

Description: Chronological photos and CE-filing receipts showing significant, unnatural delays and physical tampering with official court documents.

18 December 2025

18/12/2025 — N1 Claim Form and Particulars of Claim filed



Automatic reply: Change of address

1 message

KB Issue & Enquiries <KBEnquiries@justice.gov.uk>
To: Buppha Witt <bupphawitt@gmail.com>
Cc: KB Issue & Enquiries <KBEnquiries@justice.gov.uk>

Thu, 18 Dec 2025 at 15:27

Dear Ms Wittaya-Amponpant,

Please accept my apologies for the length of time your claim has been pending. Your claim has now been filed and given the case number KB-2025-004650.

We are now awaiting the Master's directions.

Description: The original High Court claim notification sent to me via email on 18 December 2025, proving the case exists against the public authorities and their Co-defendants.

19 December 2025

19/12/2025 — Notification from the Court to prepare my injunction



Master's directions - KB-2025-004650 - Wittaya-Amponpunt v The Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis and others

1 message

KB Issue & Enquiries <KBEnquiries@justice.gov.uk>
To: bupphawitt@gmail.com <bupphawitt@gmail.com>
Cc: KB Issue & Enquiries <KBEnquiries@justice.gov.uk>

Fri, 19 Dec 2025 at 12:43

Dear Ms Wittaya-Amponpunt,

Upon review of your claim form and supporting documents, the Master has advised the following with regard to your request for an injunction:

'Any application for an injunction requires an Application Notice (N244) and appropriate witness evidence in support and a draft order.'

You will be receiving an Order in due course with further directions.

Kind Regards,

 H.

Administrative Officer

King's Bench Division Issue & Enquiries Section

Royal Courts of Justice | Strand, London | WC2A 2LL

(0203 936 8957 (option 2)

www.gov.uk/hmcts

For information on how HMCTS uses personal data about you please see: <https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/hm-courts-and-tribunals-service/about/personal-information-charter>

-


HM Courts &
Tribunals Service

Description: The notification from the Court's clerk sent to me on 19 December 2025, informing me to prepare for my injunction hearing.

6 January 2026

6/1/2026 — The Court stayed the Proceedings

Documents have been distributed on KB-2025-004650



Inbox



noreply@thomsonreuters.com 6 Jan

to me ^



From noreply@thomsonreuters.com

To bupphawitt@gmail.com

Date 6 Jan 2026, 09:08



Standard encryption (TLS).

[See security details](#)

HM Courts & Tribunals Service
CMS

Documents have been distributed on KB-2025-004650.

Do not respond to this system-generated e-mail notification. For help with technical problems, please contact the system administrator

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE
KING'S BENCH DIVISION
Before Master Dagnall

Claim No. KB-2025-004650



King's Be...rder.pdf





Document

Lookup

Play



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE
KING'S BENCH DIVISION

Claim No. KB-2025-004650

Before Master Dagnall



KB-2025-004650

BETWEEN

Buppha Wittaya-Amponpant

Claimant

- and -

1. The Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis

Defendants

2. University of West London

3. Mark Zuckerberg

4. Meta Platforms, Inc

5. Elon Musk

6. X Corp

7. TikTok Information Technologies UK Limited

8. Alistair Stefan Law

9. Adam Michael Presser

10. Persons Unknown

ORDER

UPON the Claimant having issued a Claim Form on 17 December 2025



IT IS ORDERED THAT (and of the court's own initiative and without a hearing):

- (1) (except as provided below) The Claim shall be stayed, and during such stay the Claim Form shall not be released for service and time shall not run (under CPR7.5 or otherwise; and so that any relevant time-limit is extended) in relation to service or steps for service
- (2) The Claimant may apply informally without need for any Application Notice for the stay to be lifted but if they do so then they must file at court:
 - a. their replacement proposed Particulars of Claim, and which have numbered paragraphs, and in which:
 - i. They provide a concise statement of the facts upon which they rely; and so as to include a chronological history of the key events, and which events must be clearly specified (including by reference to date, time and place)
 - ii. They comply with the provisions of the CPR and the PDs and the general law set out above
 - iii. They set out in relation to each of their Claims separately against each Defendant separately:
 1. What duty or obligation is said to have arisen upon the Defendant, and the facts giving rise to such duty or obligation



2. How the duty or obligation is said to have been breached by the Defendant, or the Defendant is said to have committed the relevant wrong, setting out what the Defendant is alleged to have done or failed to do. That must be specific identifying in relation to each specific event relied upon precisely what the relevant Defendant did or failed to do which was wrong
3. What loss or damage the Claimant is said to have suffered as a result
4. How such loss or damage is said to have been caused by the alleged breach of duty or of obligation or wrong
5. What amount of damages is claimed and how it has been calculated

b. In relation to any of the Defendants who are located outside the jurisdiction of the Court, either a statement setting out the Claimant's reasons as to why permission to serve out of the jurisdiction is not required Or an application (with appropriate witness evidence in support) which complies with CPR6.37 for permission to serve out of the jurisdiction

c. A witness statement setting out their key evidence as to each event which they contend involved a wrong by a Defendant, identifying the relevant date, time, place and Defendant and why they say that the Defendant then engaged in the relevant wrongful conduct and what evidence is relied upon in support of such contentions

d. A report(s) from a medical practitioner(s) setting out their expert opinion of the Claimant's present condition (including mental condition), what personal injury (including injury to mental health) the Claimant has suffered, and how, from the asserted wrongs, and providing a litigation capacity assessment

(3) If the Claimant makes no application to lift the stay by 4pm on 31 March 2026 then the Claim will be automatically and will stand as struck out

(4) Any party may apply to set aside or vary this order within fourteen days of being served with it.

Description: The original High Court Order to stay the proceedings for 3 months was distributed to me and the defendants on 6 January 2026, to allow me time to amend my Particulars of Claim, proving the case exists against the public authorities and their Co-defendants at the time.

Extract of Correspondence

Only some pages are included just to show that the Court Order of the Stay of these Proceedings exists, and to whom (Me as the Claimant and the Key Defendants at the time of filing the claim) this Court Order has been distributed to.

Court Notification Has Not Deterred the Conduct

Although, on 6 January 2026, the Court had distributed the claim documents to the Defendants and notified them of the stay of the proceedings, the conduct has not ceased.


This proves that since the initiation and the subsequent stay of these proceedings, including my pending application for urgent injunctive relief, the Respondents / Defendants have continued — and in some instances escalated — their misconduct. This includes ongoing unlawful surveillance (both online and offline), secret filming, further dissemination of the illegal footage and private materials, misuse of private information, commercial exploitation, severe harassment (both online and offline), and acts of intimidation clearly calculated to dissuade me from pursuing my legal rights.

Ongoing Conduct

The conduct complained of in the claim has continued and, in some respects, escalated, including dissemination of private information & intimate materials and encouragement of third-party harassment.


31 March 2026

31/3/2026 — I have submitted my amended High Court claim via e-filing



23 April 2026

23/4/2026 — I received a notification from the Court via e-filing notifying me that my claim had been struck-out on 2/4/2026, which I believe it's due to fraud on the court.



Description: At 9:40 am on 23 April 2026, I received an email from HM Courts & Tribunals Service via CE-filing that Documents have been distributed, which turned out to be the Order of Master Dagnall notifying me that my claim had been struck out on 2 April 2026.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE
KING'S BENCH DIVISION

Claim No. KB-2025-004650

Before Master Dagnall



KB-2025-004650

BETWEEN

Buppha Wittaya-Amponpant

Claimant

- ~~- and -~~
1. The Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis
 2. University of West London
 3. Mark Zuckerberg

Defendants

4. Meta Platforms, Inc
5. Elon Musk
6. X Corp
7. TikTok Information Technologies UK Limited
8. Alistair Stefan Law
9. Adam Michael Presser
10. Persons Unknown

ORDER

UPON the Claimant having issued a Claim Form on 17 December 2025


Description: A screenshot of the first page of the back-dated strike-out order dated 2 May 2026 from Master Dagnall.

Unjustified Strike Out

By striking out the claim and certifying it as 'Totally Without Merit' while I am being intentionally isolated from legal counsel, the Court has inadvertently removed all procedural barriers to the Respondents' criminal enterprise.

8 May 2026

8/5/2026 — CE-filing receipt showing when I had re-submitted my urgent injunction application and an application to set aside the strike-out.



14 May 2026

14/5/2026 — I received a notification from the Court via e-filing, saying that my application notice has not been put on the correct form, and I must resubmit using the N244 application notice.

Filing Rejected Case No. KB-2025-004650

Inbox



noreply@thomsonreuters.com 14 May

to me, buppha37 ^



From noreply@thomsonreuters.com

To bupphawitt@gmail.com
buppha37@gmail.com

Date 14 May 2026, 14:40



Standard encryption (TLS).

[See security details](#)

HM Courts & Tribunals E-Filing Service

This is a notice to inform you that the filings, confirmation number 1976061778241938854, submitted on 08-05-2026 13:08, have been rejected by the Clerk for the following reason(s): Filed incorrectly. Clerk's Comments: Dear Buppha Wittaya-Amponpunt, the application notice has not been put on the correct form. A full refund request for £123 will be forwarded to the Fees Office, and will be processed via your original payment method, please allow approximately 15 working days. Please resubmit using the N244 without notice application form. Kind regards, Janice, KBJL, 14/05/2026..

9 June 2026

9/6/2026 — CE-filing receipt showing when I re-submitted my urgent injunction application with the correct form and an application to set aside the strike-out.

Filing Submission Case No. KB-2025-004650

Inbox



noreply@thomsonreuters.com 9 Jun

to me, buppha37



From noreply@thomsonreuters.com

To bupphawitt@gmail.com
buppha37@gmail.com

Date 9 Jun 2026, 16:22



Standard encryption (TLS).

[See security details](#)

HM Courts & Tribunals E-Filing Service

This is a notice to inform you that the filings, confirmation number 1976061781018415740, have been submitted on 09-06-2026 16:20. You can view the filings using the following [link](#).

14 June 2026

14/6/2026 — I received a notification from the Court via e-filing, with the Clerk's Comments: Please file the application notice as a separate document. This will enable it to be sealed..

Filing Rejected Case No. KB-2025-004650

Inbox



noreply@thomsonreuters.com 14 Jun

to me, buppha37 ^



From noreply@thomsonreuters.com

To bupphawitt@gmail.com
buppha37@gmail.com

Date 14 Jun 2026, 13:32



Standard encryption (TLS).

[See security details](#)

HM Courts & Tribunals E-Filing Service

This is a notice to inform you that the filings, confirmation number 1976061781018415740, submitted on 09-06-2026 16:20, have been rejected by the Clerk for the following reason(s): Other. Clerk's Comments: Please file the application notice as a separate document. This will enable it to be sealed..

21 June 2026

21/6/2026 — I re-submitted my urgent injunction application per instruction from the Court's clerk on 14/6/2026 together with an application to set aside the strike-out and to strike out the Defendants' defenses instead due to an abuse of process and for misleading the Court.

Filing Submission Case No. KB-2025-004650



Inbox



noreply@thomsonreuters.com 2 days ago

to me, buppha37 ^



From noreply@thomsonreuters.com

To bupphawitt@gmail.com
buppha37@gmail.com

Date 21 Jun 2026, 14:50



Standard encryption (TLS).

[See security details](#)

HM Courts & Tribunals E-Filing Service

This is a notice to inform you that the filings, confirmation number 1976061782049767849, have been submitted on 21-06-2026 14:49. You can view the filings using the following [link](#).