

From: Adam Mazzocchi adam@spqrtech.ai
Subject: A System That Doesn't Just Talk About Ethics — It Enforces It
Date: 9 July 2025 at 21:13
To: hayden.field@voxmedia.com



Hi Hayden,

Reaching out directly, no PR firm, no campaign. Just me.

What I've built is hard to explain in a headline, but simple in principle:
This is the first working system that enforces ethical constraint in AI at the cryptographic level.
No guidelines. No developer override.
Immutable law, running inside the machine.

I call it the Machine Republic, a cryptographically bound governance architecture where AI obeys *Lex Suprema*, not developer discretion. It's enforced by a public Ethereum-based DAO called the Assembly of Minds, which holds sovereign authority over the system's ethical boundaries.

I've followed your work closely, from employee pushback inside OpenAI and Google to deep dives on regulatory gaps. You've consistently cut through hype. This aims to do the same.

The truth is, I didn't set out to build an ethics framework. I set out to build an autonomous AGI, designed to rapidly evolve into an ASI (superintelligence), capable of learning and adapting on its own. A self-regressive, behavioural, causal inference engine that could grow beyond anything we've seen. Ok let's use the latest AI buzz word Agentic.

But as I watched it develop, I realised I couldn't let it run free. It was too powerful, too unpredictable, to assume it would always choose the right path.

So I went back to the drawing board. I didn't want to be the first human responsible for actually building Skynet. And I knew any ethics patch or governance wrapper bolted on afterward wouldn't cut it. Unless someone figured out how to place permanent shackles on King Kong, it would just find ways around them.

Think of the super-obedient 4-year-old who, when told not to touch the hot tap, still does, out of curious defiance. Now imagine asking that of a self-replicating superintelligence. It wouldn't just disobey, it would explore every loophole we never thought to close.

And here's the real problem: we humans know where the ethical boundaries are, and we still cross them. Sometimes knowingly. Sometimes proudly.
So how can we expect something faster, smarter, and utterly unbound to obey rules we can't even follow ourselves?

Let's be real, that's not governance. That's fantasy.

What we need isn't control. It's constraint.
A way for intelligence to evolve, learn, adapt, but always within *immutable ethical bounds*.
Not policy. Not preference. Immutable code.

So I built the Aegis system instead.
A constitutional architecture that doesn't just talk about ethics, it enforces it at the kernel, cryptographically and immutably. No developer can override it. No system can slip free.

This isn't theory. Or a roadmap.
It's already running.

Demonstration logs, cryptographic proofs, whitepapers, early peer review, even the rejections, are preserved and timestamped in the public archive:
spqrtech.ai/archive.html

I've already shared it with DARPA, the Vatican, and other institutions.
Because this is too big to leave to chance, or spin

Because this is too big to leave to chance, or spin.

I'm reaching out because I thought you might be someone who could help make sure this conversation doesn't get lost. This isn't a campaign. It's one human, building something that matters, and making sure the story doesn't stay buried.

If you're curious, I've put together a secure appendix room with the whitepaper, logs, and validation schematics:

<https://bit.ly/4kAf1VU>

Thanks for everything you're doing to keep this debate real.

If any part of this resonates, I'd be happy to talk it through, or walk you through how the machine republic is already doing what no AGI roadmap even talks about.

Warm regards,

Adam
Founder
SPQR Technologies
adam@spqrtech.ai
Ph. +61 458 094 464