

SUPPLEMENTARY EXHIBIT BW/3 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST


Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Email correspondence between the Applicant and the 2nd Respondent's legal representatives, the 2nd Respondent is **The Commissioner of Police of The Metropolis**, including the Applicant's proposed Undertakings and Settlement Offer, demonstrating attempts to resolve the matter and avoid unnecessary litigation.


Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 19 June 2026

EXHIBIT BW/3

Email Correspondence



Caption: On 11 May 2026, at 12:57 pm, after sending my Formal Notice letter and related documents to the Police's legal team, I received an automatic "out-of-office" reply from David Shannon, a paralegal, working in the Police's legal department.



Caption: Also on 11 May 2026, at 13:32 pm, after emailing Chloe Cambridge, I received a reply from her saying that she's on annual leave.

On 18 June 2026, at 21:53 pm, immediately after scrolling this document down to this section, I heard a car drive past my window and seem to intentionally increase the acceleration very abruptly producing a loud, sharp noise, causing me a sudden startle.

This is how the Primary State-Actor Respondents, including the Police, seem to unlawfully monitor all my devices to gain insight into my legal strategies and then intimidate me just at the right time. Once again, this matches the definition of witness intimidation or tampering with witnesses.

It could also amount to attempted murder through a slow kill by repeatedly attempting to gradually weaken my heart or simply to cause me a heart attack.



Re: URGENT: 48-HOUR EMERGENCY NOTICE – Conditional Offer to Negotiate, Set-Aside Consent And More | Claim No: KB-2025-004650 & Ref: 208940/DJS

1 message

Buppha Witt <bupphawitt@gmail.com>

Wed, 17 Jun 2026 at 23:56

To: Terry.Sullivan@met.police.uk

Cc: David.Shannon@met.police.uk, DLSMailbox-Allocations@met.police.uk, Brett.Welch@met.police.uk

Dear Terry Sullivan / David Shannon / Brett Welch,

RE: Professional Duties to the Court / Active Obstruction of Access to Counsel

Thank you for confirming receipt of my correspondence and for confirming that you have oversight of this matter during Mr Shannon's absence.

Please note the attached Settlement Offer, Proposed Undertakings, Notice of Intended Referral to International Jurisdictions and related documents. These documents contain the principal allegations, relief sought, and proposals for resolving the matter. I would be grateful if you would review them and obtain your client's instructions at the earliest opportunity.

In particular, I invite your attention to the Proposed Undertakings, which may assist in avoiding or reducing the need for further court proceedings.

Please find attached copies of:

1. **Formal Open Letter with Proposed Undertakings**
2. **Settlement Offer Letter**
3. **Schedule of Loss**
4. **Schedule of Defendants**
5. **Notice of Active Litigation Misconduct**
6. **Litigation Hold Letter**
7. **Medical Note**
8. **Medical Evidence**
9. **International Criminal Court (ICC): Notice of Intended Referral to International Jurisdictions.**

Action Requested:

1. Please confirm safe receipt of this email and the attached files by return.
2. If there are any issues opening the attachments, please notify me immediately.


I am copying **Brett Welch (Chief Legal Officer)** and the **DLS Allocations mailbox** in this email. As the senior leadership of the Directorate of Legal Services, they are responsible for ensuring that all staff—including paralegals—operate with full transparency and in accordance with the SRA Code of Conduct. I am requesting that they ensure my case reference **208940/DJS** is properly supervised.

Also, due to the urgency and seriousness of the matters raised herein, this correspondence is being served by email with immediate effect. A hard copy by post will follow shortly.

Yours faithfully,

with other personnel. While reasonable precautions have been taken to ensure no viruses are present in this email, its security and that of any attachments cannot be guaranteed.

Caption: On 17 June 2026, at 23:56 pm, I once again emailed the Police's legal team with my proposed Settlement Offer & Undertakings, Notice of Active Litigation Misconduct and other related documents, as seen in the screenshot above.



Caption: On 17 June 2026, at 23:58 pm, just two minutes after sending the email to the Police's legal team, I received an automatic "out-of-office" reply from Terry Sullivan, also working in the Police's legal department, as seen in the screenshot above. They seem to use the automated loops to evade it as usual when I was once again directed to alternative staff. It's the same pattern of tactic being used again and again. This looks therefore like they are intentionally evading communication while the harm continues.

At approximately 23:59 pm, immediately after receiving the email from Terry Sullivan, I heard someone walk past my room, open the main door next to my room and aggressively slam it shut without going out. The individual then went back inside the house. It's like this almost every time I try to assert my rights, receive a response from the Police's legal team, raise concerns about my daughter, and the like.

They don't seem to hide it either that they're illegally monitoring all my legal strategies via hacking or other unlawful means and immediately intimidating me at critical moments. The door-slamming continued throughout the night whenever I tried to edit my legal documents.

At approximately 6 minutes past midnight, immediately after typing the previous paragraph and putting my phone away to try to get some sleep, I heard someone aggressively slam the main door shut, to intimidate me, to disrupt my sleep and to convey surveillance as usual. The time ended in the number 6 again. This number has also been used consistently in the harassment campaign against me, to symbolically refer to the violation of my human right Article 6 (right to a fair trial). It also seems to be used to intimidate me whenever I talk about Keir Starmer and his alleged involvement in this. I have also mentioned in the past and in my injunction application that I believe he may have violated my human right Article 6 (right to a fair trial) by blocking my access to legal representation. The number 6 then seems to be used repeatedly to refer to this exact Article 6 violation, or whenever they want to allude to Keir Starmer.

I must therefore say once again that my life is in grave danger due to severe witness intimidation. This has got to stop immediately before they successfully cause me irreparable harm or even death.

Buppha Wittaya-Amponpant

Flat 1, 23 New Windsor Street

Uxbridge, UB8 2TX

Email: bupphawitt@gmail.com

Sent via Email and Recorded Delivery

To: The Commissioner of Police of The Metropolis / Directorate of Legal Services

10 Lamb's Conduit Street

London, WC1N 3NR

Dear Terry Sullivan / David Shannon / Brett Welch,

**URGENT NOTICE: Tortious Interference with Settlement Communications,
Subversion of Justice, and Active Exploitation of Privileged Data / CLAIM
NUMBER: KB-2025-004650 / REF: 208940/DJS**

FOR THE IMMEDIATE ATTENTION OF THE HIGH COURT REGISTRY
CLERK, ALL DEFENDANTS' LEGAL REPRESENTATIVES, AND THE
CORPORATE CHIEF RISK OFFICERS.

DATE: 17 June 2026

RE: Notice of Active Litigation Misconduct, Coercive Interference with
Settlement Protocols, and Fraud on the Court concerning Claim Number:
KB-2025-004650

Please take formal statutory notice that a critical complaint of Abuse of Process and
Tortious Interference with Judicial Proceedings will be immediately filed with the
High Court registry under the aforementioned claim number.

1. Particulars of Settlement Interception and Sabotage:

The Claimant has attempted to resolve these proceedings through formal,
conditional settlement proposals aimed at minimizing costs and saving valuable
court resources in line with CPR Part 1 Overriding Objectives. However, the
Primary State-Actor Defendants, one of them is **The Commissioner of Police of
The Metropolis**, your client, are actively exploiting their ongoing covert digital

interception of the Claimant's devices to spy on real-time settlement drafts and outbound legal correspondence.

Upon intercepting these private communications, the Primary State-Actor Defendants, both known and unknown, are utilizing extra-judicial coercion to directly instruct, pressure, or mislead the other commercial Co-Defendants and their appointed legal counsels, forcing them to reject negotiations and ignore formal settlement terms

Based on the pattern of events and the information presently available to the Claimant, she reasonably believes that **the UK PM Keir Starmer**, also one of the Respondents / Proposed Defendants, may be one of the principal individuals behind the conduct complained of and may possess information concerning the involvement of other Defendants.

2. Severe Consequences for the Commercial Co-Defendants:

Please advise your Board of Directors, Chief Risk Officer, and Insurers that your organization's independent legal autonomy has been entirely compromised by your co-defendants. If your firm is ignoring settlement offers not based on an independent legal assessment, but because you are acting on illicitly intercepted intelligence passed to you by rogue state actors, your client and their Co-defendants are actively participating in a criminal conspiracy to obstruct justice.

Under the Civil Procedure Rules, if a party unreasonably refuses or ignores a settlement offer, the court can order them to pay catastrophic, indemnity-tier legal costs and completely strike out their defense for bad faith, regardless of their separate corporate status.

3. Referral to the Court and International Tribunals:

This systemic sabotage of the judicial administration will be formally submitted to the High Court registry, as mentioned previously, to prove that the Defendants are operating in flagrant, continuous bad faith. This interference directly prevents the peaceful, cost-effective resolution of this case, providing absolute, unassailable justification for the High Court to strike out the defenses of all parties entirely for abuse of process, while fast-tracking our emergency application to the European Court of Human Rights under the Rule 39 urgent procedure if necessary.

Yours sincerely,



Buppha Wittaya-Amponpant

Caption: Screenshots of the letter **"Notice of Active Litigation Misconduct"** sent to the Police's legal team on 17 June 2026.

On 19 June 2026, at approximately 15:35 pm, immediately after taking the screenshots of the **"Notice of Active Litigation Misconduct"** sent to the Police's legal team on 17 June 2026 to attach to this Exhibit, I heard Eddie return to the property and slam the main door shut.



FORMAL OPEN LETTER: 48-HOUR EMERGENCY NOTICE – Conditional Offer to Negotiate, Set-Aside Consent, Notice of Continuous Wrongs, Incurable Abuse of Process And Breach of Privilege

CLAIM NO: KB-2025-004650 | REF: 208940/DJS

DATE: 17 June 2026

Dear Terry Sullivan / David Shannon / Brett Welch,

I write further to my previous correspondence. Please be advised that this is a **Formal Open Letter**. The 48-hour deadline for your client's formal response will expire **within 48 hours of receipt and no later than 10:00 p.m. on Friday 19 June 2026**.

For the purposes of this letter and the accompanying documents, references to your client shall include your client, its directors, officers, employees, agents, contractors, consultants, representatives, and any person acting on its behalf, under its direction, or in concert with it who has notice of this correspondence.

I have serious concerns regarding the transparency and professional integrity of the current handling of this case.

Please be advised that my previous communication was not standard correspondence, but a **Formal Legal Notice of Fraud on the Court and Severe Human Rights Violations**, including ongoing breaches of **Article 2 (Right to Life)**, **Article 3 (Prohibition of Torture)** and **Article 8 (Right to Privacy)**.

Your acknowledgement is noted, but you have failed to address the critical and time-sensitive matters previously raised, specifically:

1. **The Duty to Rectify:** Your company has been placed on formal notice that the previous strike-out order was obtained via a fraud on the court.
2. **The Blocking of Legal Counsel:** The ongoing interference with my access to impartial lawyers to prevent the exposure of this unlawful state action.
3. **The Duty Not to Mislead the Court:** Your client is on notice that the strike-out order was obtained via material non-disclosure and active misrepresentations regarding the status of this case and my access to legal representation.
4. **SRA Code of Conduct (Rule 1.4):** As officers of the court, your firm has an independent professional duty not to allow the court to be misled by your client's acts or omissions. Continuing to rely on a strike-out order obtained while knowing that my access to legal counsel has been unlawfully obstructed places your firm at risk of complicity in misleading the court.

Be advised that your failure to address these specific points, or any attempt to downplay the severity of the active threats against my privacy, my life and my family, will be relied upon in



court as **evidence of knowing non-engagement**. The Court will be invited to draw an **adverse inference** from your silence, which will be cited as evidence of a **conspiracy to injure by unlawful means** in both domestic and forthcoming international proceedings.

I require your explicit position on these matters without delay.

Despite my recent digital submission via CE-File of an urgent application for an interim injunction on 9 June 2026, **your client (The Commissioner of Police of The Metropolis), its employees, agents, contractors, representatives, and any person acting on its behalf or under its direction**, have accelerated their extra-procedural misconduct. Specifically, they are continuously filming me via hidden cameras 24/7, distributing / live-streaming the illegal recordings for profit, intercepting my private, unfilled legal preparation via advanced electronic surveillance, and have, along with other defendants, actively leaked my private, intimate materials non-consensually taken with the hidden cameras - both as retaliation and harassment.

I respectfully request that your client, together with its employees, agents, contractors, representatives, and any persons acting on its behalf or under its direction, cease the conduct complained of and take all reasonable steps to prevent any further misuse, disclosure, dissemination, or exploitation of the material in question.

This deliberate interception of my work product and personal data has completely destroyed the level playing field required for a fair trial.

Please take formal notice that an urgent, critical amendment will be filed right after I have emailed you the documents today, with the High Court registry under the aforementioned claim number. The AMENDED APPLICATION AND NOTICE OF SYSTEMATIC CONTEMPT FOR JUDICIAL AUTHORITY will be formally added to the master case file.

This formal filing serves as an unerasable, permanent paper trail documenting that the State Actor Defendants, including your client, its employees, agents, and representatives and their Co-Defendants, are actively continuing their campaign of environmental harassment, witness intimidation, illegal surveillance, voyeurism, secret filming, live-streaming, mass misuse of my private information and unauthorised commercial dissemination of my intimate materials unabated. This conduct is persisting despite the fact that certain parties, including your client, were formally notified, have acknowledged my anticipated claim, and possess full knowledge of impending international escalation, which I have also mentioned in my previous injunction application bundle and you have already read it. They're also illegally monitoring all my devices 24/7, so they already know all my legal strategies before I even submit the documents to the court or go public.

Because your firm has already received and read my previous urgent injunction bundle. You are therefore fully aware of the rapid, ongoing dissemination of my, and possibly also of my daughter's, highly sensitive, intimate materials across public and pornographic websites. The non-consensually recordings have been obtained via hidden cameras. Despite multiple Letters Before Action / Pre-action Protocol Letters / Settlement Offer Letters, pending injunction



Document

Lookup

Play



3

application and application to set aside the strike-out, the hidden camera and audio recording devices have not been removed and continue to unlawfully record me and distribute/live-stream for profit, amounting to cybersex trafficking and money laundering.

This is a coordinated, unlawful retaliation campaign, victimisation, and a vile smear campaign designed to destroy my reputation and silence my exposure of corruption.

Every hour that passes without my access to legal representation to secure an emergency injunction causes catastrophic and **irreversible harm** to my and my daughter's privacy and human dignity.

I am therefore writing to formally notify you and the Court that I am currently unable to access legal counsel, as your firm has already received and read my urgent injunction bundle and therefore already knows the reasons for it, I am placing this on record to protect my right to a fair trial under Article 6 of the Human Rights Act.

FACILITATION OF COMMERCIAL CYBERCRIME, DARK WEB EXPLOITATION, AND FRAUD ON THE COURT

I am placing your firm on direct, formal notice of a severe criminal element underpinning these proceedings, which transforms your ongoing support of the strike-out application into an active facilitation of a fraud on the court.

I am informing you that the covert surveillance inside my private residence is not merely passive; my most intimate and private daily life is being actively live-streamed, broadcast, and distributed onto commercial networks, pornographic websites and dark web platforms for financial profit. This illicit enterprise targets individuals in states of complete vulnerability, including when they are in bed or utilising private spaces, to generate commercial revenue from unsuspecting victims.

The hidden cameras also use to sexually humiliate and psychologically torture me 24/7 by letting me know via various means that I am being constantly watched via hidden cameras, to cause me to feel uncomfortable around the clock. For example, immediately after I wipe myself after using the bathroom, even after defecating, come out of the bathroom, stand up, put something down, start cooking, or turn around in bed, an individual would suddenly exit/return to the property and aggressively slam the main door shut. I live near the main entrance, by the way. This happens daily. I also often observe the employees of your client, such as a police vehicle driving past my window, or sometimes two police officers walking past my window, immediately after my housemates violently smack the main door shut.

This conduct constitutes a series of serious indictable criminal offences under UK law, including but not limited to offences under the Criminal Justice and Courts Act 2015 (as amended by the Online Safety Act 2023) and the Sexual Offences Act 2003.

Consequently, the current application to strike out my claim is being pursued by your client and their co-defendants in profound bad faith. It is an abusive legal maneuver designed entirely to



suppress a severe cybercrime, engineer a miscarriage of justice, and allow this highly profitable, illegal streaming operation to continue under the radar.

As Officers of the Court, your firm is bound by the **SRA Code of Conduct** to act with integrity and uphold the administration of justice. You are now explicitly aware that your client and their co-defendants are seeking a strike-out to shield a commercial voyeurism operation from judicial scrutiny. Continuing to advance their application under these conditions risks making your firm complicit in facilitating a fraud on the court and an ongoing criminal enterprise. This letter will be placed before the Duty Judge to demonstrate that your firm was fully apprised of this exploitation.

On 15 June 2026, at 21:13 pm, immediately upon looking at another document to add some more information to this letter regarding "**Unlawful Retaliation & Misuse of Private Information**," I heard someone suddenly walk past my room, open the main door next to my room and VIOLENTLY smack it shut before going back inside again. So, this individual didn't actually go out but just to aggressively slam the door shut in response to what I had just read. This suggests a very well-coordinated harassment and intimidation, as if my legal strategies are being monitored 24/7 via hacking / cyberstalking and my housemates are instructed to be ready to harass and intimidate me at any moment. Thus, the harassment and intimidation seem to intensify as I draft this letter.

PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE OF TRANSNATIONAL HUMAN TRAFFICKING AND MODERN SLAVERY

The collective and ongoing actions of your client, its employees, agents, contractors, representatives, and any person acting on its behalf or under its direction, including their Co-defendants—specifically the weaponization of digital surveillance to enforce my isolation, the deliberate obstruction of access to legal counsel, and the continuous, unabated dissemination of intimate materials across international platforms for commercial financial gain—constitute prima facie violations of Section 2 and 3 of the **UK Modern Slavery Act 2015** and the **United Nations Palermo Protocol**. They are actively operating a cross-border cyber-trafficking enterprise, utilizing an egregious abuse of administrative power to transition privacy violations into commercial sexual exploitation. This conduct strips all involved individuals, officers, authorities and commercial entities of any regulatory or sovereign immunity, rendering them directly liable for individual criminal prosecution and global asset forfeiture under international law.

COORDINATED INTRUSION ON INTIMATE STATES AND BREACH OF SECTION 66 OF THE SEXUAL OFFENCES ACT 2003

I am also placing your firm on urgent, formal notice of a deeply disturbing criminal escalation in your client's conduct. Your client and their co-defendants have been fully apprised of my intention to seek a **Norwich Pharmacal Order** and a **Disclosure Order**. In total defiance of these impending court mechanisms, they have intensified their around-the-clock psychological harassment, specifically targeting my absolute privacy.

Caption: On 17 June 2026, in the email sent to Terry Sullivan, one of the Police's legal representatives, I also included the "Formal Open Letter to the Police's Lawyers." The screenshots above show the first 4 pages of it.

For reasons of proportionality and to avoid unnecessarily enlarging the exhibit bundle, only selected correspondence and key documents are exhibited. These documents are included for the purpose of illustrating the nature and substance of my communications with the Police's legal representatives. Where a document is particularly lengthy, only the most relevant pages are exhibited (for example, only the first 4 pages of one letter are included, as seen in the screenshots above). The complete versions of the documents, together with any additional correspondence, can be provided to the Court upon request.



Caption: On 19 June 2026, at 16:15 pm, immediately upon copying the text from another document to add to this document, which was the text seen in the previous paragraph, regarding my correspondence with the Police's Legal Representatives, I observed Eddie exiting the property and slamming the main door shut once again.