

**From:** Adam Mazzocchetti adam@spqrtech.ai  
**Subject:** Re: Editor's decision on PHTe-D-25-00560 - [EMID:7a8d5a3b14c2c40b]  
**Date:** 26 July 2025 at 09:38  
**To:** Philosophy & Technology mariecrs.briones@springer.com

---

Dear Professor Floridi and Editorial Team,

Thank you for considering my submission to Philosophy & Technology. I appreciate your time and the opportunity to have my work reviewed.

I acknowledge receipt of your decision and wish you and the journal continued success.

Best regards,

Adam Mazzocchetti

On 26 Jul 2025, at 04:22, Philosophy & Technology <em@editorialmanager.com> wrote:

Dear Mr Mazzocchetti,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript, PHTe-D-25-00560 "Lex Aeterna Machina: Autonomous Ethical Governance in the Age of Artificial Intelligence - Theological and Technical Imperative", to Philosophy & Technology.

After careful consideration by the editorial team, we regret to inform you that your manuscript will not be moving forward to the peer review stage. This decision is based on the journal's rigorous selection process, which allows only a small proportion of submissions to proceed to external review due to the high volume of manuscripts we receive.

I'm sorry not to be able to share better news and I would like to take this opportunity to thank you for submitting your manuscript to Philosophy & Technology for consideration. I wish you every success in finding an alternative place of publication, and I hope you will consider Philosophy & Technology for future submissions.

Please note that the journal adopts the following eight categories for editorial decisions:

- 1) Accept.
- 2) Accept but needs small editing. The submission will be published once revised.
- 3) Minor revisions. The submission may be publishable, pending the revisions; if possible, it will be re-evaluated by the same reviewers who suggested the revisions.
- 4) Major revisions. The submission may be publishable, pending the revisions; if possible, it will be re-evaluated by the same reviewers who suggested the revisions.
- 5) Resubmittable. The topic of the submission is interesting but the article cannot be published. A new submission on the same topic is encouraged. The new submission may but does not have to be based on the old, and may be considered by a different panel of reviewers.
- 6) Reject after review.
- 7) Revise before review.
- 8) Reject before review.

With kind regards,

Luciano Floridi

Editor in Chief  
Research Article  
Philosophy & Technology

---

This letter contains confidential information, is for your own use, and should not be forwarded to third parties.

Recipients of this email are registered users within the Editorial Manager database for this journal. We will keep your information on file to use in the process of submitting, evaluating and publishing a manuscript. For more information on how we use your personal details please see our privacy policy at <https://www.springernature.com/production-privacy-policy>. If you no longer wish to receive messages from this journal or you have questions regarding database management, please contact the Publication Office at the link below.

---

In compliance with data protection regulations, you may request that we remove your personal registration details at any time. (Use the following URL: <https://www.editorialmanager.com/phte/login.asp?a=r>). Please contact the publication office if you have any questions.

