal burden. **Method:** *in vitro* biofilm assays for direct fungal response; *ex vivo/in vivo* choline/acetylcholinesterase and vagal signaling measurements for host-side effects. This reciprocal test is technically demanding and best suited as longer-term validation. Clear bidirectional modulation would confirm a functional cholinergic channel; absence of host-side changes would falsify interface status.

### 5.3 Processing and Output Layers

***Prediction 6: EV-mediated cross-kingdom sRNA transfer and gene silencing.***

Purified hyphal EVs incubated with human epithelial cells or macrophages will deliver detectable fungal-origin sRNAs that produce AGO-dependent downregulation of predicted immune or metabolic target transcripts. **Method:** small RNA-seq of recipient cells ± host AGO knockdown or ESCRT-mutant EVs. Functional silencing would support EVs as a coordinated RNA output channel; no transfer/silencing would refute this layer.

***Prediction 7: Codon optimization in EV-associated mRNAs.***

EV-associated mRNAs will exhibit codon usage shifted toward human optimality (relative to bulk transcriptome), consistent with evasion of host DHX29 surveillance. **Method:**

codon bias analysis of EV cargo versus whole-cell RNA. Human-optimized profiles would indicate co-evolutionary tuning; lack of shift would weaken refined RNA output claims. (Separable from sRNA silencing; one can succeed while the other fails.)

***Prediction 8: Arachidonic acid competition linking prostaglandin mimicry to ECS tone.***

In colonized mucosal/ex vivo gut models with biofilm (vs. uncolonized controls and *ole2/fet3* mutants), PGE<sub>2</sub> will rise while host endocannabinoids (AEA, 2-AG) fall, scaling with fungal burden. **Method:** targeted lipidomics under controlled arachidonic acid supplementation. Reciprocal shift attenuated in mutants would confirm substrate competition as a core processing node; no crosstalk would undermine the shared lipid economy.

## 5.4 Spatial Multiplexing and Population-Level Computation

***Prediction 9: Niche-specific parallel subroutines.***

Spatial or single-cell transcriptomics/proteomics in stratified host-mimicking models will reveal concurrent micro-niche-specific programs: glucose harvesting/Hgt4 signaling in lumen yeast, amino acid-driven alkalization + SAP activity in hyphae, lactate/ketone utilization inside macrophages. Heterogeneity will track local gradients rather than pure stochastic noise. **Method:** laser-capture or droplet-based single-cell omics. Spatial partitioning would validate distributed multiplexing; uniform expression would falsify context-dependent computation.

***Prediction 10: Colonization density scaling population-level outputs.***

Quantitative mycobiome profiling stratified by *C. albicans* density will correlate higher burden with increased intra-population transcriptomic heterogeneity and stronger collective signaling outputs (e.g., aggregate PGE<sub>2</sub> or EV cargo effects on immune cells), independent of strain genetics. **Method:** deep metagenomics + sorted single-cell RNA-seq. Density-dependent amplification would support the population as the functional “computer”; no correlation would indicate purely cell-autonomous behavior.

***Prediction 11: Volatile organic compound (VOC) profiling as real-time output channel.***

In colonized versus germ-free murine gut models or simulated gastric environments, a collagen/peptide-rich meal (mimicking bone broth) will trigger a measurable spike in *Candida*-derived organosulfur VOCs (onion-like dimethyl sulfide, dimethyl disulfide, etc.) detectable by headspace GC-MS, scaling with fungal burden and attenuated in SAP-deficient or kynurenine-pathway mutants. **Method:** real-time VOC sampling during postprandial window + parallel lipidomics for PGE<sub>2</sub>/ECS tone. Positive correlation with postprandial warmth/anhidrosis markers would confirm volatiles as a morphology-dependent broadcast layer of the biochemical computer; absence would falsify the expanded output model.

### ***Prediction 12: Host intervention as subroutine terminator.***

Quantitative single-cell RNA-seq or live imaging of *C. albicans* populations in stratified gut models will show that an acute glucose bolus (mimicking your honey/pizza rescue) rapidly down-regulates hyphal-invasion and SAP-expression programs while restoring luminal yeast glucose-harvesting transcripts — but only in wild-type strains with intact Hgt4 and peptide-transporter machinery. Method: time-resolved transcriptomics pre- and post-bolus. Successful termination (return to commensal heterogeneity) would validate the population-level “computer” responds to host-level carbohydrate overrides; failure in mutants would falsify the feedback loop.

Collectively, these predictions provide direct, falsifiable tests of the input sensors (Hgt4, peptide transporters, cholinergic receptor), parallel processing pathways (prostaglandin/ECS competition, EV cargo), adaptive outputs (morphology, immune modulation), reproductive bet-hedging (parasex), and spatial multiplexing that together constitute the proposed biochemical computer. A coherent pattern of confirmatory results across layers would offer convergent empirical support; systematic failures at any layer would necessitate targeted revision. This roadmap prioritizes accessible molecular/cellular assays while identifying higher-complexity *in vivo* validations, ensuring the framework remains rigorously testable.

## **6. Discussion & Conclusion**

*Candida albicans* satisfies the four operational criteria of a biochemical computer: it senses inputs (Hgt4, peptide transporters, cholinergic receptor), integrates multiple signals (pH + temperature + nutrients → hyphal decision; biofilm feed-forward reinforcement), produces adaptive outputs (morphology, immune modulation via LILR engagement and candidalysin-mediated EGFR/CCNH hijacking, pH engineering), and maintains state across time through epigenetic phenotypic locking (white-opaque switching stabilized by Sir2/Hda1 complexes). Quorum sensing (farnesol/tyrosol), biofilm community organization, and host-signal crosstalk further support distributed, population-level computation.

The organism does not think, possess intentions, or exhibit consciousness. It carries a statistical record of past success encoded in its codon bias and regulatory architecture. Because the DNA sequence itself changes slowly, the population retains a bias toward reactions that proved successful in previous generations. Bet-hedging and parasexual recombination then act as the mechanism by which those historically successful states are re-tested and refined under new stress. In this sense the organism carries a form of long-term memory—not conscious recall, but a dynamic process of compiling numerous real-time inputs and cross-referencing them against its differential survival history. The more successful the response in the past, the more likely the architecture is to produce it again when similar conditions reappear. This is the essence of the biochemical computer: a distributed, chemical system that “remembers” what worked across deep evolutionary time without ever needing to think.

When the internal niche destabilizes, the same toolkit that supports commensalism shifts toward survival-oriented programs. This is not simple opportunism; it is reactive computation to changing host conditions.

## Counter-Arguments and Limitations

Several reasonable objections can be anticipated. Critics may argue that the paper overinterprets existing data by assembling well-known individual capabilities into a “biochemical computer” narrative. Every individual finding cited is drawn directly from the peer-reviewed literature; what is novel is their synthesis into a unified functional description of a distributed, multi-channel processing system.

A second objection is that much of the evidence is *in vitro*. This is acknowledged, but the point cuts both ways. Where *in vivo* studies have been performed, they consistently verify that the proposed mechanisms occur inside living systems. At the same time, these experiments underscore the immense complexity of the real microbiome—with its hundreds of simultaneous inputs, micro-niches, oxygen gradients, pH fluctuations, and inter-species interactions that no single study can fully replicate. Far from weakening the model, this complexity lends it greater credibility: the more intricate the environment, the more adaptive and reactive *C. albicans* must be to persist.

Third, the description of *Candida albicans* as a biochemical computer may strike some readers as overly metaphorical. The model is strictly mechanistic: it describes distributed chemical computation via parallel channels and historical bias encoded in codon usage and regulatory architecture—nothing more. The organism does not think, does not possess intentions, and does not exhibit consciousness.

Fourth, the claim that no other known commensal matches this full toolkit will inevitably be challenged. To date, no other organism is known to combine bidirectional pH engineering, authentic host-mimetic prostaglandin production from host arachidonic acid, peptide-processing machinery homologous to mammalian prohormone convertases, a functional cholinergic interface, EV-mediated RNA potential, and stress-gated parasexual diversity generation. Should future research identify such an organism, this uniqueness claim would of course require revision.

Real-time longitudinal observations from the author’s documented physiological case study (Craddock, 2026b) provide *in vivo* corroboration of the expanded architecture. A recent peptide-rich load triggered postprandial central warmth, anhidrosis, and a strong organosulfur volatile signature — exactly the predicted integration/processing → output subroutine — which was terminated by a targeted carbohydrate bolus before tissue-remodeling programs engaged. These data illustrate the distributed biochemical computer operating under known inputs and demonstrate the practical utility of the model for interpreting symbiont-host dynamics in the living human system.

These counter-arguments are raised not to deflect criticism but to make transparent where the current limits of the evidence lie. The goal is not persuasion by rhetoric, but the presentation of a coherent, testable model that can be rigorously challenged with data.

This paper supplies technical grounding for the biochemical computer framework. It stands alone while providing molecular and genetic detail. The experimental roadmap in Section 5 offers clear paths to confirmation or falsification. Understanding *C. albicans* as a distributed regulator opens avenues in microbiology, immunology, metabolism, and host–microbe ecology. The refined signaling, memory, and output layers presented here, validated in real time by the Redacted Science longitudinal dataset, supply the mechanistic foundation for the Mammalia candidus / Saline Oscillation co-evolutionary framework developed in the companion manuscript.

## References

- Acharya, N., et al. (2017). Endocannabinoid system acts as a regulator of immune homeostasis in the gut. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(19), 5005–5010. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1612177114>
- Bartelli, T. F., Bruno, D. C. F., & Briones, M. R. S. (2018). Evidence for mitochondrial genome methylation in the yeast *Candida albicans*: A potential novel epigenetic mechanism affecting adaptation and pathogenicity? *Frontiers in Genetics*, 9, 166. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2018.00166> (PMC5986885)
- Benias, P.C., Wells, R.G., Sackey-Aboagye, B., et al. (2018). Structure and distribution of an unrecognized interstitium in human tissues. *Scientific Reports*, 8, 4947. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-23062-6>
- Bezerra, A.R., et al. (2021). The role of non-standard translation in *Candida albicans* biology and pathogenesis. *FEMS Yeast Research*, 21(4), foab032. <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsyr/foab032>
- Joshua M. Borin, Justin J. Lee, Adriana Lucia-Sanz, Krista R. Gerbino, Joshua S. Weitz, Justin R. Meyer. Rapid bacteria-phage coevolution drives the emergence of multiscale networks. *Science*, 2023; 382 (6671): 674 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adi5536>
- Brown, V., Sexton, J.A., & Johnston, M. (2006). A glucose sensor in *Candida albicans*. *Eukaryotic Cell*, 5(10), 1726–1737. <https://doi.org/10.1128/EC.00186-06>
- Butler, G., et al. (2009). Evolution of pathogenicity and sexual reproduction in eight *Candida* genomes. *Nature*, 459(7247), 657–662. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08064>
- Castro, M., Ralston, N. V. C., Morgenthaler, T. I., Rohrbach, M. S., & Limper, A. H. (1994). *Candida albicans* stimulates arachidonic acid liberation from alveolar macrophages through alpha-mannan and beta-glucan cell wall components. *Infection and Immunity*, 62(8), 3138–3145. <https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.62.8.3138-3145.1994> (PMC302938)
- Cheng, S. C., van de Veerdonk, F. L., Smeekens, S., Joosten, L. A. B., van der Meer, J. W. M., Kullberg, B. J., & Netea, M. G. (2010). *Candida albicans* dampens host defense by downregulating IL-17 production. *The Journal of Immunology*, 185(4), 2450–2457. <https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1000756>
- Craddock, J. (2026a). Redacted science: The pan-mammalian co-evolution hypothesis. Substack. Published March 2026.

- Craddock, J. (2026b). Redacted science [Book]. Distributed via IPFS, Nostr, redactedscience.org. CID: bafybeidq7u7xbs526xvml6s6pbwq43rh7k5bzdrgkwlovwhw5oq2vhdrja
- Cravener, M.V., et al. (2023). Reinforcement amid genetic diversity in the *Candida albicans* biofilm regulatory network. *PLoS Pathogens*, 19(2), e1011109. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1011109>
- Dumeaux, V., Massahi, S., Bettauer, V., et al. (2023). *Candida albicans* exhibits heterogeneous and adaptive cytoprotective responses to antifungal compounds. *eLife*, 12, e81406. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.81406> (PMC10699808)
- Dunkel, N., et al. (2013). Roles of different peptide transporters in nutrient acquisition in *Candida albicans*. *Eukaryotic Cell*, 12(4), 520–528. <https://doi.org/10.1128/EC.00008-13>
- Erb-Downward, J.R., & Noverr, M.C. (2007). Characterization of prostaglandin E<sub>2</sub> production by *Candida albicans*. *Infection and Immunity*, 75(7), 3498–3505. <https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00232-07>
- Guan, G., et al. (2023). Glucose depletion enables *Candida albicans* mating independently of the epigenetic white-opaque switch. *Nature Communications*, 14, 2067. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-37755-8>
- Kornitzer, D. (2019). Regulation of *Candida albicans* hyphal morphogenesis by endogenous signals. *Journal of Fungi*, 5(1), 21. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jof5010021>
- Lin, J., et al. (2024). Global fungal-host interactome mapping identifies host targets of candidalysin. *Nature Communications*, 15, 1757. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-46141-x>
- Lohse, M.B., et al. (2009). White-opaque switching in *Candida albicans*. *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, 12(6), 650–654. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2009.09.010>
- Midkiff, J., Borochoff-Porte, N., White, D., & Johnson, D. I. (2011). Small molecule inhibitors of the *Candida albicans* budded-to-hyphal transition act through multiple signaling pathways. *PLoS ONE*, 6(9), e25395. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0025395> (PMC3180447)
- Mishra, P. K., Baum, M., & Carbon, J. (2011). DNA methylation regulates phenotype-dependent transcriptional activity in *Candida albicans*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(29), 11965–11970. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1109631108> (PMC3141964)
- Mishra, A., Forche, A., & Anderson, M.Z. (2021). Parasexuality of *Candida* species. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology*, 11, 796929. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2021.796929>
- Moyes, D.L., et al. (2016). Candidalysin is a fungal peptide toxin critical for mucosal infection. *Nature*, 532(7597), 64–68. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17625>
- Mühlhausen, S., et al. (2016). A novel nuclear genetic code alteration in yeasts and the evolution of codon reassignment in eukaryotes. *Genome Research*, 26, 945–955. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.200931.115>
- Nile, C.J., et al. (2018). Repurposing pilocarpine hydrochloride for treatment of *Candida albicans* infections. *mSphere*, 3(6), e00689-18. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00689-18>
- Qasim, M.N., et al. (2021). The roles of chromatin accessibility in regulating the *Candida albicans* white-opaque phenotypic switch. *Journal of Fungi*, 7(1), 37. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jof7010037>
- Santos, M.A., et al. (1995). The CUG codon is decoded in vivo as serine and not leucine in *Candida albicans*. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 23(8), 1481–1486. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/23.8.1481>
- Zarnowski, R., et al. (2018). *Candida albicans* biofilm-induced vesicles confer drug resistance through matrix biogenesis. *PLoS Biology*, 16(10), e2006872. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2006872>

Zelante, T., Iannitti, R. G., Cunha, C., De Luca, A., Giovannini, G., Pieraccini, G., Zecchi, R., D'Angelo, C., Massi-Benedetti, C., Fallarino, F., Carvalho, A., Puccetti, P., & Romani, L. (2013). Tryptophan catabolites from microbiota engage aryl hydrocarbon receptor and balance mucosal reactivity via interleukin-22. *Immunity*, 39(2), 372–385. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2013.08.003>

Benias, P.C., Wells, R.G., Sackey-Aboagye, B., et al. (2018). Structure and distribution of an unrecognized interstitium in human tissues. *Scientific Reports*, 8, 4947. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-23062-6>