IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim Number: KB-2025-004650

Between:
BW (Applicant) - *(Anonymity Order Sought)*

-and-

The UK PM Keir Starmer, The Commissioner of Police of The Metropolis and Others + Persons Unknown (Respondents / Proposed Defendants)

Please see a complete list of all individuals and entities identified in the attached Schedule of Defendants D1–D187 + Persons Unknown PU1-PU10 + Respondents / Non-Party Entities Potentially Subject to Relief R1-R2

BUNDLE FOR APPLICATION FOR INTERIM AND PERMANENT INJUNCTIONS (PROHIBITORY AND MANDATORY) EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR INTERIM INJUNCTIONS, INTERIM PAYMENT AND MORE

For relief concerning: Systemic Harassment, Illegal Surveillance, Breach of Privacy, Misuse of Private Information, Distribution of Private Materials, Commercial Exploitation, Protection of Confidential Information, Sexual Abuse, Breach of Confidence, Data Breach, Breach of Contract, Cyberstalking, Hacking, Defamation, Racial And Sexual Harassment, Intimidation, Obstruction of Justice, Human Rights Abuses, Misfeasance in Public Office, and Related Illegal Acts.

Date of filing: 09/06/2026
Filed by: BW

PUBLIC STATEMENT:

WHY I AM RELEASING THE EVIDENCE

⚠️ Content Warning ⚠️

High-Profile and Urgent Case

This case involves unusual circumstances concerning, for example, a premeditated double hate crime, repeated attempted murder, incitement to suicide, forced sex trafficking / slavery, a decade-long sexual abuse & exploitation via hidden cameras, and intense psychological torture by letting me know that I am being watched via hidden cameras 24/7 to make me feel uncomfortable around the clock, involving prominent individuals—public officials, executives of major tech companies, and film/advertisement industry figures—and raises serious human rights and privacy concerns.

On 9 June 2026, at 8:10 am, immediately after typing "extreme torture via hidden cameras happening 24/7," I heard a housemate cough nearby. It sounded like Eddy, an elderly man, residing in room 11 upstairs. It's like this almost every time I privately expose their misconduct in my legal documents by getting someone to give me some kind of sign that all my devices are being unlawfully monitored via cyberstalking / hacking, and that everything I type is being read in real time. The time also symbolically ended in the No.10 again.

The ongoing industrial-scale harassment, witness intimidation, exploitation of private materials, unexplained disappearance of court documents, and systematic obstruction of legal representation demonstrate both the urgency and high stakes, justifying public appeal and intense public attention, to ensure transparency in order to prevent an unfair trial and a miscarriage of justice.

Furthermore, they're also illegally cyberstalking me 24/7 and reading everything I type in real time, to gain insight into my legal strategy, steal it and pass it onto other co-defendants to prepare their defenses and even to use it to mock, harass and intimidate me. They even turn it into various commercial products for profit and for harassment. I therefore have to go public for this reason as well when they don't just block my access to lawyers but even steal my legal strategy for malicious purposes.

Even after I had already submitted my urgent injunction application on 9 June 2026 nothing had changed. On the contrary, the abuse, illegal surveillance, secret filming, live-streaming, dissemination, harassment and intimidation even escalated. Something is not right going on, which is also the reason why I need to go public.

This ongoing unlawful surveillance and other illegal acts as described above—conducted in direct defiance of a pending court application—leaving me no choice but to bring public attention to this.

When a party continues the exact behaviour an injunction seeks to stop, it proves to the court and the public that they have complete contempt for the judicial process. A standard injunction relies on the parties respecting the law. Because these state actors do not, an injunction is no longer the correct tool, and I must act fast to prevent an unfair trial and a miscarriage of justice by going public and simultaneously fast track this matter to international courts.

This Injunction Application bundle contains descriptions of 24/7 illegal, obsessive and intrusive surveillance, secret filming, daily voyeurism, sexual humiliation, sexual exploitation / cybersex trafficking via hidden cameras, psychological abuse, 24/7 severe psychological torture that causes me intense mental suffering, mental abuse, coercive control, gross human rights violations, mass misuse of private information, commercial exploitation on an industrial scale, block access to lawyers and many more illegal acts, inflicted upon me by the Defendants, particularly the State Actor Defendants, over a period of probably more than a decade, and it's still ongoing despite pending court proceedings and injunction application.

Due to the illegal, intrusive surveillance around the clock, non-consensually filming everything I do with audio as well and live-streaming it, I only take a shower once a month or every two months. This is violence against women, a hate crime, extreme torture, sexual abuse, cybersex trafficking, a premeditated hate crime, money laundering and a crime against humanity.

The Threshold of Seriousness: The Respondents' conduct has systematically bypassed the threshold of civil annoyance and entered the realm of targeted psychological victimisation and torture, designed to exhaust my mental faculties and deter the pursuit of justice.

The Necessity of the Public Intervention: Because the Respondents view themselves as "above the law" (as evidenced by their continued acts during pending proceedings, injunction application and even after the claim has been struck out), including the extreme torture they have subjected me to daily, I therefore need to go public before they have successfully tortured me to death under the radar. Also, when it concerns intense torture, gross human rights violations, sex trafficking ring, forced slavery, denying justice, misconduct in public office and an abuse of power, I have the right to go public.

Certain Defendants, particularly the State Actor Defendants, also keep suggesting that they are also sexually abusing and exploiting my daughter via hidden cameras, as if to cause me even more mental anguish. They even seem to derive some kind of satisfaction from seeing me suffering, knowing that my daughter may also be a victim. The fact that they also block my access to lawyers causes me even more frustration, when I can't help my daughter as fast as I want to since I don't have a lawyer to help me stop this. This is beyond sadistic and evil, making this case even more exceptional and unprecedented. It looks therefore like a premeditated double hate crime and crimes against humanity.

Therefore, I can't stay silent anymore when they are also targeting my daughter. I need to stop this as quickly as possible. The severe harassment and intimidation has also escalated exponentially as I prepare my injunction application. Because the State Actor Respondents appear to instruct my housemates to violently slam the main door near my room shut after they have exited/returned to the property every time I begin to work on my injunction application or add some crucial information/evidence to my injunction application bundle. The same with vehicles and motorcycles, every day various vehicles and motorcycles also aggressively accelerate past my window, both day & night, producing loud noises that begin to affect my health as well. I now begin to suffer constant pain in my left chest and severe anxiety due to being exposed to the loud-door-slamming shut daily and loud vehicle acceleration, which ultimately affected my health and wellbeing. I therefore fear I may die of heart failure soon. This looks therefore like a premeditated attempted murder through persistent loud noise harassment.

The inability to help my daughter from the same sexual abuse and exploitation via hidden cameras due to my access to lawyers is being blocked, probably by Keir Starmer, also caused me extreme mental anguish. Consequently, I may die soon of a heartbreak if not of a heart attack. Therefore, I need to go public and publish all evidence when my life and my human rights are at risk before it's too late. So, if I die before the court can intervene, you will be able to point to the real culprits behind this.

The repeated disappearance of court documents each time I try to apply for an urgent injunction and the delay of the injunction hearing and court proceedings are also the reasons why I need to go public, to prevent an unfair trial and a miscarriage of justice.

The shocking aspect of this is that certain Defendants are State Actors themselves, amounting to an abuse of power.

At approximately 00:01 am on 3 June 2026, immediately after typing in the above-mentioned paragraph "This is beyond sadistic and evil," a car suddenly accelerated slightly past my window. This is how they appear to use all kinds of subtle hints that all my devices are being unlawfully monitored 24/7. The time symbolically ended in the No.01 again, which can also be read as the No.10 in reverse. Because they have used this type of allusion too often, as their means of secret communications.

Also, oftentimes, immediately after I have raised concerns about my daughter that they may have targeted her as well, I will hear the sirens in the distance - either from a police vehicle or an ambulance. It's like psychological warfare. It also further suggests that they are actively cyberstalking me to prevent me from seeking help and to exert coercive control.

Therefore, due to the severity of the abuse and torture, some readers may find these accounts distressing. Reader discretion is advised.

I live under 24/7 illegal, intrusive surveillance. Despite pending injunction application, the defendants continue their abuse unabated. On top of that, they also non-consensually film everything I do 24/7, including sexual acts, engage in voyeurism, live-stream / sell the illegal recordings to various third parties such as pornographic websites, mass misuse of my private information, commercially exploit the illegal recordings on an industrial scale, conduct repeated witness intimidation, launch an industrial-scale harassment campaign against me, severely violate my human rights, block my access to lawyers, and many more illegal acts.

On 30 May 2026, at approximately 11:56 am, immediately after I typed “Despite pending injunction application, the defendants continue their abuse unabated,” I heard someone briefly make some loud noises nearby, as if to make me feel intimidated. This is how certain defendants, particularly the state actor defendants, appear to illegally monitor all my devices and then instruct third parties to react to what I type, particularly sensitive information, to let me know that they are unlawfully cyberstalking me at all times. More explanation of this can be found in the rest of this Witnesses Statement bundle.

The daily harassment and intimidation I am subjected to by state actors has caused severe physiological distress, creating a credible risk to my health and life, which engages my rights under **Article 2.** My attempts to seek public redress are not merely personal, but are a vital exercise of my freedom of expression under Article 10, intended to bring to light systemic misconduct that is a matter of urgent public interest.

The daily harassment and intimidation have reached a level of severity that threatens my physical and mental well-being, making public awareness is therefore my only remaining safeguard against further abuse. Because the severe harassment, intimidation and torture I face daily have now caused me to suffer constant left-side chest pain. Consequently, I fear that I may die of a heart attack before the court can intervene. Going public is therefore my only safety for the time being before they have successfully silenced me for good. This is a classic witness intimidation.

They have also persistently suggested that they are also doing the exact same thing to my daughter, which makes this case exceptional and more egregious.

This has therefore reached the level of seriousness, amounting to a calculated double hate crime, violence against women, money laundering, corruption, sex trafficking ring, organised crime, torture and crimes against humanity.

Therefore, I cannot stay silent any longer when my human rights, my life and liberty are at risk due to the exposure of a high-level criminal enterprise.

Furthermore, under the established principles of UK law, legal professional privilege and confidentiality are not one-way streets. When a party's private documents and legal strategies are unlawfully hacked, disseminated, and passed to opposing parties, any previous expectation of secrecy is completely destroyed. Under the legal principle of **"waiver of privilege"** and public

domain rules, I am fully entitled to publish these documents openly. The authorities and corporate entities involved cannot legally claim I am violating confidentiality when their own systemic misconduct has already placed this information into the public sphere.

By hacking my devices to steal my legal strategy and force me to litigate in person without counsel, these entities have structurally rigged the court process. They sought to use my stolen data to build their defences in secret. However, because they chose to break the law and compromise the confidentiality of this case themselves, they have forfeited their right to privacy. **Transparency is now my only shield.** I am publishing these files in their entirety so the global public can see the unedited truth that the state actors attempted to suppress.

In the eyes of the law, hacking a claimant's device *after* an injunction has been filed to steal their legal strategies is not just a privacy violation—it is a direct assault on the integrity of the court itself. By continuing this surveillance with full knowledge that it has already been documented and submitted as evidence, the perpetrators are demonstrating absolute contempt for both domestic and international justice, effectively locking themselves into an inescapable admission of guilt.

The ultimate proof of their institutional panic is their complete failure of containment. Even after knowing that the exact forensic evidence of their digital monitoring, equipment interference, and litigation espionage was formally submitted to the High Court in my injunction application on 9 June 2026, the perpetrators have chosen to continue hacking my devices unabated.

They are actively intercepting my real-time legal notes, drafting files, and communication logs to gain insight into my upcoming legal strategies, desperately trying to map out a corporate defense.

By continuing this espionage with full knowledge that every single digital intrusion is being logged, indexed, and prepared for direct escalation to the European Court of Human Rights and global petition networks, they have demonstrated an unprecedented level of arrogance. They genuinely believe they can bypass international courts and survive public exposure. They fail to realize that in the eyes of the global market and international tribunals, hacking a litigant's legal notes during active court proceedings is a definitive, implied admission of guilt. It completely destroys the legal validity of any defense they try to make. They are not protecting themselves; they are simply providing a real-time, un-erasable paper trail of a state-corporate conspiracy to subvert the course of justice, ensuring their absolute, systemic ruin on the global stage

The Misuse of Public Funds and Resources for Personal Gain

The unrelenting campaign of digital hacking, physical surveillance, and out-of-court intimidation directed against me is being executed on an industrial scale. The magnitude and technological complexity of this operation indicate a coordinated, systemic deployment of state infrastructure, personnel, and public assets.

This represents **a gross, unlawful misuse of public funds and state resources**. Rather than serving the British public, these taxpayer-funded assets are being weaponized as a private security apparatus to serve the personal and political interests of specific public officials. The explicit purpose of this expenditure is to isolate / silence me, suppress my evidence, and prevent this high-stakes corruption case from being heard in an open court.

The diversion of public resources to execute extrajudicial harassment, hide corporate data exploitation, and shield officials from criminal accountability constitutes potential **Misconduct in Public Office** and a severe perversion of the course of justice. A fair trial is structurally impossible while the state actors are permitted to use unlimited public funds to covertly sabotage an unrepresented litigant in person.

On 30 May 2026, at precisely 15:35 pm, immediately after I finished typing the previous two paragraphs, regarding the "**Misuse Of Public Funds and Misconduct in Public Office**," I observed a police vehicle driving past my window. They also seem to show up, to make me feel intimidated, at the exact moment my phone and tablet are running out of space and can't therefore take any more photos or videos as evidence. This further indicates that they are unlawfully monitoring all my devices, to gain insight into my legal strategies and to be able to intimidate me at the right time, amounting to perverting the course of justice and an abuse of process.

The Unexplained Disappearance of Court Records

Furthermore, on **5 & 24 June 2025**, I attended the court building (The Royal Court of Justice) to file urgent applications and core evidence bundles in support of an emergency injunction. On each of these distinct occasions, my official court documents and evidence physically disappeared from within the secure custody of the court administration before they could be placed before a judge.

The repeated disappearance of active legal filings from a secure UK court building cannot be dismissed as administrative error. It constitutes a systemic obstruction of justice, a severe breach of HMCTS security protocols, and active **sabotage of my right to a fair trial under Article 6 of the Human Rights Act 1998**.

It is therefore entirely unacceptable for a litigant in person to face physical data erasure inside the justice system itself.

Therefore, for this reason, along with many other reasons previously described, I need to go public to prevent an unfair trial and a miscarriage of justice.

Additionally, all my online activities and communications are also also being unlawfully monitored at all times, as if to prevent me from exposing their illegal enterprise and to seek help. I therefore need to go public when I cannot safely contact anyone for help via traditional routes.

On 30 May 2026, at approximately 22:37 pm, immediately upon opening this document to edit it, I heard an individual suddenly exit the property and aggressively slam the main door shut. Consequently, I am in a very dangerous situation!

Thus, the constant, unlawful monitoring of my devices appears to be used to prevent me from exposing the truth as well.

But most important of them all is that this case is not just about a typical civil dispute. It has crossed over from a mere misuse of private information to severe torture, gross human rights abuses, corruption, systemic cover-ups, money laundering, organised crimes and possible crimes against humanity.

On 1 June 2026, at approximately 13:58 pm, immediately upon editing this document by typing the previous paragraph into this document, an individual suddenly exited the property and violently slammed the main door shut.

The intimidation and distraction occur every single time I try to edit my injunction application or this document for public appeal and public exposure, to ensure transparency in order to prevent an unfair trial and a miscarriage of justice.

The abuse and intimidation intensify as I soon finalise my injunction application, in which each time I am about to edit my injunction application bundle, an individual would suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut. Sometimes very aggressively.

CERTIFICATE OF HIGH URGENCY - IRREMEDIABLE HARM AND THE 'WINDOW OF TORTURE & RETALIATION'

Pursuant to Civil Procedure Rules Part 25 and Practice Direction 25A

THE APPLICANT IS CURRENTLY SUBJECT TO AN UNLAWFUL, STATE-ENFORCED INFORMATION BLOCKADE. THE PUBLIC OFFICIAL RESPONDENTS ARE ACTIVELY INTERCEPTING COMMUNICATIONS, HACKING DEVICES, AND INTIMIDATING LAW FIRMS TO PREVENT THE APPLICANT FROM RETAINING LEGAL REPRESENTATION. THIS APPLICATION THEREFORE CONCERNS AN ONGOING, INSURMOUNTABLE BREACH OF ARTICLE 6(1) ECHR / HUMAN RIGHTS ACT 1998. AN IMMEDIATE INTERIM ORDER IS REQUIRED TO PREVENT THE TOTAL SUBVERSION OF THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE.

RE: URGENT APPLICATION FOR AN INTERIM INJUNCTION (WITHOUT NOTICE)

TO THE DUTY JUDGE / MASTER OF THE HIGH COURT

Dear Judge,

I, **Buppha Wittaya-Amponpunt**, the Applicant / Claimant in person, hereby certify that this application for an interim injunction is of the utmost, exceptional urgency as it concerns a real and immediate risk to life, engaging the State's positive obligations under **Article 2 ECHR**.

It requires an immediate, without-notice (ex-parte) hearing as soon as possible, for the reasons set out below.

1. Imminent Risk To Life

Because the ongoing harassment and intimidation on an industrial scale by certain Respondents who are state actors, such as the 1st Respondent, the PM **Keir Starmer** (D1, Schedule of Defendants, p.6) and the 2nd Respondent, The **Commissioner of Police of The Metropolis** (D2, Schedule of Defendants, p.6), have reached a level that threatens my physical and psychological safety, creating an environment where a violation of the **right to life** is imminent if the Court does not intervene.

On 28 May 2026, at 19:37 pm, immediately after I finished editing the previous paragraph concerning "**the right to life,"** I heard an individual suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut.

Then, just 2 minutes after, at 19:39 pm, immediately after I finished typing the previous paragraph, I heard a motorcycle ride past my window and appear to intentionally accelerate at

the precise moment the rider was riding past my window. This happens repeatedly whenever I type in sensitive or crucial information into my legal documents.

This application is therefore made as a matter of extreme urgency as it concerns an imminent risk to my life, engaging the State's positive obligations under **Article 2 ECHR**. I am subject to ongoing and escalating industrial-scale daily harassment and intimidation by state actors intended to cause severe distress and physical harm.

Furthermore, the State Actor Respondents—through systematic hacking, mail interception, and the intimidation of legal professionals—have stripped me of the ability to instruct counsel. Forcing me to litigate this complex application in person, while isolated by the state, constitutes an active, live violation of the absolute right to a fair trial under Article 6(1) ECHR.

The continuous illegal surveillance, digital abuse, harassment and intimidation have caused me profound psychological distress, which also begins to affect my health, an impact that must be evaluated under the highest evidentiary standards. The **Istanbul Protocol** is the official United Nations standard for investigating and documenting torture, and it explicitly establishes that severe psychological mistreatment—such as relentless surveillance and intimidation—leaves deep, non-physical trauma that constitutes a violation of fundamental human rights.[1] The severe anxiety and impact on my health caused by the State Actor Respondents' actions match the precise types of psychological trauma this international standard is designed to recognise.

[1] Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, *Istanbul Protocol: Manual on the Effective Investigation and Documentation of Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment* (Rev 2, UN 2022).

I therefore request an urgent hearing due to severe, ongoing interference with legal privilege, risk to fair trial, witness intimidation, risk to life, including multiple poisoning incidents causing me severe stomach cramps and diarrhea for days, severe breach of privacy (**Article 8 ECHR**), and interception of court communications by the Primary Respondents and Unknown Respondents, who are public officials.

I therefore respectfully request that this matter be heard without notice to the Respondents for the additional following critical reasons:

2. State-led Severe Harassment, Intimidatory Surveillance, Imminent Threat to Life And Breach of Article 2

The 1st Respondent (Keir Starmer) and the 2nd Respondent (The Police) are believed to have misused state resources, including intimidatory surveillance through **low-altitude helicopter surveillance and aircraft "drawing lines" and threatening symbols in the sky, such as "target-like", "X" and "Nazi-swastika" symbols near my vicinity or my residence**, to intimidate me in real-time response to legal drafting. Particularly whenever I expose their misconduct and raise concerns about my daughter that they may also be sexually abusing her via hidden cameras and live-streaming the illegal footage.

For example, on multiple occasions, immediately after adding crucial information or evidence to my injunction application bundle, especially concerning **Keir Starmer and the Police**, a low-flying helicopter would suddenly emerge and fly past my window, or the aircraft would "**draw a line**" or draw various threatening symbols in the sky right outside my window such as a "target-like" or a "Nazi-like swastika" symbol. This correlation further suggests that **Keir Starmer and the Police, including other Unknown Public Official Respondents,** are engaged in constant, illegal monitoring of my devices to suppress evidence of their misconduct.

This relentless harassment has caused me severe medical distress, creating an **imminent risk of heart failure**. If the court does not intervene, **Keir Starmer's and the Police**'s actions may result in my physical collapse, which would constitute a **perversion of the course of justice** and a violation of my human right article 2 (right to life) by silencing a litigant through extra-judicial lethal pressure.

I also often receive hidden death threats both against myself and my daughter. Consequently, my daughter and I are the subjects of credible, persistent threats to our lives to force me to abandon these proceedings.

I therefore formally advise the Court that this matter has escalated beyond harassment into an active threat to my and my daughter's lives, constituting a direct breach of **Article 2** of the Human Rights Act 1998 (Right to Life). The Respondents, through their agents and associates, have attempted to inflict critical physical harm through multiple poisoning incidents and deliberate physical assaults designed to cause sensory deprivation, severe bodily injury, or even a heart attack.

The relentless nature of this coordinated campaign is intentionally calculated to induce severe physiological distress, creating an imminent risk of medical emergency, including cardiac failure. Consequently, I am at risk of physical collapse because of the severe harassment, intimidation and **torture**. This is an emergency **'Threat to Life'** situation.

I thereby request that the Court exercises its inherent jurisdiction to grant an immediate emergency protective order, as domestic remediation is failing to protect my physical survival.

3. The Protection from Harassment Act 1997 and Article 3 of the Human Rights Act 1998 (ECHR)

I therefore seek an urgent injunction on the grounds that the Respondents have engaged in a coordinated, systemic campaign of severe harassment, intimidation, and unauthorised surveillance that amounts to inhuman and degrading treatment under Article 3 of the Human Rights Act 1998 (ECHR), as evidenced in this Witness Statement. This course of conduct is continuous, deliberate, and designed to inflict severe psychological distress, completely compromise my personal safety, and restrict my fundamental freedom of movement. The severity and compounding nature of these daily acts pass the high threshold of prohibited treatment, causing ongoing, severe harm to my physical and mental integrity.

This is therefore an emergency 'without notice' application. Because I am suffering a medical crisis due to state-led harassment and blackmail intended to silence my legal claim. I need an immediate injunction to stop the severe harassment, poisoning attempts. witness intimidation, mass misuse of private information (Systematic Data Sharing for profit) and the leak of intimate materials to prevent further life-threatening harm and the irreversible loss of control of my privacy.

Because I have also experienced repeated, severe gastrointestinal distress following the consumption of food and drink in my previous residences. These incidents, which have resulted in prolonged illness, coincide with my ongoing legal efforts to expose the respondents' misconduct. I have reasonable grounds to believe these are not accidental, but are part of a campaign of physical intimidation intended to silence me.

I therefore write to respectfully request an urgent hearing before the Duty Judge for an interim injunction. This application is made to halt an ongoing, severe course of human rights violations, illegal surveillance, secret filming, rapid dissemination of intimate materials non-consensually recorded with the hidden cameras over the years, severe harassment, intimidation, mass misuse of private information, and data protection breaches.

4. Immediate Irreparable Harm ("Hot Pursuit")

I seek an urgent **Interim Non-Disclosure Order (INDO)**. The Respondents, including high-ranking public officials, as I said earlier, have commenced the dissemination of my private and intimate materials on adult websites and many other places. This is a "hot pursuit" leak intended to cause permanent reputational and psychological destruction.

The private data unlawfully extracted, obtained and sold by the State Actor Respondents, including Persons Unknown, consists of deeply personal financial records, identification documents, confidential health records detailing my medical history, and highly sensitive, private, intimate images and videos of myself and maybe of my daughter's as well.

There is a real and imminent risk that if the Respondents are notified of this application before an order is made, it will trigger the immediate further dissemination of the intimate materials as a pre-emptive strike to maximise humiliation and "defeat the purpose" of this injunction.

The dissemination of highly intimate materials (sex tapes, explicit photographs) is active and ongoing. Every hour of delay results in permanent damage to my psychological integrity and reputation that cannot be remedied by damages.

The Tech Companies, such as Meta Platforms (D20-D23, Schedule of Defendants, pages 12-13) and TikTok (D30-D34, Schedule of Defendants, p.15), including tech executives such as Elon Musk (D29, Schedule of Defendants, p.14), are also actively misusing my private information and facilitating the dissemination of my private materials as evidenced in my Witness Statement and Exhibits. They are thereby amplifying the State Actor Respondents' campaign of blackmail and harassment.

I am therefore placing before this Honourable Court the clear commercial motive driving the Respondents' continuous violations. The covert surveillance and interception of my private life is not merely a course of harassment; it is being actively weaponised as a commercial live-streaming enterprise. The hidden recording infrastructure inside my private living quarters and bedroom is further believed to be broadcast live onto internet groups, pornographic websites and specialised dark web platforms, where audiences pay to watch unsuspecting individuals in states of complete privacy and vulnerability.

Thus, I submit that the Respondents' designed strike-out is a calculated fraud on the court and a deliberate attempt to engineer a miscarriage of justice. The sole purpose of this is to misuse the procedural rules of this Court to shut down my claim, escape judicial exposure, and allow their highly profitable, illegal live-streaming business to continue operating with perceived impunity.

The combination of continuous commercial exploitation, real-time device hacking, severe harassment and physical witness intimidation establishes an absolute, overriding necessity for an immediate, without-notice interim injunction. Financial compensation cannot remedy the continuous, irreversible global broadcasting of my private life, and immediate judicial intervention is required to protect the administration of justice from being subverted.

I respectfully ask that the Court grants an interim order to stop the dissemination immediately, with a "return date" scheduled within 28 days for a full hearing where the Respondents can be present.

5. Involvement of My Daughter

My fear for my daughter’s safety substantially heightens urgency and the need for immediate restraint. Because I also receive hidden death threats almost daily - both against myself and my daughter.

6. Core Constitutional Crisis & Ongoing Article 6(1) ECHR Violation: Destruction of the Principle of “Equality of Arms” and Access to a Court

This application does not merely concern a commercial or administrative dispute; it concerns an active, ongoing subversion of the administration of justice. The Respondents - particularly the 1st Respondent (Keir Starmer) and the 2nd Respondent (The Police) - have weaponised their systemic powers to subject me, and potentially my 35-year-old daughter as well, or maybe she's 36 years old now, to an **illegal, intrusive 24/7 surveillance** and a total **information blockade**.

My access to legal counsel is being systematically blocked, possibly by the State Actor Respondents, such as Keir Starmer, and my digital communications are under illegal surveillance 24/7. I have been unable to follow standard notice procedures without alerting the very parties I seek to restrain, which would place me at further risk of state-sponsored harassment and intimidation.

I further submit that the strike-out of this claim is fundamentally unfair because I have been intentionally deprived of legal representation. In the absence of counsel to protect my rights and navigate complex procedural rules, the Respondents—some of whom are high-ranking public officials with vast legal resources—have been able to take unfair advantage of the proceedings.

I believe the Respondents have exploited this 'vulnerability by design' to mislead the Court and secure a summary strike-out. A lawyer's role is not only to argue the law but to act as a shield against discrimination, systemic bias, and procedural unfairness. By blocking my access to such protection while simultaneously monitoring my private preparations, the Respondents have rendered a fair trial impossible. To uphold the integrity of the Court, this claim must be reinstated to allow me a genuine opportunity to secure representation in an environment free from interference.

On 28 May 2026, at approximately 20:17 pm, immediately after I finished editing the previous paragraph, I heard someone exit the property and violently slam the main door shut. It turned out to be a female housemate, Person B, also a Respondent (D162, Schedule of Defendants, p.41). At precisely 20:18 pm, a minute later, she returned to the property and violently slammed the main door shut once again. She does this quite often whenever I mention Keir Starmer's name in my legal documents and his alleged blocking of my access to lawyers (Article 6 ECHR Violation).

The State Actor Respondents (e.g., Keir Starmer, the Police and Unknown Public Official Respondents) are also appear to be actively intercepting my physical legal mail, hacking my electronic devices, diverting telecommunication lines, listening to phone calls and intimidating / influencing / manipulating independent law firms. The explicit purpose of this conduct is to prevent me from retaining legal representation.

Forcing me to litigate in person, or to attend a complex, high-stakes High Court hearing without a lawyer, is a direct, live violation of the absolute right to a fair trial, the principle of "equality of arms," and effective access to a court under **Article 6(1) of the European Convention on Human Rights**, as incorporated by the **Human Rights Act 1998**.

I have reasonable grounds to believe that the Respondents, some of whom are influential public officials, are illegally monitoring my electronic devices and online activities at all times, including phone tapping and phone tracking me 24/7. This illegal surveillance gives the Respondents an unfair insight into my legal strategies and preparations, which I believe led to the immediate strike-out of my claim.

Furthermore, I have been unable to secure any legal representation or procedural assistance, even from charitable organisations like Support Through Court and Amnesty. I believe some of the Respondents have used their official influence to deter legal and medical professionals from assisting me, creating a severe **imbalance of power**.

This interference prevents me from safely preparing my case and evidence. For example, I have been unable to obtain the medical report requested by the Court because all medical

practitioners appear to be compromised. Consequently, there is no **'Equality of Arms'** in these proceedings. I am effectively being blocked from presenting my case while my private materials continue to be disseminated all over the place, such as in films and advertisements, including uploading to porn sites. Reinstating the claim and granting the requested injunctions are the only ways to ensure a fair process.

Obstruction of Justice: Several attempts to file for urgent relief on previous occasions, documents submitted to the court have magically "disappeared" or been suppressed. I submit that the State Actor Respondents are actively exploiting their status as state actors to block access to the judiciary.

Equality of Arms: I am a Litigant in Person whose access to legal counsel has been systematically blocked. Immediate judicial intervention is required to prevent an irreversible miscarriage of justice and to safeguard my and my daughter's safety.

Request: I request an **immediate, without-notice hearing** today, or as soon as possible with the help of an **impartial legal representation**, to secure interim protection.

This application is also made in response to escalating and unlawful conduct by the Respondents, which includes ongoing illegal surveillance, secret filming , live-streaming, mass misuse of my private information, unjust enrichment, interception of my legal documents, interference with the preparation of my case, attempts to destroy evidence, prevent me from having legal representation and intimidate me as a litigant.

The misconduct has severely intensified in recent days and now threatens the integrity of these proceedings and my ability to access justice, as protected under Articles 6 and 8 of the Human Rights Act 1998. I respectfully inform the Court that this matter amounts to a legal emergency requiring immediate intervention.

I rely on **Section 6 of the Human Rights Act 1998**, which dictates that it is unlawful for a public authority to act in a way that is incompatible with a Convention right.

- **The Argument:** In the landmark ECHR case ***Airey v. Ireland (1979)***. The Court established that the right of access to a court must be "practical and effective," not "theoretical or illusory." The relationship between a lawyer and client is strictly confidential. The State Actor Respondents' digital hacking and physical interception of mail directly destroy this mandatory confidentiality from the very outset of this legal jeopardy.
- **Application to This Case:** By blocking my physical, electronic, or confidential access to lawyers, the Respondents, who are state actors, such as **Keir Starmer and the Police**, are therefore rendering my access to the court "theoretical and illusory." The court cannot lawfully proceed with any aspect of the main civil case until an order is made forcing the state actors to stop blocking my access to the legal market.
- **Equality of Arms:** In ***Steel and Morris v. United Kingdom (2005)***. The "equality of arms" requires each party to be afforded a reasonable opportunity to present their case

under conditions that do not place them at a substantial disadvantage vis-à-vis their opponent. State actors using their power to isolate me creates an insurmountable, unlawful disadvantage.

7. Objection to Strike-Out

A. Abuse of Process and Misleading the Court

The State Actor Respondents' apparent engineering to strike out this claim is founded on a fundamental **Abuse of Process**. I aver that the Respondents have intentionally misled the Court by failing to acknowledge or "losing" critical evidence—specifically the filing of the emergency injunction on **05/06/2025** and **24/06/2025**. This is not an administrative error; it is a tactical concealment designed to extinguish a valid claim.

B. Breach of the Common Law Duty of Candour

As public officials and state actors, the Respondents are subject to a **common law duty of candour**. This requires them to act with "transparency and frankness" and to ensure that all relevant information is put before the Court, even if it is damaging to their own case. By actively obstructing my access to justice and misleading the Court regarding the status of my filings, the Respondents have breached this duty in a manner that renders a fair trial impossible at this stage.

8. Intent of the Pending Public Office (Accountability) Bill

The conduct of the Respondents is exactly the type of "culture of cover-up" that the **Public Office (Accountability) Bill**, currently before Parliament, seeks to criminalise. While the statutory offence of "misleading the public" is awaiting final enactment, the **spirit of this legislation** reflects the contemporary standard of accountability expected of the state. The Court should not permit a strike-out where the Respondents' own lack of candour is the very mechanism they are using to justify dismissal.

9. Request for Directions

I respectfully request that the Court dismiss the strike-out and instead issue a **Disclosure Order** requiring the Respondents to provide a full, sworn account of the "missing" filings and the funding sources for the alleged surveillance and severe harassment operations.

On 28 May 2026, at approximately 13:15 pm, immediately after pasting the above-mentioned block of text regarding "**Abuse of Process and Misleading the Court"** into this document, I heard an individual suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut. She coughed before exiting as usual, which sounded like one of the female housemates, whom I identified as Person B. I have described more incidents regarding her in my Witness Statement and Exhibits.

The Respondents' attempts to strike out this claim based on misleading representations constitute a gross Abuse of Process and a breach of the inherent Duty of Candour expected of state actors, mirroring the very conduct the pending Hillsborough Law seeks to criminalise.

10. Unjustified Strike Out

By striking out the claim and certifying it as 'Totally Without Merit' while I am being intentionally isolated from legal counsel, the Court has inadvertently removed all procedural barriers to the Respondents' criminal enterprise. A copy of the back-dated strike-out order dated 2 May 2026 from Master Dagnall is exhibited at **BW-22, pages 279-283**.

I also submit that this application is a **legal emergency**. The summary strike-out of this claim has created a **'Window of Continuing Torture and Retaliation'** which the Respondents—some of them are high-ranking public officials—are actively exploiting.

The Respondents' actions constitute a systemic attack on the administration of justice. Allowing them to proceed rewards criminal behaviour and destroys public trust in the legal system.

Had the Court been made aware that my access to legal representation was being systematically blocked, it would not have struck out the claim without at least listing the matter for an oral hearing to allow me to explain the situation.

I believe the Respondents are using this unprotected interval to continue to **torture me by intentionally making me aware that I am being constantly watched via hidden cameras, to make me feel uncomfortable around the clock, non-consensually filming me 24/7, with audio as well, even during sexual acts, and widely disseminating illegal intimate materials** of me and maybe of my daughter as well. Because I also reasonably believe they have also unlawfully installed hidden cameras in her residence. They even keep suggesting this themselves via various means, to cause me additional emotional distress. This is an act of direct retaliation for the filing of this lawsuit.

Once these materials are disseminated globally via the internet, the damage is **irremediable** and our Article 8 right will be permanently extinguished. Monetary damages cannot 'undo' the loss of dignity and privacy once these materials are in the public domain.

Furthermore, the ongoing unlawful surveillance (via hidden cameras, hacking, cyberstalking, phone tracking, stalking by proxy) and blocking of access to lawyers ensure I cannot mitigate this harm. The Court is requested to grant an **urgent interim injunction** and reinstate the claim immediately to close this 'Window of Continuing Torture and Retaliation' and prevent a total and permanent **miscarriage of justice**.

11. The Structural Integrity Ground: Loss of an "Independent and Impartial Tribunal"

When state actors try to influence the court or pressure judicial decision-making, they destroy the court's status as an **"independent and impartial tribunal established by law."**

- **The Argument:** In ***McGonnell v. United Kingdom (2000)***. A tribunal cannot be independent if there is any legitimate doubt about executive or state influence over its functions.
- **Application to This Case:** The state actor respondents' ongoing extrajudicial attempts to influence proceedings compromise the absolute structural requirement of independence. A **fair trial is completely impossible** if one side is a state entity actively manipulating the framework of the court deciding the matter.

12. The Respondents' Ulterior Motive and Misuse of Power (Article 18 ECHR)

The campaign waged against me is not merely random harassment; it represents a deliberate, calculated misuse of power designed to completely subjugate my autonomy. I am advised that this conduct directly violates the principles enshrined in **Article 18 of the ECHR**.

The Respondents have engineered a system of digital and physical surveillance for an entirely improper, ulterior purpose. Their goal is to exert total coercive control over me, destroy my mental well-being, and intimidate me into abandoning my legal rights.

Using intrusive surveillance via hidden cameras 24/7, real-time digital intercepts and coordinated domestic disruptions to keep me in a state of perpetual fear is a gross perversion of any lawful social or digital interaction. The Respondents have completely subverted my right to a private life, using their access exclusively as a tool of psychological torture and unlawful control.

Some of the Respondents in this matter are public authorities, both known and unknown, such as Keir Starmer and the police. Once again, I firmly believe that their actions represent a gross abuse of the statutory powers and resources entrusted to them by the state.

The 24/7 illegal surveillance, real-time digital intercepts and coordinated physical disruptions inside my home are not the actions of rogue private individuals. They are a coordinated deployment of public authority resources used to wage a campaign of psychological torture against a citizen.

I believe the State Actor Respondents are restricting my rights under **Article 8 of the ECHR** for a wholly improper, hidden purpose. Instead of protecting public safety or investigating crime, they are using their surveillance capabilities to intimidate me, destroy my mental health, and stop me from exposing their conduct.

This weaponisation of public power to induce a state of perpetual fear and digital captivity is a direct violation of **Article 18 ECHR**, which strictly prohibits public bodies from using permitted restrictions for hidden, malicious motives.

13. Commercial Exploitation And Corporate Complicity

I therefore inform the Court that the illegal recordings are being sold to third-party business entities, including advertising agencies and film production companies. These entities are using my private life and my daughter's private life as "source material" for commercial content. This constitutes:

- **Commercial Harassment:** Using the "fruits" of illegal surveillance for profit.
- **Money Laundering:** The processing of proceeds from criminal voyeurism and data theft.
- **A Multi-Stream Enterprise:** The State Actor Respondents have a massive financial incentive to block my access to lawyers to protect these diverse income streams.

14. Temporal Link And Litigation Retaliation

I explicitly bring to the Court's attention that the sudden, severe escalation of harassment by publication and the distribution of my private materials to commercial entities occurred in direct temporal proximity to my active preparation and filing of this Injunction Application. Because I reasonably believe Keir Starmer and the Police are unlawfully monitoring my electronic devices and intercepting my litigation strategies in real time, my attempts to seek judicial protection have directly triggered this wave of retaliation. This is a clear, textbook example of **Witness Intimidation**, tactical blackmail, and **Perverting the Course of Justice**. The Respondents are actively weaponising my intercepted legal data to inflict maximum distress, explicitly calculated to force me to abandon my claim.

I am therefore respectfully requesting that a Judge review my papers immediately to grant an interim order to stop the severe harassment, witness intimidation, the leaks, the illegal surveillance, the mass misuse of my private information and the commercial exploitation on an industrial scale, amounting to money laundering under **The Proceeds of Crime Act 2002 (POCA).**

15. Criminal Enterprise And Exploitation Of A Family Member

Moreover, I inform the Court that I have reason to think that Keir Starmer's and the Police's illegal enterprise extends to my 35-year-old daughter. I believe they have also illegally installed hidden cameras in her residence to live-stream her private life for profit (Voyeurism and Sexual Exploitation). This indicates a coordinated **criminal enterprise** for financial gain.

My daughter is being targeted for her age to maximise the revenue from illegal live-streaming. This constitutes **Money Laundering** of the proceeds from crime.

On 24 May 2026, throughout the day, immediately after raising concerns about my daughter, as described above, I observed police vehicles frequently driving past my window. Housemates also began to exit/return to the property and violently slam the main door shut.

At around midnight on 24 May 2026, I also posted on X regarding my concerns about my daughter. Because I reasonably believe she is also a victim of sexual abuse and exploitation via hidden cameras. Particularly when certain Respondents and their proxy agents have

persistently implied this. Immediately after posting this, I observed police vehicles frequently driving past my window. Shortly after, X suddenly suspended my account permanently. After my account was suspended, I observed a police vehicle driving past my window once again. I refer to **Exhibit BW-31, pages 425-436**, for more detailed description of the incident with pictures.

Moreover, my every attempt to warn my daughter that she may also be a victim of voyeurism, image-based sexual abuse, stalking and harassment, advising her to contact IT Specialist to scan her residence for hidden cameras & audio recording devices and contact human rights lawyer immediately, is met with evidence tampering, manipulation, gaslighting, and an increase in intimidation & harassment.

The Respondents and/or their associates even seem to go as far as preventing my ex-husband, Jørgensen Mortensen, the father of my daughter, who is white Danish, also a Respondent (D4, Schedule of Defendants, p.7), from helping his own daughter by manipulating or gaslighting him into not believing me when I tried to raise concerns about our daughter. Because he simply ignored my warnings about her and even accused me of harassing him instead, which may constitute tampering with evidence, witness intimidation, or perverting the course of justice. Because he's white Danish. So, the State Actor Respondents or their associates may not discriminate against him by blocking his access to lawyers as well. This will allow him to help his daughter. Hence, they appear to manipulate him as well, so he won't be able to help his own daughter, as described at **paragraphs 17.1-17.11 (pages 83-84) and exhibited at BW-13 (pages 203-210).**

Again, on 28 May 2026, at approximately 11:18 am, immediately after I finished typing the previous paragraph, a male housemate, identified as Person A, also a Respondent (D161, Schedule of Defendants, p.41), suddenly exited the property and slammed the main door shut. He does this quite often whenever I add sensitive or crucial information to my legal documents, particularly concerning my daughter and the potential that she may also be a victim of secret filming and image-based sexual abuse.

16. Immediacy of Harm

The harm being suffered is severe, continuous, and unquantifiable. My right to confidential legal counsel has been entirely destroyed. Furthermore, the Respondents have expanded their blockade to suppress my freedom of expression under **Article 10 ECHR** by manipulating third-party social media platforms to implement unlawful, politically motivated bans, as I said earlier regarding X permanently suspending my account.

17. Aggravating Factors: Illegal Recording And Sexual Exploitation Of My Daughter As Well

I have reason to believe that the Respondents' illegal enterprise - particularly Keir Starmer, the police, and possibly other public officials as well - includes the unlawful installation of hidden cameras within my private residence and the residence of my daughter. These cameras are being used to film us without consent. I am further informed that these recordings are being

uploaded to adult websites for profit. This is an egregious violation of **Article 8 (Right to Privacy)** and **Article 3 (Prohibition of Torture, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment)**. The targeting of my daughter constitutes a grave criminal act. I therefore think Keir Starmer and the police are blocking my access to lawyers specifically to prevent the exposure of the illegal data sales and cybersex trafficking ring.

18. Adverse Inference From Blocking Legal Counsel

Keir Starmer's and the Police's systematic efforts to monitor my communications and block my access to lawyers should therefore be viewed by the Court as an **implied admission of guilt**. They are attempting to prevent the discovery of these criminal acts by ensuring I remain a "trapped" litigant in person, unable to present this evidence through professional counsel.

The blocking of my access to privacy and 'image-based sexual abuse' specialists is a deliberate tactic to protect this revenue stream and shield the public officials involved from criminal prosecution.

The Respondents are therefore not merely 'blocking a claimant'; they are 'silencing a witness' to sexual exploitation. Once again, this may constitute perverting the course of justice. They have created a situation where I cannot protect my child because every lawyer I approach is intercepted. I request the Court's urgent intervention to appoint an Independent Advocate to represent the interests of my daughter, as her rights are being violated alongside my own.

Therefore, I respectfully request an immediate private hearing as my life and liberty are at risk due to the exposure of a high-level criminal enterprise.

19. Status As A Litigant In Person

Consequently, I am forced to bring this application as a Litigant in Person because certain Respondents, who are public officials, including Keir Starmer, are actively and unlawfully abusing their positions to block my access to independent legal representation. This blockade is a deliberate attempt to subvert the course of justice and prevent me from holding them accountable.

At approximately 19.17 pm, on 24 May 2026, immediately upon adding the previous paragraph, I heard an individual exit the property and slam the main door shut.

Furthermore, I have also observed a consistent correlation between the updating of my legal bundle and the presence of police vehicles at my residence, which I submit constitutes an attempt to intimidate a party to litigation.

20. Ongoing Defiance

The Respondents are fully aware that I am seeking judicial intervention. Despite this notice, they refuse to remove the hidden cameras and continue to non-consensually film, disseminate my private data and commercialise it with perceived impunity.

21. Defiance Of Evidence Collection And Explicit Actions With Impunity

Moreover, I have also systematically collected extensive, objective evidence of the ongoing intimidation, physical harassment, and surveillance targeted at me and my family. This evidence is a combination of, e.g., photograph logs, written timelines of incidents, audio recordings, and video clips of proxy activities.

Crucially, the respondents and their proxies are fully aware that I am actively documenting their behaviour. Despite knowing that their actions are being recorded as evidence for these pending court proceedings, their illegal surveillance, intimidation, harassment, cyberstalking, and misuse of my private information continue completely unabated. They exhibit an absolute lack of concern regarding the collection of this evidence or the impending *Norwich Pharmacal* and Injunction orders.

This blatant disregard for being documented provides definitive proof that the respondents are acting with total perceived impunity due to Keir Starmer's high public office. They operate under the belief that no domestic court can or will penalise them. This total defiance of the judicial process demonstrates that standard civil remedies are entirely insufficient, and makes the immediate attachment of a severe **Penal Notice** to the Interim Injunction an absolute necessity to compel their compliance under threat of imprisonment.

On 25 May 2026, at approximately 17:47 pm, immediately after mentioning Keir Starmer in the previous paragraph, I heard an individual exit the property and slam the main door shut once again.

22. Situational Vulnerability

I rely on **Practice Direction 1A** in which the fear for my daughter's life makes me a "vulnerable party," whose ability to participate in the case is severely diminished.

23. Obstruction Of Justice

These threats constitute a direct attempt to pervert the course of justice by creating a climate of fear that prevents me from following the court's directions.

24. Impact On The Extension Of Time

Due to the severity of the ongoing threats against me and my daughter, including ongoing severe harassment and intimidation, including the rapid dissemination of my intimate materials, my time has been entirely consumed by fear, worries, nightmares, and the like. Consequently, I have been physically and mentally unable to prepare my case as fast as I want to.

25. Legal Relevance

This case is therefore not only a matter of harassment and privacy breaches but one that engages Article 2 ECHR (right to life) and Article 3 ECHR (protection from torture, inhuman or degrading treatment) because the coordinated nature of the harassment (e.g., ongoing illegal installation of hidden cameras to repeatedly harass and sexually abuse me 24/7, dissemination of non-consensually recordings, hidden threats, aerial intimidation, emergency vehicle stalking, online targeting) causes severe psychological distress and fear for my and my daughter's safety, amounting to torture and degrading treatment.

The **College of Policing's own guidance** on stalking and harassment emphasises that threats — whether direct or indirect — are among the **strongest predictors of subsequent violence**, citing multiple studies (James & Farnham, 2003; Rosenfeld, 2004). The guidance explicitly states:

"Stalkers frequently threaten their victims, either directly or indirectly. Stalkers will often make specific written or verbal threats, and research demonstrates that these should be taken particularly seriously. Stalkers have been known to threaten violence months or even years into the future, and have indeed followed through on their threats."

Accordingly, the threats faced by me and my daughter must be treated with utmost seriousness.

What makes this case even more exceptional is that the **police themselves are implicated as defendants** in this matter, and therefore cannot be relied upon to provide any protection. I am left without recourse to ordinary protective mechanisms and must live daily under fear of serious violence or death, for myself and my daughter.

For these reasons, the Court's urgent intervention is required. The injunction is necessary to protect not only me but also my daughter from a **real and ongoing risk to life**, in line with the positive obligations under **Article 2 ECHR**.

26. Interference With The Administration Of Justice

Keir Starmer, the police, and possibly also other **unknown public official Respondents -** which I reasonably believe Keir Starmer and the police, including other Respondents, know who they are, because I believe they're acting in concert - are therefore engaged in a coordinated campaign of state-led harassment, including intrusive surveillance, real-time monitoring, and physical intimidation (e.g., using public aviation resources, instructing housemates to violently slam the main door shut near my room causing constant pain and tinnitus in my left ear, and an immediate onset of sharp left chest pain and palpitations).

This campaign is specifically designed to cause me extreme physical and psychological distress to the point of medical collapse. By intentionally jeopardising my health—specifically the risk of heart failure—to prevent the prosecution of this claim, the Respondents may try to **pervert the course of justice**. This is a flagrant attempt to silence a witness and litigant through 'extra-judicial' means, and the Court must exercise its inherent jurisdiction to stop this abuse immediately.

On 25 May 2026, at approximately 18:12 pm, immediately after I added "**and unknown public official Respondents"** in the previous paragraph, I heard an individual exit the property and violently slam the main door shut once again.

Consequently, the combination of 24/7 intrusive surveillance (both digital and physical via hidden cameras) and physical intimidation has created an environment where I cannot prepare my case with the necessary confidentiality. This is a **direct threat to the integrity of the judicial process, as the Respondents are allegedly using illicit means to gain an unfair tactical advantage.**

Keir Starmer, the police and possibly other unknown public official Respondents have also engaged in the unauthorised dissemination of my privileged legal strategies to third parties, which has been used to sabotage my case, to mock, harass and intimidate me. This conduct, coupled with the coordinated suppression of my attempts to correct the record on public platforms, demonstrates a deliberate strategy to control the narrative and intimidate me as a witness, thereby preventing a fair adjudication of the issues.

The immediate retaliation following my legal preparations creates a "chilling effect" that prevents me from exercising my right to a fair trial. The **Respondents' conduct has rendered a fair trial impossible by compromising the confidentiality of my legal strategy and inducing a state of fear that inhibits my ability to effectively present my evidence.**

The persistent surveillance of my digital communications and the physical intimidation tactics employed by Keir Starmer, the police and possibly other **unknown public official Respondents** as well, constitute an abuse of process. These actions have compromised the confidentiality of my legal strategy, thereby undermining the principle of equality of arms and preventing the possibility of a fair trial.

The court has an inherent jurisdiction to prevent an abuse of its process and that, given the pattern of behaviour, I am seeking the court's protection to ensure that the proceedings remain untainted by the Respondents' extrajudicial interference.

27. Abuse Of Process & Misleading The Court

Some of the State Actor Respondents obtained a strike-out of this claim by intentionally misleading the Court, utilising illegal monitoring of my devices to "front-run" legal strategies. This strike-out is now being used as a "shield" to accelerate the blackmail, sexual abuse via hidden cameras, commercial exploitation, harassment, intimidation and physical harm. Because I fear I may die of a heart attack before the court can intervene. The Court's inherent jurisdiction must be used to **Set Aside the Execution** of the strike-out to allow a full hearing on these abuses.

28. Relief From Sanctions And Extension Of Time

I therefore request relief from sanctions and an extension of time to apply to set aside the order dated 2 April 2026. Although the order is dated 2 April 2026, it was only served upon me and

made available via the CE-File system on 23 April 2026. A copy of the back-dated strike-out order dated 2 May 2026 from Master Dagnall is exhibited at **BW-22, pages 279-283**.

Again, on 28 May 2026, at approximately 20:31 pm, immediately after I finished editing the previous paragraph, I heard an individual suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut. It turned out to be Person B again.

The delay in filing is entirely due to the late notification from the Court's electronic systems and is neither serious nor significant in light of when the order became accessible. I have acted with the utmost promptness by filing this application as soon as possible although I have no legal training and have no lawyer to help me prepare my case. Given that my right to a fair trial and urgent privacy interests are at stake, it would be just and proportionate to grant this relief. I therefore also request an adjournment to secure independent legal representation, as my right to a fair trial is being compromised.

29. Notice To Private Defendants & Evasion

The Respondents are hereby put on notice that by collectively accepting a strike-out obtained through fraud and the aiding of the dissemination of intimate materials and severe harassment, may be **aiding and abetting** criminal offences and may be held in **Contempt of Court**. Their coordinated use of "out-of-office" replies, "refusals to read" documents and "ignorance" constitutes a deliberate attempt to evade justice while the harm continues.

30. Justification For Application Without Notice And Disclosure Of Surveillance Risk

I make this application without notice to the Respondents pursuant to **CPR 25.3** to prevent the Respondents from taking preemptive steps to suppress evidence or further disseminate private materials before a Judge can intervene.

However, I must inform the Court that the Respondents likely have **unauthorised and real-time knowledge** of this filing. As stated elsewhere in this document and in my Witness Statement, I believe the Respondents are monitoring my electronic devices and public computing activities. Consequently, the Respondents appear to have secured an unfair 'early notice' through unlawful surveillance. This reinforces the urgent need for the prohibitory injunctions sought in the **Draft Order**.

31. The "Public Interest" Justification

The daily harassment and intimidation, including the intrusive surveillance, operating 24/7 and live-streaming, I am subjected to by state actors (particularly Keir Starmer, the police, and possibly other unknown public official respondents as well) has caused severe physiological distress, creating a credible risk to my health and life, which engages my rights under Articles 2 and 3. My attempts to seek public redress are not merely personal, but are a vital exercise of my

freedom of expression under Article 10, intended to bring to light systemic misconduct that is a matter of urgent public interest.

Again, on 29 May 2026, at approximately 9:28 am, immediately upon reading the previous paragraph, I heard someone suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut. Shortly after, I heard another door-slamming shut - probably by the same individual. This looks therefore like a distraction and intimidation, to let me know that all my devices are being constantly monitored. This also occurs almost every time I mention Keir Starmer and his alleged involvement in the abuse, raise concerns about my daughter that they may be targeting her as well, or even just to read something about Keir Starmer in my own documents.

Keir Starmer, the police, and other unknown public official Respondents' response to my public appeals for help—namely, the immediate escalation of harassment and intimidation—serve as objective evidence of their intent to suppress my testimony. This conduct is not merely retaliatory; it is a calculated attempt to intimidate a witness and obstruct the administration of justice, which renders a fair trial impossible without immediate judicial intervention.

I have therefore exercised my Article 10 rights to expose these crimes as a matter of self-preservation. I believe that public transparency is my only protection against further extra-judicial intimidation.

Because my public disclosures are not a tactical choice but a response to the escalating threats I face. The daily harassment has reached a level of severity that threatens my physical and mental well-being, making public awareness my only remaining safeguard against further abuse.

My blogging and social media activity is a "cry for help" intended to document the ongoing abuse in real-time. This ensures that if I am incapacitated or further silenced, there is a public record of the respondents' conduct - particularly the PM Keir Starmer, the police, and other unknown public official Respondents - which serves as a form of **"witness protection through transparency." I therefore want to ensure that the court is aware of the extreme pressure being exerted upon me. Particularly when my access to lawyers is also blocked. They have also successfully isolated me from all help and support, which is a classic example of coercive control.**

The persistent and escalating nature of the harassment I face amounts to torture and has created a state of extreme distress. My decision to document these events publicly is a necessary response to the respondents' attempts to isolate and silence me, crucially when it also involves extreme torture, sex trafficking ring, unlawful and intrusive surveillance 24/7 and other gross human right violations for more than a decade. This public appeal is a vital mechanism to ensure my safety and to prevent the respondents from successfully suppressing the truth before this court can intervene.

My actions align with established practices of human rights defenders who use public visibility to counteract state-led intimidation and torture - both physically and mentally.

Additionally, certain Respondents, particularly the **State Actor Respondents**, both known and unknown, have already disseminated / sold my private information, intimate materials, confidential information and legal strategies obtained via hidden cameras and unauthorised access to my devices, to various third parties and even turn it into commercial products such as advertisements, films, social media posts, and even Ai-generated content, making both profit for themselves and for third parties, while I receive nothing for it.

Again, on 28 May 2026, at approximately 14:41 pm, immediately after finishing typing the previous paragraph, I heard a motorcycle suddenly accelerate aggressively past my window. This happens almost every time I type in crucial information or add vital evidence in my legal documents / exhibits.

Even knowing full well that I am in the process of applying for an urgent injunction, the illegal surveillance, secret filming, sexual abuse via hidden cameras, exploitation, harassment, intimidation, cyberstalking, and unlawful sharing of my confidential information and legal strategies continue unabated.

32. Severe Impacts Of The Misconduct

Impeded Case Preparation – Hacking has disrupted my ability to gather evidence, access necessary documents, prepare my arguments and contact lawyers for help, severely impairing my capacity to present my case.

Potential for a Miscarriage of Justice – If the Court is not informed, decisions may be made based on compromised or incomplete information, resulting in an unfair outcome.

Evidence Integrity – Unauthorised access undermines the authenticity of legal materials and risks manipulation or suppression.

Fair Trial Rights – Continuous surveillance and intimidation hinder my ability to prepare my case in private and without fear.

Admissibility of Evidence – Any material obtained through illegal means must be considered for exclusion or sanctions.

Relief Sought – This misconduct supports my request for injunctive protection, a Norwich Pharmacal Order, and the possible striking out of the Defence under CPR 3.4(2)(b) as an **abuse of process**.

On 28 May 2026, at 23:31 pm, immediately after finishing typing the previous paragraph regarding an **abuse of process**, I heard someone suddenly exit the property and aggressively slam the main door shut again. It happens too often every time I type in crucial information or add important evidence into my legal documents or Exhibits. So, I stop running to the window to investigate - only sometimes. It also seems to act both as a distraction and intimidation to exert coercive control.

33. Grounds for Emergency Relief

The Respondents and/or their agents are engaging in conduct that includes but is not limited to:

- Covert filming of my private activities and sexual acts 24/7 via hidden surveillance devices, which also record audio, and electronic interception. I also believe they are doing the exact same thing to my daughter, as I said earlier. They also illegally install hidden cameras everywhere I go or stay at, not just in my own residence, such as at every hostel I have stayed at, including in Denmark, even in shared rooms and bathrooms, and in public bathrooms. The reason I know this is because they keep giving me hints via various means, including via social media posts and advertisements, as always that I am being constantly watched via hidden cameras, even in shared rooms at the hostels, as if to let me know that there's no safe haven for me; they will continue to violate my privacy, psychologically torture and surveil me to death no matter where. Even if it means, they will have to violate the privacy of others as well.
- Intimate material is spreading quickly, and there is risk of viral dissemination.
- Severe harassment, sexual harassment, mental abuse, psychological torture, sexual abuse & humiliation via hidden cameras, and psychological intimidation timed to interfere with my legal preparation.
- Retaliatory conduct when I attempt to document, report abuse, or contact lawyers.
- Unlawful access to and misuse of sensitive legal materials, which has obstructed my ability to prepare evidence and caused severe distress.
- Have tampered with witnesses and evidence; created fabricated coincidences and evidence; and manipulated my environment in ways that directly interfere with my rights and the proceedings.

This conduct is clearly designed to obstruct justice and pervert the course of litigation. I believe that the Respondents are actively using information unlawfully gained through surveillance and hacking to gain an unfair advantage and to block my access to legal support and fair process.

34. Fulfilling the UK Injunction Test (*American Cyanamid*)

I therefore submit that the requirements for the grant of interim injunctive relief are satisfied:

(1) Serious Issue to be Tried

There is a serious issue to be tried regarding but not limited to:

- **Serious Question to be Tried:**

  Whether state actors are acting incompatibly with Article 6 (contrary to Section 6 of the HRA) is a profoundly serious legal question that requires immediate intervention. I also contend that there is a serious triable issue, for example, regarding the continuous 24/7 intrusive surveillance, live-streaming, dissemination of intimate materials, mass misuse of private information, commercial exploitation on a massive scale, and the systemic violations of Articles 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14 + 13 (the right to effective remedy) of the

European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR), as incorporated by the Human Rights Act 1998.

Regarding Article 10, while the public official Respondents have not issued a formal, overt administrative ban on my social media accounts, their agents have allegedly engineered a punitive environment of state-sponsored intimidation and harassment. This harassment is systematically triggered by, and perfectly synchronised with, my online publication of lawful commentary.

Also, all of the abuses mentioned above shockingly still continue unabated. For example, they continue to:

- Non-consensually film me via unlawful installation of hidden cameras and live-stream / distribute
- Sexually abuse me via voyeurism
- Mass misuse of my private information and intimate materials
- Breach of confidence
- Commercially exploit me on an industrial scale
- Severely harass and intimidate me on a daily basis - both online and offline
- Gravely violate my human rights in every way possible
- Maliciously disseminate my intimate material to third parties.

My evidence demonstrates that the material in question is inherently private and was not lawfully consented to for publication or commercial use.

- **Inadequacy of Damages:**

If the injunction is refused, the harm my daughter and I will suffer is the **total subversion of our human right to a fair trial, permanently loss of privacy, reputation, enjoyment of life, health and wellbeing**. They constitute an unquantifiable, constitutional injury that financial compensation cannot fix after the fact.

- **Balance of Convenience:**

The balance of convenience heavily favours protecting the administration of justice. The state actor respondents and their co-respondents suffer no legitimate prejudice by being ordered to stop their illegal acts, obstructing my legal counsel and stop interfering with the court.

Also, I merely seek an order restraining the Respondents, including the public official Respondents, from engaging in unlawful, bad-faith harassment, surveillance, and misuse of private data. The public official Respondents have no legitimate public interest in deploying state resources or personnel to unlawfully intimidate a citizen for exercising their right to freedom of expression under Article 10.

(2) Irreparable Harm / Inadequacy of Damages

Damages are not an adequate remedy because:

- Once private material is disseminated online, it cannot realistically be withdrawn from circulation.
- The harm is **instantaneous, global, and permanent in effect.**
- The “bell cannot be unrung” once publication occurs.
- Each further disclosure causes **continuing and cumulative harm to privacy, dignity, and reputation**, which cannot be adequately quantified in money.

On 29 May 2026, at precisely 10:03 am, immediately upon editing the abovementioned text, particularly about “**Once private material is disseminated online, it cannot realistically be withdrawn from circulation,”** I heard the sound of a car horn outside. This is how they seem to continue to taunt me at the exact moment I read or add sensitive information, to suggest constant cyberstalking. The time also symbolically concluded with the digit “3” again, to allude to the violation of my human right article 3 (Freedom from torture, inhuman or degrading treatment) of the ECHR as usual to induce anger even more.

(3) Balance of Convenience

The balance of convenience strongly favours the granting of an interim injunction because:

- The harm suffered by me and my daughter in the absence of an injunction, including ongoing sexual abuse and sexual humiliation via hidden cameras (voyeurism, cybersex trafficking), severe privacy violations, mass misuse of private information, commercial exploitation on an industrial scale, defamation, blacklisting, social ostracism, psychological distress, and fear for personal safety, is profound and irreparable.
- Conversely, the 'inconvenience' to the Respondents if an injunction is granted would be limited solely to the cessation of their unlawful activities, including but not limited to the illegal installation of hidden cameras, covert filming, rapid dissemination of sex tapes and nude photos non-consensually taken with the hidden cameras, cyberstalking, racial and sexual harassment, misuse of private information on a massive scale and severe intimidation, which intensify each day. I therefore fear that I may not survive if the injunction is not granted. These actions are criminal and tortious under UK law, specifically violating the Data Protection Act 2018, the Sexual Offences Act 2003, the Protection from Harassment Act 1997 and the Racial and Religious Hatred Act 2006, as well as my and my daughter's rights under Articles 2, 3, 6 and 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights. It is submitted that compelling a party to cease illegal conduct does not constitute a legitimate 'inconvenience' that should be weighed against my and my daughter's fundamental rights to privacy and safety. Therefore, the balance of convenience lies squarely with me, and an injunction is necessary to prevent further irreparable harm and to uphold the rule of law.
- Preservation of the status quo is the only way to ensure the Court’s eventual judgment is meaningful.

(4) Just and Convenient

The claim is not 'without merit'; it is 'without safety'. The merit exists in the private materials and films being disseminated, but the evidence-gathering process has been hijacked by the Respondents via the alleged unlawful monitoring of my devices.

It is therefore just and convenient for the Court to grant the relief sought to:

- Preserve the integrity of the proceedings.
- Prevent further dissemination of disputed material.
- Ensure effective administration of justice.

35. Order Requested

I thereby respectfully request an immediate order to:

- **Restrain** further leaks and **Mandate** the removal of existing uploads, hidden surveillance cameras and audio recording devices in my residence including in my daughter's residence as well.
- **Set aside** the strike-out order of 2 April 2026.
- **Prohibit** further intimidatory surveillance, harassment and intimidation (aerial, digital or physical). Because I have detailed specific, active attempts on my life, including poisoning and physical assaults, I respectfully request that the Court issues an immediate injunction ordering the Respondents to cease all unlawful surveillance, dissemination of intimate material, mass misuse of private information, commercial exploitation, contact, phone tracking, and physical proximity to myself, my daughter and our residences.
- **Emergency Medical and Police Escalation:** I request that the Court orders a direct, independent referral of these life-threatening criminal allegations to a specialised external authority, bypassing local units that may be compromised.

36. Why Without Notice

- **Extreme Urgency:**

  The delay caused by giving notice would lead to an immediate, irreparable injustice.

- **The Need for Immediate Protection:**

  Their ongoing conduct proves to the judge that the risk is active, continuous, and escalating, satisfying the court's requirement for extreme urgency.

- **Ineffectiveness of Notice:**

  Because the Respondents are already acting with total disregard for the impending legal process, giving them formal notice will not make them stop—it will simply tell them exactly when to delete their digital footprints or wipe their servers before the judge can sign the order.

- **Risk of Retaliation / Frustration:**

  If the Respondents, particularly the state actor Respondents, were notified of this application in advance, they would use their power (e.g., increased hacking or heavier mail interception) to destroy evidence or completely frustrate the application before I could see a judge.

37. Application Details

Given the severe, daily commercial exploitation of my privacy and the risk of further destruction of evidence, I respectfully request that this application be heard on an urgent, interim basis. I enclose the following documents for the Court's immediate attention:

- **Application Notice (Form N244)**
- **Draft Interim Injunction Order**
- **First Witness Statement of BW**
- **Interim Payment Request**
- **Exhibit Bundle "BW-01- BW-37"** (containing evidence of the illegal surveillance, dissemination of intimate material, mass misuse of private information, commercial exploitation on a massive scale, formal warnings sent to certain Respondents, implied death threats, witness intimidation and more).

I am ready to attend an oral hearing at the shortest possible notice.

A delay of even 24 hours will result in the total, permanent destruction of my ability to access justice.

Thank you for your urgent assistance in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

Buppha Wittaya-Amponpunt

Claimant in Person

PAGE 33 – MAIN BUNDLE INDEX

A. CORE DOCUMENTS

Tap	Document	Location / Page No.
A1. Main bundle index	**Table of Contents**	33
A2. Preliminary Documents	**Urgency Retaliation Chronology**	34-41
	N244 Application	42-45
	Supplemental Grounds and Orders Sought	46-57
	Help With Fees Confirmation	58
A4. Evidence	**1st Witness Statement of BW**	59-138
	Exhibit BW-01 to BW-37: Evidence of Liability	139-605

PAGES 34-41 – URGENCY RETALIATION CHRONOLOGY

Date & Time	Description of Incident / Taunt	Purpose / What It Proves	Personal / Professional Impact	Bundle Reference
20/01/23	**The Trigger for the Retaliation:** Filed a formal police complaint about two police officers, **PC Jonathan Miotti** and **PC Andrew Ngo**, which was not upheld	Proves the original course of harassment and retaliation. Because, shortly after that same year, my daughter and her ex-boyfriend of 12 years suddenly broke up.	Felt sorry for my daughter	Exhibits BW-01 (pages 139-141) & BW-02 (pages 142-147)
19/09/24	**Attempt to Secure Legal Help:** On my way to see a solicitor.	Various men pretended to shoot me with imitation firearms while I was on my way to the law firm.	Severe distress; felt terrified and fear for my life; ongoing nightmares	See Witness Statement paragraphs 15.23-15.26, pages 80-81
03/10/24	**Initial Discovery:** Started my second year of my full-time study at the University of West London	First discovery of illegal surveillance and the dissemination of my intimate materials.	Severe distress; felt humiliated and betrayed by the university and lecturers	Exhibit BW-03, pages 148-151
04/10/24	**The Trigger for Another Retaliation:** I took some pictures of a man believed to be an agent of the Primary Respondents.	Later that same day, I ate a takeaway from a Thai restaurant and had stomach cramps and diarrhea for nearly 2 weeks.	Severe distress; felt fear for my life and can't trust anyone	Exhibit BW-04, pages 152-154

10/01/25	**Initial Hidden Death Threat:** Added more crucial information to my Exhibits, particularly concerning **PC Jonathan Miotti**.	Instagram allegedly sent me a hidden death threa@t against my daughter via a push notification.	Felt fear for my daughter's safety	Exhibit BW-05, pages 155-159
05/02/25 - 06/02/25	**Subsequent Release:** Submitted a complaint to the Cabinet Office and took some photos of the alleged agent of the Defendants sent to surveil and intimidate me.	Later that same day, individuals operating a helicopter appeared to be disseminating my **Intimate Materials** to a group of young men on the ground.	Severe distress; felt humiliated and unsafe	Exhibit BW-06, pages 160-166
26/02/25	**Travel to Denmark seeking safety**	Only to experience the exact same Illegal Surveillance, Sexual Abuse via hidden cameras and Dissemination of Intimate Materials there as well.	Severe distress; felt humiliated and unsafe to be or go anywhere; felt betrayed by the Danish police and/or authorities	Exhibit BW-07, pages 167-171
08/03/25	**Sent Pre-action Protocol / Settlement Offer Letters to certain Defendants**	Immediately after, I observed a huge "target-like", "X" or "No.10" symbol drawn by the aircraft in the sky right after I left the post office.	Severe distress; felt intimidated and unsafe	Exhibit BW-08, pages 172-178
05/06/25	**N244 Application filed for an urgent injunction - But all evidence and**	Emails from the court clerk confirming that my	Felt like a cover-up and an attempt to obstruct justice /	Exhibit BW-09, pages 179-183

	documents submitted inexplicably disappeared from the	documents could not be found	perverting the course of justice	
01/09/25	**Privately added Elon Musk as a defendant as well for allegedly participating in the harassment and intimidation campaign against me and my daughter**	More implied Death Threats Against Me and my Daughter from Elon Musk	Severe distress; felt unsafe and fear for the safety of both myself and my daughter	Exhibit BW-10, pages 184-189
25/10/25	**Privately added TikTok Group as defendants as well for the alleged misuse of my private information**	Further retaliation from TikTok by allegedly misusing my private information even more.	Severe distress; felt shocked, humiliated and further victimised	Exhibit BW-11, pages 190-192
28/11/25	**Escalation after privately adding more crucial information and evidence to my legal documents**	Elon Musk appears to also create Ai-generated content using his Grok Ai incorporating my **Private Materials and Information**.	Severe distress; felt used and violated	Exhibit BW-12, pages 193-201
14/12/25	**Issued Cease and Desist letters to my ex-husband and ex-boyfriend**	Ex-husband responded aggressively and ex-boyfriend never responded	Severe distress; felt frustrated	Exhibit BW-13, page 202-210
19/12/25	**Received an email from the court,** notifying me that the Master has advised	Therefore, the Order of Master Dagnall received on 23 April 2026,	Severe distress	Exhibit BW-14, page 211-213

	me what to prepare for my injunction application	informing me that my claim had been struck out failed to address my pending injunctive relief		
10/02/26	**Attempted to gather evidence regarding harassment**	Housemates began to intimidate me for gathering evidence	Severe distress; felt unsafe	Exhibit BW-15, pages 214-220
03/04/26 - 06/04/26	**I began to like and comment on some posts on X regarding the negativity surrounding the PM Keir Starmer**	Further leak and intimidation	Severe distress; felt humiliated and fear for my safety	Exhibit BW-16, pages 221-233
12/04/26 & 15/04/26	**Added more information to my Witness Statement concerning the PM Keir Starmer and his alleged involvement in this**	Housemates began to slam the door shut forcefully and intimidate me.	Severe distress; felt unsafe	Exhibit BW-17, pages 234-240
15/04/26, 16/04/26	**Privately** revised my **Draft Order** to include the prohibition order that the Respondents must also not sexually abuse my daughter via hidden cameras	Respondents further disseminated my Private Materials to X.com and/or Elon Musk in retaliation.	Severe distress; felt humiliated and betrayed	Exhibit BW-18, Page 241-246
17/04/26	**Privately added more information to my Witness Statement regarding Elon**	Received a warning message from my Estate Agent and experienced further	Severe distress; felt under pressure from all angles	Exhibit BW-19, pages 247-255

	Musk and Grok Ai-generated content	leak of my private materials on X.com.		
18/04/26	**Went online and liked some posts about the negativity surrounding Keir Starmer again**	Further intimidation by a helicopter	Severe distress; felt like being monitored and controlled at all times	Exhibit BW-20, pages 256-261
19/04/26	**Comment on several posts on X concerning calls on Keir Starmer to resign**	Further leak of Private Materials on X	Severe distress; felt humiliated	Exhibit BW-21, pages 262-267
23/04/26	**Liked some more posts on X about the negativity surrounding Keir Starmer**	Further leak of private information and receiving the order of Master Dagnall informing me that my claim has been struck out.	Severe distress; felt a sense of injustice / miscarriage of justice	Exhibit BW-22, pages 268-285
29/04/26	**Added more information to my Exhibit about the possibility of taking social media platforms to the European Court of Human Rights**	More intimidation from Elon Musk, my housemates and my estate agent.	Severe distress; felt under pressure; spent hours sitting in fear and speculating what will happen to me next instead of preparing case evidence	Exhibit BW-23, pages 286-290
01/05/26	**Escalation in witness intimidation after my claim had been struck out**	Housemates started slamming the main door shut with FULL FORCE when exiting/returning to	Severe distress; felt under pressure, intimidated and my freedom of	Exhibit BW-24, pages 291-296

		the property and an increase in police presence	expression is being violated	
04/05/26	**Added in my Draft Order to include my daughter as well and posted on X about my intention to publish every piece of evidence to prevent an unfair trial and a miscarriage of justice**	Same as above and a hint of “No.10” again	Severe distress; felt intimidated and my legal strategy is being unlawfully monitored 24/7	Exhibit BW-25, pages 297-311
06/05/26	**Added the information about the reasons why I decided to personally sue Keir Starmer as well**	Aircraft drew several “X” or “No.10” symbols in the sky intended to make me feel intimidated as usual	Severe distress; felt intimidated and discriminated against	Exhibit BW-26, pages 312-323
13/05/26	**Received a reply email from the police's legal team after I had sent them my formal notice**	Experienced further increase in harassment, intimidation and police presence in my vicinity	Severe distress; felt intimidated and targeted	Exhibit BW-27, pages 324-357
19/05/2026	**Drafted my petition for public appeal and to bring attention to the extreme torture the State Actor Respondents are subjecting me to 24/7**	Further increase in police presence in my vicinity and aircraft drew several “X” or “No.10” symbols in the sky intended to make me feel intimidated again	Severe distress; felt intimidated and my legal strategy is being unlawfully monitored 24/7	Exhibit BW-28, pages 358-383
22/05/2026	**Drafted a formal notice letter to send to all**	An increase in the hints of voyeurism, to intentionally let	Severe distress; felt sexually humiliated and	Exhibit BW-29, pages 384-398

	commercial entity respondents regarding "Proceeds of Crime Act 2002"	me know that I am being illegally watched 24/7 via hidden cameras	extremely violated	
22/05/2026 continued	**Edited my Draft Order to add the "Proceeds of Crime Act 2002" cause as well**	Individuals began to exit/return to the property and violently slam the main door shut. Aircraft also drew several "X" or "No.10" symbols in the sky intended to make me feel intimidated again	Severe distress; felt powerless to protect myself and my daughter from sexual abuse and exploition via voyeurism & cybersex trade	Exhibit BW-30, pages 399-417
24/05/2026	**Posted some information and evidence on X about my daughter that she may also be sexually abused & exploited via hidden cameras**	Immediately after, the Public Official Respondents apparently got X platform to permanently suspend my account.	Severe distress; felt powerless and suppressed	Exhibit BW-31, pages 418-442
28/05/2026	**Created a new document to apply for summary judgment**	A helicopter immediately emerged and flew low past my window.	Severe distress; felt my legal strategies are being illegally monitored 24/7	Exhibit BW-32, pages 443-469
01/06/26 onward	**Continued Intimidation**	**Proves the escalation, lack of remorse, and defiance.**	Severe distress; felt under pressure	Exhibit BW-33, pages 470-497
03/06/26 onward	**Added new information about my estate agent's implied**	Soon after, a housemate told me he's spoken to the landlord about me	Severe distress; felt under pressure and powerless	Exhibit BW-34, pages 498-509

	constructive eviction	filming people going in and out		
06/06/26	**Added more information concerning the potential that other unknown public officials may also be involved in this**	Individuals began to exit/return to the property and violently slam the main door shut, including observing more threatening symbols in the sky	Severe distress; felt intimidated and distracted	Exhibit BW-35, pages 510-532
12/06/26	**Posted a blog post about Keir Starmer again and my concerns that he and his Co-defendants may also have subjected my daughter to the exact same sexual abuse & exploitation via hidden cameras**	Further leak of intimate materials on TikTok and Instagram, for instance	Severe distress; felt my privacy has been extremely violated	Exhibit BW-36, pages 533-586

*As demonstrated by the timeline above, the Respondents' illicit conduct has escalated rather than ceased upon receiving legal notice. This continuous, unabated campaign satisfies the criteria for systemic psychological mistreatment under the **UN OHCHR** guidelines and demonstrates the intentional infliction of severe mental trauma as recognised by the **UN Istanbul Protocol**. Voluntary undertakings are entirely inadequate; only a court-imposed Injunction with a Penal Notice will halt this behaviour*

PAGES 42-45 – N244 APPLICATION

N244

Application notice

For help in completing this form please read the notes for guidance form N244Notes.

Find out how HM Courts and Tribunals Service uses personal information you give them when you fill in a form: https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/hm-courts-and-tribunals-service/about/personal-information-charter

Name of court KBD – Media & Communications List	**Claim no.** KB-2025-004650
Fee account no. (if applicable)	**Help with Fees – Ref. no.** (if applicable)
	HWF-ZHA-F66
Warrant no. (if applicable)	
Claimant's name (including ref.) Buppha Wittaya-Amponpunt	
Defendant's name (including ref.) The Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis + Others	
Date	14/05/2026

1. What is your name or, if you are a legal representative, the name of your firm?
   Buppha Wittaya-Amponpunt

2. Are you a ☑ Claimant ☐ Defendant ☐ Legal Representative
   ☐ Other (please specify)

   If you are a legal representative whom do you represent?

3. What order are you asking the court to make and why?
   As set out in the attached Draft Order. The order is sought on an urgent without notice basis for the reasons set out in the supporting witness statement.

4. Have you attached a draft of the order you are applying for? ☑ Yes ☐ No

5. How do you want to have this application dealt with? ☑ at a hearing ☐ without a hearing
   ☐ at a remote hearing

6. How long do you think the hearing will last? ☐ Hours ☐ Minutes
   Is this time estimate agreed by all parties? ☐ Yes ☐ No

7. Give details of any fixed trial date or period: No trial date. Urgent interim relief sought.

8. What level of Judge does your hearing need? High Court Judge

9. Who should be served with this application? See Schedule of Defendants.

9a. Please give the service address, (other than details of the claimant or defendant) of any party named in question 9.
   As set out in the Schedule of Defendants. Where email addresses are known, service will be effected by email. Where postal addresses are available, these are also set out in the Schedule.

 © Crown copyright 2022

10. What information will you be relying on, in support of your application?

- [x] the attached witness statement
- [ ] the statement of case
- [ ] the evidence set out in the box below

If necessary, please continue on a separate sheet.

11. Do you believe you, or a witness who will give evidence on your behalf, are vulnerable in any way which the court needs to consider?

☑ Yes. Please explain in what way you or the witness are vulnerable and what steps, support or adjustments you wish the court and the judge to consider.

1. Nature of Vulnerability (Pursuant to CPR Practice Direction 1AA):
The Claimant asks that this case is formally flagged as involving a "Vulnerable Litigant." This designation does not imply any inherent weakness; rather, it reflects that the extreme and unlawful situation engineered by the Defendants—specifically continuous illegal surveillance, secret filming, dissemination, live-streaming, mass misuse of private information (harassment by publication), device monitoring, severe daily harassment both online and offline, intimidation, and the active blocking of my access to legal representation—has forced a state of profound isolation that makes the Claimant highly vulnerable to an unfair process and a miscarriage of justice. See the rest of the answers in a separate sheet marked "Answer to Question 11. "

☐ No

Statement of Truth

I understand that proceedings for contempt of court may be brought against a person who makes, or causes to be made, a false statement in a document verified by a statement of truth without an honest belief in its truth.

☑ **I believe** that the facts stated in section 10 (and any continuation sheets) are true.

☐ **The applicant believes** that the facts stated in section 10 (and any continuation sheets) are true. **I am authorised** by the applicant to sign this statement.

Signature



☑ Applicant

☐ Litigation friend (where applicant is a child or a Protected Party)

☐ Applicant's legal representative (as defined by CPR 2.3(1))

Date

Day	Month	Year
1 4	0 5	2 0 2 6

Full name

Buppha Wittaya-Amponpunt

Name of applicant's legal representative's firm

If signing on behalf of firm or company give position or office held

PAGES 46-57 – SUPPLEMENTAL GROUNDS AND ORDERS SOUGHT

A. This document supplements the Application Notice dated 14 May 2026.

1. This document supplements the Applicant's Application Notice dated 9 June 2026, including the applications for urgent interim relief and to set aside the Order dated 2 April 2026.

B. N244 Application (Form Content)

Q.3 What order are you asking the court to make and why?

The Applicant applies for:

1. An **Urgent Interim Injunction Order**
2. A **Mandatory Order** requiring the Respondents to immediately cease all live-streaming feeds and safely preserve all digital and physical evidence related to these proceedings.
3. An order that this application be heard **without notice** to the Respondents in the first instance, given the severe urgency and the risk of immediate evidence destruction.
4. Immediate judicial intervention is vital under CPR Practice Direction 1AA and CPR 1.1(2)(a) to protect a vulnerable litigant, halt a multi-party conspiracy to pervert the course of justice, and prevent ongoing, irreversible harm to the Applicant's and her daughter's lives, privacy, and right to a fair trial.
5. Furthermore, an urgent order is required to halt a coordinated campaign of **Harassment by Publication and Commercial Exploitation on an Industrial Scale**. By manipulating algorithms to flood the Applicant's personal feeds with her own stolen intimate data, the Respondents are actively suppressing her Article 10 Right to Freedom of Expression. This is an engineered deplatforming campaign: If she wishes to avoid this degrading content, she is forced to completely disconnect from the internet, effectively destroying her ability to communicate, access information, and expose corruption without the 1st Respondent (Keir Starmer) and other unknown public official Respondents having to issue a formal censorship order.
6. An order that the costs of this application be reserved.
7. An urgent Case Management Conference before a High Court Judge to seek directions to protect the fairness and integrity of the proceedings, including an emergency interim injunction against the named Respondents and Co-respondents **The Walt Disney**

Company, **Warner Bros. Discovery**, and others as listed in the Schedule of Defendants, including **Persons Unknown,** being film production companies, studios, advertising agencies, and so forth, commercially exploiting the Applicant's stolen data.

8. The Applicant therefore applies for An Urgent Interim Injunction Order pursuant to Section 37(1) of the Senior Courts Act 1981, restraining these individuals and entities worldwide from:

- **Misuse of private information / publication / commercial exploitation / disclosure restraint**

  disseminating, commercially exploiting, using, publishing, transmitting, sharing, distributing, leaking private, personal, or sensitive information, images, creative works, or non-consensually recordings relating to the Applicant and/or her daughter, whether online or offline, including via social media platforms, websites, messaging services, or third parties.

- **Harassment / third-party / stalking by proxy / proxy harassment / intimidation prohibition**

  pursuing any course of conduct which amounts to stalking and harassment of the Applicant, or which they know or ought to know amounts to harassment of the Applicant, her daughter, her family, or any person associated with the Applicant, including any conduct which causes or is likely to cause alarm, distress, fear, or intimidation.

- **Evidence tampering**

  destroying evidence, intercepting communications, or intimidating the Applicant.

- **Obstruction of justice**

  interfering with, intercepting, or blocking the Applicant’s access to legal representation and the Courts.

- **Non-contact provision**

  directly or indirectly contacting, communicating with, or attempting to contact or communicate with the Applicant, her daughter, her family, or any person associated with the Applicant, whether by telephone, text message, email, social media, messaging platforms, third parties, or any other means whatsoever.

- **Non-inducement / non-incitement clause**

  inciting, encouraging, or soliciting any person to harass, intimidate, or cause distress to the Applicant, her daughter, or her associated persons.

- **Abuse of process**

The Applicant applies for an Order to **Strike Out the Defence** pursuant to CPR 3.4(2)(b) for gross abuse of process, **or in the alternative, Summary Judgment** pursuant to CPR Part 24 on the grounds that the Respondents have no real prospect of successfully defending the claim due to a fundamental breach of litigation integrity.

- **Duration**

  This Order shall remain in force:

  until trial or further order of the Court (for interim relief), or

  permanently (if final injunction)

- Additionally, the Applicant seeks an emergency order pursuant to CPR 3.1(7) to set aside the irregular 'on paper' strike-out order dated 2 May 2026 on the grounds that it procedurally failed to adjudicate upon the independent liabilities of the other named Co-Defendants and ignored active claims against remaining tech platforms, public officials, university, etc.
- Due to the complexity, urgency, and number of Respondents, the full orders sought are set out in the attached Draft Order and Supplemental Grounds.

Why the Order is sought:

Because the Respondents' conduct is ongoing, escalating, and interfering with the Applicant's ability to prepare and pursue the claim.

The Applicant is a Litigant in Person whose access to legal counsel is being actively obstructed by certain Respondents who are public officials. Since approximately 2013, certain Respondents, one of them is the 2nd Respondent (The Police), have unlawfully installed hidden cameras to non-consensually film the Applicant 24/7, live-stream and distribute the Applicant's private life and are selling the illegal recordings, including sex tapes, to commercial entities, pornographic and many more.

Despite knowing that an injunction is being sought, the Respondents continue their actions with perceived impunity. Immediate judicial intervention is necessary to halt severe, continuous harassment and the irreversible commercial misuse of private information and intimate materials.

The Respondents are executing a severe, coordinated campaign of digital abuse causing irreparable harm to the Applicant's safety, reputation, health and wellbeing. This targeted sexual, physical and psychological mistreatment explicitly mirrors the illicit surveillance, tracking, and profiling tactics formally catalogued by the **United Nations Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR)**.[1] Furthermore, the severe physical harm, because the relentless harassment has ultimately caused the Applicant extreme distress, anxiety, and persistent left-side chest pain, and she may soon die of a heart attack if the court does not intervene, and mental trauma inflicted by these actions constitutes a legally cognisable

violation of human rights under the **Istanbul Protocol**—the official United Nations standard for investigating and documenting torture.[2] Immediate protection is required under the Protection from Harassment Act 1997.

[1] Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, 'Harassment techniques' (UN OHCHR Document Repository) ohchr.org accessed 26 May 2026.

[2] Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, *Istanbul Protocol: Manual on the Effective Investigation and Documentation of Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment* (Rev 2, UN 2022).

Statement of Legal Emergency: Systematic Isolation and Blacklisting

The Applicant informs the Court that this matter constitutes an acute legal emergency. The Respondents, some of them are high-ranking public officials, such as Keir Starmer, are not merely monitoring the Applicant's devices; they are actively and systematically isolating the Applicant through **social ostracism and professional blacklisting.**

The Applicant is also a writer and film director. But her creative works have been unlawfully obtained via hacking and/or other unlawful means and subsequently leaked / sold to other prominent writers and filmmakers, particularly in Hollywood, to write movies using her stolen private information and creative works as source materials, while the Applicant received nothing for it. At the same time, the State Actor Respondents have also forced her to rely on benefits only by apparently instructing employers not to hire her. Because every single job she has applied for has been rejected.

The Applicant is being intentionally cut off from all routes of assistance—legal, medical, and social—to ensure she remains 'all alone' and unable to present her case. This deliberate isolation is a tactic to circumvent the justice system and ensure a one-sided, and therefore unfair, trial. Under the Equal Treatment Bench Book, the Court has a duty to recognise this imbalance and intervene immediately to prevent the Respondents from weaponising their public influence to destroy the Applicant's ability to participate in these proceedings.

On 12 June 2026, at approximately 21:06 pm, immediately upon reading the previous paragraph to see if it needed editing, the Applicant heard someone begin to make some noise nearby again. The individual then coughed twice and continued to slam something shut.

Again, on the same day, at precisely 21:10 pm, immediately upon typing the previous paragraph, the Applicant heard a motorcycle accelerate past her window with heavy sounds. The time symbolically ended in the No.10 again.

Pre-requisite for justice

The Applicant submits that the injunctive relief sought (specifically the cessation of illegal monitoring and interference) is a mandatory precursor to any further steps in this litigation. Without these protections, the Applicant is effectively silenced and unable to exercise her Article 6 rights to secure representation, whether in the UK or internationally. The Court is requested to grant this relief as a matter of extreme urgency to prevent a total collapse of the Applicant's ability to litigate.

Key Legal Arguments

- **Article 6 (Right to a Fair Trial):** The right to a fair trial is **absolute** in this context. If the Applicant cannot access a lawyer or even use a public library safely, the trial cannot be "fair."
- **Article 13 (Right to an Effective Remedy):** By "cutting all routes to help," the State Actor Respondents are making the law a dead letter. Article 13 requires the state (the Court) to provide an *effective* way for the Applicant to fight back.
- **Equality of Arms:** As a **Litigant in Person**, the Applicant is entitled to be "put at ease" and given the necessary support to engage in the process, especially when facing a "hostile" environment created by the other side.

The "Vulnerability" Flag

The Applicant asks that the Applicant's application is to be flagged as involving a **"Vulnerable Litigant."** This doesn't mean the Applicant is weak; it means the *situation* (the isolation and monitoring) makes the Applicant vulnerable to an unfair process.

(Full grounds appear in the continuation sheet.)

C. Continuation Sheet – Grounds For Application

The Applicant respectfully applies for directions to protect the fairness and integrity of the proceedings. The grounds are:

1. Application to Set Aside for Fraud and Abuse of Process

The Applicant applies to set aside the order dated 2 April 2026 on the basis that it was obtained through **fraud on the court** and a fundamental **abuse of process**.

A. Material Misrepresentation

The Principle: The Applicant moves the Court to exercise its inherent jurisdiction to set aside any previous adverse findings or orders, on the basis that they were obtained through a **'fraud on the court**.'

B. Failure to Address Pending Injunctive Relief

The Order does not appear to address the Applicant's pending application for interim injunctive relief, notwithstanding that such relief was sought on an urgent basis to prevent ongoing illegal surveillance, dissemination of private information, intimate materials and irreparable harm, including severe harassment and intimidation.

The Applicant believes the Respondents, possibly Keir Starmer and the police, intentionally misled the Court regarding the nature of the claim and the status of the evidence. By suppressing the existence of the pending injunction and the ongoing dissemination of private information and intimate materials in films, advertisements, social media posts, pornographic websites, and so forth, the Respondents induced the Court into the erroneous 'Totally Without Merit' certification. As established in Zurich Insurance Co plc v Hayward [2016] UKSC 48, where a party has misled the court to obtain an advantage, the court has the power—and the duty—to unravel that fraud.

C. **Subversion of the Judicial Process:**

The Applicant asserts that the Respondents, acting in their capacity as public officials and law enforcement, have utilised extra-judicial influence to monitor the Applicant's legal strategy and systematically block access to legal and medical professionals. This interference constitutes an improper pressure on the administration of justice.

The Respondents are not only monitoring the Applicant; they are actively 'cleansing' the digital record and gaslighting her family to prevent the truth from emerging. This is why the 'Totally Without Merit' certification is factually impossible—the merit is being actively suppressed by the Respondents.

D. Effect on the Court's Decision:

Had the Court been aware of this coordinated effort to isolate the Applicant and the active misuse of private data, it would not have struck out the claim. The order is a direct product of the Respondents' dishonest conduct and must be set aside to prevent a miscarriage of justice.

E. The Application: In this case, the Respondents have committed fraud on the court by, for example, constantly monitoring the Applicant's devices to gain insight into her legal strategy and blocking her access to legal counsel. This has prevented the Court from seeing the true facts of the Article 3 and 8 violations the Applicant is suffering. Using surveillance to avoid a misconduct claim is **not** a lawful reason and can lead to a stay of proceedings, the exclusion of any evidence they gather, or the reinstatement of the claim.

F. The Result: Because the 'genie is out of the bottle' regarding the surveillance footage, and the Respondents have actively misled the judicial process, any existing orders against the Applicant are unsafe and must be set aside to allow for a fair hearing (Article 6).

G. The Respondents' interference is not merely a privacy breach; it has reached the **Article 3 threshold** of inhuman and degrading treatment. The constant, intrusive monitoring has physically incapacitated the Applicant, resulting in severe health complications (long-term constipation and inability to maintain hygiene). Under its inherent jurisdiction, the High Court has a **positive obligation** to intervene to protect a vulnerable adult from such life-altering harm.

H. Relief from Sanctions & Extension of Time

An order that the Applicant be granted **Relief from Sanctions** and an extension of time to file this application to set aside the order of Master Dagnall dated 2 April 2026 (Although the order is dated 2 April 2026, it was not served/notified or made available on the CE-File system until 23 April 2026) pursuant to **CPR 3.9** and **CPR 3.1(2)(a)**. The Applicant has acted with the utmost promptness since receipt. But as her access to lawyers is blocked and the case is very complex, she can't act as fast as she wants to. The Respondents, particularly Keir Starmer and the Police, probably other unknown public official respondents as well, also seem to have run an industrial-scale harassment and intimidation campaign against her. They also appear to instruct her housemates to harass and intimidate her every time she tries to edit her injunction application bundle, suggesting constant cyberstalking / monitoring of her devices as well. Consequently, this has caused her severe emotional distress, stress and anxiety, including the inability to complete her injunction application as quickly as possible when she is being constantly distracted, harassed and intimidated by her housemates.

I. Challenge to the Strike-Out and TWM Finding

The strike-out and TWM certification are challenged on the grounds that the Court failed to consider the core evidence of a severe privacy breach—specifically the non-consensually filming and the unauthorised dissemination of private materials in films, advertisements, and similar, by both the existing and additional Respondents, who both are actively misusing the Applicant's and her daughter's data. The Court also failed to address the joinder of additional defendants who are primary parties to this breach. The claim has a real prospect of success, and a hearing is necessary to prevent further irreparable harm and reinstating the claim is consistent with the overriding objective of dealing with cases justly.

Furthermore, the Applicant asserts that the strike-out of this claim and the TWM certification are not based on legal principle but are the result of **extra-judicial influence** by the Public Official and Law Enforcement Respondents. The Applicant requests that this matter be referred immediately to the **President of the King's Bench Division** for personal oversight to ensure the independence of the tribunal.

J. Request for Hearing before a Different Master or Judge

The Applicant requests that this application be heard by a Master other than Master Dagnall or by another Judge. Given that the previous order struck out the claim and certified it as 'Totally Without Merit' while failing to address the pending injunction or the joinder of additional defendants, the Applicant has a legitimate concern regarding apparent bias and the impartiality

of the proceedings. To ensure the appearance of justice and compliance with **Article 6 (Right to a Fair Trial)**, the Applicant requests a fresh judicial assessment of the merits of this claim.

K. Request a High Court Judge to Review Evidence of Fraud

The Applicant requests that the evidence of fraud be reviewed by a High Court Judge in a closed hearing or via a sealed bundle, as the Respondents' ongoing monitoring of the Applicant's digital activities poses a risk to the integrity of the evidence itself.

L. Breach of Equality of Arms and Article 6: Complexity and the Requirement for Representation

The Applicant is a Litigant in Person facing public official, major film studio, tech platform, and tech executive Defendants. The Applicant believes their litigation environment is compromised by unauthorised monitoring and that the Defendants have influenced the availability of legal support. The Court's summary strike-out, without addressing these imbalances or the pending injunction, is a flagrant violation of the Applicant's right to a fair trial under **Article 6 of the ECHR.**

- **Exceptional Complexity:** This case involves high-ranking public officials, cross-border privacy breaches, and allegations of systemic surveillance. These are complex points of law and facts that require specialist legal expertise to navigate. It is practically impossible for a Litigant in Person to conduct an injunction hearing or a trial of this nature against the vast legal resources of the State, wealthy tech executives, tech platforms and major film studios, for instance.
- **Denial of 'Equality of Arms':** By allowing the strike-out to stand while the Applicant is being actively prevented from securing counsel, the Court is permitting a gross imbalance of power. This 'vulnerability by design' ensures that the Defendants can mislead the Court without being challenged by a legally trained advocate.
- **Court's Positive Duty:** The Court has a duty under the **Human Rights Act 1998** and the **Equal Treatment Bench Book** to ensure the Applicant is not prejudiced by their lack of representation. The Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the proceedings be **stayed** until an impartial advocate can be secured, or that the Court appoints an **'Advocate to the Court'** under **Practice Direction 3F** to ensure the law is applied correctly and to protect the proceedings from bias.

M. Reservation of Rights to Seek International Remedy

If this Court remains unable to provide an impartial tribunal or an effective remedy due to the influence of the public official and law enforcement Defendants, the Applicant reserves the right to seek justice in international jurisdictions where the additional Defendants are based. The Applicant maintains that the current strike-out constitutes a 'denial of justice' which may necessitate the intervention of international human rights bodies.

N. Notice of Potential Referral to International Jurisdictions

The Applicant also reserves the right to refer this matter to international bodies, including the International Criminal Court (ICC).

The Applicant maintains that the coordinated effort by public officials and law enforcement to monitor, sexually abuse her via decade-long voyeurism through hidden cameras, cybersex trafficking, isolate, and blacklist a private citizen—while simultaneously obstructing their access to justice—may constitute a systematic 'inhumane act' or 'persecution' within the meaning of Article 7 of the Rome Statute. The Applicant requests that this Court upholds the Rule of Law domestically to avoid the necessity of seeking international intervention for a flagrant denial of justice.

The Applicant thereby respectfully informs the Court that she is a victim of an ongoing criminal enterprise involving decade-long cybersex trafficking, money laundering, and commercial exploitation on an industrial scale. The targeting of her family is also a clear 'systematic attack' as defined by the **Rome Statute**. If the UK Court is unable to provide an effective remedy—by stopping the surveillance and allowing her access to legal counsel—she will be compelled to pursue these public officials in the **International Criminal Court (ICC)** for crimes against humanity, as her right to a fair trial will have been effectively extinguished within this jurisdiction.

O. Intention To Campaign

The Applicant respectfully informs the Court of her intention to engage in public campaigning regarding the procedural barriers encountered in this case. This is not intended to interfere with the judicial process, but rather to exercise the Applicant's right to freedom of expression under **Article 10 ECHR**. As a litigant in person facing significant obstruction from state actors, a public appeal for help is a necessary measure to prevent a potential **miscarriage of justice** and to secure the legal resources required for a fair trial. Such transparency is in the public interest where access to justice is being systemically denied.

Additionally, given the systemic nature of the obstruction and the failure of domestic public sectors to provide protection, the Applicant has no choice but to bring public transparency to these threats, to safeguard the Applicant’s life and ensure accountability where standard legal protections have been bypassed.

P. Unlawful De-platforming and Suppression of Political Speech Contrary to Article 10 ECHR

The Violation: The Applicant reasonably believes that Keir Starmer, the police, and potentially other public official respondents as well, have actively manipulated, coerced, or instructed third-party social media platforms to censor and ban the Applicant’s accounts. This was done with the sole purpose of suppressing the Applicant’s exposure of state corruption.

High Protection for Political Speech: Under **Article 10(1) ECHR**, everyone has the right to freedom of expression, which includes the freedom to hold opinions and to receive and impart information and ideas without interference by public authority. The ECHR has repeatedly

affirmed that political speech and speech criticising state actors or public officials enjoys the highest level of protection under the Convention.

The Precedent: In ***Castells v. Spain (1992) 14 EHRR 445***, the Court ruled that *"the limits of permissible criticism are wider with regard to the Government than in relation to a private citizen."* The state cannot weaponise its influence to silence critical voices.

Statutory Duty of the UK Court: Under **Section 12(4) of the Human Rights Act 1998**, the Court *must* pay "particular regard to the importance of the Convention right to freedom of expression." The State Actor Respondents' covert campaign to de-platform the Applicant is a severe, ongoing constitutional injury designed to prevent the public from learning about their unlawful actions.

2. Order Sought

The Applicant therefore applies for:

a) The order dated 2 April 2026 be set aside.

b) The Applicant seeks an Order that the claim be reinstated and the Applicant be granted a reasonable period to serve an Amended Claim Form and Particulars of Claim. This extension is necessary because the Respondents have fundamentally subverted the **'Equality of Arms'** principle by systematically blocking the Applicant's access to legal counsel and monitoring her communications.

A summary strike-out of a **Litigant in Person**—who has been intentionally isolated from professional assistance by high-ranking public officials—is a violation of **Article 6 ECHR**. The Court is requested to exercise its Case Management powers under CPR 3.1 to stay the proceedings until the protective injunctions sought are in place, allowing the Applicant to safely instruct competent, impartial representation without interference.

c) An immediate interim injunction to restrain the Respondents from engaging in further unlawful surveillance, non-consensually filming, voyeurism, dissemination, misuse of private information, human rights abuses, harassment, intimidation, hacking, evidence and witness tampering, interference and obstruction of justice.

d) An urgent oral hearing be listed to determine the Applicant's injunction application.

d) Expedited listing due to continuing risk of irreparable harm.

e) The Applicant acknowledges the delay. But it is "proportionate" considering the extreme external pressure, and that the Applicant's access to legal representation has been blocked.

f) The deadline to apply for the claim to be reinstated may be missed **due to the deliberate interference** of the other party. The "barrage of intimidation" that diverted the Applicant's time and energy into self-protection rather than case preparation, as she said earlier.

g) The court should not allow a party to benefit from its own wrongdoing (intimidation). Granting relief ensures that justice is seen to be done and that the state actors are not rewarded for retaliating, victimising and harassing a whistleblower.

h) Permission to submit additional evidence once the Applicant is reasonably protected from further monitoring and obstruction, in accordance with the Court's directions.

i) Directions as to the protection of confidential materials and support in securing legal representation, which the Respondents have obstructed.

j) Referral to a supervisory body or appointment of an independent investigator, to examine the allegations of illegal surveillance, hacking, and obstruction, including possible misuse of investigatory powers.

k) Any additional relief the Court considers just and appropriate to protect the integrity of these proceedings.

3. Interference with Access to Legal Representation

The Applicant has contacted a large number of solicitors, around 200-300 so far or maybe more, but has been unable to secure representation. The Applicant is concerned that the ongoing conduct may be contributing to this difficulty.

4. Monitoring of the Applicant's Activities

The Applicant is concerned that the State Actor Respondents appear to be monitoring the Applicant's online activities and communications at all times, including matters relating to the preparation of this claim.

5. Risk to the Administration of Justice

The continuation of this conduct may affect the Applicant's ability to litigate effectively and may compromise the fairness of the proceedings.

6. Request for Immediate Protection of the Court


The conduct is ongoing and continues to cause harm. The Applicant requests urgent consideration and submits this application 'Without Notice' to prevent further retaliatory interference and to protect the integrity of the administration of justice.

Question 11 Attachment

"Nature and Cause of Situational Vulnerability"

- **Algorithmic Censorship and Deplatforming:** The State Actor Respondents and their Co-respondents are weaponising social media algorithms to force targeted, offensive advertisements onto the Applicant's personal feed. This is a covert mechanism to suppress her **Article 10 Right to Freedom of Expression**. To escape this psychological warfare, she is forced to cease using the internet entirely, which effectively cuts off her access to the outside world, isolates her further, and prevents her from managing her legal claim, seeking help and launching her public appeal.

PAGE 58 – HELP WITH FEES CONFIRMATION



HM Courts & Tribunals Service

Royal Courts of Justice Group
Fees Exemptions & Remissions
Room WG08
Royal Courts of Justice
Strand
London WC2A 2LL
DX 44450 Strand
T 0203 936 8957
Text phone 18001 020 7947 6708
www.hmcourts-service.gov.uk

Remission Certificate

Name: Buppha Wittaya-Amponpunt

Remission No: HWF-ZHA-F66

Court Fee: £123.00

Date of Issue: 15/05/2026

Amount Remitted: £123.00

Expiry Date: 14/06/2026

Amount to Pay: £Nil

Name of Parties: Buppha Wittaya-Amponpunt -v- The Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis + Others

Your application for a fee remission of the above court fee has been successful and has been allowed on this occasion. You should, therefore, lodge this letter of authority with the appropriate issuing officer.

The Finance officer has asked me to bring to your notice that **the granting of a remission of the court fee in this matter must not be seen as any indication that the action you wish to pursue has merit or not.**
Fee Remissions are an administrative process under the Civil Proceedings Fees Order 2008, as amended and any Court process that you issue is subject to the Rules and Regulations of the Supreme Court.

The Remission of the Court Fee in this matter relates solely to this application and does not relate any other cost that may occur in the pursuance of this or any other action, (subsequently applications must be made to this office to issue any further process).

PLEASE NOTE: THIS ORIGINAL SEALED LETTER OF AUTHORITY MUST BE HANDED IN WHEN ISSUING THE DOCUMENTS TO WHICH IT REFERS. A PHOTOCOPY WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED.

Fees Remissions

Royal Courts of Justice Authority to Remit Court Fee

PAGES 59-138 – WITNESS STATEMENT OF BW

FIRST WITNESS STATEMENT OF BW

I, BW, unemployed, WILL SAY as follows:

---

1. INTRODUCTION AND EXTREME URGENCY

1.1 I am the Claimant in the anticipated proceedings and the Applicant in this urgent injunction application without notice.

1.2 The facts spoken to in this statement are within my own knowledge, save where otherwise appears, and where so appearing are true to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

1.3 I make this witness statement in support of my application for an urgent interim injunction to restrain the Respondents from continuing to illegally install hidden cameras, non-consensually film me, live-stream my private life, and disseminate or commercially exploit illegal recordings of me without my knowledge or consent. This unauthorised, intrusive surveillance is continuous, causing me immense distress and completely destroying my sense of safety and security in my own private environment.

1.4 By continuing to unlawfully install hidden cameras, which also record audio, non-consensually filming me 24/7, including during sexual acts, engaging in voyeurism, and selling / live-streaming the illegal recordings, they severely violate my Article 8 (right to privacy), Article 3 (torture or inhuman / degrading treatment and Article 6 (Right to a fair trial). Because they, especially the UK State Actor Respondents, also block my access to lawyers.

1.5 Therefore, this changes the legal nature of my case from a "privacy breach" to **human trafficking, modern slavery, and organised crime.**

1.6 If public official respondents are using state resources to film me and my daughter for profit, they are engaging in **commercial sexual exploitation**. Under the **Modern Slavery Act 2015** and international law (the **Palermo Protocol**), this is a "Crime Against Humanity" level offense.

1.7 On 30 May 2026, at approximately 21:37 pm, immediately after I was about to add paragraphs 1.3 & 1.4 from another document, which I had drafted it ready, I heard an individual suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut. Again, at approximately 21:49 pm, soon

after I had just finished typing about the door-slamming shut, I heard a motorcycle accelerate aggressively past my window.

1.8 Why They Are Blocking My Lawyers

The Motive: **Financial and Criminal Suppression.** By preventing me from speaking to a lawyer, they are protecting a **criminal revenue stream**. In legal terms, this is an **Abuse of Process** designed to shield a criminal enterprise from discovery.

1.9 Allegations of For-Profit Criminal Exploitation and Human Trafficking

- I provide further evidence of the State Actor Respondents' motive for obstructing these proceedings. The illegal filming and surveillance described are conducted not only for harassment but for **commercial profit**. I believe the State Actor Respondents, both known and unknown, such as the police, are uploading non-consensual intimate footage of me and my daughter to pornographic platforms for financial gain.
- This conduct constitutes **Human Trafficking and Modern Slavery** under the Modern Slavery Act 2015. The systematic blocking of my access to legal counsel is a deliberate act to conceal this criminal enterprise and ensure its continued profitability.
- The 'Totally Without Merit' certification is therefore an instrument of criminal suppression. The Court is respectfully requested to reinstate the claim and grant the injunctions to prevent the ongoing commercial exploitation of me and my family.

1.11 I therefore respectfully submit that this is a case of **extreme urgency**, as there is a **real and immediate risk of continuing and irreparable harm** if the requested relief is not granted. Specifically, if the Injunction is not granted, **my confidential data and private materials will be permanently disclosed and disseminated**, representing a loss for which damages would not be an adequate remedy.

1.11 Furthermore, certain Respondents, who are public officials, are commercially exploiting this non-consensual footage. I believe they are also actively selling these illicit recordings to commercial entities, film production companies, tech platforms and more, to be used as source material for advertisements and films. These commercial productions make direct, identifiable references to my private life and the fact that I am being surreptitiously filmed. Because this commercial distribution is active and ongoing, it multiplies the harm daily. I am seeking an urgent injunction because damages alone cannot remedy this continuous, commercialised violation of my private life, and immediate court intervention is necessary to prevent further dissemination.

1.12 The urgency of this application is severely compounded by the Primary Respondents' absolute defiance of the impending legal proceedings. Despite being made explicitly aware that I am actively seeking an urgent injunction from this Honourable Court, the Primary Respondents have refused to remove the covert surveillance equipment. Instead, they continue to non-consensually film me, live-stream my private life, and disseminate the footage exactly as before, showing complete disregard for the law and the administration of justice.

1.13 Moreover, I am deeply concerned because certain individuals among the Respondents are public officials. Their involvement suggests they believe they are acting with absolute impunity, abusing their positions to facilitate or shield this unlawful surveillance network. This abuse of public position, combined with their ongoing defiance of my legal rights, demonstrates that the Primary Respondents will not stop their tortious behaviour voluntarily. Immediate judicial intervention by way of an interim injunction is the only mechanism capable of halting this continuous, egregious violation.

1.14 **Brief Background:** Since approximately 2013, or maybe even before that, to present the 2nd Respondent (The Police - D2, Schedule of Defendants, p.6) and/or persons acting on their behalf and with their knowledge and/or authorisation, unlawfully installed hidden cameras and audio recording devices at my residences and other places as well (e.g., hostels where I have stayed at both in the UK and Denmark, public bathrooms and dental practices, including misusing CCTV to illegally monitor me almost everywhere I go as part of their campaign of excessive monitoring), covertly capturing private activities and communications 24/7, including sexual activities. The Primary Respondents and/or persons acting on their behalf, including unknown Respondents, and with their knowledge and/or authorisation/encouragement, subsequently disseminated these highly private materials to third parties, tech platforms, including but are not limited to various pornographic websites, advertisement agencies, film production companies, writers, film producers, and individuals known to me. They keep this secret for years. When I began to find out in late 2024 the industrial-scale harassment campaign against me started, using all kinds of insidious harassment techniques as recognised by the United Nations Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR). Consequently, I have since been subjected to a targeted campaign of harassment and intimidation, involving the covert recording and subsequent dissemination of intimate materials. This campaign is being used specifically to humiliate me, punish my activities as a human rights dissident and as retaliation for trying to assert my rights.

1.15 Despite my formal complaints to the police on various dates in 2024, as soon as I became aware of the illegal surveillance and dissemination of non-consensually recordings, the secret filming and dissemination are shockingly continuing and escalating. The State has failed in its **positive obligation** to protect my privacy. The Primary Respondents have also actively obstructed my attempts to seek legal counsel, as I said earlier, effectively blocking my access to a fair trial.

1.16 I draw the Court's attention to the case of **Khadija Ismayilova v. Azerbaijan** **(App no 65286/13)**, in which the European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) held that state-sponsored smear campaigns—including the covert recording and dissemination of intimate material—constitute a grave affront to human dignity and a violation of the **Article 8 right to privacy**. My situation mirrors this precedent in its use of targeted humiliation to punish my activism, silence my dissent and retaliate for trying to assert my rights through court. The State has an absolute **positive obligation** to protect citizens from such 'flagrant and unjustified' invasions of privacy; however, in my case, the State has not only failed to investigate these intrusions but has actively orchestrated them. By blocking my access to legal counsel and

continuing to disseminate intimate recordings, the authorities are in ongoing breach of their international duties to ensure an environment protective of human rights and the rule of law.

1.17 The harm I am suffering is **irreparable**; once intimate materials are further disseminated, they cannot be "un-shared," and the damage to my psychological integrity and reputation will be permanent.

1.18 Immediate intervention is required to order the removal of hidden cameras, audio recording devices, intimate materials, prohibit further sharing, and restore my unhindered access to legal representation.

1.19 This application is therefore urgent because the evidence demonstrates that the conduct is **ongoing, responsive, and escalating**, including in direct response to my attempts to assert my legal rights. Furthermore, the Respondents have also suggested many times that the exact same abuse also extends to my adult daughter living alone in Germany. I cannot therefore wait any longer.

1.20 In particular, the '**urgency retaliation chronology'** (pages 34-41) exhibited to this Statement shows a pattern whereby the dissemination, misuse of my private information, harassment or witness intimidation, occurs **shortly after I take steps to investigate, evidence, write about it, go online, use social media, like or comment on social media posts concerning the negativity surrounding Keir Starmer, or pursue legal remedies**, including the preparation of this injunction application itself.

1.21 I respectfully submit that this pattern indicates that the conduct is **reactive in nature**, and may escalate further in response to the pending of these proceedings.

1.22 The timing and nature of the conduct gives rise to a serious concern that it may be **retaliatory or vindictive in effect**, in that it appears to intensify in parallel with my efforts to seek legal protection from the Court.

1.23 The consequence of this is that any delay in granting relief, or any advance notice of this application, carries a real risk of **further dissemination or intensification of the conduct** and irreversible harm.

1.24 For these reasons, I respectfully submit that this is a case where **urgent interim relief is necessary to preserve the subject matter of the proceedings and prevent further irreparable harm pending trial**.

1.25 The harm I am suffering is irreparable. Once private material is disseminated, particularly through digital platforms, the loss of confidentiality is permanent. The "bell cannot be unrung." No award of damages can restore privacy once such material has entered the public domain or has been widely circulated. The **urgency retaliation chronology** shows the nature and scale of dissemination and demonstrates a clear and immediate risk that the material will become uncontrollable and permanently embedded online.

1.26 Every hour that passes without injunctive relief allows further dissemination to occur, compounding the damage to my privacy, dignity, and reputation. The ongoing and recent escalation of such dissemination demonstrates that the harm is not historic but continuing in real time despite pending court proceedings and injunction application, suggesting the Respondents act with impunity and with actual malice.

1.27 There is, in my respectful submission, a serious issue to be tried. The material in question is inherently private, including my personal creative works and materials originating from my own devices and accounts. The subsequent use, replication, and dissemination of such material across platforms and media channels give rise to well-established causes of action, including misuse of private information, harassment, and unjust enrichment.

1.28 **Summary of the crisis:**

- **Urgency:** The Respondents are actively disseminating material *now* as a retaliatory strike.
- **Serious Issue:** I am more likely than not to win at a full trial because the information is objectively private.
- **Balance of Convenience:** The balance of convenience overwhelmingly favours the grant of injunctive relief. The harm to me is permanent and irreversible, whereas any prejudice to the Respondents is purely financial and limited to a temporary restriction pending trial. In circumstances where privacy, once lost, cannot be recovered, damages are plainly an inadequate remedy.

1.29 The conduct complained of is ongoing and even escalating, posing a serious and immediate risk of further harm to me and my daughter. I therefore seek the Court's protection to stop the abuse and prevent further escalation, which may lead to irreparable harm or even death.

1.30 The **urgency retaliation chronology** demonstrates a consistent and troubling pattern whereby each attempt by me to seek legal redress, or raise concerns of potential abuse of my daughter as well, is followed by a rapid and deliberate act of retaliation. In my respectful submission, this evidence shows a flagrant disregard for the legal process and an apparent attempt to pre-empt or undermine the Court's ability to grant effective relief. The speed and digital nature of the dissemination—particularly via online platforms means that once such material is released, the harm is immediate, widespread, and irreversible. This is therefore not the behaviour of innocent parties.

1.31 This conduct demonstrates what can properly be characterised as a "strike-back" pattern, whereby the Respondents appear to intensify their actions in direct response to my attempts to assert my rights.

1.32 This constitutes an aggravating factor of considerable seriousness. The Respondents' actions appear calculated to maximise distress/damage and to undermine my ability to pursue this claim effectively.

1.33 Further, the nature of the material and its dissemination serve no legitimate public interest. The material is inherently private, and its repeated and retaliatory publication cannot be justified under any balancing exercise between Article 8 and Article 10 rights.

1.34 This conduct has been **persistent, invasive, astronomical, manifold and distressing**, and has significantly interfered with my ability to live a normal life.

1.35 Evidence of this pattern is set out in my exhibits, including a chronology of events demonstrating repeated instances of escalation following my attempts to seek assistance or take protective action. This establishes that the threat is neither speculative nor historic, but immediate, ongoing, and worsening.

1.36 In those circumstances, I respectfully submit that the matter meets the threshold of extreme urgency and requires immediate intervention by the Court.

1.37 There are now produced and shown to me the exhibits marked “BW-02–BW-37”, to which I will refer throughout this Witness Statement.

---

2. REPEATED DEATH THREATS & WITNESS INTIMIDATION

2.1 I believe the Respondents are persistently orchestrating hidden death threats. For a representative example, I refer to **Exhibit BW-05, pages 155-159**, for one of a hidden death threat against my daughter sent to me by Instagram.

2.2 I, myself, have also experienced multiple poisoning incidents. I refer to **Exhibit BW-04 (pages 153-154)** for detailed description of the poisoning incidents and **Exhibit BW-25 (pages 298-302)** for detailed description of the sensory deprivation and severe bodily injury.

2.3 INCIDENT ON MONDAY, 1 SEPTEMBER 2025 – ELON MUSK’S X.COM ACTIVITY AND LINKED HARASSMENT

I must also raise an extremely serious concern. Shortly after I included Elon Musk as a co-defendant in these proceedings, I observed what can only be understood as an escalation in implied death threats on his X.com profile. Specifically, an item concerning the murder of a German teenage girl by immigrants who pushed her in front of a train. This is a chillingly direct threat, given that my daughter currently lives in Germany. Soon thereafter, he reposted a separate incident involving a white girl stabbed to death by a Black male. My daughter is of mixed heritage (half white), making the implication unmistakable. These posts appeared almost immediately after I added Elon Musk as a co-defendant, suggesting that he had become aware of his joinder and was, perhaps, issuing retaliatory threats. I refer to **Exhibit BW-10, pages 185-189,** screenshots and more description of the hidden death threats.

2.4 I have also observed that these implied death threats and symbolic references on his profile appear to have increased in frequency since I planned to initiate court proceedings, where Elon Musk is a named co-defendant.

2.5 The timing strongly suggests he was tipped off about being added as a co-defendant by other parties, probably by the UK-based co-defendants such as certain public officials, and that my devices are being illegally monitored at all times, after which this conduct intensified. Making death threats, whether direct or indirect, is a criminal offence. His actions therefore engage both civil liability and potential criminal consequences. They also cause me extreme distress and fear that violence will be used against me and my daughter.

2.6 Furthermore, almost every time I take some screenshots of his posts as evidence — which seem to reference me, hacking, implied threats, and other harassment — I immediately observe coordinated intimidation in my vicinity. This included:

- Massive lines and X or target-like marks drawn in the sky by aircraft following my activities.

- Police vehicles and ambulances pass by shortly after I have taken the screenshots of his social media posts, which seem to make references to me or my daughter, hidden death threats against both of us, insults, misuse of private information such as through his Grok Ai-generated content, etc.

2.7 This demonstrates a clear pattern of coordinated retaliation and intimidation in response to my evidence-gathering activities and anticipated injunction & court proceedings.

2.8 These are just some representative examples of multiple hidden threats and death threats against both me and my daughter from across all platforms, including Instagram (as described in paragraph 2.1), suggesting coordinated retaliation and intimidation, which intensify whenever I privately add more defendants to my claim, initiated court proceedings, on the verge of filing my injunction application, or raise concerns about my daughter that they may also subject her to the exact same sexual abuse and exploitation. It therefore strongly suggests witness intimidation and coercive control.

---

3. SYSTEMIC SEXUAL ABUSE AND CRIMINAL EXPLOITATION

3.1 I further inform the Court of a grave and criminal escalation in the Public Official Respondents' course of conduct. I believe that the Public Official Respondents have illegally installed hidden surveillance equipment within the private residences of both me and my adult daughter (AB), who also lives alone in Germany.

3.2 This equipment has been used to non-consensually film me, and possibly my daughter as well in her residence, during private sexual acts. I believe these recordings have been uploaded to public pornographic websites without consent. Representative examples of the alleged dissemination of my private and intimate materials are exhibited at **BW-03 (pages 149-151), BW-06 (pages 164-166), BW-07 (pages 168-171), BW-11 (pages 191-192) and BW-12 (pages 194-201)**. Some films and advertisements also make repeated references to these illegal recordings such as the film ***Babygirl*** **(2024),** produced and distributed by **A24**, as described in **paragraph 20.6, pages 91-92**, Representative Example 2. This suggests that the Respondents are exploiting these illegal recordings in every way possible - both for profit and for retaliation to cause me immense mental suffering. Particularly when my access to lawyers also appears to have been blocked, intentionally trapping me in the ongoing abuse.

3.3 More representative examples exhibited at **BW-16, pages 222 & 229-230, and BW-21, pages 263-267**, demonstrate what appears to be repeated and ongoing dissemination / live-streaming of my sex tapes non-consensually filmed with the hidden cameras over the years, particularly almost immediately after I try to assert my rights, gather evidence, or raise concerns about my daughter that they may be targeting her as well, which I rely upon in support of my application for urgent injunctive relief.

3.4 These acts constitute serious sexual offences, including **Voyeurism** and **Image-based Sexual Abuse**, and are being used as a tool of total social and psychological control. The Respondents are treating me and my daughter as 'property,' which I maintain is evidence of a **criminal enterprise** and a **crime against humanity**. This immediate and irreparable harm to my dignity and bodily privacy necessitates the Court's urgent intervention.

---

4. REQUIREMENT FOR URGENT PROTECTION OF MY DAUGHTER (AB)

4.1 The Respondents' campaign of witness intimidation has expanded into a deeply malicious, multi-generational assault targeting my daughter (AB). I have strong grounds to believe that the public official Respondents have initiated a coordinated 'honey trap' and intelligence-gathering operation directed at her. They have explicitly and repeatedly implied that hidden recording apparatus have been installed in her private residence to sexually abuse, exploit and monitor her life as well.

4.2 When I have attempted to warn my daughter and her father of this extreme risk to her safety, the Respondents have intervened using active evidence tampering and psychological manipulation. They have systematically manipulated my ex-husband, feeding him false narratives so that he disbelieves my warnings, thereby stripping my daughter of paternal protection and leaving her completely exposed to their surveillance infrastructure, sexual abuse & exploitation via hidden cameras, misuse of private information, commercial exploitation, honey trap operation, stalking and harassment. All in all, what they do to me, they appear to do

to her as well. The only difference is that she doesn't know it, when every attempt to warn her is met with evidence tampering, intimidation, and all kinds of methods to suppress the truth.

4.3 This is a textbook application of coercive control and stalking. The Respondents are intentionally using my child's safety as psychological weaponry, aiming to crush my resolve and force me to abandon this claim by inflicting a paralysing burden of guilt. This calculated targeting of a family member violates **Article 8 of the ECHR** and constitutes a severe criminal course of conduct. It reinforces the absolute, overriding necessity for an immediate, without-notice injunction to restrain these Respondents from interacting with or monitoring both myself and my immediate family.

4.4 On 31 May 2026, at precisely 01:15 am, as I was editing the previous paragraph regarding my daughter, I observed a car suddenly driving past my window. It's like this almost every time I raise concerns about my daughter, a car would suddenly drive past my window, a motorcycle would suddenly accelerate past my window, sometimes very aggressively, or my housemate would suddenly exit/return to the property and violently slam the main door shut. Often, the time ends in the number “15” or “51” as if to allude to something or an entity as usual. Because using numbers to refer to someone, something, or an entity, appears to be one of the Public Official Respondents’ favourite methods.

4.5 I am therefore gravely concerned for the safety and dignity of my adult daughter, **AB**, who is approximately 35 years old, who I believe is an oblivious victim of the same commercial sexual exploitation described herein, and that the Public Official Respondents are utilising coercive control and digital manipulation to prevent her from discovering the truth.

4.6 The Public Official Respondents and their co-respondents are actively preventing my daughter from discovering this exploitation by intercepting my warnings and manipulating her digital environment. This ensures she remains 'under the radar' and unable to seek her own legal or medical protection, which is a flagrant violation of her **Article 6** rights **and Article 8 rights.**

4.7 This interference has resulted in a total breakdown of family communication, leaving the victim isolated and unable to seek legal counsel or protection.

4.8 The injunction sought is therefore of absolute importance to protect my daughter from further irremediable harm. I respectfully request that the Court exercise its **Inherent Jurisdiction** to protect a vulnerable individual who is being disabled from giving genuine consent to her circumstances by the Respondents' fraud and influence.

---

5. INTERFERENCE WITH POTENTIAL WITNESSES AND INTERCEPTION OF WARNINGS

5.1 As I said earlier, I have made repeated attempts to warn my daughter—also a victim of the Public Official Respondents' conduct—of the ongoing surveillance and criminal exploitation. These warnings have been sent via email and social media posts.

5.2 In a sudden departure from all previous behaviour, these posts and warnings are being systematically deleted immediately after publication. I believe the Respondents are monitoring these communications in real-time and utilising their agents to either manipulate her or directly access her accounts to suppress this information.

5.3 Particularly, her new Spanish boyfriend (PU9, Schedule of Defendants, p.48), is believed to be acting as a sexpionage for the primary respondents, possibly for the PM Keir Starmer and/or the Police, and possibly for other unknown public official respondents as well, to suppress the truth, gather information for exploitation and to control / manipulate her. Screenshots and photos exhibited at **BW-02, pages 143-147,** provide more explanation of when and how they met.

5.4 This conduct is not the behaviour of innocent parties; it is an **implied admission of guilt** and a desperate attempt to maintain the isolation of the victims. It constitutes **witness tampering** and a further attempt to obstruct the course of justice by ensuring the full scale of the criminal enterprise remains hidden from the Court.

---

6. INTENTIONAL DESTRUCTION OF FAMILY RELATIONS TO SUPPRESS EVIDENCE

6.1 The Respondents have also escalated their interference by actively sabotaging the relationship between me and my daughter. Following the systematic deletion of my warnings, my daughter has now blocked me and ceased all communication.

6.2 This is a highly calculated act of **relational sabotage** designed to ensure I am 'all alone' and that the second victim of this criminal enterprise remains isolated and unaware of the non-consensually filming. This is not a domestic dispute; it is a tactical 'cleansing' of my support system.

6.3 This conduct is a direct violation of the **Right to Family Life (Article 8 ECHR)** and constitutes evidence of **Persecution**. The Respondents are weaponising their influence to ensure that the truth of their for-profit exploitation never reaches a court of law.

---

7. THE "INDEPENDENT CAPACITY"

7.1 The fact that my daughter has suddenly turned against me after I began exposing the Respondents' criminal acts is the strongest evidence of **undue influence**. The Court must not

allow the Respondents to win by default because they have successfully silenced the other victim.

---

8. PROCEDURAL BARRIERS AND HUMAN RIGHTS

8.1 Conflict of Interest

I respectfully draw the Court's attention to a significant structural imbalance in these proceedings. Given some of the Respondents' status as powerful state actors, their influence over public sectors has created an effective barrier to my attempt to obtain independent legal counsel. This creates an inherent conflict of interest where state actors, as defendants, are perceived to be obstructing the very legal avenues meant to hold them accountable. I request that the Court exercise its case management powers to ensure that this imbalance does not result in a denial of justice.

8.2 Equality of Arms (Article 6 ECHR)

I further invoke the principle of 'Equality of Arms' under Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights. This principle requires that each party be given a reasonable opportunity to present their case under conditions that do not place them at a substantial disadvantage vis-à-vis their opponent. Currently, as a Litigant in Person facing the full legal resources of the State Actors—while simultaneously being obstructed from securing private representation—I am at a severe disadvantage. I therefore respectfully ask the Court to safeguard these procedural rights and reinstate the claim.

---

9. MALICIOUS DISSEMINATION AS RETALIATION

9.1 The strike-out is being weaponised by the Public Official Respondents as a 'window of opportunity' to disseminate my private materials widely and to continue to sexually abuse & exploit me and my daughter via hidden cameras under the radar. This is a retaliatory act designed to ensure that by the time I can find a lawyer, the damage to my and my daughter's privacy and dignity is irreversible. The Court's summary strike-out—conducted while I am being intentionally isolated from legal counsel—inadvertently permits this criminal enterprise and retaliation. Reinstatement and an urgent injunction are the only means to prevent irreparable harm and a total and permanent denial of justice.

9.2 The Public Official Respondents' conduct also follows a clear and malicious pattern: Whenever I attempt to assert my legal rights, raise concerns about my daughter, expose their misconduct, and so on, they immediately retaliate by disseminating my private materials even

more, intimidating, harassing, evidence tampering, scamming/defrauding me and isolating me further, strongly suggesting coercive control and an abuse of power.

9.3 Thus, the Public Official Respondents' conduct has moved beyond illegal surveillance, secret filming and privacy infringement into witness intimidation and abuse of process. My documented **urgency retaliation chronology**, pages 31-38, shows that every legal step I take or just like/comment on social media posts, particularly concerning the negativity surrounding the PM Keir Starmer, is met within hours by a fresh "strike"—the dissemination of my private materials to tech platforms, film production companies, pornographic websites, other businesses and individuals to allow them to create advertisements, films, Ai-generated content, and more, incorporating my private information and intimate materials - both as a form of harassment by publication as retaliation and commercial exploitation (unjust enrichment).

9.4 As the **urgency retaliation chronology** demonstrates, the Public Official Respondents are acting in **flagrant disregard** of the legal process. These are not isolated incidents; they are calculated 'strikes' designed to taunt the authority of the Court and intimidate me into abandoning my claim. This behaviour proves that the Public Official Respondents are bad-faith actors who cannot be trusted to maintain the status quo.

9.5 Furthermore, due to the **instantaneous and global nature of the digital footprint** created by these strikes, every hour that passes without an Order allows for further irreparable harm. In the digital age, the 'bell cannot be unrung'; once this material is disseminated, my and my daughter's privacy is lost forever.

---

10. BLACKMAIL, HARASSMENT, AND INTERFERENCE WITH THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE

10.1 Compromised Evidence and Request for Protective Measures

A. I bring to the Court's attention that I am currently unable to submit the full extent of my evidence. Due to the Public Official Respondents' persistent monitoring of my devices and the systematic blocking of access to legal counsel, it is currently unsafe for me to compile or transmit sensitive materials. To do so would further expose my legal strategy to the Public Official Respondents and risk the escalation in harassment, intimidation and retaliation, including the destruction or suppression of key evidence by the Public Official Respondents before it can be formally reviewed by a lawyer.

B. Consequently, my inability to provide a full bundle at this stage is a direct consequence of the Public Official Respondents' interference. I require the protections sought in the attached Draft Order—specifically the cessation of monitoring and the disclosure of surveillance—before I can safely and fully comply with standard evidentiary requirements.

C. Additionally, I must inform the Court that I am currently in possession of further crucial evidence and sensitive information that directly substantiates the merits of my claim. However, I cannot safely submit this evidence to the Court at this time. Because my electronic devices and communications are being constantly monitored by the Public Official Respondents, any attempt to digitalise, transmit, or even draft descriptions of this evidence would immediately reveal my full legal strategy to the opposing parties and risk the destruction or preemptive suppression of that evidence.

D. I am prepared to provide this evidence to the Court as soon as the protections sought in my Draft Order—specifically the cessation of device monitoring—are in place. Until then, the Court is asked to recognise that the current record is necessarily incomplete due to the Respondents' own unlawful interference, and the claim should not be judged 'without merit' based on a bundle that has been intentionally throttled by the Public Official Respondents' surveillance.

10.2 I am also advised and believe that the conduct complained of may also amount to **blackmail within the meaning of section 21 of the Theft Act 1968**, namely the making of unwarranted demands with menaces with a view to gain for oneself or another, or with intent to cause loss to another.

10.3 I respectfully submit that this pattern is not coincidental. Rather, it demonstrates that the rapid dissemination is being used as a **deliberate tool of coercion**, intended to pressure me into abandoning or weakening my legal claim.

10.4 The “menace” in this context consists of the threat—actual or implied—of further publication of highly private material, which would foreseeably cause serious and irreversible harm to my privacy, dignity, reputation and wellbeing. The “unwarranted demand” consists of the implicit requirement that I cease or refrain from pursuing my legal rights.

10.5 The timing and repetition of these acts, as set out in the **urgency retaliation chronology** in this witness statement, demonstrate a **course of conduct amounting to harassment** within the meaning of the Protection from Harassment Act 1997. A reasonable person would consider such conduct to be oppressive, intimidating, and designed to cause distress.

10.6 Further, I respectfully submit that the conduct disclosed by the **“urgency retaliation” chronology**, evidences a **flagrant disregard for the legal process**, in that the Respondents appear to be using dissemination of private material as a means of:

- deterring me from pursuing legal remedies;
- violating my freedom of expression by retaliating immediately after I write about their misconduct either privately or publicly, like or comment on social media posts about the PM Keir Starmer and his alleged misconduct, and so on;
- punishing me for taking legal steps; and
- pre-empting or undermining the Court’s ability to grant effective relief.

10.7 For example, almost immediately after I privately write about Keir Starmer and his alleged involvement in this, like or comment on social media posts regarding the calls on his

resignation, for instance, housemates will suddenly exit/return to the property and slam the main door shut with full force! This looks like not just intimidation but also an attempt to violate my human right article 2 (right to life) by repeatedly causing my health to deteriorate via persistent loud door slamming daily, which could lead to fatal health consequences, at long last. This also strongly suggests that the Public Official Respondents are illegally monitoring all my online activities and communications 24/7 and then retaliate and taunt me immediately. By doing this, they are also violating my human right article 10 (freedom of expression) as a form of censorship.

10.8 In those circumstances, I am advised that such conduct may properly be characterised as an **interference with the administration of justice**, including conduct analogous to witness intimidation or an attempt to render these proceedings ineffective.

10.9 In all the circumstances, I respectfully submit that this conduct constitutes a serious aggravating factor and strongly supports:

- the need for **urgent interim injunctive relief (including without notice)**;
- the necessity of **anonymity and protective orders**;
- the making of **preservation and disclosure orders**; and
- the Court's consideration of **aggravated damages** at trial.

---

11. THE NEED FOR "PERSONS UNKNOWN" & NEWCOMER RELIEF

11.1 The Respondents have explicitly threatened to circumvent any personal injunction by sharing, uploading materials to third-party platforms (including adult/pornographic sites) and providing files to "agents" or "servants." Therefore, I require:

- **An Injunction Against Persons Unknown:** To bind anyone who knowingly assists in the dissemination (the *Spycatcher* principle).
- **Alternative Service:** Permission to serve the order on platforms and third parties via email to trigger immediate removal duties.

---

12. THE "STOP THE BLEED" ARGUMENT (PROHIBITORY ORDER)

12.1 The Argument: This must cover not just the Respondents, but also their **"servants or agents"** (to stop them from giving the files to a friend to leak for them).

12.2 The Justification: The **Urgency Retaliation Chronology** shows that every time I speak, they leak. This proves a "Prohibitory Order" is the only way to preserve the status quo until a full trial.

---

13. MANDATORY & PRESERVATION ORDERS

13.1 As long as the Respondents hold the digital files, the "loaded gun" remains pointed at me. Because they have already proven they will leak data as a "strike." They have thus demonstrated extreme bad faith and a "do-it-then-show-me" attitude, a Prohibitory Order (to stop) is therefore insufficient. I am requesting:

- **A Mandatory Order for Delivery Up/Destruction:** To "disarm" the Respondents of the source materials.
- **An Imaging/Preservation Order:** To ensure evidence of their retaliatory strikes is not deleted from their devices before a forensic audit can take place.

13.2 **Why "Stop" is not enough:** Given the Respondents' pattern of immediate retaliatory dissemination, a mere prohibitory order would leave the Respondents in possession of the very materials they are using to intimidate me. Only a mandatory order for destruction/delivery up can provide the necessary protection.

---

14. OVERVIEW OF THE CONDUCT

14.1 The Police (The 2nd Respondent) and/or their agents/associates have unlawfully installed hidden cameras and audio recording devices in every place I live since approximately 2013, including private areas, non-consensually capturing my highly intimate activities and sexual acts.

14.2 The non-consensually obtained recordings are not only being used to harass, gain sexual gratification, sexually humiliate and intimidate me, but also appear to be commercialised for profit. They are shared with pornographic websites, commercial entities, tech platforms, film production companies, and so forth, to create advertisements and films based on my unlawfully obtained private information, intimate materials and creative works. These materials may already have been viewed by millions of people globally, causing devastating harm to my dignity, reputation, and wellbeing.

14.3 Furthermore, the non-consensually recordings obtained through this covert surveillance are also disseminated to strangers, acquaintances, and even individuals connected to me and my family. I reasonably believe this material has been shared electronically and used in AI-generated imagery, and maybe AI training as well, **as described in paragraph 18.6**

Representative Example 2 pages 85-87 and in Exhibit BW-12 pages 194-201 and Exhibit BW-18 pages 243-246, for instance.

14.4 As a result, I have completely lost control over my private and confidential information. The harassment and intimidation also intensity whenever I prepare or update legal documents, amounting to witness intimidation and coercive control. This conduct amounts to inhuman and degrading treatment (Article 3 ECHR), a violation of my right to privacy (Article 8 ECHR), and interference with my right to a fair trial (Article 6 ECHR), since they also appear to prevent me from having legal representation, so they can continue to sexually abuse me and my daughter via hidden cameras under the radar.

14.5 For these reasons, I respectfully ask the Court to deal with this application on an urgent basis to prevent further irreparable harm and to stop the ongoing widespread dissemination of my and my daughter's private information and intimate materials.

14.6 In the following paragraphs, I set out the factual background and some representative examples to demonstrate the seriousness of this matter.

14.7 Definitions / Individuals Referred To

For the purposes of this statement, I refer to certain individuals whose names are unknown to me as follows:

1. **Person A** – a male housemate, approximately mid-40s, bald, white British
2. **Person B** – a female housemate, approximately late 30s, frequently wearing dark clothing, white British
3. **Person C** – an elderly male housemate, residing in room 2, white
4. **Person D** – a male housemate, approximately late-30, possibly from the Middle East
5. **Person E** – an elderly female housemate, residing in room 3, possibly from Romania
6. **Person F** – a male housemate, approximately early 40s, living together with **Person E,** believed to be her son

These identifiers are used solely for clarity.

---

15. FACTUAL BACKGROUND TO THE CLAIM

15.1 **Illegal Surveillance and Covert Filming:** Commencing on or around 2013 and continuing to the present day, the Primary Respondents, particularly the police, are believed to have repeatedly and unlawfully installed hidden cameras in every residence and hostel occupied by me. This includes, but is not limited to, properties located at:

- Selhurst Close, Putney, London, SW19 6AZ, where I lived from October 2013 to August 2024. This accommodation was provided by Brinkley Estates Limited, also a defendant in my claim (D169, Schedule of Defendants, p.43).
- 52-54 Westow Hill, Crystal Palace, London, SE19 1RX, where I lived from August 2024 to October 2024. This accommodation was provided by Ariya Property Letting Limited, also a defendant in my claim (D170, Schedule of Defendants, p.43).
- 11 The Pavement, The Cube Ealing, Popes Lane, London, W5 4NG and two more accommodations, provided by the **University of West London** - also a defendant in my claim (D7, Schedule of Defendants, p.8).
- And in 'EVERY residence and hostel in the UK' since (even after I have made repeated complaints to the police, regarding the secret filming and dissemination, suggesting further retaliation and victimisation) and, subsequently, in Denmark as well.

15.2 These hidden cameras, which also record audio, have been used to non-consensually film me in intimate states, doing sexual acts and everything I do, since the hidden cameras operate 24/7, without my knowledge or consent for possibly more than a decade. They still continue to do so till this day despite pending court proceedings and injunction application.

15.3 On **20 January 2023**, I made a formal complaint online on the IOPC website about the misconduct, the matter unrelated to this claim, of two police officers **PC Jonathan Miotti** and **PC Andrew Ngo** - unaware that the police have likely been secretly filming me via hidden cameras and disseminating or selling the illegal recordings for years. I refer to **Exhibit BW-01 (pages 140-141)** extractions of my police complaint to IOPC.

15.4 Following my complaint to the police, the surveillance intensified, I began to experience what appeared to be a coordinated harassment and smear campaign, when hidden cameras continued to be installed and in every new place I moved to afterwards as well. This also included excessive monitoring everywhere I go, stalking, severe harassment, intimidation, reputational sabotage, data breach, misuse of private information and commercial exploitation on an industrial scale. This was a clear act of retaliation and victimisation.

15.5 Targeting My Daughter as Additional Retaliation - Further Evidence of Intimidation and Interference

A. Further demonstrating the 1st & 2nd Respondents' malicious intent, and their concerted effort to inflict emotional distress upon me, their campaign of victimisation appeared to extend to my daughter (AB). Because in or around **early August 2023**, shortly after my police complaint, AB's long-term relationship of twelve years abruptly ended under suspicious circumstances. I aver that this breakup was orchestrated or influenced by the Primary Respondents, particularly the PM Keir Starmer (the 1st Respondent) and the Police (the 2nd Respondent), as a direct act of retaliation against me. I refer to **Exhibit BW-02 (pages 143-147)** photos and screenshots detailing the breakup of AB and her ex-boyfriend, including details of the alleged honey trap whom AB started dating almost immediately after the breakup.

B. Following this breakup, the Respondents, which I think may be the Primary Respondents, such as Keir Starmer and the Police, appear to have planted a **honey trap/sexpionage (PU9, Schedule of Defendants, p.48)** into AB's life. Because almost immediately after the breakup, she began seeing a new man from **Spain** introduced via online acquaintances. This individual, under the guise of a romantic relationship, is believed to have been deployed to gather information for exploitation, exert control over her, and suppress the truth regarding the 1st & 2nd Respondents' unlawful activities. This constitutes a severe and calculated act of grooming, psychological manipulation and harassment, aimed at further destabilising my life and causing me profound anguish.

C. I therefore have strong grounds to believe that state actors have initiated a 'honey trap' operation targeting my daughter. This appears to be a coordinated attempt to gather intelligence, exert control over my domestic life, and suppress the truth. This conduct is a gross violation of **Article 8 ECHR** and constitutes a targeted campaign of psychological harassment designed to destroy my family unit to prevent legal accountability.

D. Impact: This caused me distress and fear for my daughter's safety and wellbeing. Because I also believe one of his tasks is to cause her psychiatric injury by making her fall hard for him. So, by the time he has to leave her, either because his mission is accomplished or because he's being exposed, she may suffer from psychiatric injury due to severe heartbreak.

E. I also noted that her new Spanish partner, whom she has been seeing for about 3 years now, because I believe they may still be seeing each other, has supposedly never visited her in Germany, where she resides. Instead, she always travels to Spain to see him, or they meet elsewhere for holidays. This raised my concern that her home environment may not have been secure, which further suggests that he may know there are hidden cameras in her place as well.

15.6 Subjecting My Daughter to Similar Sexual Abuse and Exploitation

In addition to the aforementioned acts of illegal surveillance, sexual abuse, stalking and harassment directed at me, Keir Starmer and the Police, including Unknown Public Official Respondents, have consistently and deliberately sought to cause me further severe emotional and psychological distress by implying that they are also sexually abusing my daughter through similar methods of hidden cameras, misuse of private information, commercial exploitation, collective harassment, cyberstalking, stalking by proxy and the employment of their honey trap to fake a relationship with her. These implications are conveyed through, e.g., 'social media posts,' 'comments made by third parties known to be associated with the Respondents,' 'patterns of surveillance that suggest monitoring of my daughter's activities,' 'advertisements,' 'films' and even 'learning materials' from the Respondent University of West London.

I also reasonably believe that they may have unlawfully installed hidden cameras and audio recording devices in her place right after her breakup with her ex-boyfriend in or around early August 2023. Now that they have gotten rid of her boyfriend. She now has to live alone, making her an easy target. So, if hidden cameras and audio recording devices have been illegally installed in her place since August 2023, that's been about 3 years already. Her intimate

materials may have been distributed and live-streamed all over the place by now, making an injunction even more urgent.

On 9 June 2026, at approximately 1:21 am, immediately upon adding the abovementioned paragraph to this section, I heard a car accelerate past my window.

15.7 One of the troubling aspects of this is that my daughter doesn't know that they may be targeting her as well. Because my every attempt to warn her is met with more harassment, intimidation, retaliation and evidence tampering, as described in **paragraphs 5.1-5.4, page 68**. This is not the behaviour of innocent parties!

15.8 Adding the Two Police Officers as Defendants

I reserve the right to amend my Particulars of Claim to include the two police officers, **PC Jonathan Miotti** and **PC Andrew Ngo**, who were the subject of my complaint on 20 January 2023, as additional defendants. This will occur if further evidence emerges to substantiate my reasonable belief that these officers are directly or indirectly responsible for the sudden and unexplained breakup of my daughter's 12-year long-term relationship, their involvement in the illegal installation of hidden cameras in her residence for voyeurism and commercial exploitation, and the “honey trap” operation, which I believe to be an act of retaliation for my initial complaint.

15.9 Demonstrates aggravated and malicious intent

Thus, the Respondents are not just harassing me directly — they are deliberately targeting my daughter through implied voyeurism, sexual exploitation, stalking and cyberstalking. Because I believe they are also monitoring all her online activities and communications - the same thing they are doing to me. So to speak, everything they are doing to me, they are allegedly doing to my daughter as well. Particularly when they keep implying it to cause me severe emotional distress and to induce anger, knowing that they are abusing my daughter as well but can't help her when every attempt to warn her is met with all kinds of obstructions. My access to lawyers is also blocked.

Their intent is to cause maximum psychological harm, knowing I cannot protect her and that she has been manipulated to stop talking to me. This goes far beyond ordinary harassment and qualifies as aggravated harassment.

On 9 June 2026, at approximately **1:10 am**, immediately upon reading this section regarding my daughter that the Respondents, particularly the State Actor Respondents, may also be sexually abusing and exploiting her via hidden cameras, I heard a car accelerate past my window slightly. The time symbolically ended in the **No.10** again.

15.10 Breach of Articles 3, 6, 8, 12 and 14 ECHR:

Article 3: Protection from inhuman or degrading treatment. Sexual exploitation and voyeurism against my child — especially when used as a weapon against me — is a grave breach.

Article 6: Preventing me from warning her, from being believed, and/or from obtaining legal representation to protect our rights is part of the wider obstruction of justice.

Article 8: By installing hidden cameras to sexually abuse, exploit and harass us, they are also violating our rights to privacy.

Article 12: Hindering us from forming genuine relationships with anyone is another cruel violation of this article (the right for men and women of marriageable age to marry and start a family)

Article 14: Because I am a woman of colour and my daughter is half white, targeting both of us is also a clear indication of severe discrimination due to our protected characteristics.

All in all, they seem to violate all of our human rights. This is also unlawful retaliation and victimisation.

15.11 On **30 August 2023**, shortly after the breakup of my daughter and her ex-boyfriend, I received an email from **PC Lisa Giddings**, updating me on my police complaint made on 20 January 2023.

15.12 In **early October 2023**, I commenced my full-time studies as an undergraduate student (English and Film) at the **University of West London**. Around this time, I started receiving hints through learning materials, lecturers and emails that my private life had been secretly recorded for years, using hidden cameras and audio recording devices, and that these images, videos and sounds had been unlawfully distributed to various third parties, including pornographic sites. As seen in **Exhibits BW-03 (pages 149-151)** screenshots of teaching materials that appeared to make references to my sexual acts non-consensually taken with the hidden cameras over the years, possibly by the police and/or their co-conspirators, such as other Unknown Public Official Respondents.

15.13 These hints include references in course content to my life, experiences, my childhood, and even my sexual acts, which strongly suggests unlawful information gathering via their agents as well by extracting my private information from my family and everyone I know for malicious purposes, and my sexual acts illegally obtained via hidden cameras over the years, implying that the Respondents have collectively been sexually exploiting me and disseminating my private information and materials for commercial or entertainment purposes.

15.14 The deliberate timing and content of these materials cannot be dismissed as coincidence. Rather, they form part of a wider campaign of sexual abuse, misuse of private information, breach of privacy, data breach, harassment, blackmail, coercive control, intimidation, retaliation and victimisation carried out by the Respondents and/or their associates. By carefully designing the teaching materials that embedded/made references to my life, my private information and intimate materials, the Respondents sought not only to humiliate and distress me but also to signal that I am under constant surveillance, and that my personal information and highly intimate materials are being unlawfully accessed and shared. This incident caused me

significant distress, anxiety, and humiliation. It is a serious interference with my right to privacy under Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights, and also contributes to inhuman or degrading treatment in breach of Article 3.

15.15 On **Wednesday, 30 October 2023**, I received an email from **PC Lisa Giddings** again communicating the outcome of a police complaint submitted on 20 January 2023, which was recorded as “not upheld.” The outcome correspondence asserted that **PC Andrew Ngo** had attended my home address to take details of the reported targeting. This assertion was incorrect. In fact, I attended an arranged appointment at Lavender Hill Police Station in Clapham, where I was interviewed by PC Andrew Ngo for approximately one hour. No subsequent update or formal outcome was provided to me despite repeated follow-ups, and I was later informed that the hate crime report had been dropped.

15.16 On multiple occasions, since I first became aware of the illegal surveillance and the misuse of my private information, which was in late 2024, to the present day, contemporaneous events and third-party conduct give rise to a reasonable inference that my private activities and communications are being observed, monitored, and disseminated without consent. The more I talk about it, the more they will do it to taunt, provoke and retaliate.

15.17 Alleged Undercover Agents At My Previous Residences

Subsequently, in late 2023 or early 2024, the 2nd Respondent (The Police) and/or other unknown public official Respondents escalated their surveillance and intimidation tactics by allegedly deploying agents or undercover officers to my previous residence in Putney, where I lived from October 2013 to July 2024. These individuals appeared to have been strategically stationed in flats opposite, adjacent to, and in the building opposite my flat, effectively surrounding me from all angles. Their presence commenced shortly after my police complaints in January 2023 and another complaint to Wandsworth Jobcentre in mid-2023. Because soon after the police complaint, I also experienced mistreatment from the staff members and security personnel at the Wandsworth Jobcentre.

15.18 The Police also appeared to have consistently deployed agents or undercover officers to my new accommodations and hostels where I lived and stayed afterwards.

15.19 These alleged undercover agents routinely engaged in overt stalking and excessive monitoring of me, creating an environment of constant surveillance, fear and intrusion, and is indicative of a deliberate and coordinated effort to monitor, harass and intimidate me, amounting to violence against women. I attempted to document this surveillance by taking photographs of a vehicle associated with one of these individuals, including their number plate, as evidence. However, these photographic records mysteriously disappeared from my mobile phone, indicating unauthorised access to my devices and the deliberate deletion of crucial evidence. This is also not the first time that this has happened. So, it's not an isolated incident.

15.20 Hacking and Deletion of Evidence (Perverting the Course of Justice)

Therefore, the inexplicable disappearance of photographic evidence from my personal device - occurring in the context of ongoing illegal surveillance, misuse of private information, intimidation, stalking and harassment - constitutes a clear act of hacking, a deliberate attempt to pervert the course of justice, and a further demonstration of the Respondents' ongoing efforts to cover up their unlawful activities. This pattern of evidence tampering underscores the Respondents' contempt for the legal process and their determination to evade accountability.

15.21 The 2nd Respondent is also alleged to be continuously tracking my phone, enabling persistent harassment and intimidation. This ongoing surveillance facilitates retaliation, obstructs access to legal representation, and interferes with my ability to participate in proceedings safely, thereby constituting witness intimidation and abuse of process.

15.22 I therefore seek the court's intervention to restrain these practices, including potential strike-out applications conditional on compliance with court orders.

15.23 **Direct Witness Intimidation**

On multiple occasions, when I have attempted to seek legal advice or assistance from legal professionals or support organisations, police vehicles, identifiable as belonging to the 2nd Respondent, have been observed driving slowly past me, often making U-turns to re-engage in intimidating surveillance. This conduct is a clear and deliberate attempt to dissuade me from accessing legal representation and constitutes witness intimidation / perverting the course of justice.

15.24 **Implied Death Threats and Intimidation with Imitation Firearms**

Furthermore, I have been subjected to implied death threats and intimidation through the deployment of individuals who appear to simulate shooting me with imitation firearms. These incidents cause significant fear for my life and safety and are designed to terrorise me into abandoning legal action. The harassment and intimidation occur particularly when I seek to consult a lawyer or organisation, suggesting coordinated monitoring and obstruction of justice by the 1st Respondent (Keir Starmer) and the 2nd Respondent (The Police).

15.25 Particularly on **Thursday, 19 September 2024**, at approximately 2:00–2:30 PM, I was on my way to attend a legal consultation in Wimbledon when I experienced one of the most frightening and psychologically damaging days of my life. While waiting at a bus stop outside Lambeth Town Hall, I was approached by a man—a slim Black male, appearing to be in his late 40s or early 50s. This individual deliberately mimicked firing a gun at me in public, causing severe panic and genuine fear for my life, before mocking my distress. Before this happened, I also observed a police vehicle parking right opposite the bus stop. Then, as soon as he arrived to intimidate me, the police car was gone. This incident is emblematic of the Respondents' campaign of intimidation: it constitutes witness intimidation, obstruction of justice, harassment, intentional infliction of psychological harm, and degrading treatment contrary to Article 3 ECHR, further justifying both injunctive relief and substantial damages for misuse of my private information and breach of my rights.

15.26 Although the man eventually revealed the object to be a small black whisky bottle, he had deliberately presented it as if it were a firearm. His threatening conduct caused me to experience severe panic and genuine fear for my life. Others waiting at the bus stop also appeared alarmed, reacting to his imitation of gunfire directed towards them. In my own terror, I positioned myself behind other bystanders in an attempt to shield myself, as I truly believed I was being shot at. Even after I moved away, the man persisted in making shooting gestures toward me until he boarded a bus, laughing as though deriving satisfaction from my distress. This incident is illustrative of the wider campaign of intimidation orchestrated by the Respondents - especially the Primary Respondents.

15.27 On 23 February 2025 – Denmark, Cross-Border Surveillance, Intimidation and Dissemination

When I moved to Denmark in February 2025, similar surveillance activities commenced at my new residence in Copenhagen, suggesting a coordinated effort. The extension of these unlawful activities to Denmark and other countries, involving the alleged influence over the 3rd Respondent (Danish Police - D3, Schedule of Defendants, p.6) to facilitate further illegal surveillance and dissemination of my private materials. This complicates matters further by violating international norms. A more detailed explanation of the alleged surveillance and dissemination occurring in Denmark as well is exhibited at **BW-07 pages 168-171.**

15.28 Extraterritorial Reach and Systematic Nature of the Abuse

Therefore, I inform the Court that the UK Respondents' interference is not confined to the UK. It appeared that UK officials utilised international law enforcement channels to invite foreign authorities to continue the illegal surveillance, sexual abuse via hidden cameras, dissemination of private information and intimate materials, harassment, intimidation, and social isolation of me on Danish soil.

15.29 This extraterritorial conduct demonstrates that the Primary Respondents' actions are **systematic and widespread**, intended to ensure I have no safe haven or 'Effective Remedy' (**Article 13 ECHR**) anywhere in Europe or in the world. This reinforces the 'Legal Emergency' and proves that the domestic strike-out was a strategic attempt to finalise the isolation of me in a compromised forum.

15.30 Despite having acknowledged my anticipated claim and intention to seek injunctive relief, particularly the Police Respondent, the Respondents have brazenly continued their campaign of retaliation and victimisation. This includes the ongoing illegal installation of hidden cameras in every residence occupied by me, including in shared hostels, further violating my privacy and perpetuating the severe harassment and intimidation I endure.

15.31 From approximately 2024, I became more aware of references, communications, and content suggesting the unlawful use of my private information, intimate materials, creative works and personal circumstances within social media platforms, commercial media productions and advertising-related content, as described in **paragraphs 20.1-20.21 (pages 90-94).**

15.32 The incidents described below are **representative examples of a wider, coordinated, and ongoing pattern of conduct**, linking multiple Respondents acting in concert.

---

16. CONSPIRACY TO PERVERT THE COURSE OF JUSTICE, TO INJURE & EXPLOIT BY UNLAWFUL MEANS - (D1-D2 & Person Unknown PU9)

16.1 **On 15-16 October 2025** - **Evidence Tampering**

Initial Post and Deletion: On **15 October 2025**, I posted a link to my blog post detailing the sexual abuse & exploitation I have been subjected to via hidden cameras for years on my daughter's Facebook profile. This post included a warning to my daughter, AB, about the potential for similar abuse and advised her to seek specialist IT assistance for scanning her accommodation and to consult a human rights lawyer. On **16 October 2025**, I observed that this specific post, along with all previous posts shared by me on my daughter's Facebook profile, had been removed. I therefore reasonably believe that the Respondents - particularly Keir Starmer, the Police and Person Unknown (PU9) may be behind this to suppress the truth.

16.2 On 2 June 2026, at approximately 10:14 am, immediately after adding Keir Starmer's name to the previous paragraph, I heard an individual suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut. Shortly after, at approximately **10:16** am, immediately after I typed the door-slamming incident occurred at 10:14 am, I heard someone exit the property and slam the main door shut again. It turned out to be Pablo. I managed to take pictures of him as evidence this time. Maybe, it's also him who exited the property and slammed the main door shut at 10:14 am. When he left the property at 10:16 am, the time ended in the number 6 again, as if to symbolically refer to Keir Starmer as usual. Because I have mentioned many times, both in my legal documents and on my blog, that I believe he's blocking my access to lawyers (Article 6 ECHR Violation).

16.3 These incidents and many other similar incidents constitute a tacit agreement to injure me and my daughter and are consistent with the legal definition of unlawful means conspiracy.

---

17. WITNESS INTIMIDATION, HARASSMENT, FURTHER BREACH OF CONFIDENCE, MISUSE OF PRIVATE INFORMATION AND SEXPIONAGE - (D4-D5 & PU9)

17.1 Additionally, my ex-husband, Jørgensen Mortensen (D4, Schedule of Defendants, p.7), who is also the father of my daughter (AB), and my ex-boyfriend, Nikolaj Teinvig (D5, Schedule of Defendants, p.7), have knowingly or recklessly disclosed my and my daughter's private and sensitive information to the UK-based Respondents or their agents.

17.2 This conduct includes ignoring credible warnings regarding potential sexual abuse, death threats, and the use of a honey trap/sexpionage to gather information, suppress the truth and control/manipulate our daughter, thereby facilitating ongoing harassment and exploitation.

17.3 On 14 & 15 December 2025, I issued Cease and Desist letters to both of them, requesting the immediate cessation of all disclosure of private information and the identity of Respondent PU9, the alleged Spanish honey trap, who is presumably manipulating our daughter. Jørgensen Mortensen responded with an aggressive and threatening email that disregarded the daughter's safety, mirrored the UK-based Respondents' established gaslighting tactics, and accused me of harassment, thereby obstructing justice and perpetuating emotional distress.

17.4 I refer to Exhibit BW-14, pages 229–236, for screenshots of the correspondence and further details relating to this matter, which contains a copy of my Cease and Desist letter and a copy of email from Jørgen Mortensen, who responded to my Cease and Desist letter with aggression. His email to me also appeared very scripted, as if he was told how to compose his email to me. Because I can recognise the same tone, style and language that have been persistently used by other Respondents and their agents/harassers across various platforms, strongly suggesting the same individuals and/or entities are behind this.

17.5 **Escalation to Family Extortion and Witness Intimidation:**

I alert the Court to a malicious, severe escalation in the Co-respondents' campaign of witness intimidation designed to force the abandonment of these proceedings. The Co-respondents are now actively threatening and suggesting that the private information and intimate materials of my 35-year-old daughter (AB) are also being weaponised, disseminated, and uploaded to pornographic platforms.

17.6 Furthermore, every attempt by me to warn the daughter's father, Jørgen Mortensen, is met with active evidence tampering, network manipulation, and an immediate spike in targeted harassment and intimidation. The Co-respondents, especially the Primary Respondents, are intentionally manipulating third-party family communications to isolate me, orchestrate a systemic cover-up, and ensure the truth cannot emerge. This conduct constitutes a profound abuse of public office and an indictable-only offense of **Perverting the Course of Justice** to trap me and my family in an ongoing cycle of exploitation.

17.7 Refusal to Address Daughter's Safety and Well-being:

Despite the explicit warning in my Cease and Desist letter regarding the severe risk of sexual abuse via hidden cameras to our daughter, Jørgen Mortensen's email conspicuously omits any acknowledgment or concern for our daughter's safety. This refusal to engage with a matter of

such grave importance, particularly concerning sexual abuse and the potential distribution of intimate images/videos of our daughter non-consensually filmed with the hidden cameras, is highly atypical for a concerned parent and strongly suggests external influence and manipulation by the UK-based Respondents and/or their agents, possibly by Keir Starmer and the Police, who have a vested interest in suppressing this information.

17.8 Parallel to Legal Obstruction: This tactic is analogous to the UK-based Respondents' consistent efforts to prevent me from securing legal representation, thereby ensuring they can continue their abusive activities 'under the radar.' It underscores their determination to stop at nothing, even if it means destroying our daughter's life, solely to inflict harm upon me.

17.9 **Intra-respondent Conspiracy, Manipulation, and Exploitation:**

I therefore alert the Court to a highly sophisticated **Conspiracy to Injure by Unlawful Means** operating between the Co-respondents. The Co-respondents, Jørgen Mortensen, is actively participating in the dissemination of my private and intimate materials. Crucially, the Respondents Police Force and high-ranking public officials, such as Keir Starmer, are allegedly using real-time device interception and psychological manipulation to control Jørgen Mortensen, ensuring he remains compliant with their campaign and actively distrusts my warnings.

17.10 The Co-respondents are manipulating a party to this suit to actively suppress the truth—specifically concealing from him that his own co-conspirators are threatening and abusing his daughter. This orchestrated manipulation of a Co-respondent by state actors to enforce a fraudulent paper strike-out constitutes a severe, high-level **Perverting the Course of Justice** and **Misconduct in Public Office**. They have weaponised a family network to trap me and my daughter in an inescapable cycle of exploitation while blocking all access to legal counsel to prevent judicial exposure.

17.11 This example demonstrates a clear pattern of the Respondents' influence over close family members, the ongoing nature of the harassment and abuse, and the need for urgent injunctive relief.

17.12 Regarding Nikolaj Teinvig, he never responded to my Cease and Desist letter.

17.13 Despite my Cease and Desist letters to both of them, they seem to continue to leak my private information regardless. This kind of defiant action, despite knowing it's unlawful and even after being put on notice, strongly suggests that they have been reassured by the UK-based Respondents and/or their associates not to worry about legal consequences and just continue to leak and misuse my private information as usual.

17.14 Just like the UK-based Primary Respondents, who continue to authorise/permit the illegal installation of hidden cameras in every place I live and disseminate the non-consensually recordings all over the place, even after acknowledging my anticipated claim and injunction application, such as the police, strongly suggests they act with impunity and malice.

18. MASS MISUSE OF PRIVATE INFORMATION AND CONSPIRACY TO INJURE & EXPLOIT BY UNLAWFUL MEANS - ALL RESPONDENTS

18.1 The State Actor Respondents, such as Keir Starmer and the Police (D1 & D2), are believed to have ordered the unlawful installation of hidden cameras in every place I reside, including the Danish police (D3, Schedule of Defendants, p.6) while I was in Denmark, non-consensually recording me 24/7, with both video and audio, and disseminate this material to third parties for profit, including to film production entities and porn sites.

18.2 Keir Starmer and the Police appear to have facilitated and continue to facilitate further dissemination.

18.3 This conduct is ongoing and creates a continuing risk of further disclosure and commercial exploitation.

18.4 Other Respondents (Particularly D7, p.8, and D163–D182, Schedule of Defendants, pages 42-45) are responsible for permitting or failing to prevent hidden cameras/CCTV misuse.

18.5 All Respondents have misused and continue to misuse my and my daughter's private information, intimate materials and/or creative works without our consent. This includes accessing, disseminating, selling, and incorporating our private information, intimate materials and/or creative works into third-party teaching materials, social media posts, commercial activities, films, Ai-generated content, etc.

18.6 **Representative Examples:**

- **Representative Example 1 - TikTok Group Respondents (D30-D34, Schedule of Defendants, p.15)**

  Date/Period: From approximately late 2025 onward

  Event: I believe my non-consensually recordings illegally filmed with the hidden cameras and other unlawful means over the years are circulated to various places, including TikTok's employees, without my consent. They then convey this back to me via social media posts and advertisements (harassment by publication) to cause me severe distress, humiliation and embarrassment (intentional infliction of emotional distress) on top of unjust enrichment as well. TikTok has done it repeatedly. Particularly through its advertisements, crossing the threshold of serious or criminal harassment already.

  Attach Exhibit BW-11 (pages 191-192): A screenshot of one of TikTok's advertisements that appears to allude to my non-consensually recordings taken with a

mobile phone by Jamie or James (D183, Schedule of Defendants, p.45), which I reasonably believe he was instructed to do by the primary respondents, possibly the police.

- **Representative Example 2 - Elon Musk (D29, Schedule of Defendants, p.14)**

  Date/Period: From approximately early 2024 onward

  Event: I further aver that the unlawful dissemination of my private information and non-consensually recordings extends beyond the immediate UK Respondents. I have observed instances where my private materials and information appear to have been unlawfully used for the creation of AI-generated content on platforms associated with Elon Musk. But there may be many more platforms that I have yet to uncover, including the Police and/or their employees. This suggests a broader pattern of exploitation and dissemination of my highly sensitive data, potentially facilitated by the UK Primary Respondents who are believed to have illegally filmed me in the first place.

  Additionally, I have observed AI-generated content created by Grok Ai personally posted by Elon Musk himself via his official X account. The repeated dissemination, creation and widespread promotion of such content has, in my experience, caused me profound distress and humiliation, and raises serious concerns as to the misuse of my private information for commercial purposes, including unjust enrichment and ongoing harassment. This further gives rise to a reasonable inference that my private information and non-consensually recordings may have been disclosed and circulated to various third parties with or without knowledge of any ulterior purpose.

  These are matters I have identified to date; however, I believe there may be further instances of such misuse across other platforms and channels of which I am not presently aware. In those circumstances, I respectfully seek an order that the Respondents disclose the full extent of any use, dissemination, or exploitation of my private information and non-consensually recordings, including the identities of all third parties to whom such information has been provided or made accessible.

  This concern is amplified by recent statements from the UK government regarding the potential blocking of platforms like X due to their failure to address the unlawful use of intimate images, indicating the serious and widespread nature of such exploitation.

  Attach Exhibit BW-12 (pages 194-201) and Exhibit BW-18 (pages 242-246): Screenshots of some examples of Ai-generated content created by Elon Musk's Grok AI, which appeared to have incorporated my private information and intimate materials unlawfully obtained via various means, mainly via hidden cameras.

18.7 The Respondents, including Elon Musk, are further alleged to be utilising illegally obtained intimate images, videos and sounds of me and my daughter for the purpose of AI training, specifically through Grok AI, and using & disseminating such content on platforms such as X.com. This constitutes a severe and ongoing breach of privacy, data protection laws (including

GDPR), misappropriation of likeness, and intentional infliction of emotional distress. I seek an immediate injunction to restrain the Respondents from any further use, generation, display, or commercial exploitation of AI content and AI training derived from said illegally obtained recordings, and from any further acts of harassment or intimidation related thereto.

18.8 **Impact**: This has caused significant shock, humiliation, distress, betrayal, loss of profits, and fear of further disclosure and misuse of my private information.

18.9 Further or alternatively, the Respondents acted in combination pursuant to a common design to misuse and exploit my private information and likeness, to harass and intimidate me, and to derive commercial or strategic benefit therefrom.

18.10 The agreement and common design are to be inferred from the Respondents' coordinated conduct, including the repeated dissemination of my private information and non-consensually recordings, the overlapping use of such materials across platforms and productions, and the continuation of such conduct following notice, complaint, and the initiation of legal proceedings.

---

19. BREACH OF PRIVACY AND MISUSE OF PRIVATE INFORMATION (CONTINUED) - Respondents D1-D2 & Persons Unknown PU5

19.1 Background Facts: I acknowledge that, many years prior to the events described herein, I consented to the taking of certain explicit photographs for a limited purpose and for a nominal fee. However, this limited consent did not, and could not, extend to the widespread, malicious, and non-consensual distribution of these images by the Respondents, particularly not to pornographic websites, or for the purposes of harassment, retaliation, and public humiliation.

19.2 My personal circumstances have also materially changed since the initial consent was provided, rendering the continued publication and distribution of these images an egregious violation of my privacy rights.

19.3 Despite this clear limitation, the Primary Respondents (Possibly Keir Starmer, the police and/or their associates/employees) have allegedly engaged in a deliberate and malicious campaign to exceed the scope of this limited consent. There is strong evidence to suggest that the Primary Respondents have actively contacted the photographers (PU5, Schedule of Defendants, p.47) involved in these sessions and conspired with them to obtain and distribute these private images 'far and wide.' This egregious distribution includes, but is not limited to, dissemination on various pornographic websites, tech platforms, advertisement agencies, film production companies and sharing with individuals known to me and my family, including my daughter, with the clear intent to humiliate and cause distress.

19.4 The Respondents have therefore acted with extreme malice and in a calculated manner. This constitutes a clear and egregious example of using my images “abusively,” as defined by legal principles, in ways that are "defamatory or misleading,” and for purposes never agreed upon, thereby unequivocally revoking any implied or explicit consent that may have existed and violating my fundamental right to privacy and control over my personal images. The continued and widespread dissemination of these images, particularly after my attempts to seek legal redress, demonstrates a calculated and malicious intent to inflict maximum harm, reputational damage and psychological distress upon me. The Respondents’ apparent efforts to obstruct me from obtaining legal representation further underscore their malicious intent and their understanding that their actions are unlawful.

19.5 **Breaches of Privacy and Data Protection**: The Respondents’ actions constitute a severe breach of my privacy rights under Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights and the Data Protection Act 2018. Specifically, the Respondents have, without consent and with malicious intent, disseminated and continue to disseminate explicit photographs. I hereby formally revoke any and all prior consent for the use, publication, or distribution of these images. The Respondents’ continued actions, particularly in light of this revocation, are unlawful and constitute a further egregious invasion of privacy.

19.6 Harassment and Retaliation: Following my attempts to seek legal redress for the Primary Respondents’ initial unlawful acts, the Primary Respondents appear to have engaged in a campaign of severe retaliation. This includes, but is not limited to, actively preventing me from obtaining legal representation to address the dissemination of explicit photographs as well as disseminating them even further. I aver that the Respondents have conspired with the original photographers, as described in paragraph 19.3, to ensure the widespread distribution of these images as a direct act of retaliation and intimidation, thereby exacerbating my distress and harm.

19.7 Conspiracy to Injure and Pervert the Course of Justice: The Respondents, acting individually and/or in concert with others, have therefore engaged in a conspiracy to injure me. This conspiracy includes, but is not limited to, contacting and colluding with third parties (including the photographers) to disseminate my explicit photographs widely and maliciously. Furthermore, by actively obstructing my access to legal representation and preventing me from pursuing legal remedies, the Respondents have engaged in a conspiracy to pervert the course of justice, undermining the integrity of the legal process and ensuring their continued impunity.

19.8 Conspiracy and Breach of Agreement/Contract: I aver that the Photographer Respondents, in breach of their original agreements and their duty of confidence to me, conspired with the Primary Respondents and/or other Respondents to provide them with my private images. This provision was made without my consent and with the knowledge, or reckless disregard, that these images would be used for purposes far exceeding the scope of the original limited consent, including widespread, non-consensual, and abusive dissemination.

19.9 Joint Liability: By actively providing the images to the Primary Respondents and/or other Respondents for unlawful dissemination, the Photographer Respondents are jointly and/or

severally liable for the breaches of privacy, misuse of private information, and other torts committed against me. Their actions facilitated and contributed directly to the extensive harm suffered by me.

19.10 The Primary Respondents' calculated strategy in preventing legal representation is evident in their understanding that widespread dissemination of the intimate images/videos, unchecked by timely legal intervention, would render their removal exponentially more difficult, if not impossible.

19.11 This deliberate obstruction of justice, coupled with the malicious distribution of private content, demonstrates a profound disregard for my rights and an intent to cause irreparable harm without fear of immediate legal consequence.

19.12 These actions form part of a continuous and escalating pattern of abuse, harassment, and privacy violations perpetrated by the Respondents, especially by the Primary Respondents, as mentioned earlier, including but not limited to illegal surveillance, covert filming, dissemination of intimate materials, cyberstalking, racial and sexual harassment, intimidation, misuse of private information on an industrial scale, and gross human rights abuses. The current efforts to widely distribute my explicit photographs are a direct continuation and intensification of this malicious campaign, specifically designed to cause maximum harm and prevent me from seeking justice.

19.13 I assert that the Primary Respondents' conduct, encompassing the initial illegal surveillance, the subsequent malicious distribution of both covertly filmed and previously consented-to images beyond the scope of consent, and the active obstruction of legal recourse, is not merely wrongful but calculated, egregious, and deserving of substantial aggravated damages. The harm caused to my privacy, reputation, and mental well-being is profound and, in many respects, irreparable, necessitating an urgent injunction and a high compensation award to reflect the severity of the Primary Respondents' actions and to serve as a deterrent against such abuses of power.

19.14 Without immediate injunctive relief, I will suffer irreparable harm as these images will become irretrievably disseminated across the internet and to an ever-widening audience, making their removal practically impossible and causing ongoing severe psychological, emotional, and reputational damage. The balance of convenience overwhelmingly favours granting this injunction to prevent further unlawful exploitation and abuse of my private images.

---

20. SYSTEMIC COMMERCIAL EXPLOITATION, COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT, UNJUST ENRICHMENT & INTERNATIONAL ROYALTY NETWORKS

20.1 I alert the Court that the multi-party conspiracy involves the illegal commercial sale of my private data and stolen creative works to major US film production companies and studios. High-ranking public officials are unlawfully treating my intercepted private life and proprietary intellectual property as source materials for commercial media and films, systematically generating unjust enrichment and potentially securing lifetime commercial royalties while I received nothing.

20.2 This conduct constitutes direct **Misuse of Private Information, Unjust Enrichment, Copyright Infringement under the CDPA 1988, Money Laundering under the Proceeds of Crime Act 2002 (POCA),** and gross civil fraud. The Primary Respondents have allegedly engineered an unfair 'on paper' strike-out order precisely to act as a protective screen so this international commercial enterprise can continue operating under the radar. The US production companies cannot be permitted to profit from, license, or broadcast media derived from non-consensually recordings, **stolen data** and intercepted litigation data while my access to legal representation remains blocked by state actors, such as by the Primary Respondents.

20.3 It is averred that the Corporate, Tech and Media Respondents (D16-D149, Schedule of Defendants, pages 11-39), for instance, either individually or in concert with one another and/or with the Primary Respondents and the rest of the Respondents, have unlawfully obtained, accessed, and/or been provided with my private materials, confidential information, and/or creative works. These materials include, but are not limited to, illegally obtained intimate images and videos, recordings of private conversations, personal diaries, screenplays and drafts of creative works and detailed information pertaining to my private life, experiences, vulnerabilities and even medical records, which were originally obtained through the Primary Respondents' illegal surveillance and other unlawful acts.

20.4 The Corporate, Tech and Media Respondents have subsequently, and without my consent or authorisation, incorporated substantial elements of these unlawfully obtained private materials, confidential information and creative works into various advertisements, commercials, books, games, Ai-generated content, screenplays, films, television series, and/or other media productions.

20.5 Thus, the misuse of my private information & intimate materials, and its commercial exploitation, has **escalated significantly and continues to expand**, involving an increasing number of Defendants, including **major multinational brands and media corporations**. Given the scale and evolving nature of this conduct, it is **not presently feasible to list every entity involved here**. Accordingly, I rely on **representative examples** - including entities such as **Warner Bros, A24 and Coca-Cola** - to demonstrate that the misuse of my private information is occurring on an **industrial and systemic scale**, and is **ongoing and continuing to grow**.

20.6 **Representative Examples**:

- **Representative Example 1 - Warner Bros Group (D67-D68, Schedule of Defendants, pages 25-26)**

Date/Period: Approximately from 2025 onward

The Infringing Content: I have identified **three films** produced and distributed by Warner Bros and its affiliates/subsidiaries that appear to incorporate my private information, intimate materials, and/or creative works. For the sake of brevity, one film, ***Superman (2025)*****,** is highlighted as an illustrative example. This incorporation manifests through, but is not limited to, the use of:

- **Specific narrative elements and plotlines** that mirror my life, private experiences, circumstances, etc.
- **Distinctive dialogue and phrases** directly derived from my private communications or creative writings.
- **Character portrayals** that are recognisably based on my identity, personality, and/or specific events from my private life.
- **Visual scenes and settings** that replicate or allude to my private environments, intimate moments, or predicament, unlawfully recorded via hidden cameras.
- **Themes and emotional arcs** that are substantially similar to my personal struggles and creative expressions.

This demonstrates a **pattern of deliberate and systematic commercial exploitation**, rather than an isolated incident. I reasonably believe that there may be additional works produced or in development incorporating similar materials, but it is practically impossible to review every production. In these circumstances, it is necessary and proportionate for the Court to order the Respondents to **disclose the full extent of such works**, including all films, screenplays, or other audiovisual productions already produced or distributed, as well as any currently in development or pre-production.

On 2 June 2026, at approximately 17:57 pm, immediately after adding more information about Warner Bros, I heard an individual suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut again.

- **Representative Example 2 - A24 Group (D93-D95, Schedule of Defendants, pages 32-33)**

Date/Period: From approximately 2023 onward

The Infringing Content: I have also identified that **A24** and its affiliates have already produced and distributed approximately **7–10 films**, including the film ***Babygirl*** **(2024)** with Nicole Kidman as the main female cast, incorporating my private information, non-consensually recordings unlawfully filmed via the hidden cameras, and creative works also unlawfully obtained via various means such as hacking. It appears that they

also have **numerous projects currently in development or pre-production** that rely on my private information. This pattern demonstrates a **systemic and deliberate exploitation** of my private life and creative output for commercial purposes.

Again, on 2 June 2026, at approximately 18:05 pm, immediately after adding more information about A24, a production and distribution company, I heard an individual suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut. It turned out to be a young male housemate, possibly residing in room 2 together with Person C.

- **Representative Example 3 - Coca-Cola Group (D57-D58, Schedule of Defendants, pages 21-22)**

  Date/Period: 2025

  The Infringing Content: The 2025 Diet Coke "This Is My Taste" commercial featuring **Jamie Dornan** that also incorporates / makes references to my private information, non-consensually recordings, and/or creative works.

20.7 There may be additional corporations, production and distribution companies beyond **Warner Bros**, **A24, Coca-Cola** and other Defendants already identified in the Schedule of Defendants that have systematically misused my private information, private materials and creative works. Investigating every entity would be **extremely time-consuming and practically impossible** without the assistance of a competent solicitor specialising in **Media, Communications, and Intellectual Property law**.

20.8 I did not authorise the Respondents to access, use, or incorporate my private information, intimate materials and/or creative works into the films, commercials or any other public production.

20.9 The unauthorised use and public dissemination of my private life and creative works in their productions constitute a severe misuse of private information, a breach of confidence, copyright infringement and unjust enrichment, causing me significant distress, humiliation, reputational damage and financial loss.

20.10 Therefore, it is evident that the Respondents have not only engaged in egregious violations of my privacy and personal security but have also systematically monetised my private life for substantial financial gain.

20.11 I further assert that the Respondents have misused my private and intimate information, including illegal filming, recording, and distribution, for commercial exploitation on a global scale. The worldwide exposure of the films, commercials and Ai-generated content, for instance, demonstrates that millions of viewers may have accessed them, amplifying the harm caused. This global reach, combined with the ongoing harassment, invasion of privacy, and psychological distress, directly informs the calculation of compensation and interim payment,

justifying a substantial financial award in recognition of the severity, duration, and malicious intent of the abuse, which keeps continuing regardless.

20.11 Moreover, I have observed numerous films, TV series, commercials, advertisements, social media posts, articles, YouTube videos and many more that appear to be directly based on my daily life, habits, preferences, behaviour, purchases, and usage patterns, indicating a continuous and widespread illegal filming and distribution/live-streaming of my private information & intimate moments to various individuals and entities for commercial, entertainment and harassment purposes (harassment by publication). Maybe this is one of the reasons the Primary Respondents and their associates, Unknown Respondents, refuse to stop installing hidden cameras and remove the current hidden cameras unlawfully installed in my current residence as well. Because they will lose substantial profit.

20.12 This ongoing commercialisation of my private life, despite the filing of this claim and pending injunction application, constitutes a further egregious violation of my privacy and an additional source of unjust enrichment for the Respondents. The rapid sharing and selling of my private information and intimate materials, including my creative works and even my legal strategies unlawfully obtained via unauthorised access to my devices, are also being carried out as a direct retaliation for my attempts to assert my legal rights. These actions collectively demonstrate a deliberate and systematic pattern of exploiting my private life for financial gain and continued harassment, necessitating both compensatory damages for the profound harm suffered and an injunction to prevent further irreparable harm.

20.13 It is therefore contended that this incorporation serves dual purposes: firstly, to further harass, victimise, humiliate, and exploit me, and secondly, to generate commercial profit for both the Primary and Media Respondents through the unauthorised use of my private life and intellectual property.

20.14 The illegal filming of my everyday life, its live broadcasting, distribution to pornographic sites, and sharing with known individuals, coupled with the observation of films and commercials making direct and indirect references to me, strongly indicates that my experiences have also been exploited as a form of entertainment, akin to a 'Big Brother' scenario, without my consent.

20.15 The Primary Respondents' actions, including the continuous illegal surveillance even after complaints and the initiation of court proceedings, and their apparent influence over law enforcement agencies in multiple countries, including Denmark, point to a sophisticated and organised operation designed to profit from my suffering. I refer to **Exhibit BW-12, Figure 1, pages 196-197,** for a representative example of how they appear to profit from my suffering by turning it into Ai-generated content using Grok xAI owned by Elon Musk.

20.16 On 2 June 2026, at approximately 18:31 pm, immediately after editing the previous paragraph regarding Ai-generated content, I heard an individual suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut.

20.17 Thus, the Respondents' actions extend beyond mere abuse, surveillance and harassment; they involve the systematic and commercial exploitation of my private life and intimate moments for financial gain. This includes, but is not limited to, the livestreaming and distribution of illegally obtained private materials to various platforms, including pornographic sites, and other commercial ventures. This ongoing commercialisation of my private information and highly intimate materials without my consent represents a continuous stream of revenue for the Respondents, transforming my private life and suffering into their profit.

20.18 The Respondents, both individuals and corporates, have **profited from the misuse of my private information**. I therefore respectfully ask the Court to recognise that such profits constitute **unjust enrichment**, and to consider **ordering restitution of all profits** obtained through the exploitation of my private information, intimate materials and creative works throughout the years.

20.19 The scope of the Respondents' misconduct is unprecedented, involving the misuse of private information on an industrial scale. This is not merely an isolated incident of privacy invasion but a sophisticated, coordinated, and ongoing operation designed to commercially exploit my life and my most intimate moments. The distribution of my private materials to various platforms, including pornographic sites, and the alleged livestreaming, indicate a deliberate strategy to maximise viewership and, by extension, financial returns. This commercial exploitation, akin to the 'commercial exploitation of that information by numerous entities' as seen in other high-profile cases, demands a commensurate level of compensation that accounts for the vast reach and profitability of their illegal enterprise.

20.20 Crucially, the Primary Respondents have continued their illegal activities, including the installation of hidden cameras, livestreaming, and distribution of my private materials, even after acknowledging my anticipated claim and injunction application. I refer to **Exhibit BW-08, pages 177–178**, for screenshots of the correspondence and further details relating to this matter. This demonstrates a profound contempt for the legal process and a deliberate intent to continue profiting from my exploitation. This ongoing commercialisation of my private life, in defiance of legal challenges, not only perpetuates the severe harm I endure but also underscores the Respondents' calculated pursuit of illicit financial gain. This continued and defiant commercial exploitation, despite pending legal actions, warrants a significantly higher compensation to deter such egregious behaviour and to fully compensate for the sustained and aggravated harm.

20.21 Furthermore, I seek an injunction to prevent all advertising, tech, corporate and media Respondents from continuing creation, production or distribution of any works incorporating my private information, intimate materials and creative works until the trial is concluded, as allowing such productions to proceed would be unfair and prejudicial, causing irreparable harm that cannot be remedied after the fact.

---

21. INDUSTRIAL-SCALE COMMERCIAL MONETISATION AND REPRESENTATIVE LIABILITY

21.1 I therefore clarify to the Court that the commercial exploitation of my private data and stolen intellectual property is operating on an industrial scale involving hundreds of film production companies and corporations, spearheaded by global entities such as **The Walt Disney Company**, **Warner Bros. Discovery** and **Coca-Cola**. This mass commercial pipeline functions as a highly lucrative business enterprise for the Primary Respondents and other Respondents, both known and unknown, who may be generating vast, illicit revenue streams and lifetime royalty networks.

21.2 Because this network is vast, the Primary Respondents have deployed an irregular, blanket paper strike-out and cut off my access to legal representation to ensure this global enterprise remains protected from judicial scrutiny. To prevent an irreversible miscarriage of justice, I bring this application against the Primary Respondents and these corporate entities and **Persons Unknown** pursuant to **CPR 19.8**, ensuring that a single, master non-disclosure and asset-freezing injunction can legally bind the entire commercial network and halt the exploitation of my life under the radar.

21.3 Intentional Silence on Commercial Entities: Despite providing the names of specific advertising and production companies in my initial application, certain Respondents, particularly the Primary Respondents, have failed to address these links and have instead focused on blocking my legal access. I believe this confirms that the "blocking" is a tactical shield to prevent these commercial entities from being served with disclosure orders that would reveal the financial scale of the enterprise.

21.4 The fact that the Primary Respondents are allegedly choosing to block my lawyers rather than simply denying the connection to the commercial entities is a significant **adverse inference**. If these commercial links were legitimate or non-existent, there would be no need to intercept my legal communications to prevent their exposure.

---

22. THEFT OF PRIVATE LIFE FOR COMMERCIAL GAIN

22.1 The "Inspiration" Argument: The Primary Respondents are selling 'meta-data' and 'narratives' from our private lives (my daughter and I) to production companies and other commercial entities. This is a form of digital enslavement where our private information and our most intimate moments are treated as 'intellectual property' for the entertainment and advertising industries.

---

23. HARASSMENT BY PUBLICATION AND DELIBERATE COMMERCIAL TAUNTING

23.1 I therefore believe that the Primary Respondents and their Co-respondents are deliberately using the commercial entities and media platforms to which they have sold my private information to actively taunt and harass me. Rather than attempting to conceal this unlawful exploitation, the respondents systematically direct third parties / proxy agents or Co-respondents to display specific advertisements, promotional materials, or film clips to me that have visibly incorporated my stolen private life details as source material.

23.2 This systematic exposure represents an implied admission of guilt and a severe form of harassment by publication. The malicious intent behind this conduct is to overtly demonstrate to me that I have no control over my personal privacy, and to inflict ongoing psychological distress.

23.3 This high-handed and oppressive behaviour further satisfies the legal threshold of targeted malice required for **Misfeasance in Public Office / Misconduct in Public Office**. It demonstrates that the Primary Respondents are operating with a sense of complete immunity, using public media as a psychological weapon against a claimant. On this basis, I claim substantial aggravated and exemplary damages to punish the respondents for this deliberate course of conduct.

---

24. BREACH OF QUIET ENJOYMENT & CONTRACTUAL RIGHTS

24.1 The contracts existed between me and one or more of the Respondents. For example, the University of West London (D-7, Schedule of Defendants, p.8) and Bridgeway Estates Ltd. (D-171, Schedule of Defendants, p.43).

24.2 Both The University of West London & its accommodation and Bridgeway Estates Ltd. providers breached my contractual right to quiet enjoyment by allowing or facilitating covert surveillance and harassment within accommodations and the university itself.

24.3 I suffered loss and severe emotional distress as a result of the breach of contracts.

---

25. ONGOING HARASSMENT, SURVEILLANCE & SEXUAL EXPLOITATION

25.1 The Primary Respondents, and/or persons acting on their behalf and with their knowledge and/or authorisation, continue to unlawfully install hidden cameras in every place I reside, record both video and audio 24/7, and disseminate this material to third parties for profit, as described earlier.

25.2 The Primary Respondents' actions include but are not limited to voyeurism, live-streaming, cyberstalking, hacking, phone tracking, economic sabotage, sexual humiliation, severe social ostracism, racial and sexual harassment, and witness intimidation.

25.3 They have also deliberately obstructed my access to legal representation, monitoring all my online activities and communications at all times, influencing lawyers and organisations not to assist, and retaliating when I prepare legal documents. This interference constitutes abuse of process and enables continued sexual exploitation, commercial exploitation, misuse of private information, intimidation, harassment, and many more related illegal acts.

25.4 **How They Convey Voyeurism & Surveillance as an Extra Punishment**

- **Representative Example 1 - Undressing**

  Date/Period: Friday, 9 January 2026

  Event: The pattern of predatory behaviour and ongoing surveillance continues unabated, demonstrating a clear and present need for injunctive relief. For instance, on 9 January 2026, at approximately 12:12 pm, immediately after I removed my bra in a private setting, a housemate slammed a door shut. This incident is not isolated but is representative of a daily occurrence where I experience similar suggestive actions or noises from third parties at the precise moments I engage in private activities, such as undressing or using the bathroom, where my private parts would be exposed to the illegally installed hidden cameras. This deliberate timing and coordination are designed to psychologically torture, degrade, intimidate and sexually harass/humiliate me, confirming my constant surveillance and the dissemination/live-streaming of my private moments. This pattern of conduct constitutes a continuous course of harassment, exacerbating my psychological damage and PTSD, and underscores the Primary Respondents' ongoing retaliation and victimisation following previous complaints regarding the two police officers on 20 January 2023 and my subsequent complaints regarding the illegal surveillance, secret filming, dissemination, and many more illegal acts, inflicted upon me and my daughter, which they have kept it secret for years.

- **Representative Example 2 - After Using the Bathroom**

  Date/Period: Friday, 3 April 2026

  Event: At approximately **12:58 pm**, immediately after I wiped myself after using the bathroom, I heard someone exit the property and slam the main door shut with full force! The slamming of the door was precisely in sync with my movement. It's also not an isolated incident. This sadistic tactic has been used repeatedly to intimidate and sexually

humiliate me at the exact moment I wipe myself after urinating or even after defecating. It's their extra punishment whenever I expose their misconduct, for example, by privately writing about it in my legal documents, by publicly blogging about it, or posting about it on social media. It's therefore also another violation of my human rights article 10 (freedom of expression) when they appear to punish me every time I expose or talk about their misconduct. Hence, they want to keep illegally monitoring all my online activities and communications at all times to control me in every area of my life as much as possible, to prevent me from seeking help and expose their illegal enterprise, so they cannot be held accountable and can then continue to sexually abuse me and my daughter to no end.

The time also concluded with the digit “8” again, to symbolically allude to the violation of my human right article 8 (right to privacy) of the ECHR as always. Because numbers 3, 6 and 8 have been used quite often to symbolically refer to the violations of my human rights articles 3, 6 and 8 ECHR.

- **Representative Example 3 - Coercive Control**

  Date/Period: Daily. After I, in late 2024, began to discover the illegal surveillance, sexual abuse via voyeurism, cybersex trafficking, misuse of my private information and more.

  Event: The Primary Respondents and Unknown Respondents appear to signal to me that everything I do both offline and online is being constantly monitored to exert coercive control by instructing my housemates to exit/return to the property and slam the main door shut **with full force** (Because my room is next to the main entrance), to immediately retaliate and demonstrate control every time I, for example:

  - Raise my middle finger towards the light bulb in the main studio or the bathroom, where I believe hidden cameras and audio recording devices have been illegally installed.
  - Privately add more defendants to my claim, expose their misconduct, add more crucial information and evidence to my Witness Statement and Exhibits, etc.
  - Take screenshots of vital evidence.
  - Like, comment, repost, or watch a video on social media concerning the negativity surrounding the PM Keir Starmer, the corruption in general, or concerning Jeffrey Epstein's case which is similar to my own case when it's also about sex trafficking in all forms, the involvement of powerful individuals or public officials and the cover-ups, for instance.
  - On 29 April 2026 at approximately 12:52 pm, immediately upon logging into my X account and starting to like some posts concerning **Keir Starmer** and the alleged **cover-ups**, I observed a Black male housemate, wearing a black jumper, with a thick gold chain around his neck, exiting the property and slamming the main door shut with **EXTRA** full force this time. This strongly suggests once again that all my online activities are being constantly and illegally monitored, not just to cyberstalk and gain insight into my legal strategies but to also intimidate and

suppress my freedom of expression (censorship). Hence, the immediate door smacking with full force to make me feel intimidated and to cause physical injury. But just before the door slamming occurred, I had also taken a screenshot of an X ad that appeared to be making references to my intimate materials as usual. Maybe this had also triggered the door smacking to silence me and to prevent me from gathering evidence. This is how the Primary Respondents also use technology to abuse and control me, matching the definition of **Tech Abuse**, as Refuge.org.uk has also stated: "**Modern technology gives abusers ever-growing ways to stalk, isolate and control women using the tools of everyday life."**

Source: https://refuge.org.uk/i-need-help-now/how-we-can-help-you/secure-your-tech/

---

26. PATTERN OF AUDIO SURVEILLANCE AND TARGETED HARASSMENT

26.1 The Primary Respondents (e.g., Keir Starmer and the Police) and/or their agents have also engaged in a consistent and deliberate pattern of conduct designed to confirm the presence of audio surveillance as well and to cause extreme humiliation and distress, amounting to psychological torture. This tactic involves individuals commencing loud conversations, loud thuds, or cars driving past my window, immediately following private bodily functions or intimate moments, clearly intended to be overheard by me. This pattern serves as a direct signal that even the most private sounds, sometimes even not that loud, are being recorded and relayed, reinforcing the message that I have no privacy whatsoever.

26.2 Purpose of the Harassment: A primary objective of the perpetrators is to cause me intense mental suffering by repeatedly giving me all kinds of hints that they are illegally surveilling me in every way possible and 24/7, extreme humiliation, and to convey that I am under constant, intrusive surveillance, thereby eroding my sense of privacy and safety. This is how they use hidden cameras as tools for torture as well, not just for sexual abuse and commercial exploitation.

26.3 Retaliatory Nature: These actions often occur in retaliation for my attempts to seek legal redress or make complaints, as well as to deter me from continuing to do so.

26.4 The following are representative examples of this ongoing harassment:

A. **Specific Incident: December 15, 2025**

   On or about December 15, 2025, at approximately 00:30 am, while I was within my room, immediately after I passed gas loudly, an individual whose identity is currently

unknown to me commenced conversing loudly. This conversation, whether on a telephone or with another person outside my window, was conducted in a manner clearly intended to be overheard by me. This incident is not isolated but forms part of a well-established pattern of behaviour by the Primary Respondents, including Unknown Respondents, probably also are state actors, and/or their agents, who have repeatedly utilised this specific tactic over an extended period. The timing of these loud conversations, loud thuds, cars driving past my window, consistently coincides with highly private bodily functions or intimate moments, such as using the bathroom or engaging in other personal activities.

B. Specific Incident: January 10, 2026

On January 10, 2026, at approximately 2:17 am, while I was within my room, immediately after I coughed, two individuals whose identities are currently unknown to me commenced conversing loudly in a manner clearly intended to be overheard by me. One of these individuals also appeared to be wearing a jacket in **turquoise or light blue**, which is one of the colours often associated with the harassment campaign against me to signify surveillance, control, and intentionality. The individuals involved in these incidents typically cease their loud conversations after waking past my window and disappear quickly, making it difficult for me to obtain photographic or video evidence of their presence.

The intended effect of this repeated conduct is to cause extreme humiliation, profound emotional distress, and to reinforce the message that I have no privacy whatsoever. I have been conditioned by this consistent pattern to understand that these loud conversations are direct, intentional signals from the Primary Respondents and/or their agents, confirming that audio surveillance is also actively being conducted and that even the most private sounds are being recorded and relayed back to me.

C. Specific Incident: February 5, 2026

On February 5, 2026, at approximately 22:28 pm, immediately after I passed gas loudly, some individuals, whose identities are currently unknown to me, commenced conversing and laughing loudly, either on a telephone or with each other outside my window, in a manner clearly intended to be overheard by me.

D. Specific Incident: May 31, 2026

On May 31, 2026, at approximately 22:10 pm, while I was within my own room, immediately after I passed gas, but not so loud this time, I immediately heard a loud thud coming from nearby or upstairs in a manner clearly intended to be overheard by me. I have been conditioned by this repeated conduct to understand that these loud conversations, loud thuds, or cars suddenly driving past my window, are direct, intentional signals from the Public Official Respondents and/or their agents, confirming

that audio surveillance is also actively being conducted and that even the most private sounds, sometimes are not even that loud, are being recorded and relayed back to me.

This tactic is designed to cause extreme humiliation, profound emotional distress, and to reinforce the message that I have no privacy whatsoever, amounting to severe torture, inhuman or degrading treatment. Because it has been ongoing for more than a decade. I just didn't know until late 2024 that it had something to do with the hidden cameras. Particularly when they keep giving me hints via various means that they're torturing me 24/7 through illegal, intrusive surveillance - both via hidden cameras and audio recording devices.

Again, on the same day, at approximately 23:06 pm, immediately after I passed gas, which was not even that loud, some individuals, whose identities are currently unknown to me, commenced conversing loudly nearby. This conversation was conducted in a manner clearly intended to be overheard by me to mock me again. Because I had just written about the previous incident at 22:10 pm. When I immediately looked out the window to try to locate where the conversation was coming from, I observed a neighbour opposite my residence also suddenly emerging in sync with my movement to convey surveillance. This is getting too much when they are surveilling me from all angles and via various means - both digital and physical - and even via audio recording devices capable of recording even the slightest sounds. This is pure torture. They simply treat me as if I am not a human being (Article 3 ECHR Violation), or that I am their property (Article 4 UDHR Violation), and even make profit from this by live-streaming or selling illegal recordings almost everywhere, as I have described elsewhere in this injunction bundle.

26.5 Evasive Action: The individuals involved in these incidents typically cease their loud conversations and disappear quickly, making it difficult for me to obtain photographic or video evidence of their presence. Sometimes, the loud noise / thud / conversation is coming from nearby just briefly, not directly outside my window, to make it almost impossible for me to detect and take pictures or videos of the individuals as evidence.

26.6 Legal Implications and Impact on Me

- **Violation of Privacy (Article 8 ECHR and Data Protection Act 2018):** These actions constitute further egregious violations of my right to respect for private and family life under Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights and breaches of data protection principles under the Data Protection Act 2018, as they confirm the illegal processing of highly sensitive personal data (audio recordings of private moments).
- **Harassment (Protection from Harassment Act 1997):** These repeated and deliberate acts, designed to cause me distress and humiliation, constitute a clear "course of conduct" amounting to harassment under the Protection from Harassment Act 1997. The intent to cause distress is evident in the specific timing and nature of these external sounds / cues.

- **Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress:** These incidents provide strong evidence of the Primary Respondents' deliberate and malicious intent to cause me severe emotional distress, which is a key element in claims for intentional infliction of emotional distress.
- **Retaliation and Victimisation:** These incidents are further acts of retaliation and victimisation, designed to punish me for seeking legal recourse and to deter me from continuing my efforts.
- **Exacerbation of Harm:** These specific tactics, while seemingly minor to an outsider, are profoundly harmful to me due to the long-term conditioning and psychological torture I have endured. They exacerbate my existing emotional distress, anxiety, and feelings of vulnerability, confirming that "nothing is private for me anymore."

26.7 I now fear that the Primary Respondents, including other Unknown Public Official Respondents, may be subjecting my daughter to the exact same torture and sexual abuse via hidden cameras. Particularly when she isn't even allowed to know it or to find out if she's also a victim or not, which will then allow them to sexually abuse and exploit her however they want. Because they also appear to prevent me from warning her, as I said earlier, so that she will never know the truth and thereby cannot seek help to stop it.

26.8 On 1 June 2026, at precisely **00:01 am**, which can be read as **No.10** in reverse once again, immediately after typing the previous paragraph regarding my daughter, I heard a car accelerating slightly past my window. It's like this almost every time I raise concerns about my daughter that she may also be a victim of the exact same abuse and torture, and that she doesn't know it, I will often hear a car drive or accelerate past my window, some individuals begin to converse loudly outside my window, a housemate exit/return to the property and slam the main door shut, and so on, and the time almost always symbolically ends in the "**No.01" or "No.10."**

26.9 On 2 June 2026, at approximately 20:47 pm, immediately after I finished editing the previous paragraph concerning **"No.10,"** I observed a police vehicle or a mini ambulance driving past my window. This happens almost every time I mention "**No.10" or Keir Starmer** in my legal documents. This started to happen shortly after I decided to add him as a Respondent / Defendant as well.

26.10 Relief Sought

These incidents further justify the need for:

- **An injunction:** To immediately cease all forms of surveillance, harassment, and intimidation, including these specific covert communication or external sound tactics.
- **High compensation:** To reflect the cumulative and ongoing severe emotional distress, humiliation, and psychological harm caused by these pervasive and malicious acts.

---

27. HOSTEL, RENTAL PROPERTY, BUSINESS ENTITY AND PUBLIC SECTOR RESPONDENTS (D7 & D163-D182)

27.1 The Respondents include, but are not limited to, public transport, Jobcentres, dental practices, owners and operators of hostels and rental properties where I have resided as well as currently residing (See Schedule of Defendants D7 page 8 & D163-D182 pages 42-44). Their staff actively facilitated illegal surveillance, harassment, and intimidation, granting access to premises & CCTV, failing to report suspicious activity, and disseminating private information.

27.2 Such conduct breaches their duty of care and contributes to violations of my rights under Article 8 ECHR, the Data Protection Act 2018 and also criminal offences under the Online Safety Act 2023.

---

28. CYBER-ESPIONAGE, SPOLIATION OF EVIDENCE, INTERFERENCE WITH LEGAL PRIVILEGE AND PHYSICAL WITNESS INTIMIDATION

28.1 I believe, and the facts demonstrate, that Keir Starmer and the Police, including Unknown Public Official Respondents, are currently engaging in active, real-time digital surveillance and cyberstalking of my electronic devices. This surveillance is being deployed for the explicit purpose of perverting the course of justice by obstructing my preparation of these legal proceedings.

28.2 A clear and distressing pattern of coordinated harassment has emerged: whenever I log onto my devices and begin actively drafting or editing this injunction application, physical disruptions are instantly triggered at my residential property.

28.3 Specifically, immediately upon my opening and editing these legal documents, individuals residing in my property—acting under the instruction or coordination of Keir Starmer, the Police and Unknown Public Official Respondents—commence a deliberate pattern of exiting and returning to the property, repeatedly and forcefully slamming the main door adjacent to my room.

28.4 This is not a coincidence of timing. The synchronicity between my digital edits and these sudden, aggressive physical disruptions is absolute. The disruptions cease the moment I close the documents or stop working on my legal strategy.

28.5 I state to this Court that this conduct is a calculated, bad-faith strategy designed to:

- Intimidate me within my own home;
- Cause me extreme distress, hypertension and increase my stress level;
- Severely distract me and fracture my concentration; and
- Artificially delay and obstruct the preparation of this urgent injunction application.

28.6 The Primary Respondents and Unknown Public Official Respondents continue to engage in an ongoing campaign of unlawful cyber-surveillance and hacking, targeting my personal electronic devices despite knowing full well that I am preparing for my injunction application. Through these illicit means, they originally intercepted my confidential health records, intimate email communications, confidential information, legal strategies, creative works, and more. They continue to maintain unauthorised access to my devices specifically to monitor my communications, gain insight into my legal strategies, and preemptively hide or delete incriminating evidence.

28.7 BREACH OF LEGAL PROFESSIONAL PRIVILEGE (LPP)

I verily believe that the Primary Respondents and Unknown Public Official Respondents are using unauthorised device access to breach my Legal Professional Privilege. By intercepting drafts of my court pleadings and legal notes, they are actively subverting the course of justice. This ongoing espionage creates an immediate risk of spoliation (destruction) of evidence before a formal disclosure order can be executed.

28.8 WHY A PRESERVATION ORDER IS URGENT

Given that the Primary Respondents and Unknown Public Official Respondents already have advanced knowledge of my intention to seek injunctive relief—and are monitoring my preparations via hacking—it is highly probable they have already attempted to delete, wipe, or conceal the digital trail of my stolen data. Accordingly, an immediate and robust order for an Affidavit of Source, alongside a mandatory preservation-of-evidence order, is critically required to halt further destruction.

28.9 THE LEGAL CONSEQUENCES: WITNESS INTIMIDATION AND CONTEMPT

I am advised and believe that this conduct crosses the line from a civil dispute into grave criminal misconduct. Under Section 51 of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994, it is a criminal offence to do anything intended to obstruct, pervert, or interfere with the course of justice, or to intimidate a person seeking legal recourse.

Furthermore, this deliberate interference with a litigant's ability to prepare their case, compile evidence, and access the Court constitutes a profound and direct Contempt of Court.

The fact that the Primary Respondents, Unknown Public Official Respondents, or persons acting on their behalf, are actively using the fruits of their illegal hacking to monitor my real-time legal preparation—and using that data to deploy physical intimidation and to increase my stress level,

which could be fatal—demonstrates an utter contempt for the administration of justice and suggests repeated attempts to physically harm me or cause me a heart attack. It underscores the absolute, desperate urgency for the Court to intervene and grant the injunction sought.

28.10 THEFT OF PRIVILEGED LITIGATION MATERIAL AND DESTRUCTION OF A FAIR TRIAL

I therefore respectfully request that the Court exercises its powers under **CPR 3.4(2)(b)** to strike out any defence or response from the Co-Defendants on the grounds of gross **Abuse of Process**. The Primary Defendants / Respondents are utilising their illegal electronic surveillance network not merely for passive monitoring, but to actively steal my confidential, legally privileged court documents and litigation strategies.

These stolen privileged materials are being systematically disseminated across public networks to permit third parties to mock and harass me, and are being shared directly with other Co-Defendants to allow them to craft their defences. This intentional interception of an opponent's privileged work product completely destroys the **Equality of Arms** required by **Article 6 of the ECHR**. The Primary Defendants / Respondents have rendered a fair trial impossible within the current framework. Their conduct represents an advanced, completed act of **Perverting the Course of Justice**, and they cannot be permitted to defend a claim where they have compromised the confidentiality of the Claimant's legal strategy.

28.11 FOR THE AFFIDAVIT OF SOURCE

Certain Respondents have admitted or suggested on public platforms that my private legal documents have been compromised and widely distributed to other parties to this claim. However, I do not currently know the full extent of this data breach, nor do I know exactly which co-respondents are currently holding my privileged strategies.

- Therefore, I respectfully request that the Court orders the Primary Respondents to provide an **Affidavit of Source**. This information is urgently required so that I can identify all recipients of my stolen data, serve them with take-down notices, and prevent further dissemination of my private legal material, private information, intimate materials and creative works.

28.12 WHY AN AFFIDAVIT OF SOURCE IS CRUCIAL

- **The Need to Trace:** Without this Affidavit, I cannot know if the Primary Respondents, including Persons Unknown, have already sold my data to hundreds of other unknown third parties.
- **Urgency:** My privacy is being continually breached every second my data and intimate materials remain in circulation.

28.13 FOR THE ABUSE OF PROCESS HEARING

The conduct of the Primary Respondents, and any Co-respondents who have knowingly received or acted upon this hacked data, goes far beyond a standard procedural dispute. It is a deliberate, bad-faith attempt to subvert the course of justice and gain an unlawful tactical advantage by stealing my protected legal strategy.

- Because my entire trial strategy, private thoughts, and confidential legal assessments have been laid bare to the opposing parties through criminal means, the integrity of these proceedings has been completely compromised. I believe that a fair trial is no longer possible.
- I therefore request that the Court list an expedited directions hearing to determine whether it is appropriate to exercise its powers under CPR 3.4(2)(b) to strike out the Defenses of the involved parties, as their continued participation represents a profound abuse of the court's process.

28.14 THE CO-RESPONDENTS' KNOWLEDGE AND ONGOING BAD FAITH

On 27, 28 & 29 March 2026 and 11 & 12 May 2026, I formally served a Letter Before Action on certain Respondents, including Warner Bros and the Police. The copies of these emails are attached at **Exhibit BW-27, pages 325-341.**

In that letter, I explicitly put the Respondents on notice that:

A. The legal strategy and private notes they may be in possession were stolen property;
B. The material constitutes strictly confidential and legally privileged information;
C. Their screenplays, films and other commercial / entertainment products may have incorporated my private information, intimate materials and/or creative works without my knowledge or consent;
D. This data had been obtained via unlawful means, specifically via hidden cameras, unlawful information gathering by extracting information from the people I know via various means such as via a "honey trap" operation, the unauthorised hacking of my personal devices and Google Drive; and
E. Take down their current productions and halt the ongoing productions that I believe to have incorporated my private information, intimate materials and creative works.

28.15 On 1 May 2026, at 8:57 am, immediately upon adding the previous paragraph in point D regarding "**unlawful information gathering by extracting information from the people I know via various means such as via a "honey trap" operation**," I received a text message from Uxbridge Jobcentre notifying me that I have a video appointment with the Jobcentre tomorrow. A copy of this text message is attached at **Exhibit BW-33, page 484.**

28.16 Immediately after typing the above-mentioned paragraph regarding an unexpected text message from Uxbridge Jobcentre, I heard someone briefly make some noise near my room before disappearing. This is how the Public Official Respondents appear to use all kinds of hints, both subtle just like this one and not so subtle such as loud door-slamming, to let me know that they may be behind the harassment campaign against me.

28.17 Despite receiving this explicit, unambiguous notice, the Respondents chose to completely ignore my letter. They did not deny possession of the data, nor did they offer to delete or return it.

28.18 Instead, they have deliberately chosen to retain, read, and actively use my stolen legal strategy to prepare their defences, harass and intimidate me, sometimes also causing bodily injuries - potentially orchestrated by the police and Keir Starmer. They have also continued to misuse my private information alongside the Primary Respondents.

28.19 I am advised and believe that in equity and the law of breach of confidence, a party cannot claim to be an innocent recipient once they have been formally put on notice that material is stolen. By continuing to exploit this data after receiving my letter, the Respondents have acted, and continue to act, in profound bad faith.

28.20 JUSTIFICATION FOR AN URGENT INJUNCTION

The Respondents refusal to halt their unlawful activity after being put on notice demonstrates that they have no intention of complying with the law voluntarily.

On 2 June 2026, at approximately 21:28 pm, immediately upon reaching the previous paragraph to edit it, I observed an individual exit the property and slam the main door shut.

My intimate images, health records, and ID documents are highly sensitive. Every single day they are in circulation causes irreversible psychological and professional damage.

Because certain Respondents ignored my formal LBAs, they have demonstrated a complete disregard for the law. Therefore, if they are given notice of this lawsuit, there is a severe risk they will delete the digital trail to cover their tracks.

An urgent interim injunction is therefore absolutely necessary and justified. Without an order from this Court, the Respondents will continue to exploit my hacked legal documents, disseminate my private information and intimate materials, commercially exploit my private data, permanently depriving me of a fair trial and causing irreparable harm that cannot be remedied by financial damages alone.

---

29. PENALTY OF PERJURY, LITIGATION MISCONDUCT AND AN ABUSE OF PROCESS

29.1 Some of the Respondents are high-ranking public officials and politicians utilising state or institutional resources to monitor my devices and intercept mail, thereby breaching litigation privilege and preventing me from securing counsel.

29.2 I aver that the Primary Respondents, who are public officials such as Keir Starmer and the Police, have, via hacking / cyberstalking and hidden cameras, deliberately monitored my legal strategies, case documents, and filings to:

- Harass, intimidate, and obstruct me;
- Prepare their defences;
- Warn other co-defendants and potential defendants;
- Deny liability; and
- Misuse confidential information for further exploitation, harassment and intimidation.

29.3 I therefore respectfully submit to the Court that the Primary Respondents' real-time interception of my confidential legal documents constitutes a flagrant and incurable **Abuse of Process** under **CPR 3.4(2)(b)**.

29.4 By utilising electronic surveillance to monitor my typing, thought processes, and legal strategy in real-time, the Primary Respondents have intentionally violated my fundamental right to **Legal Professional Privilege (LPP)**. This has granted them an insurmountable, unlawful tactical advantage, allowing them to pre-emptively construct a manufactured defence based on stolen information.

29.5 As a direct consequence of this criminal and tortious interference, the "equality of arms" required by the civil justice system has been permanently broken. A **fair trial is no longer possible** because the integrity of these proceedings has been completely compromised by the Respondents' bad-faith conduct.

29.6 The Proportionate Remedies: Strike-Out or Summary Judgment

I recognise that a total strike-out of a Defence under **CPR 3.4(2)(b)** is a severe remedy that the Court reserves for the clearest cases of abuse. It is respectfully submitted that the blocking of my access to lawyers, the real-time interception of privileged legal data and the dissemination of it, both for harassment and for profit purposes, meet this high threshold, as they introduce a level of unfairness that cannot easily be cured at a final trial.

29.7 However, if the Court considers that a full strike-out is not yet appropriate due to the underlying complexities of the case, I respectfully invite the Court to consider **Summary Judgment under CPR Part 24** as an alternative, proportionate remedy. The Respondents' reliance on unlawfully intercepted litigation strategy means they have "no real prospect" of

presenting an untainted, authentic defence, and there is no compelling reason to allow this specific issue to proceed to a full trial.

29.8 On 28 May 2026, at 15:55 pm, immediately after I finished editing the previous paragraph about **summary judgment**, I heard an individual suddenly exit the property and aggressively slam the main door shut.

29.9 In the further alternative, should the Court decide that these matters must be deferred to the trial judge, I request that the Court utilise its case management powers to issue strict **Conditional Orders**. These should bar the Respondents from relying on any evidence or legal strategies drafted after the date the surveillance commenced, pending a full forensic IT review.

29.10 The Respondents have also engaged in daily cyberstalking and harassment (both racial and sexual), both directly and through third parties, and have used intimidation tactics, including the display of **imitation firearms**, to prevent me from seeking legal assistance.

29.11 By preventing me from obtaining legal representation, the Primary Respondents have created conditions allowing ongoing abuse to continue and escalate under the radar.

29.12 The Primary Respondents' obstruction of my access to legal representation, while retaining their own counsel, constitutes a deliberate attempt to undermine my right to a fair trial and to pervert the course of justice. This creates an inequitable advantage, allowing them to continue unlawful surveillance, harassment, and exploitation of private materials. Such actions are unlawful and exacerbate the ongoing harm, humiliation, and distress I endure. Courts consistently recognise that fairness in litigation requires that all parties have adequate representation to defend or pursue claims effectively. The continuation of this unequal dynamic further justifies the urgency of the court proceedings and injunction application.

29.13 Further, I aver that the Primary Respondents' alleged conduct in obstructing, deterring, or otherwise preventing me from securing effective legal representation constitutes a distinct and **serious abuse of process**. By depriving me of access to professional legal assistance, the Primary Respondents have enabled the continuation and escalation of the wrongdoing outside the scrutiny that ordinarily accompanies solicitor-led correspondence and court-supervised engagement.

29.14 I aver that, had I been able to obtain legal representation at an earlier stage, there was a realistic prospect that the dispute could have been resolved long ago through proper pre-action engagement or settlement, thereby avoiding prolonged litigation, unnecessary expense, and the expenditure of substantial court time and public resources.

29.15 The Primary Respondents' alleged interference with my ability to obtain legal representation has therefore not only caused prejudice to me, but has also undermined the efficient administration of justice and frustrated the overriding objective under CPR 1.1, amounting to a further abuse of the Court's process.

29.16 Moreover, since the strike-out of these proceedings without taking into consideration my pending application for urgent injunctive relief, the Primary Respondents have continued — and in some instances escalated — their misconduct. This includes ongoing unlawful surveillance (both online and offline), continuous installation of hidden cameras to observe and non-consensually film me 24/7, further dissemination of the illegal footage and private materials, misuse of private information, commercial exploitation, severe harassment (both online and offline), and acts of intimidation clearly calculated to dissuade me from pursuing my legal rights, as if the strike-out gives them the green light to continue their crimes against me and my daughter.

29.17 I believe that certain Respondents are deliberately utilising their overseas status and official influence to evade the jurisdiction of this Court and continue the misuse of my private information.

29.18 Given the complexity of my case, the severity of the allegations, and the involvement of powerful individuals and entities, it is absolutely critical to have experienced legal representation, who is impartial.

29.19 **Key Legal Actions My Lawyers Can Take:**

- **Injunctions**: To stop the illegal surveillance, secret filming, dissemination, misuse of private information, harassment, stalking, the employment of the honey traps, production, distribution, or screening of the films immediately.
- **Gather Evidence and Witness Statement:** They can help me gather evidence effectively and contact key witnesses.
- **NPO**: To find out the identities of the “Unknown Defendants.”
- **Damages**: To claim compensation for loss, distress, or commercial exploitation of my work.
- **Reputation Management**: Managing or preventing damage to my reputation through defamation suits.
- **Cease and Desist/Letter Before Action:** Formal demand to stop the unauthorised use of my intellectual property or personal information.
- **Settlement Negotiation**
- **Takedown Requests:** Many lawyers will start by sending formal notices to the production & distribution companies, advertisement agencies, tech & social media platforms, AI platforms, phonographic websites, other corporations, or websites to remove the content immediately.
- **Platform Liability:** Lawyers may also investigate if the platform (e.g., social media or AI tool provider) failed its "duty of care" under the Online Safety Act to prevent such content.

29.20 I also respectfully request that the Court take this issue into account when considering case management, including whether the proceedings should be paused or directions given, until I have had a fair and reasonable opportunity to secure legal representation.

30. POWER IMBALANCE, ACCESS TO LEGAL REPRESENTATION AND FAIR TRIAL CONCERNS

30.1 Therefore, I submit that the Primary Respondents' ongoing and unlawful monitoring of my electronic devices creates a fundamental barrier to justice. Because the Primary Respondents are aware of my every attempt to secure legal representation in real-time, they are able to exert preemptive influence over potential counsel to ensure I remain unrepresented.

30.2 This conduct constitutes a severe violation of **Article 6 (Right to a Fair Trial)**, as I am deprived of the 'Equality of Arms' necessary to litigate against public officials and other powerful defendants. Furthermore, it violates **Article 13 (Right to an Effective Remedy);** if the Court allows a strike-out to stand while I am being actively prevented from accessing the legal and medical professionals required to build my case, I am left with no domestic remedy for the ongoing misuse of my private information. The Court is requested to intervene to prevent the legal process from being weaponised against the victim.

30.3 The Respondents have therefore engaged in a coordinated campaign of 'legal gatekeeping,' deliberately interfering with my right to privileged communication with counsel. This constitutes a 'procedural bar' to justice, violating the fundamental principle of Equality of Arms.

30.4 The treatment of me, characterised by persistent surveillance, sexual abuse via voyeurism, dissemination of non-consensually recordings and the deprivation of legal resources, falls below the international minimum standards for humane treatment as codified in the Nelson Mandela Rules (Rules 54, 61, and 119), amounting to 'inhumane and degrading treatment' under Article 3 of the ECHR.

30.5 This case involves multiple Respondents, including powerful public officials, institutions, social media platforms, tech executives and major film studios, overlapping legal domains, and evidence of ongoing harm. I am a 55-year-old woman from South East Asia with limited legal resources who has had to prepare all filings alone while under psychological duress and intrusive surveillance. The denial of representation — due to intimidation, manipulation, and obstruction — is a direct violation of Article 6 rights.

30.6 Some of the Respondents are powerful individuals within authorities, law enforcement, major tech platforms, and film production companies. Given this imbalance of power, and the fact that I currently do not have legal representation, I respectfully ask the Court to treat this matter **with the utmost fairness and seriousness**.

30.7 I therefore contend that the Primary Respondents' conduct constitutes **tortious interference with legal proceedings and breach of confidence**, including the interception and misuse of legally privileged communications. I reasonably believe that, by unlawfully

monitoring my devices and communications at all times, the Primary Respondents have gained insight into my legal strategy. They then use the **surreptitiously obtained information to coordinate a collective defence strategy**, including discouraging or preventing other Respondents from engaging in settlement negotiations. In some instances, responses received have been **aggressive, intimidating, or manipulative**, further evidencing coordinated conduct. This has the effect of **undermining my right to a fair trial under Article 6 ECHR** and interfering with the proper administration of justice.

30.8 Additionally, the Primary Respondents' conduct—specifically the constant monitoring of all private and public computing facilities and the interference with legal counsel—has effectively blocked my access to justice on a global scale. By ensuring I cannot safely prepare a case or communicate with foreign counsel, the Primary Respondents have preemptively suppressed my ability to seek a remedy in any international jurisdiction where the additional Respondents are based.

30.9 This is not a localised dispute; it is a systemic effort by public officials to use their power to grant themselves global immunity for the illegal surveillance, sexual abuse via hidden cameras, cybersex trafficking, mass misuse of private information, commercial exploitation on an industrial scale, harassment and intimidation campaign, gross human rights abuses, honey trap operation, money laundering, and many more illegal acts committed against me and my daughter. The Court is requested to provide urgent directions on how a Litigant in Person can proceed when every digital and professional avenue to justice has been intentionally compromised by the opposing parties.

30.10 Interference with Legal Representation: By stripping me of legal counsel, they have forced a "complex burden" onto me that a layperson cannot reasonably manage within the standard court timelines.

30.11 Exploitation of Litigant in Person Status: Under Practice Direction 1A, I am a **vulnerable party** due to the state-led harassment and intimidation. Because the Primary Respondents, which I reasonably believe one of the key persons is Keir Starmer, deliberately created a disparity of arms by obstructing my access to professional legal advice, while simultaneously escalating their harassment to exhaust my capacity to prepare this complex case alone.

30.12 Therefore, I am not just "busy"; I am being **systematically obstructed**. Because I am currently required to perform the roles of both a legal team and a witness, while fending off ongoing retaliatory attacks. This dual burden is a direct result of the Primary Respondents' misconduct and has made compliance with the 14-day deadline to set aside a strike-out and to prepare my injunction application physically and mentally impossible.

30.13. I am therefore seeking an extension of time because the Respondents, particularly the public official Respondents, have systematically obstructed my access to legal representation, forcing me to litigate this complex matter as a Litigant in Person. This obstruction, combined with the retaliatory harassment I experience whenever I exercise my Article 10 rights to expose

their misconduct, has created a severe 'inequality of arms'. These actions constitute a deliberate attempt to pervert the course of justice by ensuring I cannot adequately prepare my case. In the interests of the Overriding Objective and a fair trial under Article 6 ECHR, an extension is necessary to mitigate the impact of this state-led intimidation.

---

31. REQUEST FOR COURT-APPOINTED IMPARTIAL LEGAL REPRESENTATION

31.1 Therefore, due to the sensitive and highly complex nature of this case—including allegations of illegal surveillance, covert dissemination of intimate images, retaliation, and abuse of power by public officials—I respectfully request that the Court urgently appoint or refer me to an independent and impartial solicitor.

31.2 I am a litigant in person facing powerful Defendants with vast resources, institutional support, and influence. The ongoing interference with my ability to secure independent legal advice—allegedly orchestrated by the Primary Defendants (Particularly Keir Starmer and/or other Unknown Public Officials)—has left me vulnerable and without effective legal assistance.

31.3 Having an impartial legal representation is essential to:

- **Protect me from discrimination, unfair treatment, and injustice**;
- **Ensure my rights are understood, explained, and upheld**, particularly under Articles 3, 6, and 8 ECHR;
- **Address the complexity of the law involved**, including privacy law, tort law, harassment statutes, and human rights legislation;
- **Present sensitive and traumatic material appropriately and professionally**, including intimate images and matters relating to sexual abuse;
- **Ensure equal footing in proceedings**, especially in the context of advanced litigation strategy by the Defendants;
- **Prevent further mental distress and ensure procedural fairness**, particularly in upcoming injunction hearings.

---

32. DISCRIMINATION, EXPLOITATION, HATE CRIME AND POSSIBLE CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY

32.1 The harassment, abuse and exploitation I have endured are not random acts but are clearly motivated by prejudice and targeted exploitation - particularly when it has been going on since approximately 2013. That's more than a decade already. Under the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 and the Equality Act 2010, hate crimes and discriminatory harassment are recognised as serious offences, with the courts empowered to impose tougher sentences where prejudice is a motivating factor.

32.2 My case involves persistent and deliberate abuse, sexual abuse via secret filming and distribution (image-based sexual abuse, voyeurism, cybersex trafficking), commercial exploitation, intimidation, and industrial-scale harassment that exploits my protected characteristics, for example:

- **Race and Nationality** – I have been singled out as a Thai woman. The conduct I describe is not random but instead strongly suggests severe discrimination based on my protected characteristics under the Equality Act 2010. As a South East Asian woman, I have been singled out with targeted racial and sexual harassment, decade-long intrusive surveillance, sexual abuse and exploitation, dissemination and commercialisation on a global scale.

- Even when I am actively preparing my injunction application, the illegal installation of hidden cameras, the 24/7 intrusive surveillance, voyeurism, live-streaming, mass misuse of my private information, blocking access to lawyers, gross human rights abuses, severe harassment, witness intimidation, and many more illegal acts, committed against me and my daughter still continue unabated, strongly suggesting severe discrimination.

- So, it's not that the abuses have already stopped. Because I can then use all my energy to focus on holding them accountable for past abuses. But the fact that they carry on the abuses and exploitation regardless, causes me even more distress having to live under constant illegal surveillance, facing daily abuse, harassment, intimidation, my daughter may also be subjected to the exact same abuse and exploitation, and at the same time I also having to prepare this case and my injunction application all alone by myself, making this case exceptional and one of a kind.

32.3 The non-consensually recordings obtained from these hidden cameras then appear to be live-streamed 24/7 and distributed to various places for profit, posing a serious risk to my privacy, reputation, and well-being. It's therefore not just sexual abuse and commercial exploitation but also a form of psychological torture and mental abuse, designed to cause severe trauma, emotional distress and humiliation.

32.4 They also keep reminding me daily by repeatedly giving me hints via various means that I am being constantly watched, recorded and distributed to cause me psychiatric injury as retaliation for trying to stop the abuse and assert my rights, including for trying to expose their

misconduct that they may also be sexually abusing and exploiting my daughter via hidden cameras (voyeurism and cybersex trade). Again, this is not the behaviour of innocent parties.

---

33. ESCALATION OF WITNESS INTIMIDATION AND HARASSMENT

33.1 A systematic pattern of witness intimidation and retaliatory harassment following my amendment of the Particulars of Claim and my active preparation of urgent injunction application has increased very recently.

33.2 **Representative Examples:**

- **Representative Example 1 - Harassment Escalation**

  Date: 29 January 2026

  Event: The Respondents—utilising unauthorised real-time surveillance including hidden cameras and GPS tracking—coordinated a series of 'shock' encounters involving housemates and various third parties in Central London. These encounters were timed to coincide with my legal filings and the subsequent failure of my mobile device, intentionally creating a climate of fear to coerce me into abandoning the proceedings and injunction application.

  These acts constitute a breach of the Protection from Harassment Act 1997 and an interference with the due administration of justice. I seek an urgent injunction to restrain the Respondents from further monitoring, tracking, or deploying agents to engage in proximity-based intimidation, asserting that such conduct is not coincidental but a calculated punitive response to the expansion of my list of defendants and the disclosure of evidence regarding commercial exploitation.

- **Representative Example 2 - Witness Intimidation**

  Date: 10 February & 4 April 2026

  Event: The witness intimidation by housemates for gathering evidence.

  Attach Exhibits BW-15 (pages 215-220) & BW-16 (pages 223-227) - Photos and screenshots of housemates who intimidated me for gathering evidence and for liking posts on X about the negativity surrounding Keir Starmer (the 1st Respondent).

- **Representative Example 3 - Threatening Lines and No.10 Symbol**

  Date: 6 April & 2 May 2026

Event: After I had added more information about Keir Starmer and his alleged involvement in this, including about harassment by publication, I experienced a swift increase in intimidation in which my housemates would keep going in and out of the property and slamming the main door shut with full force to both intimidate me and to get my attention, to make me go look out of the window and would then see the threatening trick lines, target-like marks, or No.10 symbols (X = 10) drawn by the aircraft right outside my window. The aircraft kept drawing lines and No.10 symbols all day. It's like this almost every time I expose their misconduct, particularly concerning Keir Starmer and/or his government, even privately in my legal documents and saved it on my devices, which strongly suggests once again that they are illegally monitoring all my online activities and communications at all times for malicious purposes, such as to control me, to gain insight into my legal strategies.

Attach Exhibit BW-16 (page 233): Photo of thick lines and No.10 symbols drawn in the sky by the aircraft right outside my window immediately after I privately wrote about Keir Starmer in my legal documents and about harassment by publication.

33.3 These events, together with prior incidents, strongly suggest the Respondents are illegally monitoring my devices to anticipate my legal strategies, to prevent me from seeking help and exposing them, especially when they may be sexually abusing my daughter as well, constituting offences under the Computer Misuse Act 1990, Data Protection Act 2018, and GDPR.

33.4 The repeated threats, **particularly against my daughter**, and the escalation of it, have caused severe emotional distress, sleep deprivation, and interference with my ability to pursue legal recourse effectively due to fear that they may try to harm her to get back at me.

33.5 Witness Intimidation: Under **Section 51 of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994**, it is an offense to do an act which intimidates a person, knowing or believing that person is a party to proceedings, with the intent to obstruct the course of justice.

---

34. THE URGENT NEED FOR AN INJUNCTION

34.1 These representative examples show that the Respondents have already disseminated my private information and intimate materials exponentially and demonstrate the pattern, duration, continuation, escalation and severity of the Respondents' unlawful campaign, including their repeated intimidation tactics to intimidate, silence and make me abandon my claim.

34.2 Therefore, without urgent injunctive relief, I face further irreparable harm through the ongoing misuse of my private information, dissemination, harassment, intimidation, deliberate psychological torture and mental abuse.

34.3 Consequently, the Respondents' ongoing conduct places me in a constantly unsafe and humiliating environment when I can't even take a shower due to credible fear of being filmed and live-streamed / distributed, gravely violating my human rights Articles 3 and 8 ECHR, obstructs access to justice, and threatens irreparable harm.

34.4 Immediate interim relief without notice is sought to prevent further dissemination of private materials, further harassment, illegal surveillance and filming, sexual exploitation, and to protect my daughter from the same abuse and exploitation.

34.5 Each time I raise concerns about my daughter, expose their misconduct, on the verge of initiating court proceedings or applying for injunction, for instance, the Respondents immediately retaliate by instructing my housemates to slam the main door near my room shut, sometimes very violently and aggressively, disseminating my private materials even more as well as indirectly threatening to share my intimate materials further as 'revenge' for trying to assert my rights and to protect my daughter.

---

35. HEALTH CONSEQUENCES

35.1 **Psychological and Physical Harm**

I am being subjected to constant surveillance and immediate dissemination / live-stream of intimate footage, preventing me from basic hygiene.

As a direct result of these malicious acts, I have suffered severe mental, emotional, and physical harm, including:

- **Health and Hygiene:** Consequently, I have not taken a shower for nearly 2 months now due to fear of being filmed and live-streamed / disseminated. Thus, I smell very bad now. This is an extreme form of torture, inhuman, or degrading treatment severely violating my human rights articles 3 and 8 under the ECHR. Consequently, the Respondents' actions have created a physical blockade to my biological needs. By filming and disseminating activities in the bathroom, they have effectively 'confiscated' my access to hygiene and sanitation. This is not a choice; it is a physical consequence of Article 3 treatment. It also constitutes a violence against women and a crime against humanity, when the sexual abuse via voyeurism and cybersex trafficking have been going on for so long, and that my access to lawyers has also been blocked!

- **Psychological Trauma**: Anxiety, depression, extreme humiliation, post-traumatic stress, feelings of helplessness, discriminated against, despair, emotional distress, and long-term behavioral changes.

- **Physical Impact**: Sleep disturbances, constant nightmares, stress-related headaches and migraines, hypervigilance, and general deterioration of physical health.

- **Social and Life Disruption**: Loss of trust in personal and professional relationships, social isolation, disruption of daily life and loss of enjoyment of life.

- **Family Impact**: My daughter has also been directly targeted, intensifying the psychological and emotional harm.

35.2 Imminent Risk of Irreparable Harm:

Physical Harm: Consequently, the intrusive surveillance is so absolute that I am physically unable to use the bathroom or shower. This has resulted in prolonged constipation and lack of hygiene, which is now causing, e.g., severe abdominal pain, skin irritation, which may lead to skin infections. This constitutes a direct threat to my physical health. The persistent door slamming caused by my housemates, and sometimes very forcefully, has now caused me permanent ringing and pain in my left ear.

Psychological Torture: The intentional creation of a situation where I must choose between my health and my dignity is a form of psychological torture designed to break my will and debase my personhood.

Under European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) standards, treatment is "degrading" if it is grossly humiliating or undignified. The prevention of basic hygiene (showering, using the bathroom, getting changed) due to the fear of state-sponsored illegal filming and dissemination is a severe interference with human dignity that can cause **intense mental suffering**, which has already caused me.

Irreparable Harm: The "real-time" dissemination of my most private moments is irreparable because once the material is public, it cannot be recalled.

Urgency: This is happening *now*, the risk is therefore imminent.

State Responsibility: Article 3 imposes a "positive obligation" on the UK government to protect me from such treatment, even if it were being done by private individuals. If public officials are the ones doing it, it is a direct "substantive" violation.

35.3 Inability to Obtain Medical Evidence due to Respondents' Influence

- I bring to the Court’s attention that I am unable to comply with the direction to provide a medical practitioner's report. I believe that some of the Respondents, such as the PM Keir Starmer and/or other unknown public official Respondents, utilising their high-status as public officials, have exerted undue influence over medical professionals, leading to an impartial advice / assessment. I have therefore stopped trying to consult any medical professionals.

- This interference places me in an impossible position and constitutes a further abuse of process by the Respondents. I request that the Court either:

    - Stay the requirement for a medical report until an independent practitioner can be safely instructed; or

    - Order the Respondents to cease any communication with my potential medical experts.

35.4 Legal Arguments to Support My Position

- **CPR 35.9:** This rule allows the court to direct a party who has access to information (which the other party cannot reasonably obtain) to provide it. If the Respondents are influencing the doctors, they are effectively controlling the "access" to that evidence.

- **The Overriding Objective (CPR 1.1):** Dealing with a case "justly" includes ensuring parties are on an **equal footing**. Forcing a Litigant in Person to provide a report that the opposing public officials are allegedly blocking is the definition of an unequal footing.

---

36. BALANCE OF CONVENIENCE AND URGENCY OF INJUNCTION

36.1 The Respondents' conduct constitutes a systematic and widespread attack against me, characterised by a 'global criminal enterprise' model as recently identified by UN human rights experts in analogous contexts of institutionalised abuse.

36.2 The persistent installation of surveillance devices and dissemination of intimate material, despite pending litigation and injunction application, demonstrates a 'supremacist belief' in legal impunity, necessitating an immediate injunction to uphold the rule of law."

36.3 The inclusion of my daughter in the scope of sexual abuse & harassment and the use of imitation firearms to intimidate me represent an escalation into 'crimes against humanity' territory, where the state's failure to protect me and my daughter constitutes a breach of its positive obligations under Articles 2, 3, 6 and 8 of the ECHR.

36.4 The urgent interim injunction is also to restrain the Respondents from further surveillance and recording. There is a **serious issue to be tried**, as the continued conduct amounts to a clear criminal offence under **s.67 of the Sexual Offences Act 2003 (Voyeurism)**.

36.5 Irreparable harm will result if the injunction is not granted. My intimate images/videos and private communications are being disseminated globally in real-time, causing **permanent psychological and reputational damage** that cannot be adequately compensated by monetary damages alone.

36.6 The **balance of convenience** strongly favours me. The Respondents will suffer no legal and financial hardship if ordered to stop their illegal acts, whereas I suffer ongoing **total loss of dignity, financial hardship, safety, and privacy**, making immediate relief essential.

36.7 The Respondents are wealthy individuals, public officials, tech executives and corporations with substantial financial resources. They are fully able to comply with any injunction ordered by this Court and to meet any potential costs order and interim payment. Their financial position means that enforcement of the Court's orders and interim payment will not present practical and financial difficulties. This also demonstrates that the balance of convenience weighs heavily in favour of granting the injunction: the hardship to me if the injunction is refused would be severe and irreparable, whereas compliance would not impose any disproportionate burden on the Respondents.

---

37. OVERALL NARRATIVE AND IMPACT STATEMENT

37.1 Cumulative Impact: These incidents, taken together with the extensive history of illegal surveillance, sexual abuse via voyeurism, cyberstalking, racial harassment, and dissemination of intimate images & videos, demonstrate a systematic and relentless campaign by the Respondents to control every aspect of my life, to deny me my fundamental rights, and to prevent me from seeking redress.

37.2 "Stealing my whole life" / "as if I don't exist": The Respondents' actions are not merely harassment; they are an attempt to erase my autonomy, my identity, and my very existence as a free individual. They are effectively 'stealing my whole life,' leaving me feeling as if I 'don't exist' outside of their control and surveillance.

---

38. "NECESSARY REMEDIAL MEASURES" TO PREVENT FURTHER "IRREPARABLE HARM"

38.1 In light of the ongoing and escalating nature of the harm described above, I respectfully submit that **urgent and targeted remedial measures** are required to prevent further **irreparable harm** and to ensure the integrity of these proceedings.

38.2 Mandatory Disclosure – The Right to Truth

A. First, I seek a **mandatory injunction for disclosure**. Without access to the Respondents' internal records and communications, there exists a profound **information asymmetry**, which places me at a significant disadvantage and renders a **fair trial effectively impossible**. The relevant information is uniquely within the Respondents' control, and its continued concealment perpetuates the harm.

B. To the extent that any Respondents are public officials or entities exercising public functions, they are under a **duty of candour** to provide full and frank disclosure to the Court. I therefore respectfully request that the Court compel comprehensive disclosure to ensure that the **truth can be properly ascertained**.

38.3 Accountability – Vindicating the Rule of Law

A. Secondly, I respectfully request that the Court consider referring the conduct of any **relevant individuals or officials** to the appropriate authorities for **independent investigation**. This is necessary to **vindicate the rule of law**, particularly where there are credible concerns that positions of power may have been misused.

B. It is a fundamental principle that **immunity must not operate as a shield for impunity**. The seriousness of the matters raised warrants independent scrutiny to ensure accountability and to maintain public confidence in the administration of justice.

38.4 **Non-Interference – Restoration of Fundamental Rights**

A. Thirdly, I seek an immediate **order for non-interference**. The Respondents' conduct, including the alleged monitoring of my devices and interference with my legal preparations, poses a direct threat to my ability to conduct this case.

B. In particular, the Court is respectfully invited to protect the **sanctity of the solicitor–client relationship**, and more broadly my right to prepare my case free from intrusion, whether by **cyber-interception, surveillance, or physical intimidation**. Without such protection, there is a real risk that my fundamental rights, including my right to a fair trial under Article 6 ECHR, will be undermined.

---

39. THE NORWICH PHARMACAL DISCLOSURE

39.1 As previously explained, I am presently without legal representation. I respectfully request the Court's assistance in appointing or referring me to an impartial solicitor, as I have faced obstruction in obtaining legal help due to the Respondents' conduct.

39.2 I seek a Norwich Pharmacal Order (NPO) compelling relevant third parties—including but not limited to internet service providers, social media platforms, tech executives, website operators, companies, individuals and current housemates, potentially involved in the

distribution or exploitation of my private materials, harassment and intimidation—to disclose identifying information of the “Persons Unknown” or who have instructed them.

39.3. The information sought is necessary to enable me to bring substantive legal action against these unknown wrongdoers or the real wrongdoers. Upon disclosure, I intend to amend this claim to replace “Persons Unknown” with the real names of the individuals identified.

39.4. The third parties sought to be ordered under the NPO are “mixed-up” in the wrongdoing because their platforms, services or involvement have been used to facilitate the surveillance, sharing, broadcasting, commercialisation of my private materials, harassment, or intimidation, and they hold information essential for identifying the perpetrators.

39.5 This application is made in the interests of justice and to prevent further harm, and is not sought for any other purpose. Disclosure is essential to enable me to vindicate my rights, obtain compensation, and secure appropriate injunctions to prevent further unlawful acts.

39.6 I cannot pursue my claim effectively without knowing who directed or instructed these acts, and without disclosure of CCTV, communications, and records showing how these campaigns and secret filming are coordinated.

39.7 Necessity of Legal Counsel: Therefore, effective pursuit of a Norwich Pharmacal Order, and indeed any legal remedy in this complex and dangerous situation, is entirely contingent upon securing impartial, competent legal representation. A lawyer is essential not only to navigate the legal complexities but also to provide a buffer against the Respondents' intimidation and manipulation tactics, and to ensure my safety during the investigative process.

39.8 I am also compelled to bring to the Court’s attention a further, alarming manifestation of the Respondents’ ongoing campaign of intimidation. The Respondents are actively utilising a network of third-party proxy agents and anonymous harassers to target me, both online and in physical spaces.

39.9 I have made it clear to the Respondents that I intend to apply to this Court for a **Norwich Pharmacal Order (NPO)** to compel the identifying information of these third-party proxies, including their source of instructions, funding, and the digital pathways utilised to coordinate this harassment.

39.10 In a standard civil dispute, the threat of an NPO and the resulting judicial exposure causes an opponent to cease illicit operations. In this case, however, the Respondents have demonstrated a complete contempt for the Court's authority. They have actively accelerated and continued the proxy harassment without pause.

39.11 This continued defiance proves that the Respondents believe they act with absolute impunity, shielded by their status as public officials and their control over the court registry. They are entirely unconcerned by the prospect of standard disclosure applications, confident that they can use proxy agents to shield themselves from direct accountability.

39.12 Accordingly, I submit that a standard Norwich Pharmacal Order will not suffice to protect the integrity of these proceedings or my personal safety. The behaviour of the Respondents requires this Court to bypass intermediate disclosure steps and grant an immediate **Injunction Restraining Harassment** against the Respondents and any agents acting on their behalf, coupled with a mandatory order forcing the immediate preservation of all communication logs between the public officials and these third parties.

---

40. THE RESPONDENTS' EXPLICIT DEFIANCE OF DISCLOSURE MECHANISMS VIA TARGETED VEHICLE HARASSMENT

40.1 The Respondents' campaign of proxy intimidation has escalated to open, calculated defiance of this Court's authority. On various occasions, anonymous proxy agents have systematically deployed vehicles to shadow and park in close physical proximity to my location.

40.2 As a matter of basic evidence preservation, I have taken clear, high-resolution photographic records of these vehicles, explicitly capturing their vehicle registration marks (number plates). I refer to some of these photographs, which are indexed and displayed chronologically at **pages 307 to 308 of Exhibit BW-25**, **pages 419 to 420 of Exhibit BW-31**, **pages 472 to 473 of Exhibit BW-33.**

40.3 In standard civil litigation, a party operating through anonymous third parties moves to conceal their physical assets the moment a **Norwich Pharmacal Order (NPO)** is threatened. In total contrast, the Respondents have actively instructed these proxy harassers to park their vehicles directly in my line of sight, parking or lingering purposefully to ensure that I capture clear photographs of their number plates.

40.4 This conduct is a direct, psychological taunt. The Respondents are explicitly communicating that they do not fear a Norwich Pharmacal Order, nor do they care that these vehicle registration marks can be traced directly back to their proxy agents via Driver and Vehicle Licensing Agency (DVLA) or police databases.

40.5 This overt display of impunity proves that the Respondents, particularly the 1st and 2nd Respondents, believe their official positions shield them from judicial consequence. They are entirely confident that they can continue to deploy physical assets to intimidate a litigant, treat impending High Court disclosure orders as a matter of amusement, and subvert the rule of law without consequence.

40.6 Consequently, I reiterate that a standard Norwich Pharmacal Order is an inadequate remedy. The Court must exercise its sharpest powers to issue an **Immediate Non-Harassment Injunction** with a strict **Penal Notice** attached directly to the named public officials, making

them personally and criminally liable for any subsequent physical deployment of these vehicles or proxy agents.

---

41. INTENTIONAL BARRIER TO JUSTICE

41.1 On 27, 28 & 29 March 2026 and on 11 & 12 May 2026, I took the proactive and transparent step of sending a formal legal warning letter to certain **Respondents.** A true copy of these formal notices, along with the digital transmission, is produced and shown to me at Exhibit **BW-27, Pages 325-341**. These letters and emails explicitly put those legal representatives, companies and individuals on notice regarding the state-sponsored blockade of my access to counsel, the ongoing misuse of my private information, commercial exploitation, harassment and intimidation, and reminded them of their overriding professional duties to the administration of justice under the SRA Code of Conduct.

41.2 On 28 May 2026, at approximately 12:43 pm, immediately after I added the information in the previous paragraph about sending my formal legal warning letter to certain Respondents such as "**Warner Bros, writers and the police,"** I heard an individual suddenly exit the property and violently slam the main door shut. When I ran to the window trying to see who it was, the individual quickly disappeared as usual.

41.3 The automated and evasive 'loop' of referrals provided by the Police Respondent's legal team **(as shown in Exhibit BW-27, pages 338-339)** makes it physically impossible to effect further service. This appears to be a deliberate 'firewall' designed to prevent this urgent matter from reaching a person of authority. The Respondents are engaging in a chain of evasive 'out of office' replies or simply ignore it demonstrates a coordinated effort to delay these proceedings and frustrate the course of justice.

41.4 I have acted in absolute good faith throughout by giving the Respondents' legal representatives immediate, clear, and unambiguous notice of these facts. If the Respondents or their legal representatives choose to ignore this correspondence, remain silent, or take steps to exploit my lack of representation at this hearing, it cannot be claimed that they were unaware of the situation. Their failure to engage or rectify this unfair advantage demonstrates a deliberate choice to proceed in bad faith and to capitalise on an active obstruction of justice. This ongoing defiance leaves me with no alternative but to seek the immediate, overriding protection of this Honourable Court.

41.5 I have also made reasonable efforts to identify and contact certain Respondents through known communication channels associated with them, including social media accounts. In some instances, those channels appear to have blocked or prevented further communication, and I do not presently possess verified physical or email addresses for service.

41.6 Accordingly, I seek permission pursuant to CPR 6.15 and/or the Court's general case management powers for alternative service by electronic means, including via identified social

media accounts, where the Court is satisfied that such methods are reasonably likely to bring the proceedings to the attention of the relevant Respondents.

41.7 Thus, I have, insofar as reasonably practicable and consistent with the urgency of the application, notified certain key Respondents and/or entities believed to possess significant involvement, control, knowledge, or power in relation to the matters complained of, including by providing copies of the application documents and/or notice of the application through available communication channels such as by email and/or social media communication channels known to be associated with them. In several instances, no response was received and certain communication channels appear to have been blocked or rendered unavailable.

41.8 I respectfully submit that reasonable attempts at notification have therefore been undertaken in accordance with the duty of candour and procedural fairness, notwithstanding the urgency of the relief sought. The absence of engagement or response despite such attempts may be relevant to the Court's consideration of service, urgency, and any subsequent objection to interim relief.

41.9 I reserve the right to rely upon such conduct in support of any application concerning alternative service, abridged service, or costs.

41.10 Additionally, given the exceptionally large number of Respondents, including Persons Unknown and individuals whose identities and/or service details remain unknown, it has not been practicable to notify every Respondent individually prior to the urgent consideration of this application. I respectfully submit that any person or entity who subsequently receives, becomes aware of, or is served with any Order of the Court shall be required to comply with its terms unless and until varied or discharged by the Court.

41.11 Due to the volume of correspondence and notifications sent, I have not exhibited every communication within the present bundle in the interests of proportionality and urgency. However, evidence of such communications, transmission records, and/or attempted notifications can be produced to the Court at a later stage should the Court so require.

---

42. THE MISUSE OF PUBLIC FUNDS AND RESOURCES FOR PERSONAL GAIN

42.1 I respectfully bring to the Court's attention that the unrelenting campaign of digital hacking, physical surveillance, and out-of-court intimidation directed against me is being executed on an industrial scale. The magnitude and technological complexity of this operation indicate a coordinated, systemic deployment of state infrastructure, personnel, and public assets.

42.2 I state under penalty of perjury that this represents a gross, unlawful misuse of public funds and state resources. Rather than serving the British public, these taxpayer-funded assets are

being weaponised as a private security apparatus to serve the personal and political interests of specific public officials. The explicit purpose of this expenditure is to isolate me, suppress my evidence, and prevent this high-stakes corruption case from being heard in an open court.

42.3 The diversion of public resources to execute extrajudicial harassment, hide corporate data exploitation, and shield officials from criminal accountability constitutes potential **Misconduct in Public Office** and a severe perversion of the course of justice. A fair trial is structurally impossible while the state actors are permitted to use unlimited public funds to covertly sabotage an unrepresented litigant in person.

---

43. CONSCIENCE OF SOCIETY

43.1 I submit that the Primary Respondents' conduct represents a **'totalitarian overreach'** that transcends mere negligence. It is a deliberate dismantling of my human dignity. To allow these acts to continue without the requested injunctive relief would be to sanction a 'lawless vacuum' where state and corporate power operate beyond the reach of the court's conscience.

---

44. FULL AND FRANK DISCLOSURE AND APPLICATION WITHOUT NOTICE

44.1 I make this application without notice to the Respondents pursuant to **CPR Part 25.3**. I am acutely aware of my strict duty to provide the Court with full, frank, and fair disclosure of all material facts, including those that may be adverse to my own case. I confirm that all material facts, including those adverse to my case, have been included in my Witness Statement.

44.2 Justification for Proceeding Without Notice:

A. Addressing the Hacking and Notice

I have not given the Respondents notice of this application because doing so would completely frustrate the purpose of the injunction and spark immediate, severe retaliation. Some of the Respondents are powerful state actors, wealthy tech executives, top tech platforms, and major film studios, who possess systemic control over my communication infrastructure. If they are given advance warning of this application:

- They have the immediate technical capability to increase their digital hacking, wipe forensic evidence of their interference from my devices, and permanently destroy the paper trail of mail interception.

- They would likely escalate their pressure on law firms, making it utterly impossible for me to retain legal representation to attend the return date hearing.
- The risk of immediate, irreversible frustration of justice is absolute. Notice would effectively hand the Respondents a window to finish isolating me from the legal system before a judge can intervene.

I am therefore making this application without notice to the Respondents. I believe the Respondents are already aware of my general intentions because I have reason to believe my digital devices and legal files have been unlawfully accessed by them or on their behalf, as mentioned elsewhere in this injunction bundle. However, formal notice of this specific application must still be withheld. If the Respondents receive formal notice of the exact date, time, and specific remedies sought in this application, they will immediately act to destroy the evidence / dissipate the assets before this Court can intervene.

B. Introducing the Digital Evidence

My belief that the Respondents are monitoring my legal strategy is not speculative. Because they and their proxy agents have repeatedly reflected almost everything I type in this injunction application bundle back to me via various means such as via social media posts, advertisements. Also, the escalation in harassment and intimidation also occurs almost every time I type sensitive information into my legal documents or add crucial evidence to my Exhibits. For example, on 18 May 2026, immediately after I added sensitive information and evidence into my Exhibit, a helicopter would suddenly emerge and fly low past my window. This is not an isolated incident. Because it happens too often whenever I add crucial information or evidence to my legal documents. I attach some photos as an example of this at **Exhibit BW-20, pages 257-261.**

Elon Musk himself also often makes references to my legal strategies or what I have typed in my legal documents in his social media posts. I attach a screenshot as an example of this at **Exhibit BW-24, page 293.**

C. Fulfilling Full and Frank Disclosure

In accordance with my duty of full and frank disclosure, I am bringing this suspected compromise to the Court's attention. Because the Respondents may already possess unauthorised insight into my strategy, it is even more critical that the order is granted immediately to freeze the status quo and protect the integrity of these proceedings.

44.3 Anticipated Arguments by the Respondents:

I have considered what the Respondents would argue if they were present in Court today. I anticipate they would raise the following points, which I rebut as follows:

- **Anticipated Argument A: "The measures are taken for legitimate national security, public order, or data protection reasons."**

  Rebuttal: The Respondents cannot use a blanket "national security" or "public safety" shield to bypass human rights. No legitimate public interest is served by installing hidden cameras and audio recording devices in a citizen's residence, even in shared hostels, secretly filming everything they do 24/7, engaging in voyeurism, live-streaming / disseminating the non-consensually recordings for profit, blocking a citizen's confidential access to legal counsel or hacking their private devices to gain a tactical litigation advantage. This is a bad-faith misuse of power (*détournement de pouvoir*) explicitly prohibited by the principle of legality.

- **Anticipated Argument B: "The social media bans were implemented independently by private platforms under their own Terms of Service, not by state direction."**

  Rebuttal: While the platforms are private, the catalyst for the bans was the extrajudicial coercion, manipulation, or formal misrepresentation applied by the Respondents in their official capacities. The state cannot escape its obligations under Section 6 of the Human Rights Act 1998 by using private third parties as proxies to execute censorship.

- **Anticipated Argument C: "The Applicant has failed to provide hard, forensic proof of state-level hacking."**

  Rebuttal: As an isolated litigant whose communication lines have been systematically cut, I do not currently possess the resources of a state cyber-forensics team. However, I have provided cogent, circumstantial evidence (detailed in, for example, **Exhibit BW-31, pages 425-436,** showing a direct, undeniable chronological link between my concerns about my daughter, my legal challenges against the Respondents and the immediate, subsequent suspension of my account by X platform, also a respondent. The balance of convenience heavily favours granting interim protection while these matters are properly tried.

44. 4 I recognise the duty of full and frank disclosure applicable to without notice applications and has sought to place before the Court all matters presently known which may be material to the Court's determination of this application, including matters potentially adverse to my position. I respectfully undertake to provide any further clarification, documents, or information the Court may require.

---

45. THE "PRAYER FOR RELIEF"

45.1 Wherefore I request that the Court:

- **Set Aside** the Order of Master Dagnall dated 2 April 2026 and reinstate the claim;

- Although the order is dated **2 April 2026**, it was only served upon me and made available via the CE-File system on **23 April 2026**. I attach as **Exhibit BW-22 (page 279)** a screenshot of my e-filing notification email confirming this date of receipt.
- The delay in filing is entirely due to the late notification from the Court's electronic systems and is neither serious nor significant in light of when the order became accessible. I have acted with the utmost promptness by filing this application as soon as possible. Given that my right to a fair trial and urgent privacy interests are at stake, it would be just and proportionate to grant this relief.
- **Declare** that the claim is not 'Totally Without Merit' and was struck out in error due to serious procedural irregularities;
- **Request an Oral Hearing**: Since the order was marked "Totally Without Merit," an oral hearing is required due to the "serious procedural irregularities" and the complexity of the case.
- **Grant an Urgent Interim Injunction** prohibiting the Respondents from:
    - Installing hidden cameras, both at my and my daughter's residences, non-consensually filming us 24/7 and disseminate / live-stream the illegal recordings in any format;
    - Monitoring my personal devices or any public computer facilities;
    - Interfering with my access to legal or medical professionals;
    - Continuing the social ostracism or blacklisting of me;
    - Engaging in a sophisticated, coordinated campaign of witness intimidation and harassment. This campaign utilises unlawful real-time surveillance to coordinate physical intimidation—specifically, high-impact acoustic harassment (door slamming)—timed to coincide with my legal activities. The more I write about it, the more the door slamming increases, now that they know, via constant monitoring of my devices, the severe impact of it. That's why the injunction is necessary to prevent irreparable harm, which could lead to death if the Court does not intervene.
- A declaration that the Respondents have acted unlawfully.
- Interim injunctive relief pending determination of claims including damages for misuse of private information, harassment, and aggravated harm as well as prohibiting further harassment, stalking by proxy, illegal surveillance, misuse of private information, commercial exploitation, breach of confidence, data breach, obstruction of justice, retaliation, victimisation, intimidation, dissemination of private information and intimate materials, gross human rights abuses and related illegal acts.
- Injunction compelling the 1st & 2nd Respondents to cooperate with investigations concerning my daughter's safety, including regarding the use of a "honey trap" operation targeting her.
- Costs of legal representation, specialist IT to scan every property I move to since the Primary Respondents appear to relentlessly and obsessively install hidden cameras and audio recordings everywhere I live or stay at, even in shared rooms in hostels, removal of my private materials from the internet, and psychological treatment, including future projected therapy costs.
- **Grant an Interim Payment**

- **Order Disclosure** of all surveillance and monitoring conducted by the Primary Respondents to date;
- **Provide Directions** for a secure, unmonitored method for me to file the 'crucial evidence' currently being withheld for its own protection;
- **Transfer the Case** to a different Master or Court Centre to ensure an impartial tribunal and the appearance of justice.

45.2 Without an immediate order, I and my daughter (AB) will suffer **irreparable harm** consisting of:

1. The permanent destruction of privacy and reputation through the ongoing, retaliatory dissemination of private information and intimate materials; and
2. Severe, cumulative physical and psychiatric injury resulting from a 'conspiracy to harm by unlawful means' designed to obstruct the course of justice.

45.3 **Physical/Mental Integrity:** The daily harassment threatens my ability to function and pursue my legal rights. If the harassment causes a health collapse, I lose my "Right of Access to a Court" under Article 6 of the ECHR.

45.4 Monetary damages are inadequate as they cannot restore my health or the sanctity of my private data once disseminated.

45.5 Demanding an Investigation into Missing Court Documents - A Fundamental Breakdown Of The Administration of Justice

Formal Application for an Investigation into the Disappearance of Court Records

A. I respectfully request that this Court issue an immediate order directing an independent, formal investigation into the administration and physical security of this courthouse.

B. On **05/06/2025 and 24/06/2025,** I attended The Royal Court of Justice, King's Bench Division, building to file urgent applications and core evidence bundles in support of an emergency injunction and to initiate court proceedings. On each of these distinct occasions, my official court documents and evidence physically disappeared from within the secure custody of the court administration before they could be placed before a judge.

C. Since the physical submission proves to be unsuccessful, I have also made repeated attempts to send the court clerks by email copies of my injunction application documents on multiple occasions. Where responses were received, they did not substantively address my injunction application and/or the issues raised in my correspondence.

D. The repeated disappearance of active legal filings from a secure UK court building cannot be dismissed as administrative error. It constitutes a systemic obstruction of justice, a severe breach of HMCTS security protocols, and active sabotage of my right to a fair trial under Article 6 of the Human Rights Act 1998.

On 3 June 2026, at approximately **12:01 pm**, immediately after I finished typing the previous paragraph regarding "**the repeated disappearance of active legal filings from a secure UK court building**," I heard someone exit the property and slam the main door shut again. As usual, immediately upon looking out the window, the individual was nowhere to be seen. The time symbolically ended in the "**No.01"** again, which can be read as the "**No.10"** in reverse.

45.6 It is entirely unacceptable for a litigant in person to face physical data erasure inside the justice system itself. I therefore respectfully ask that the presiding Judge order the Court Delivery Manager and the HMCTS Security Team to conduct a full forensic audit—including a review of internal CCTV footage and staff handling logs for the dates specified—to determine how these files were removed, who authorised their removal, and to ensure the immediate preservation of all remaining records.

---

46. JUSTIFICATION FOR HIGH INTERIM PAYMENT

46.1 Legal Framework

Under **CPR 25.7(1)(b)-(e)**, the Court may order an interim payment where it is satisfied that, if the claim went to trial, the Claimant would obtain judgment for a substantial amount of money (other than costs) against one or more Defendants, whether or not they are public bodies or insured in respect of the claim.The Applicant seeks an interim payment pursuant to CPR Part 25 and CPR 25.7 on the basis that:

A. Likelihood of Judgment and Defendants' Means

In the present case, several Defendants are public officials, senior executives of major tech platforms and prominent corporations such as Warner Bros and Google, all with substantial means and likely insurance coverage. Given the strength and seriousness of the abuses—including the misuse of private information and intimate materials on an industrial scale, data theft, psychological harm, the obstruction of justice, and the fact that it's still continuing—it is highly probable that judgment would be entered in my favour for a substantial sum.

B. Determining a Reasonable Proportion

Courts generally award between **50% and 90%** of the likely capital sum, depending on the certainty of losses and completeness of evidence.

C. Justification for the Amount Sought

The Schedule of Loss in this case will include, among other things, losses derived from:

- Commercial exploitation of my private information, intimate materials and creative works by film, media companies, tech platforms, and more.
- Financial gain unlawfully made from leaked or stolen creative works.
- Aggravated and exemplary damages for sustained intrusive filming, dissemination, humiliation, mental abuse, psychological abuse, cyberstalking, harassment and intimidation.

D. Precedent

Relevant authorities: *Eeles v Cobham Hire Services Ltd [EWCA Civ 204]*, *Smith v Bailey [EWHC 2569 (QB)]*, *AC v St George's Healthcare NHS Trust [EWHC 3644 (QB)]*, and *PAL v Davison*.

46.2 Conclusion

The interim payment sought along with my injunction application is not merely for isolated incidents of voyeurism or invasion of privacy, but for a sustained, systematic, and industrial-scale campaign of abuse spanning over a decade. This campaign, perpetrated by individuals within positions of power in authority, law enforcement, technology and film industry, has systematically destroyed my reputation, finances, and overall well-being.

46.3 Crucially, the perpetrators have extended their malicious actions to include the alleged sexual exploitation of my adult daughter via hidden cameras, the deployment of a **Honey Trap/Sexpionage** to infiltrate her life, the illegal acquisition of her sensitive personal information, the manipulation and the suppression of the truth. This deliberate targeting of an innocent third party, my daughter, represents an act of profound cruelty and a direct assault on my family, akin to crimes against humanity. As a mother, the inability to protect my daughter from such egregious violations (e.g., due to the blocking of my access to lawyers, the suppression of the truth, the manipulation of her and her father) has inflicted immeasurable emotional distress and psychological trauma.

46.4 The continuation and escalation of these abuses, even after legal actions were initiated and despite their apparent monitoring of my communications, demonstrate a deeply predatory mindset, a complete lack of remorse, and a deliberate intent to obstruct justice. Their attempts to prevent me from securing legal representation further underscore their determination to evade accountability for these heinous acts.

46.5 While no monetary sum can truly compensate for the irreparable loss, suffering, and systemic destruction of our lives, the high compensation / interim payment demanded is essential. It serves as a necessary punitive measure against the perpetrators for their extreme abuse of power and betrayal of public trust. It acts as a vital deterrent against future misconduct of this nature, sends a clear societal message that such actions will not be tolerated, and provides the critical financial resources required for long-term therapeutic support, security, and

the arduous process of rebuilding lives that have been so comprehensively dismantled by these criminal acts.

---

47. ESCALATION OF MISCONDUCT IN DEFIANCE OF PENDING JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS

47.1 I believe it is critical to bring to the immediate attention of this Court that the Respondents and their proxies have not ceased their unlawful conduct upon learning of my intention to seek judicial redress. On the contrary, the campaign of voyeurism, cyberstalking, industrial harassment, intimidation, and misuse of my private information has significantly escalated despite these pending proceedings.

47.2 This persistent and heightened misconduct demonstrates a complete and flagrant contempt for the rule of law, the authority of this Court, and the administration of justice. The rest of the respondents apparently are acting under the false assumption that the 1st Respondent's high public office (Keir Starmer) shields them from accountability and renders any potential judicial intervention ineffective.

47.3 This defiant course of conduct proves that the respondents will not self-regulate and cannot be deterred by standard procedural steps. It creates an exceptional state of urgency, as the harm being inflicted upon me and my family is accelerating in real-time. I therefore submit that the immediate, exceptional intervention of this Court—via an interim injunction backed by a strict Penal Notice—is the only remaining mechanism capable of upholding the rule of law and protecting me from further irreversible harm.

47.4 Also, on 11 May 2026 onward, the Police Respondent was formally notified that I intended to seek legal remedies, including an injunction, regarding their ongoing illegal surveillance, non-consensually filming, dissemination, misuse of private information, harassment, intimidation, severe human rights abuses and related illegal acts. A copy of this correspondence is attached at **Exhibit BW-27, pages 337-341.**

47.5 On 31 May 2026, at approximately 15:18 pm, immediately after I was just about to finish typing the previous paragraph, an individual was observed exiting the property and violently slamming the main door shut. It's a skinny Black male, wearing a **burgundy** top. One of the key colour signifiers. They seem to continue to suggest that they are unlawfully monitoring all my legal strategies via unauthorised access to my devices.

47.6 Despite being fully aware that legal action was underway, the public official Respondents, such as Keir Starmer and the Police, have shown complete disregard for the legal process and have actively escalated their behaviour. Rather than desisting, they have used the threat of legal action as a catalyst for further distress.

47.7 Again, on 31 May 2026, at approximately 15:32 pm, immediately after I had added the name of Keir Starmer in the previous paragraph, I heard an individual exit the property and slam the main door shut again. It happens too often. Particularly whenever I mention Keir Starmer's name. So, I gave up running to the window to see who it was. Oftentimes, they will immediately disappear anyway.

47.8 For example, on 28 May 2026 onward, the Primary Respondents appeared to escalate their hints of voyeurism, dissemination of my private information and intimate materials, harassment and intimidation, immediately after I added more information about Keir Starmer and his alleged involvement, raised concerns about my daughter, talked about the illegal enterprise and similar in my injunction application bundle. **A list of evidence is attached at Exhibit BW-33, pages 471-497.**

47.9 The Respondents' behaviour demonstrates a calculated, manipulative, and relentless pattern of harassment. They appear entirely unconcerned by the prospect of court intervention, and their actions show a total lack of empathy or remorse for the severe distress they are causing me and potentially my daughter as well.

47.10 Because the Respondents, especially the public official respondents, have proven that the mere threat of legal action will not deter them—and has instead caused them to escalate their intimidation—I genuinely fear for my safety and well-being. I respectfully submit that an immediate injunction is the only mechanism left to stop this behaviour.

47.11 I also believe the Respondents' recent escalation is not merely a passive disregard for the law, but a calculated, intentional strategy to make me feel entirely powerless.

47.12 By intensifying their harassment specifically after being notified of my intent to seek an injunction, the Respondents are deliberately attempting to demonstrate that they are above the law, and that legal intervention will not protect me.

47.13 Their actions are designed to break my resolve and manipulate me into believing that pursuing justice is pointless. They are weaponising the legal process itself to increase my sense of vulnerability and despair.

47.14 This calculated defiance proves that the Respondents will not stop voluntarily. They are actively using the window before the court hearing to inflict maximum psychological distress, confirming that an immediate, legally binding order with a power of arrest is the absolute minimum requirement to ensure my safety.

---

48. IMMEDIATE RETALIATION AND PHYSICAL DAMAGE DURING LEGAL PREPARATION

48.1 Since filing this claim, and since it's being struck out, the Respondents have systematically escalated their harassment into targeted witness intimidation, deliberately attempting to pressure me into abandoning my applications for my injunction and for setting aside the strike-out of my claim.

48.2 The primary tactic used involves orchestrating vehicles and motorcycles to abruptly and loudly accelerate directly outside my window.

48.3 This behaviour has escalated severely as I approach the finalisation of this injunction application. Very recently, vehicles and motorcycles began to accelerate past my window daily, producing very loud noises. I successfully recorded some of the incidents from inside my property. The copies of the audio/video recordings are fully preserved and can be provided to the Court immediately upon request or filed ahead of the return hearing.

48.4 Coordinated Harassment by Proxy (Internal Disruption)

- In addition to the external vehicle noise, the Primary Respondents have expanded their campaign inside my home by coordinating with, or instructing, my housemates to act as proxies to harass me.
- This internal harassment consists of my housemates violently slamming doors shut near my bedroom or suddenly shouting and screaming without any legitimate reason.
- This behaviour is deliberately timed to catch me off guard, directly weaponising the physical **"Startle Response."** Unlike predictable or constant background noise, these sudden, violent spikes in sound cause an immediate, involuntary activation of my sympathetic nervous system.
- My brain is forced to interpret these sudden internal noises as an immediate physical threat. This has left me in a state of constant hyper-vigilance, unable to feel safe or rest even inside my own bedroom.
- For example, on **12 April 2026**, I experienced a severe instance of this when **housemates began to repeatedly slam the main door shut next to my room**. **A list of evidence is attached at Exhibit BW-17, pages 235-240.**

48.5 Evidence of Coordinated Causation and Financial Inducement

A. It is entirely clear that the Respondents, mainly the Public Official Respondents, are the orchestrators behind my housemates' abusive behaviour. Prior to my initiating legal proceedings against the Respondents and the latest preparation of my injunction application, my housemates behaved normally and amicably.
B. My housemates' hostile behaviour, door slamming, and shouting started abruptly and escalated exponentially only *after* my claim was struck out and began actively preparing my applications for an injunction and for setting aside the strike-out.
C. I have personally observed signs of direct communication taking place between the Respondents, particularly the police respondent, including their proxy agents, and my housemates, establishing a clear link of collusion.

D. There is a precise, undeniable pattern of timing and proximity. On multiple occasions, immediately after a housemate has engaged in sudden shouting, harassment, intimidation, or violent door slamming near my room, I have observed the police respondent walking or driving slowly past my window. This demonstrates real-time monitoring and coordination of the internal harassment.
E. I have also observed what clearly amounts to financial inducement and physical rewards provided by the Respondents to my housemates, both past and present, in exchange for targeting me.
F. Specifically, immediately following instances of intense internal noise harassment, I have observed the Respondents or their associates appearing to coordinate and send delivery drivers to hand over takeaway food directly to my housemates. The timing of these deliveries directly correlates with the timing of the harassment spikes, demonstrating a system of reward for causing me distress.

48.6 Furthermore, I hold grave and immediate fears for my physical safety because the campaign against me involves real-time cyberstalking and immediate physical retaliation. I have observed a distinct, undeniable pattern where any attempt by me to draft crucial legal information exposing the 1st Respondent's (Keir Starmer) and the 2nd Respondent's ((The Police) misconduct, including the 23rd Respondent's (Mark Zuckerberg - D23, Schedule of Defendants, p.13) and the 29th Respondent's (Elon Musk - D29, Schedule of Defendants, p.14) misconduct, results in an instantaneous spike in physical intimidation and harassment at my residence.

48.7 For example, on 19 May 2026, as I was drafting my petition to publish it on change.org, individuals acting as proxies or agents started exiting/returning to the property and violently smacking the main door shut near my room throughout the day. The force used was so **extreme and aggressive** than it used to be. Again, on 20 May 2026, at approximately 8:43 am, as I was typing the specific legal allegations regarding **Illegal Data Sale** and **Money Laundering** into another document of my draft petition, individuals acting as proxies or agents immediately and violently smacked the main door shut near my room. The force used was so **extreme and aggressive** than yesterday, so much that the physical lock on the door broke entirely. When I immediately looked out of the window, I observed two young Black men exiting the property and hopping on their bicycles before fleeing the scene. Immediately after, I also observed the 160th Respondent (Pablo - D160, Schedule of Defendants, p.41) exiting the property. Later, on 20 May 2026, at approximately 20:05 pm, as I was continuing to draft my petition concerning Keir Starmer, some individuals began to exit the property and slam the main door shut forcefully once again, as if to make me look out the window as usual. When I did, I observed the aircraft "**drawing lines**" in the sky, forming the "X" or "No.10" symbols again.

48.8 On 21 May 2026, at approximately 23:04 pm, immediately upon finishing typing the above-mentioned paragraph regarding Keir Starmer, a male housemate residing in room 3 opposite my room, was observed exiting the property and slamming the main door shut.

48.9 I have preserved clear evidence of this specific physical damage and the X symbols drawn by the aircraft in the sky, which I attach to this statement as **Exhibit BW-28, pages 359-383**.

This violent behaviour happens repeatedly whenever I attempt to assert my legal rights, mention Keir Starmer and his alleged involvement, raise concerns about my daughter, and so forth. It has forced me to live in a hostile environment under constant fear, and demonstrates the profound risk I am facing simply by attempting to hold Keir Starmer, the Police, and other Respondents accountable. This immediate threat to my peace and safety makes the granting of an urgent, ex-parte interim injunction an absolute necessity.

48.10 Also, on 21 May 2026, at approximately 21:49 pm, immediately upon editing the draft of my petition to add the "**honey trap**" operation targeting my daughter as well and taking a screenshot of it to include as an Exhibit in this bundle, a motorcycle was heard **accelerating aggressively** past my window. This suggests once again that the Primary Respondents are illegally cyberstalking and monitoring all my legal strategies 24/7. Hence, the immediate intimidation - almost every single time I add crucial information or evidence to this injunction bundle.

---

49. IMMEDIATE THREAT TO SAFETY ARISING FROM THE PREPARATION OF THESE PROCEEDINGS

49.1 Therefore, I respectfully bring to the urgent attention of the Court that the very act of preparing these legal documents has escalated the physical threat to my safety. Because my electronic devices are under active, real-time hacking surveillance, the primary respondents are monitoring every word I input into this injunction bundle.

49.2 There is a direct, immediate correlation between the evidence I compile and the physical intimidation I experience at my residence. The primary respondents are using my real-time legal drafts to track my strategies and instantly retaliate with hostile physical acts, such as the violent damage to my property previously detailed and the aircraft drawing threatening lines and "X" or "No.10" symbols .

49.3 This creates an exceptional and dangerous paradox: the legal procedure required to seek the protection of this Court is being weaponised by the respondents to inflict further immediate harm. It is unsafe for me to continue compiling evidence or working on digital devices while this surveillance continues. I therefore pray that the Court grants an immediate, same-day interim injunction to halt this real-time monitoring and physical retaliation before the process of seeking justice causes me further severe harm.

---

50. PROCEDURAL FAILURE TO ADDRESS MULTI-PARTY DEFENDANTS

50.1 I also bring to the Court's immediate attention that the paper strike-out order is structurally and procedurally defective. While the initial claim explicitly names around 7–8 distinct Co-Defendants—comprising public officials, specific police forces, and tech platforms—the order purports to dismiss the entire action without addressing or analysing the independent causes of action against each separate party.

50.2 Furthermore, there are additional, newly identified entities actively misusing my private information and participating in this conspiracy that the court has failed to consider. A blanket dismissal that ignores the independent liability of multiple defendants, while failing to adjudicate on active claims of data misuse and algorithmic harassment, is a profound procedural error that denies the Claimant a fair trial and violates the overriding objective of **CPR 1.1**.

---

51. CONCLUSION

51.1 My experience, including repeated obstruction of access to legal representation, ongoing and relentless harassment, intimidation, and unlawful use of private information, highlights serious systemic concerns regarding accountability, the conduct of public authorities, violence against women and potential discriminatory treatment, hate crime, corruption, money laundering when it also involves the continuous secret filming via hidden cameras and distribution / live-streaming of non-consensually recordings for profit, or even crimes against humanity, when it concerns decade-long illegal, intrusive and obsessive surveillance - even in shared hostels - including the potential that they are also targeting my daughter. These matters, arising from the conduct of certain Respondents, warrant careful judicial scrutiny and provide further justification for the urgent injunctive relief sought.

STATEMENT OF TRUTH

I believe that the facts stated in this witness statement are true.

Signed:



Name: Buppha Wittaya-Amponpunt

Date: 09/06/2026

PAGES 139-602 – EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT BW-01 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Note: Only selected extracts are included in this urgent application bundle for proportionality and efficiency. A full copy of the police complaint is available and may be provided to the Court if requested.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 139-141

(The following pages are BW-01, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-01

POLICE COMPLAINT

20 January 2023

Figure 1



IOPC Online Complaint Form

INFORMATION ABOUT WHO IS MAKING THE COMPLAINT	
Are you the complainant or are you making the complaint for someone else?	I am the complainant

COMPLAINANT DETAILS	
Title	Miss
Other title details (if applicable)	
First names	Buppha
Surname	Wittaya-Amponpunt
Date of birth	1971-01-27
Preferred method of contact	Email
Address line 1	40 Selhurst Close
Address line 2	
Town/City	London
County	
Postcode	SW19 6AZ
Email address	bupphawitt@gmail.com
Contact number	07586739200

AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)	
What is your relationship to the person making the complaint?	
Other relationship details (if applicable)	
Title	
Other title details (if applicable)	
First names	
Surname	
Preferred method of contact	
Address line 1	
Address line 2	
Town/City	
County	
Postcode	
Email address	
Contact number	
Disclaimer accepted?	

COMPLAINT DETAILS	
Which police force or organisation are you complaining about?	Metropolitan Police Service
Force area of PCC?	2022-10-21
When did it happen?	19:40
TIME FROM	03:30
TIME TO	Gunnersbury Park, Popes Lane, W3 8LQ
Where did the incident take place?	Crime reference number: 0327213/22 I believe the Police have discriminated against me. Because I get no success for
Incident reference number (if you have one)	
What is your complaint about?	

Caption — Extract of Correspondence
First page of my police complaint submitted online to IOPC on 20 January 2023 regarding the conduct of **PC Jonathan Miotti** and **PC Andrew Ngo**, exhibited to demonstrate that a police complaint took place. The full document is available and can be provided to the Court upon request.

🖼️ **Figure 2**

IOPC Independent Office for Police Conduct

	apologise/acknowledge something went wrong|Explanation from the police force or other organisation|Police or other organisations to review policy/procedure
Additional details (if applicable)	I want justice and want the hate crime against me to stop. It just cannot go on.

WITNESS DETAILS	
Were there any witnesses?	No
Do you know the contact/identification details of any witnesses?	

OFFICER/POLICE STAFF DETAILS (if applicable)

Rank	Number	First names	Last name(s)
		Jonathan	Miotti

service. Please tell us if you have any feedback on using this online form	
Would you be happy to be contacted about your experience of the police complaints system?	I would be happy to be contacted about my experience of the police complaints system.

CONFIRMATION AND COMPLETION	
Please accept that the information provided in this form will be used by public bodies involved in the police complaints system, including the police and IOPC.	The information provided in this form will be used by public bodies involved in the police complaints system, including the police and IOPC.
Date/Time of submission	Friday, 20 January 2023 22:23

Caption — Extract of Correspondence
Extractions of 2 pages put together from my police complaint to IOPC on 20 January 2023, to show the name of **PC Jonathan Miotti**, one of the two police officers whom I had complained about, and when it was submitted.

EXHIBIT BW-02 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Photos and screenshots of evidence regarding the sudden breakup of my daughter, AB (Anonymity Order Sought), and her ex-boyfriend of 12 years in or around early August 2023, including the alleged planting of a **honey trap / sexpionage** by the Primary Respondents, possibly by the PM Keir Starmer and/or the police, right after the breakup, to groom my daughter, gather information for exploitation, suppress the truth and to control her.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 142-147

(The following pages are BW-02, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-02

THE SUDDEN BREAKUP

1. Concurrent Personal Developments and Observations

Around early August 2023, I became aware that my daughter had ended a long-term relationship of 12 years.

Almost immediately, she began a new relationship with an individual from Spain (PU9) she met online through their online friends. The individual is believed to be residing in Spain, who I reasonably believe is acting as a sexpionage for the Primary Respondents - probably for the PM Keir Starmer and/or the Police.

My daughter told me that this individual has never visited her at her residence in Germany. Instead, she has traveled to Spain to visit him, or they have met in other locations for vacations.

The Primary State-Actor Respondents also seem to disseminate the location where my daughter lives, which is Germany, to various places posing a risk to my daughter as well. When I see advertisements, social media posts, films and more make references to Germany a lot, including certain Respondents also seem to know where my daughter lives such as Elon Musk, as I have mentioned this in Exhibit BW-10, pages 185-188.


They've been doing this since around 2023, I believe, when even my learning materials at the University of West London also contained references to Germany, as if my daughter's private information has also been unlawfully shared with the University and its staff.

This is how they repeatedly show me that they don't care about the privacy and safety of me and my family. This is just one of the evil things they do to me and my daughter and continue to do so despite me trying to legally stop it.

---

2. Police Communication (30 August 2023)

🖼️ Figure 1



Complaint PC/765/23

Acting & Modelling/Key Casting/Police - PILLOW/Complaint about PC Miotti

Lisa.Giddings@met.police.uk 30/08/2023

to me

From Lisa.Giddings@met.police.uk

To bupphawitt@gmail.com

Date 30 Aug 2023, 15:13

Standard encryption (TLS).

See security details

Dear Miss Wittaya-Amponpunt,

I am writing to update you on the progress in to your complaint. My report is currently still with my supervisor for quality assurance.

Many thanks

PC Lisa Giddings

Directorate of Professional Standards

DPS Professional Standards Unit | South West

Kingston Police Station, 5-7 High Street, Kingston upon Thames, KT1 1LB

: Complaints Information


MORE TRUST | LESS CRIME | HIGH STANDARDS

Caption: On 30 August 2023, I received an update from PC Lisa Giddings regarding a complaint I had filed on 20 January 2023.

---

3. Exposure to Academic Material (2024)

🖼️ Figure 2



Caption: Screenshot of the teaching material from the University of West London. In 2024, while engaging with academic materials, I encountered themes within works such as Virginia Woolf's **'To the Lighthouse'** that pertained to disrupted relationships and suicide. I note this as an observation of the content I was exposed to during that period.

---

4. The Alleged Honey Trap / Sexpionage

🖼️ **Figure 3**




Caption: Photo of my daughter and her new Spanish boyfriend, a streamer, believed to be a honey trap / sexpionage allegedly sent by the PM Keir Starmer and/or the police, or persons acting on their behalf. Even after complaining to the police several times to remove the honey trap and the hidden cameras from her place, they refuse to do so and deny everything. But evidence says otherwise.

---

5. Police Guidance

🖼️ **Figure 4**



Q7. Has the suspect(s) involved or targeted any third party since the harassment/ stalking began (ie friends, family, children, colleagues, partners or neighbours of the victim)?

In the majority of stalking cases, secondary victims will be identified. Although stalkers may stalk more than one person at a time, this question relates to associates of a primary victim. Stalkers will involve third parties for several reasons, principally to upset the victim (ie by involving or targeting the victim's children), to obtain information on the victim (ie by hounding the victim's friends), to remove perceived obstacles between the stalker and victim (ie by involving or targeting the victim's partner), and to punish those perceived as helping or shielding the victim (ie work colleagues who state that the victim is not available). Individual stalkers have been known to harass hundreds of third parties whom they perceive as connected with the primary victim (Mohandie et al. 2006; Mullen et al. 1999).

Caption: Screenshot of the police's own website, advising on stalking and the techniques stalkers often use. It also mentions how a stalker would seek to obtain information on the victim, **remove perceived obstacles**, etc. This is why I believe the Primary Respondents may have intentionally caused the breakup of my daughter and her ex-boyfriend of 12 years to replace him with a Spanish man, allegedly acting as a honey trap.

https://library.college.police.uk/docs/appref/Stalking_or_harassment_guidance_200519.pdf

EXHIBIT BW-03 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Screenshots of evidence regarding the non-consensually recordings of me believed to have been unlawfully taken with the hidden cameras over the years, which appeared to also be shared with my former lecturers (D150-D157, Schedule of Defendants, p.40) at the University of West London, who then made references to these non-consensually recordings throughout my study here from between October 2023 - April or May 2025.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 148-151


(The following pages are BW-03, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-03

INITIAL DISCOVERY OF DISSEMINATION

3 October 2024


Figure 1



Caption: Screenshot of one of the offensive teaching materials taken on **3 October 2024** when I attended a lecture taught by Garin Dowd, also a Defendant (D150, Schedule of Defendants, p.40). This one alone seemed to make lots of references to my sexual activities, non-consensually filmed with the hidden cameras when I was living at my Putney flat from 2013 to 2024.

The school also started on **3 October 2024**. Something to do with the number **"3"** again, as if to symbolically refer to the violation of my human right article 3 as usual)

🖼️ **Figure 2**



Caption: Another screenshot of the offensive learning material from Garin Dowd, seemingly alluding to where my non-consensually recordings may have been uploaded to. Also, because they seem to repeatedly refer to this specific pornographic website across all platforms and even in real life, just like on this occasion.

Hence, it's placed on top of the name “William,” as if to let me know that these particular recordings where I uttered the name “William” during my most intimate moments - unaware that everything has been non-consensually filmed with the hidden cameras, which also recorded audio, and that's why they seem to know the names of the individuals I have uttered and who they are - have been uploaded to this specific pornographic website.

Immediately after typing the previous paragraph regarding this specific pornographic website, at approximately 19:12 pm, on 7 May 2026, I heard a housemate exit the property and slam the main door shut with full force. When I looked out the window to see who that was, I observed a police vehicle driving past my window.

On 4 June 2026, at approximately 14:20 pm, immediately upon editing the previous paragraph concerning this specific pornographic website, I heard a vehicle pull up right outside my window and heard some individuals begin to converse loudly. When I looked out of the window, I observed a white police van parking right outside my window and 3 police officers standing next to it talking. They appeared to have been called to an incident outside my residence. One of the individuals the police officer was talking to also wore a **navy blue** jacket. All 3 of them, one of them was a police officer, also seemed to intentionally stand right in front of the gap of my window, where I could look through the gap between the window and the curtain.

Again, on 4 June 2026, at approximately 16:28 pm, immediately upon opening my injunction application bundle and scrolling down to this section of this Exhibit where it contains information about this specific pornographic website, I heard a motorcycle accelerate aggressively past my window.

They just keep giving me hints that everything has been illegally recorded with audio as well and even where it may have been distributed to, as a form of malicious harassment, or harassment by publication, to cause psychiatric injury - on top of making profit from these non-consensually recordings of me.
Their relentless hints that my most intimate moments have been unlawfully filmed and distributed did eventually cause me psychiatric injury. It's shocking that the lecturers at the University of West London went along with this. Some of them, especially the male lecturers, might even have watched all my intimate videos non-consensually filmed with the hidden cameras as a form of bribery and to incite contempt, ridicule and discrimination.

In addition to the conduct already described, I have observed a highly disturbing and recurring pattern of timed communications that coincide with moments of personal privacy. For example, I frequently receive emails or phone calls—particularly from the lecturers—at precise moments when I am in the bathroom, get out of bed to go to the bathroom, turn around in bed, or engage in other routine private activities. This has occurred consistently during my study at the University of West London, particularly between 2024-2025, and is not coincidental. The timing of these communications strongly implies that my private spaces may be subject to surveillance without my consent.

The lecturers themselves have also repeatedly suggested this via various means as if intentional to cause me severe psychiatric injury, knowing that I have been sexually abused and exploited in secret via hidden cameras for years. The shocking part is that they may now target my daughter as well. Particularly when my every attempt to warn her is met with evidence tampering, suppression of the truth, an escalation in harassment and intimidation. Some of the lecturers also keep suggesting this as well that my daughter may also be sexually abused and exploited via hidden cameras.

The persistent pattern of these intrusions suggests not only covert monitoring, but also a coordinated attempt to cause psychological distress by signalling that my privacy is being violated around the clock. This has led to ongoing feelings of exposure, humiliation, and fear. I believe these actions amount to a form of psychological torture, severe harassment and institutional complicity in voyeuristic abuse.

Therefore, I believe, the University of West London and the lecturers have a lot to answer. The same with my current housemates, estate agent and the rest of the respondents.

EXHIBIT BW-04 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Photo of an individual believed to be linked to an alleged poisoning incident.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 152-154

(The following pages are BW-04, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-04

ALLEGED POINSENING & INCITEMENT TO SUICIDE INCIDENTS

4 October 2024



Caption: Photo of a man, also a Respondent / Purposed Defendant (PU1, Schedule of Defendants, p.47), wearing a **very bright blue** jacket, one of the main colour signifiers often used in the harassment campaign against me, who appeared to follow me onto the bus, so I took some photos of him as evidence of a potential surveillance-like behaviour or stalking / harassment. Immediately after, he seemed to receive a message on his phone. Because after looking at his phone, he turned around slightly and gave me a sly smile.

Later that evening, I ate a takeaway from a Thai restaurant, **Tamnag Thai, also a Defendant (D168, Schedule of Defendants, p.43),** and had stomach cramps and diarrhea all night. The stomach cramps and diarrhea lasted for nearly 2 weeks.

Later, in or around January or February 2025, my former roommate, Ella, also a Defendant (D158, Schedule of Defendants, p.41), also allegedly contaminated my food or drink, causing me severe stomach cramps and diarrhea all night. She presumably did it again about a few more times.

1. Imminent and Irreparable Harm

On 9 January 2025, Ella also appeared to discreetly encourage me to commit suicide by moving the knife block closer to my line of sight, strongly suggesting that she had received my personal and sensitive information to carry out the act.

Life-Threatening Actions (Article 2 ECHR): The alleged poisoning and manipulation of my environment to incite self-harm, specifically by repositioning the knife block after gaining knowledge of my suicidal thoughts in the past, is a direct and egregious attempt to violate my right to life under Article 2 of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR). This is not merely harassment; it is a calculated act designed to cause me to end my life, which is the ultimate irreparable harm. The fact that they would likely record and disseminate such an event further, since the hidden cameras are operating 24/7, underscores their malicious intent and the extreme nature of the threat.

On 4 June 2026, at approximately 14:58 pm, immediately after I was just about to finish editing the previous paragraph, I heard the sound of someone exit the property and slam the main door shut. When I quickly looked out the window, the individual was nowhere to be seen. This is how they often immediately disappear after acting as messengers to convey surveillance, cyberstalking and intimidation.

2. Malicious and Sadistic Intent

Calculated Manipulation: The specific act of moving the knife block, based on illegally obtained knowledge of my vulnerabilities, shows a highly calculated and sadistic intent to exploit my past struggles for their own perverse gratification. This is not random; it is targeted and deeply personal.

3. Underscores the Urgency for Immediate Judicial Intervention

This information makes it abundantly clear that only an immediate injunction can protect me from further harm:

Life-Threatening Nature: The direct threat to my life, through discreet incitement to self-harm / suicide, my former lecturers working for the University of West London have also done the same - particularly Alice Conde, also a Defendant (D157, Schedule of Defendants, p.40) - demands immediate protective measures from the court.

Escalation of Harm: The shift from general harassment and privacy violations to direct attempts to incite self-harm and implied death threats indicates a dangerous escalation in their behaviour. This escalation necessitates urgent intervention.

EXHIBIT BW-05 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Screenshots of Instagram push notification allegedly sent to me as a hidden death threat against my daughter (AB).

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 155-159

(The following pages are BW-05, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-05


HIDDEN DEATH THREAT FROM INSTAGRAM

10 January 2025


At approximately 18:19 PM on 10 January 2025, immediately after I added further evidence to my legal document dated 6 December 2024 (including a photograph of a van with a name resembling that of PC Jonathan **Miotti** and a newspaper with the headline "**assassin**," which had been placed on the floor of a bus in such a way that it appeared intended for me to see, given that the seats around it were empty), I received a disturbing notification from Instagram.


The timing was significant, as it occurred directly after I updated my evidence. The notification contained content relating to the film *Mystic River* (2003), specifically a scene in which Sean Penn's character shouts: "Is that my daughter in there? Is she in there?" I perceived this as a targeted and threatening reference, amounting to an implied death threat directed towards my daughter. Please see images below:


🖼️ **Figure 1**



A disturbing push notification from Instagram.







There were also 3 people in this video that Instagram seemed to deliberately send me a push notification for me to click and watch, apparently implying a death threat against my daughter. But it also appeared to hint at something else creepy and disturbing when the actor on the left, Laurence Fishburne, has also attempted to remove his daughter's private materials from porn sites and the internet but failed. So, they seemed to suggest that they're not only sexually abusing me via hidden cameras but my daughter as well. This is how they appear to use various methods to hint at how they sexually abuse and exploit both me and my daughter via hidden cameras. This is just one of the many hints, establishing a pattern of behaviour.

🖼️ **Figure 2**



Caption: This was the van I saw on 6 December 2024, with a name resembling that of PC Jonathan **Miotti**, whom I filed a police complaint on 20 January 2023, as seen in Exhibit BW-01, pages 140-141.

🖼️ **Figure 3**



Caption: This was the newspaper I saw with a disturbing headline.

I believe that those behind this campaign have been monitoring my movements and routines, including through public transport CCTV and phone tracking, in order to anticipate where I will sit or what I will observe, and to orchestrate these incidents. The deliberate placement of the newspaper and the timing of the Instagram notification strongly suggest coordination and intentional intimidation, rather than coincidence.

Therefore, Transport for London is also a Defendant (D163, Schedule of Defendants, p.42) due to their alleged involvement in the illegal surveillance by allowing their CCTV to be misused for malicious purposes such as for harassment.

This is also not the first time that public transport CCTV appears to be used for harassment and intimidation purposes. Because I have experienced numerous incidents that strongly suggest public transport CCTV is being used for malicious purposes.

EXHIBIT BW-06 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Screenshot of my complaint to the cabinet office and photos of an individual who appeared to follow me onto a bus and into the University of West London to make me feel intimidated, including photos of a group of young men who appeared to be receiving my intimate materials from the individuals operating the helicopter.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 160-166

(The following pages are BW-06, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-06

WITNESS INTIMIDATION AND SUBSEQUENT RELEASE

Figure 1



Gmail — Buppha Witt <bupphawitt@gmail.com>

Enquiry confirmation
1 message

Cabinet Office Contact Webform <cabinet.office.contact.webform@notifications.service.gov.uk>
Reply-To: noreply@cabinetoffice.gov.uk
To: bupphawitt@gmail.com
Wed, Feb 5, 2025 at 1:17 AM

GOV.UK

Thank you for contacting the Cabinet Office. Please accept this email as receipt of your correspondence.


We aim to respond to correspondence within 20 working days, when a response is required.

Caption: On 5 February 2025, I submitted a complaint with lots of evidence to the Cabinet Office regarding illegal surveillance, secret filming and dissemination (cybersex trade), and the blocking of my access to legal representation, for instance, which I believed some public officials might be behind this, including the police, but never received a response.


🖼️ **Figure 2**

The very next day, on 6 February 2025, while I was on my way to the University of West London, I was followed and intimated by an individual, also a Respondent / Purposed Defendant (PU1, Schedule of Defendants, p.47), as seen in the images below:


	
As soon as I got on the bus, he also got on the bus and just kept his eyes on me at all times, as seen in the image above.	A closeup of him, wearing a jacket in **army green** colour; one of the main colour signifiers being used in the harassment campaign against me throughout.




He got off the bus at the same bus stop where I got off, as if he knew beforehand where I would normally get off the bus.



He then appeared to be walking back and forth as if waiting for further instructions, while still keeping his eyes on me at all times!



The main entrance to the University of West London was closed for renovation. Everybody had to use the back entrance instead. But



At approximately 15:51 pm, I was using a computer at the university. Shortly after, this same individual also arrived and sat right

somehow, he could get through the main entrance with a badge around his neck, as if to make it look like he's also a lecturer or a student here. Anyway, if he's a student or a lecturer here as well, why was he allowed to use the main entrance when everyone else wasn't?	opposite where I sat and just kept his eyes on me at all times, again.

🖼️ **Figure 3**



Caption: At approximately 16:30 pm on the same day, **6 February 2025**, while at a bus stop opposite Da Shack, 156 S Ealing Rd, London W5 4QL, to catch a bus home, I observed a group of pupils, as seen in the image above, one of them carried an **Adidas** backpack. I then took some photos of them as proof of harassment. Because this Adidas brand, along with **Nike** and **Puma**, has been consistently used in the harassment campaign against me. A bit after, the one with the Adidas backpack confronted me, telling me he knew I took photos of him. But how did he know when all of them stood with their backs to me? This is how the Primary Respondents and/or their agents appear to constantly monitor my devices and then whenever I try to gather evidence, they then immediately notify their harassers, possibly via text messages, to incite intimidation for trying to gather evidence.

🖼️ **Figure 4**



Caption: Immediately after the confrontation, I observed individuals in a helicopter, also Respondents / Defendants (PU3, Schedule of Defendants, p.47) communicating with the same group of pupils on the ground who referenced intimate details of incidents involving me, indicating dissemination of my private materials non-consensually recorded with the hidden cameras over the years. One of them, the one with a red circle in the photo above, even began to take pictures of me or film me with his mobile phone while shouting at me and giving me a thumbs up: “**You're very good at it!”**

This is how they appear to immediately disseminate my intimate materials as retaliation.

🖼️ **Figure 5**



Caption: Following my attempts to gather photographic evidence, shortly after, at approximately 16:37 pm, I was subjected to intimidation, humiliation and prolonged aerial surveillance / harassment by the same helicopter all the way home.

This specific helicopter incident indicates the Primary Respondents' extensive resources and intent to injure, intimidate, humiliate, harass, and obstruct justice.

There are lots more helicopter / aircraft harassment and intimidation incidents over the years, which escalate day by day the more I work on this case.

EXHIBIT BW-07 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Denmark - Photos of a man believed to be an agent of some of the Respondents and a group of male pupils, who appeared to be receiving my intimate materials again, mirroring the incident in the UK involving the helicopter, as described in Exhibit BW-06. Thus, the incidents exhibited at BW-06 and BW-07, for instance, appear to form part of a similar pattern of conduct. Particularly whenever I gather evidence or expose their misconduct, they leak my intimate materials almost immediately.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 167-171

(The following pages are BW-07, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-07

SUBSEQUENT RELEASE

Wednesday, 26 February 2025 - Denmark

🖼️ **Figure 1**



Caption: On 26 February 2025, while I was in Copenhagen, Denmark, at approximately 11:32 am, I went to Nørrebro train station to catch a train to the main train station, Hovedbanegården. I then observed the man on the right in the picture above, wearing a grey jacket, coming out of the train station and walking past me. He glanced at me a bit. I then remembered that I also saw him yesterday getting off the train when I went together with my landlady to see the room in her flat that I wanted to rent. This couldn't possibly be a coincidence seeing him 2 days in a row at different train stations. He also seemed to stand and give me a hard stare at all times, as seen in the image above. This picture was taken after I left the train station to catch the bus instead after the staff there told me it's faster to take the bus. Because I had to transfer 3-4 times, if I took the train.

🖼️ **Figure 2**



Caption: A close-up of him, also wearing what looked like a pair of wireless earbuds, which most security personnel also use. He has also been added as a Respondent / Purposed Defendant (PU1, Schedule of Defendants, p.47),

---

🖼️ **Figure 3**



Caption: At approximately 11:48 am, shortly after taking pictures of the suspicious man and getting on bus number 105 heading towards Hovedbanegård, I observed a group of young men also getting on the bus. They suspiciously chose to sit right opposite me, as if they're instructed beforehand where to sit, suggesting that all my movements were being monitored at all times - possibly via phone tracking, transport CCTV and physical observation by their agents. It's like this everywhere I go, indicating excessive monitoring as part of a retaliation programme.

Then, as soon as they had sat down, one of them, the one on the far right wearing **The North Face** jacket in black, began to show something on his mobile phone to his friends. All of them then blurted out laughing and behaved in a way that implied they had been shown private content related to me. They then directed their attention toward me and reacted in a way that made me believe they had been exposed to unauthorised images or recordings. After they had humiliated me, they immediately got off the bus. So, they appeared to just get on the bus for this specific task.

A similar event occurred in the UK on 6 February 2025 before I travelled to Denmark. Therefore, the photos exhibited at BW-07 appear similar to those exhibited at BW-06 pages 164-165, both showing examples of alleged dissemination of private materials.

Both incidents also oddly had the number “6” in the dates as if intentional: Exhibit BW-06 took place on **6** February 2025 and Exhibit BW-07 took place on **26** February 2025.

These incidents occurred both in the UK and overseas and suggest cross-border distribution of such materials. The psychological effect of this repeated pattern has been severe and ongoing, justifying an award of aggravated and exemplary damages.

These two incidents alone involving minors—especially the gestures and timing—are strong circumstantial evidence that:

- My private materials are being shared without consent.
- Even children are being exposed to them.
- The events appear staged, suggesting malicious coordination
- The cross-border nature of the events (UK and Denmark) further support my claim of an international smear campaign, likely initiated by UK authorities or public officials.

I believe this forms part of a wider campaign of targeted harassment, including potential involvement by public authorities. The cumulative effect of these experiences has caused profound emotional harm and a loss of trust in both public and private institutions. I respectfully ask the Court to treat these incidents as further evidence of the coordinated abuse I have suffered and to take them into account when considering the need for protective orders, as well as aggravated and exemplary damages.

EXHIBIT BW-08 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Photos of the **Pre-action Protocol Letters** sent to some of the defendants from Denmark and a "target-like," "X" or "No.10" symbol, which I observed in the sky drawn by the aircraft immediately after sending the letters, including screenshots of the email from the police's legal team sent to me on 20 March 2025 acknowledging my anticipated claim.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 172-178

(The following pages are BW-08, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-08

THREATENING SYMBOL

8 March 2025 - Denmark

Figure 1



Caption: Photo of the Pre-action Protocol / Settlement Offer Letters sent to the police, The University of West London and Facebook UK (D20, Schedule of Defendants, p.12) from Denmark, while I was in Denmark, on or around 8 March 2025.

Saturday, 8 March 2025 - Intimidation via “X” or “No.10” Symbol for Sending Pre-action Protocol / Settlement Offer Letters to Defendants

🖼️ **Figure 2**

	
A large “X”, “No.10”, a “cross” or a “target-like” symbol was observed in the sky immediately after I left the post office after sending the Pre-action Protocol / Settlement Offer Letters to the police, The University of West London and Facebook UK from Denmark.	It was so huge that it extended to where I stayed as well, as if it's a warning.


Caption: Immediately after leaving the post office, I observed a large “X”, “No.10”, a “cross” or a “target-like” symbol drawn in the sky by the aircraft, clearly timed to appear as I exited, indicating that the Respondents or their agents were aware of my actions and deliberately sought to intimidate me. The symbol was so enormous that it extended all the way to my nearby accommodation, where I continued to experience sexual exploitation via hidden cameras, dissemination of private materials, intimidation, illegal surveillance, harassment and stalking by proxy.

🖼️ **Figure 3**



Caption: Screenshot of another UK survivor, Nikki Adebiyi, of covert surveillance and organised harassment - very similar to my own case.

🖼️ **Figure 4**



Since my resignation in November 2022, I have been on the receiving end of a relentless campaign of terror not unlike the one faced by the Steiners in the eBay stalking scandal. A key difference between their experience and mine is that my former employers seem to have made a bogus referral for me to be included on some sort of watchlist because the kinds of people I have encountered who have harassed me range from neighbours, strangers and homeless people to the police! All ethnicities, all ages, all walks of life.

I have experienced everything from stalking, sabotage, slander and cyberattacks to attempted poisoning and strange, sharp objects in UberEats meals (which I don't order anymore). I am constantly being followed by individuals and vehicles, some of whom I have seen in different locations on multiple occasions. License plates are a typical means of secret communication, through which I have received veiled death threats and taunts about deceased loved ones. It's every bit the psychological thriller and COINTELPRO 2.0 that it sounds like.

I also suspect that spyware like Pegasus is being used to violate my privacy as confirmed by the repeated remote access to my devices, manipulation of my mobile network on two separate lines, and by the strangers and neighbours who make reference to personal conversations or private circumstances of mine aloud and in my hearing. Of course, this is one of their myriad of ways to let me know that I am being watched. The 'hidden in plain sight' tote bag after which this article is named was but a drop in the ocean of innumerable, bizarre encounters I've had ever since, though they continue to use clothing and accessories to taunt and intimidate.

Their favourite tactic, though, is photography and video recording, with me in the frame, or in such a way as to catch my attention. For instance, I have had numerous experiences of people watching me through zoomed in selfie cameras – this has happened on buses, trains, at the airport and even at church!

It's truly astounding and absolutely horrendous. And it follows me *everywhere*. And I mean everywhere: across the UK, across Europe, and across the US.


The worst part is existing in a world like that of Franz Kafka's *The Trial*, wondering what I have been accused of, and to whom has my name been tarnished on account of my former boss'/employer's vendetta against me. God knows the number of opportunities I have been denied because they seek to smear my

Caption: Another screenshot of how she detailed her horrific experience on her blog, concerning excessive covert monitoring and organised harassment that followed her almost everywhere - both in the UK and internationally - just like me.

This demonstrates a coordinated pattern of abuse targeting me, consistent with the documented experiences of another UK survivor of covert surveillance and organised harassment, who stated: “It’s truly astounding and absolutely horrendous. And it follows me everywhere. And I mean everywhere: across the UK, across Europe, and across the US.”

Source:
https://bounceblack.org/2025/01/09/hidden-in-plain-sight-workplace-retaliation-and-organised-harassment/

🖼️ **Figure 5**



Your Anticipated Claim against the Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis, Our Ref: 208940/DJS
1 message

<David.Shannon@met.police.uk> Thu, 20 Mar 2025 at 17:02
To: bupphawitt@gmail.com

Dear Ms Wittaya-Amponpunt,

I write further to your recent letter dated 7 March 2025, sent further to your previous letters marked “Pre-action Protocol Letter” dated 26 December 2024 and 17 February 2025.


It is understood from your correspondence that you seek compensation for something you believe the police have done, however, your cause of action in law is not understood and your correspondence does not detail what compensation you are seeking or how this has been calculated. Your letter makes reference to you seeking damages potentially in excess of £500 million, but has not enabled us to understand how you have determined this figure. It is additionally unclear whether your letter should be treated as an indication that you intend to pursue an application for judicial review, or whether you intend to make a claim for compensation. This communication is on the basis of it being the latter.

You have made reference to the Civil Procedure Rules and the pre-action protocol in your letter. As there is no specific pre-action protocol for actions against the police, we consider that the spirit of the Practice Direction – Pre-Action Conduct And Protocols should be followed. This can be found here: https://www.justice.gov.uk/courts/procedure-rules/civil/rules/pd_pre-action_conduct . We refer you to paragraph 6 of the Practice Direction which provides the following:

> “[…] Where there is no relevant pre-action protocol, the parties should exchange correspondence and information to comply with the objectives in paragraph 3, bearing in mind that compliance should be proportionate. The steps will usually include—
>
> (a) the claimant writing to the defendant with **concise** details of the claim. The letter should include the basis on which the claim is made, a summary of the facts, what the claimant wants from the defendant, and if money, how the amount is calculated;[…]” (Emphasis added)

Should you wish to progress this matter further we would be grateful to receive a letter of claim compliant with the pre-action protocol. We note that your letter contains accounts of numerous events, many of which do not appear to bear any relation to our client. We further note that your letter contains a number of allegations of criminal offences. Information on how to report a crime is available here: https://www.met.police.uk/ro/report/ocr/af/how-to-report-a-crime/ .

While I am unable to advise you in drafting a letter of claim, we consider that the scope of the letter should be limited to matters directly relevant to your civil claim against our client, with a clear legal basis for seeking compensation or remedy identified. In the absence of a properly particularised letter of claim clearly identifying the causes of action in law and the remedies sought, my client is unable to provide a substantive response to the matters referred to in your correspondence and his position in respect of the same is reserved pending receipt of such further correspondence. The fact that the matters described in your letter have not been addressed should not be construed as my client accepting the accounts to be a true reflection of events within my client’s knowledge.



Caption: A copy of David Shannon's email to me on 20 March 2025, acknowledging my anticipated claim against the police.

On 2 June 2026, at approximately 19:35 pm, immediately after adding a copy of David Shannon's email to me in this Exhibit, I heard someone knock on the main door. When I looked out the window, I observed a female individual, wearing a beige coat similar to the one I have, visiting someone here. Because I also observed someone opening the main door for her. This is how the Primary Respondents appear to use all kinds of hints, both to let me know that they're cyberstalking me at all times and to intimidate.

EXHIBIT BW-09 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Screenshots of my email correspondence with the court clerk, who is a Judges Listing Office Team Leader, regarding the repeated, unexplained disappearance of my court documents for my urgent injunction application and for the initiation of court proceedings. .

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026


Bundle pages: 179-183

(The following pages are BW-09, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-09

THE DISAPPEARANCE OF MY DOCUMENTS FOR MY URGENT INJUNCTION APPLICATION

Figure 1



Urgent Injunction Application
1 message

Buppha Witt <bupphawitt@gmail.com> Tue, 17 Jun 2025 at 17:43
To: kbjudgeslistingoffice@justice.gov.uk

To whom it may concern,

My name is Buppha. I had applied for an urgent injunction on 5 June 2025 and had dropped my N244 form together with other documents & evidence. But when I spoke to Subash from the Judges Listing Office last week. Maybe on Wednesday or Thursday, he said they hadn't received it yet. Probably because I forgot to add Judges Listing Office on the envelope. So, he tried to locate my application and documents and would let me know. I haven't heard back from him since. I would therefore like to know if my application had been located yet? Because now I would like to have urgent injunction hearing as soon as possible.

Therefore, I would be very greatful if someone could get back to me as soon as possible please. I thank you in advance for your help.

Kind regards,

Buppha Wittaya-Amponpunt


bupphawitt@gmail.com

07538738084

Caption: Screenshot of my email to the court clerk on 17 June 2025, regarding the inexplicable disappearance of my court documents for my urgent injunction application.

At approximately 16:08 pm, immediately upon editing this Exhibit regarding the repeated, unexplained disappearance of my court documents submitted for my urgent injunction application and for the initiation of court proceedings, I heard someone suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut again. As usual, the individual quickly disappeared to avoid being detected.

🖼️ **Figure 2**



On Wednesday, June 18, 2025, KB Judges Listing Office <KBJudgesListingOffice@justice.gov.uk> wrote:

Dear Buppha,

Thank you for your email. I hope you are well.

With regret, our office is still not in receipt of your application following an thorough search of our office this afternoon.

My enquires with the other King's Bench teams was also fruitless.

If at all possible, please may we have a copy of the papers you had placed in the dropbox originally.

I still have the second batch of papers you handed to me in person.

If you can provide me with copies of the papers you left in the dropbox, I can then return the second batch of papers I have to you so that you can arrange and prepare them before you're satisfied it is ready to put before a Judge.

I look forward to your response in the hope we can move this forward.

Many thanks.

Kind regards

[redacted]

Judges Listing Office Team Leader

8x8 Contact Centre Supervisor

Room WG04 | King's Bench Division | HMCTS | Royal Courts of Justice | Strand | London | WC2A 2LL

DX: 44450 Strand | T: 020 3936 8957 | W: www.gov.uk/hmcts

HM Courts & Tribunals Service

Here is how HMCTS uses personal data about you.

engage

Caption: On 18 June 2025, Subash Vasudevan, Judges Listing Office Team Leader, emailed me back saying that my N244 injunction application, along with supporting documents and evidence, could not be located.

🖼️ **Figure 3**



Urgent Injunction Application
1 message

Buppha Witt <bupphawitt@gmail.com> Wed, 25 Jun 2025 at 08:51
To: KB Judges Listing Office <KBJudgesListingOffice@justice.gov.uk>

Good morning,

I had just dropped the new documents for my urgent injunction application yesterday in the box for King's Bench Division. I wrote "King's Bench Division Judges Listing Office" in front of the envelope. Is it correct this time?


Kind regards,

Buppha Wittaya-Amponpunt

bupphawitt@gmail.com
[Quoted text hidden]
[Quoted text hidden]

Caption: Screenshot of my email to the court clerk again on 25 June 2025, notifying them that I had just resubmitted my documents on 24 June 2025 for my urgent injunction application.

Figure 4



Buppha Wittaya-Amponpunt

On Thursday, June 26, 2025, KB Judges Listing Office <KBJudgesListingOffice@justice.gov.uk> wrote:

> Dear Buppha,
>
> Thank you for your email.
>
> We are yet to receive the application. The messenger may not have had the opportunity to collect it as yet, so we expect it will be in our office by tomorrow.
>
> I'll hope to update you then.
>
> Kind regards
>
> [redacted]
>
> Judges Listing Office Team Leader
>
> 8x8 Contact Centre Supervisor
>
> Room WG04 | King's Bench Division | HMCTS | Royal Courts of Justice | Strand | London | WC2A 2LL
>
> DX: 44450 Strand | T: 020 3936 8957 | | W: www.gov.uk/hmcts
>
> HM Courts & Tribunals Service
>
> Here is how HMCTS uses personal data about you.(
>
> engage

Caption: On 26 June 2025, I received another email from Subash, saying my injunction application had not reached them yet for the second time.

EXHIBIT BW-10 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Screenshots of what appeared to be hidden death threats against my daughter from Elon Musk.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 184-189

(The following pages are BW-10, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-10

FURTHER HIDDEN DEATH THREATS ON X


1 September 2025

🖼️ **Figure 1**



Caption: Screenshot of Elon Musk's post observed on 1 September 2025 that appears to convey a message that he knows where my daughter lives, which is Germany.

🖼️ **Figure 2**




Caption: Screenshot of another repost by Elon Musk on the same day about the murder of a German girl, which I perceive as an implied death threat against my daughter who also lives in Germany.

---

12 January 2026

🖼️ **Figure 3**



Caption: Screenshot of a trailer from the film *Se7en* (1995) observed on X again near the top of my feed. I interpret the strategic placement and content of these juxtaposed posts as a hidden death threat against my daughter once again, indicating knowledge of my daughter's private information such as hobbies, habits and even where she lives. This incident further suggests a potential data breach, breach of confidence, or misuse of private information by the Respondents.

The method of juxtaposition of posts to convey secret communications has also been used persistently in the harassment campaign against me.

These observed incidents by Elon Musk and X platform alone are not isolated but rather represent a continuation and escalation of the alleged intimidation and harassment across all platforms, occurring mostly directly after the filing of legal documents, adding more crucial evidence and information, for instance, and on platforms controlled by named Respondents (Defendants), such as on X controlled by Elon Musk, thereby constituting further acts of retaliation, witness intimidation and interference with the administration of justice.

Reasons for Urgency

Implied Death Threats: Daily implied death threats against both me and my daughter create a constant state of fear and mental anguish. This directly impacts my safety and well-being and that of my child. The court needs to understand the pervasive and terrifying nature of this threat. Because I have constant nightmares, thinking that one day the Respondents may actually carry out their threats.

The Respondents have, as part of their sustained campaign of harassment and abuse against me, deliberately involved and targeted my daughter repeatedly. This action is clearly designed to cause me extreme emotional distress and psychological harm, leveraging my parental concern as a weapon against me.

The Respondents' actions in targeting my daughter have caused me profound anguish, fear, and distress, significantly exacerbating the psychological harm I have already endured.

This tactic is consistent with the Respondents' established pattern of using third parties and exploiting vulnerabilities to maximize their harassment, as evidenced by their other actions detailed herein.

Protection of My Daughter: The inclusion of my daughter in the implied death threats adds another layer of urgency and concern for the court, as it involves the safety of another potential victim.

On 4 April 2026, as I edited the above-mentioned paragraph, I heard a car accelerate past my window at approximately 16:10 pm. The time symbolically ended in the "**No.10**" again.

On 4 June 2026, at approximately 17:02 pm, immediately after finishing adding more information to the previous paragraph regarding "**No.10**," I heard a motorcycle accelerate aggressively past my window.

These actions are not isolated incidents but part of an ongoing, calculated campaign that continues even after legal action has been initiated.

For my injunction application, I therefore respectfully request specific prohibitions against these types of actions, e.g., prohibiting any form of surveillance, harassment, intimidation,

dissemination of private materials, or any actions designed to incite self-harm or threaten my life or my daughter's life.

On 2 May 2026 at approximately 7 am, immediately upon editing this Exhibit about the potential hidden death threats from Elon Musk against my daughter, I heard Pablo (D160, Schedule of Defendants, p.41) cough before exiting the property. They appear to continue to give me constant hints that all my online activities and communications are being illegally monitored at all times.

Also on 2 May 2026, about half an hour later, at approximately 7:30 am, immediately after coming out of the bathroom and lying back down in my bed, I observed Person E exiting the property and slamming the main door shut. It's like they increase surveillance hints to cause me severe distress, knowing that I am being constantly watched, as retaliation each time I expose their misconduct or add more crucial information and evidence to my Witness Statement and Exhibits.

Therefore, once again, it's not safe for me to prepare my case when they appear to monitor my devices and all my online activities 24/7. This may amount to **witness intimidation, perverting the court of justice, litigation misconduct and an abuse of process.**

EXHIBIT BW-11 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Screenshot of further leak of my private materials to TikTok as retaliation.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026


Bundle pages: 190-192

(The following pages are BW-11, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-11

SUBSEQUENT RELEASE

25 October 2025



Caption: Screenshot of TikTok advertisement strategically displayed beneath a YouTube video on 25 October 2025 at approximately 20:10 pm, as soon as I logged into YouTube, which I aver constitutes a targeted and insulting reference to a highly intimate act unlawfully obtained to cause shock and severe emotional distress, which it did.

I also believe this highly intimate act, which TikTok appears to refer to, has been non-consensually recorded with a mobile phone by Jamie or James at his flat in West Hill, South West London, who is also a Respondent / Defendant (D183, Schedule of Defendants, p.45).

The timing of this incident, occurring shortly after the TikTok Defendants were privately added to draft pleadings, supports an inference of unlawful monitoring and coordinated conduct.

There are also lots of posts on social media platforms, not just TikTok, and even Google Ads, for instance, that appear to continuously make references to my highly intimate materials non-consensually filmed with the hidden cameras and mobile phones over the years, strongly suggesting that they have been provided with these illicit materials - both for commercial exploitation and malicious harassment / harassment by publication as retaliation. This indicates again that this is a very coordinated operation across all platforms and entities, which may amount to a conspiracy to injure and exploit. Due to a very coordinated operation across all platforms, the severity of the abuse over more than a decade and mass commercial exploitation of my non-consensually recordings, this may also qualify as money laundering and crimes against humanity. Particularly when my daughter may also be a victim of the exact same sexual abuse and exploitation via hidden cameras.

On 7 June 2026, at approximately 21:39 pm, immediately after finishing typing the previous paragraph, particularly regarding “my daughter may also be a victim of the exact same sexual abuse and exploitation via hidden cameras,” I heard a motorcycle accelerate past my window.

EXHIBIT BW-12 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Screenshots of the alleged misuse of my private information and intimate materials again by Elon Musk. They were used to create Ai-generated content as well this time.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 193-201

(The following pages are BW-12, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-12

AI-GENERATED CONTENT INCORPORATING MY PRIVATE INFORMATION AND MATERIALS

28 November 2025

I have observed specific instances where AI-generated content, particularly on the Grok platform associated with Elon Musk, bears striking resemblances to my highly intimate images and personal information that were believed to have been illegally filmed and disseminated by the UK Respondents. Some of the private images and information used in Ai-generated content also appear to be coming from my ex-husband, Jørgen Mortensen.

These observations began around today, 28 November 2025, and have continued intermittently since then.

The AI-generated content often exhibits unique characteristics that are specific to my private life and circumstances, which would not be publicly available. These include, for example, the consistent depiction of specific outfits or body features that are unique to me, the recreation of my past lifestyle that were subject to illegal information gathering, the use of specific mannerisms that are distinctive to my habits or behaviour captured on camera illegally installed in every place I live. The level of detail and accuracy in these AI-generated outputs strongly suggests access to my illegally obtained private materials rather than general public data.

Furthermore, the content frequently appears in contexts or alongside other information that aligns with the timeline and nature of the abuse I have experienced from the UK Respondents, suggesting a direct link between the dissemination of my materials and their subsequent use in AI generation.

While I do not have direct evidence of a transaction, my belief that the UK Respondents disseminated or sold my information to Elon Musk and/or his entities is based on several factors. Firstly, the timing of the appearance of AI-generated content resembling my private materials on platforms associated with Mr. Musk coincides with the period during which the UK Respondents were actively engaged in illegal surveillance and dissemination of my data. Secondly, the sophisticated nature of the AI-generated content suggests access to a substantial and detailed dataset of my private life, which the UK Respondents possessed through their extensive and long-term illegal filming and information gathering. Given the Respondents' involvement with both law enforcement agencies and tech companies, they would have the means and connections to facilitate such a transfer of data, whether through direct sale, sharing, or other illicit means. The motivation for such dissemination could be financial gain, further exploitation, or harassment or a combination thereof, consistent with the pattern of abuse I have endured.

I also note the Respondents' history of sharing my intimate images and videos with various parties and distributing them to porn sites, demonstrating a clear willingness to disseminate my private materials widely. It is a logical inference that such a comprehensive and valuable dataset, particularly given its intimate nature, would be of interest for advanced AI development, especially for models designed to generate realistic or personalised content.

The recent statements by Technology Secretary Liz Kendall on January 9, 2026, regarding the government's 'full support' for Ofcom to block access to X if it fails to address the issue of 'sexually manipulating images of women and children' and the specific mention of Grok, are highly relevant to my claim. Secretary Kendall's assertion that 'It is an insult and totally unacceptable for Grok to still allow this if you're willing to pay for it' directly aligns with my observations regarding the potential use of my private materials for AI-generated content on platforms associated with Elon Musk.

Her statement underscores the government's recognition of the serious and widespread nature of such exploitation and the potential for platforms to facilitate it.

Furthermore, the government's intention to ban 'nudification apps' and criminalise the 'creation of intimate images without consent' in the upcoming Crime and Policing Bill, as well as their expectation for 'all platforms to abide by Ofcom's new VAWG guidance,' provides crucial context. It demonstrates a clear governmental understanding that platforms, including those associated with Mr. Musk, are implicated in the proliferation of non-consensual intimate imagery and AI-generated content derived from such materials. This governmental stance supports my assertion that the exploitation of my private materials for AI generation is not an isolated incident but part of a larger, recognised problem that platforms are failing to adequately address, and which may be directly linked to the actions of the UK Respondents in disseminating my data.

The government's willingness to consider blocking access to X highlights the extreme gravity with which these issues are viewed at the highest levels, further validating the seriousness of my claim that my private materials are being exploited in this manner.


Below are some screenshots of Ai-generated content created by **Grok xAi (owned by Elon Musk)** that appear to incorporate my **Private Information and Materials** unlawfully obtained via various means, including via secret filming using hidden cameras illegally installed in every place I live or stay at since approximately 2013 to present.

On 4 June 2026, at precisely 18:13 pm, when I was just about to finish reading the previous paragraph to see if it needed editing, I heard the sound of someone exit the property and slam the main door shut.

Within minutes of me writing the above-mentioned paragraph regarding door slamming, at precisely 18:15 pm, I heard a motorcycle accelerate past my window. The rider seemed to intentionally increase the acceleration at the exact moment the motorcycle was passing my window. This happens almost every time I add crucial information or evidence to this injunction

application bundle. Particularly, concerning Elon Musk, Mark Zuckerberg, Warner Bros, Keir Starmer, the Police and other Unknown Public Official Respondents.

🖼️ **Figure 1**



Caption: Screenshot of AI-generated output referring to me (see paragraph 18.6 Representative Example 2, pages 108-109, of the Witness Statement).

Elon Musk may have created this AI-generated content himself. This AI-generated image depicts a scene identical to a distressing moment captured in my former residence at 51-52 Westow Hill, Crystal Palace, London, SE19 1RX, which was also subject to illegal surveillance, seemingly alluding to me crying when I lived at this address after realising hidden cameras have also been illegally installed at this address due to the constant hints I received from almost all platforms - particularly from Instagram - as if intentional to cause me severe emotional distress as a form of psychological torture. This strongly suggests a conspiracy to injure by unlawful means once again.

It could also be from another distressing moment, or from both. Because when I stayed for a short period in Denmark from February to March 2025, I also broke down and cried after finding out hidden cameras had also been illegally installed in that place in Denmark. I just basically realised there's “no safe haven” for me anywhere in Europe. Hence, I finally broke down. Furthermore, every solicitor also refused to help me. I therefore felt trapped in their abuse, unable to stop it and move on. So, this image above may have used a combination of both distressing moments that occurred both in the UK and Denmark.

This therefore strongly suggests that everything has been non-consensually recorded, with audio as well as usual, and then disseminated to third parties - both for commercial exploitation and malicious harassment. It's shocking that they turn my suffering from prolonged sexual abuse and torture via hidden cameras into some kind of entertainment and commercial products, generating substantial profit as well.

Psychological Torture: Covertly recording my breakdowns, mocking them via social media, even via Ai-generated content, and treating my distress as "entertainment" constitutes a campaign of psychological torture. This goes far beyond simple harassment and demonstrates a deliberate intent to inflict severe mental suffering. This ongoing psychological torment is causing profound and lasting damage to my mental health, which is a form of irreparable harm.


Enjoyment of Distress: Treating my breakdowns as "entertainment" and mocking them via social media and Ai-generated content demonstrates a complete lack of empathy and a sadistic enjoyment of my suffering. This goes beyond mere harassment and into the realm of psychological abuse and torture.

🖼️ **Figure 2**



Caption: Screenshot of AI-generated content created by Grok from Elon Musk's post that seems to mimic my black faux leather jacket, my black hair, my previous hairstyle and my past smoking habit. The Asian setting seems to subtly confirm the uncanny replication of me. Because I am a South East Asian woman myself. A mixture of information in this specific imagery is believed to be a result of unlawful information gathering, in which part of it is from the secret filming and the rest of it from my ex-husband, Jørgensen Mortensen. Hence, he has been added as a Defendant as well when he seems to actively contribute to the misuse of my private information. He may even get paid for it.


🖼️ **Figure 3**



Caption: Screenshot of another Ai-generated content by Grok posted by Elon Musk. The South East Asian woman in the picture also seems to personify me, or even my daughter who's half Thai and half Danish, to let me know again that this imagery refers to either one of us. The sexualised image with an oriental-looking woman then also wears a deep v-neck top or kimono-like dress in the exact same pink top that my daughter used to wear. Because this colour has also been subsequently used in the harassment campaign against me. This is how they also seem to use specific colours, numbers, symbols, imagery, language, outfits, and so on, to allude to something or someone I know or used to know, even someone I have talked to, something I wear or used to wear, things I have or used to have, what I eat or used to eat, my past and present habits, my private information and materials unlawfully obtained via various means, and many more. I also used to wear this type of outfit. Clearly, there's a pattern of behaviour going on.


On 4 June 2026, at exactly 18:35 pm, while reading the previous paragraph and reaching the passage regarding “This is how they also seem to use specific colours, numbers, symbols, imagery … to allude to something or someone I know,” I immediately heard some individuals begin to converse loudly outside. The time symbolically ended in the number”35” again, as if to immediately taunt me by using the number “35” to allude to my daughter. Because she's also approximately 35 years old. Number “35” has also been used consistently in the harassment campaign against me lately. I didn't run to the window to take pictures of the individuals as evidence. Because oftentimes, they will quickly disappear before I can see who they are and take pictures of them as evidence of organised harassment.

🖼️ **Figure 4**



Caption: Screenshot of another Ai-generated content by Grok posted by Elon Musk. This one also seems to make references to me again. Because I used to have a motorcycle, have this exact hairstyle (hence, the woman in the photo also has black hair for extra confirmation), and also used to wear a mini black dress. I therefore reasonably believe that this specific imagery has also been created using my private information and materials provided to them by my ex-husband, Jørgensen Mortensen.

🖼️ **Figure 5**



Caption: This Asian-looking imagery wearing a sexy green dress appears to make references to me, again. Because the green dress appears to refer to a specific green dress that I used to wear. I believe this precise imagery may have been created using my private information and materials, which the primary Respondents may have gotten this information from Thomas Anderson (TomMySpace, the ex-founder of MySpace), also a Respondent / Defendant (D6, Schedule of Defendants, p.7). They then disseminate this information further to third parties, including Elon Musk, to permit them to use it to create content for their own financial gain and for harassment as well.

All images accurately reference highly personal details about my life that were only known through the illegally obtained recordings and data.

There are many more Ai-generated content created by Grok and Elon Musk alone that seem to make lots of references to me. These are just some representative examples to demonstrate a pattern, the systemic exploitation and harassment across all platforms - even by major tech corporations and prominent tech executives such as Elon Musk.

EXHIBIT BW-13 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Screenshots of my Cease and Desist letters sent to my former partners via email, asking them to stop sharing my private information and intimate materials, including a screenshot of my ex-husband's aggressive response email.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 202-210

(The following pages are BW-13, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-13

SENT CEASE AND DESIST LETTERS

14 December 2025

After seeing the Ai-generated content from Grok - as seen in **Exhibit BW-12, particularly Figures 2 (p.198) & 4 (p.200)** - I reasonably believe that my ex-boyfriend and ex-husband may have also leaked my private information and materials to other Respondents, particularly the UK State-Actor Respondents, who then disseminated and exploited it further.

The same with many advertisements and films, for instance, which consistently make references to my private information and intimate materials. Part of the source material is also believed to be coming from what my ex-boyfriend and ex-husband would only know. Particularly, the film production and distribution company, A24, has apparently made and distributed nearly 10 films or more making lots of references to my private information and intimate materials. Some of it is believed to be stemming from my ex-boyfriend and ex-husband as well, as described in **paragraph 20.6, Representative Example 2, pages 91-92.**

I then sent them both a Cease and Desist letter. My ex-boyfriend, Nikolaj Teinvig, never responded. But my ex-husband, Jørgen Mortensen, responded aggressively. See the screenshots of my Cease and Desist letter to them and the aggressive, threatening response from Jørgen Mortensen below from **pages 204-210.**

On 4 June 2026, at precisely **19:10 pm**, immediately upon finishing typing the previous paragraph, I heard someone suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut. It turned out to be a skinny Black male individual, around mid or late 30s, who often exits/returns to the property at the exact moment I add crucial information or evidence to this injunction application bundle. The time symbolically ended in the **“No.10”** again.


These letters serve as formal warnings that any continued disclosure of my private information to other Respondents or their agents will result in legal action being taken against them. My intention in sending these letters is to prevent further unauthorised dissemination of my private data and to protect myself from the ongoing and severe emotional distress caused by the Respondents’ exploitation of this information.

The Respondents' apparent ability to procure such private information from individuals known to me underscores the pervasive and insidious nature of their harassment and the urgent need for the injunctive relief sought in this application to prevent further irreparable harm.

Note: Only selected extracts are included in this urgent application bundle for proportionality and efficiency. Full copies of the correspondence are available and may be provided to the Court if requested.


As I add this information to this Exhibit, particularly about my ex-husband, the potential sexual abuse of our daughter and A24 film production company, housemates begin to exit/return to the property and slam the main door shut all over again. Particularly, Person A, also a Respondent / Defendant (D161, Schedule of Defendants, p.41) and Person F. This strongly suggests once again that everything I type is being read in real time. Hence, the immediate retaliation and intimidation.

🖼️ **Figure 1**




Caption: On **14 December 2025**, I sent a Cease and Desist letter to my ex-husband, Jørgen Mortensen, explicitly warning him against such disclosures and highlighting the severe risks, including the potential sexual abuse via covert surveillance, to our shared daughter, AB.

🖼️ **Figure 2**




Caption: First page of my cease and desist letter to my ex-husband.

Figure 3




Caption: Second page.

Figure 4



Caption: Third page.

Figure 5



4

I further believe that the defendants' ultimate aim is to cause severe psychiatric injury to our daughter, potentially leading to self-harm or suicide, by orchestrating a devastating emotional betrayal. This belief is informed by the defendants' apparent unlawful acquisition of highly sensitive personal information regarding my own history of suicidal ideation following relationship breakdowns, which you may have inadvertently leaked to them or their agents.

The individual identified as the Spanish national involved in this deceptive relationship with our daughter may be added as a co-defendant in these proceedings for his role in this conspiracy to injure and for his direct involvement in the psychological manipulation of our daughter. It is imperative that I obtain his full name and, if possible, his address, so that I can formally add him to the legal proceedings or send him a separate Cease and Desist letter.

I remain under 24/7 unlawful surveillance despite acknowledging my anticipated claim and injunction application, and the illegal footage appears to be live-streamed to various places, including porn sites, the dark web, advertisement agencies, film production companies and more, as I said earlier. Consequently, I only take a shower once a month and in the dark due to fear of being secretly filmed and distributed. This is pure torture, and it happens under the noses of everyone in the democratic society.

My paramount fear is that our daughter may be subjected to the same horrific sexual exploitation. The death threats I receive are, in part, an attempt to silence me and prevent me from seeking justice and protection for both of us. Consequently, I am in the process of applying for urgent privacy and harassment injunctions in the UK, both for myself and for our daughter,

I have attempted to apply for urgent injunctions to stop the ongoing abuse and hidden death threats against both myself and our daughter and to initiate court proceedings on 2-3 separate occasions earlier this year. However, on each occasion, the submitted documents and evidence have mysteriously disappeared from the courthouse, The Royal Court of Justice in London (the King's Bench Division). This highly unusual and deeply concerning pattern suggests a concerted effort to obstruct justice and an abuse of power by individuals who have access to such sensitive locations. This is not the behaviour of innocent parties and underscores the high stakes and serious nature of this case.


Due to the defendants' alleged blocking of my access to legal counsel and their concerted efforts to prevent me from obtaining effective legal representation, I am unfortunately compelled to draft and send this letter myself. This circumstance further underscores the extreme nature of the situation and the lengths to which these individuals are going to evade justice.

This conduct is causing me significant distress, fear, and disruption to my private life. It is also interfering with ongoing legal proceedings in which I am the Claimant, and may constitute witness intimidation, contempt of court, and unlawful victimisation.

It is therefore critical that you understand the extreme danger posed by these individuals. They appear to illegally monitor all my online activities and communications at all times to prevent me

Caption: Fourth page.

🖼️ **Figure 6**



Caption: On 15 December 2025, at precisely 19:13 pm, my ex-husband, Jørgen Mortensen, replied to my Cease and Desist letter, as seen in the screenshot above.

The timing of this email, received while I was using the bathroom, is highly suspicious and consistent with the established pattern of the Primary Respondents' real-time surveillance and intimidation tactics. The specific time ending in '13' further aligns with numerical patterns used in the ongoing harassment campaign against me. This strongly indicates that Jørgen Mortensen was either directly influenced by the other Respondents, particularly the Primary Respondents, or their agents, or that his communication was orchestrated to coincide with their surveillance activities, thereby demonstrating his active participation or complicity in the misuse of private information and harassment.

The content of the email, accusing me of 'harassment' and suggesting I 'seek professional help,' directly mirrors the established gaslighting tactics employed by the other Respondents to discredit or gaslight me and undermine my credibility. This demonstrates Jørgen Mortensen's alignment with the Respondents' malicious agenda to silence me and prevent me from exposing their unlawful activities.

Furthermore, by dismissing my grave warnings regarding the potential sexual abuse of our daughter, Jørgen Mortensen has demonstrated a shocking disregard for the safety and well-being of our child, probably influenced by the Primary Respondents' manipulation. This conduct constitutes a failure in his parental duty and further evidences his complicity in the Primary Respondents' scheme.

I aver that Jørgen Mortensen has acted in concert with the other Respondents, forming a conspiracy to injure by unlawful means. The unlawful means include, but are not limited to, the misuse of private information, harassment, and the deliberate orchestration of communications to cause distress and discredit me. The predominant purpose of this conspiracy is to cause harm to me, silence me, and prevent me from seeking justice. This may amount to perverting the course of justice by manipulating / gaslighting the victim, me, and others into not believing me, so that the truth will always remain hidden - suggesting repeated attempts to trap my daughter and I in their sexual abuse and exploitation via hidden cameras forever.

Alternatively, or in addition, I aver that Jørgen Mortensen's conduct, including the aforementioned email and any prior disclosures of private information, constitutes a course of conduct amounting to harassment under the Protection from Harassment Act 1997, causing me significant distress.

As a direct result of Jørgen Mortensen's actions, I have suffered further emotional distress, psychological harm, and have been placed in a position where my efforts to protect our daughter are actively undermined by a close family member acting under the influence of the other Respondents. Especially the Primary Respondents and possibly other Unknown Public Official Respondents as well.

Misuse of Private Information: This incident is a further example of how my private information is being misused and how the Respondents are leveraging third parties.

Harassment: This email is a specific instance of harassment, demonstrating the ongoing and coordinated nature of the campaign.

Conspiracy: This incident provides strong evidence of the conspiracy involving multiple parties.

Emotional Distress/Damages: This incident alone has exacerbated my emotional distress, particularly the betrayal by a family member and the fear for our daughter's safety.

EXHIBIT BW-14 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Screenshots of an email received from the court informing me of an advice from the Master to prepare for my injunction application.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 211-213


(The following pages are BW-14, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-14

NOTIFICATION FROM THE MASTER TO PREPARE FOR MY INJUNCTION

19 December 2025

🖼️ **Figure 1**



Master's directions - KB-2025-004650 - Wittaya-Amponpunt v The Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis and others Inbox

KB Issue & Enquiries 19/12/2025
to me, KB

From KB Issue & Enquiries • KBEnquiries@justice.gov.uk
To bupphawitt@gmail.com
Cc KB Issue & Enquiries • KBEnquiries@justice.gov.uk
Date 19 Dec 2025, 12:43
Standard encryption (TLS).
See security details

Dear Ms Wittaya-Amponpunt,

Upon review of your claim form and supporting documents, the Master has advised the following with regard to your request for an injunction:

'Any application for an injunction requires an Application Notice (N244) and appropriate witness evidence in support and a draft order.'

You will be receiving an Order in due course with further directions.

Kind Regards,

[redacted]
Administrative Officer

Caption: Screenshot of email received from Freya H, Administrative Officer, on 19 December 2025, notifying me the Master's directions on what I should prepare for my injunction application.

🖼️ **Figure 2**



Upon review of your claim form and supporting documents, the Master has advised the following with regard to your request for an injunction:

'Any application for an injunction requires an Application Notice (N244) and appropriate witness evidence in support and a draft order.'

You will be receiving an Order in due course with further directions.

Kind Regards,

[redacted]

Administrative Officer

King's Bench Division Issue & Enquiries Section

Royal Courts of Justice | Strand, London | WC2A 2LL

(0203 936 8957 (option 2)

www.gov.uk/hmcts

Caption: Screenshot of the second part of the email from Freya H.

Therefore, the Order of Master Dagnall dated 2 April 2026 informing me that my claim has been struck out, as described in **Exhibit BW-22, pages 279-283,** with screenshots, which first made available to me via CE-filing system on 23 April 2026, does not appear to address my pending application for interim injunctive relief, notwithstanding that such relief was sought on an urgent basis to prevent ongoing dissemination of private information & intimate materials and irreparable harm. It does not address my application for a Norwich Pharmacal Order either, which is very important in the interest of justice.

Immediately after adding the above-mentioned information to this Exhibit on 2 May 2026, the harassment from my housemates increased exponentially. Once again, therefore, it's not safe for me to continue to use my devices to prepare my case. Because they seem to have made it clear that everything I type is being constantly monitored. This may constitute an abuse of process, perverting the course of justice and The Computer Misuse Act 1990 (CMA).

EXHIBIT BW-15 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Photos of housemates who intimidated me for gathering evidence.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 214-220

(The following pages are BW-15, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-15

WITNESS INTIMIDATION AND EVIDENCE TAMPERING

10 February 2026

"Unlawful interference with the collection of evidence"

Coordinated Harassment: The Respondents, particularly the Primary Respondents, including other Unknown Public Official Respondents, have orchestrated a sustained campaign of coordinated harassment involving third-party agents and co-residents to monitor and signal my private movements.

Technological Surveillance: I aver that the Primary Respondents are utilising unauthorised covert surveillance (hidden cameras and device monitoring) to facilitate real-time harassment, as evidenced by the synchronised actions of housemates during my use of private facilities.

At approximately 21:55 pm on 2 May 2026, because I edited this information on this day as well, immediately upon typing the above-mentioned paragraph, I heard a housemate return to the property. This is how the Respondents appear to immediately respond to what I type to make me feel intimidated and controlled that everything I type is being read in real time via unauthorised access to my devices.

Intimidation and Obstruction: On 10 February 2026, the Primary Respondents engaged in active witness intimidation by alerting third parties to my attempts to document harassment. One of them even gave her middle finger toward my mobile phone that was recording surveillance-like behaviour, which was almost hidden behind the curtain, making it unlikely that anyone would notice it. Therefore, the immediate and targeted reaction of the housemates toward a concealed recording device confirms the existence of a real-time surveillance loop used to frustrate my pursuit of legal redress.

Thus, the housemate's gesture toward my hidden phone was an "**unlawful interference with the collection of evidence**."

The Logic: Because the phone was concealed behind a curtain and obscured by window reflection, the housemates could only have known they were being recorded if they were **notified by the Primary Respondents** and/or their agents, who are monitoring my devices or the room via hidden cameras.

It looks therefore like a setup by instructing my housemates to pretend to exit/return to the property at the exact moment I am secretly recording surveillance-like behaviour as my way of gathering evidence. The housemates are then told in advance where to look for my mobile phone, which is almost hidden behind the curtain secretly recording surveillance-like behaviour, to let me know that they are aware of this to make me feel intimidated. These individuals also

appear to get paid for intimidating and harassing me (financial inducement in the forms of free food, gift cards, new clothes, free travels or even money).

The Legal Claim: This may constitute **"Witness Intimidation"** under Section 51 of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 or as an **"Aggravating Factor"** in my civil claim, as it demonstrates an intent to obstruct the course of justice.


The "Hostile Environment" and "Constructive Eviction": By coordinating with housemates, the Respondents have turned my place of residence into a **"hostile living environment."** In UK law, this is a breach of the **"Covenant of Quiet Enjoyment"** and a violation of **Article 8 of the ECHR** (Right to respect for private and family life and the home) on top of the illegal installation of hidden cameras to harass, sexually abuse and exploit me.

Continued Actions Despite Pending Legal Proceedings: The fact that these actions continue during pending court proceedings and an injunction application has been filed demonstrates their brazen disregard for the law and the judicial process. It also indicates their determination to continue harming me, making judicial intervention even more critical.

Failure of Current Measures: The continuation of these acts after court proceedings have initiated and an injunction application has been made demonstrates that the Respondents are not deterred by the existing legal process. This reinforces the need for the court to issue a strong, immediate order to compel them to stop.


Below are some screenshots from the video that I took with my mobile phone on 10 February 2026 when Person B, also a Respondent (D162, Schedule of Defendants, p.41), and her friend intimidated me.

🖼️ **Figure 1**




Caption: At approximately 10:49 am on 10 February 2026, my mobile phone camera captured Person B and her friend or sister exiting the property while I was doing the dishes.

🖼️ **Figure 2**




Caption: Upon their return, they appeared to be able to spot my mobile phone, which was almost hidden behind the curtain, from a far distance.

🖼️ **Figure 3**



Caption: Her friend then made a gesture toward my hidden phone.

🖼️ **Figure 4**



Caption: Photo of Person B, whom I took a photo of on 2 May 2026 at 22:22 pm, when she suddenly exited the property and slammed the main door shut, immediately after I looked at a video on my tablet from 10 February 2026 to take some screenshots of her and her friend from the video when they intimidated me for gathering evidence to add to this Exhibit. She briefly stood outside and smoked before going back in again.

EXHIBIT BW-16 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Screenshots of more advertisements on X.com that also appeared to make references to my sexual acts unlawfully filmed with the hidden cameras over the years, including photos of housemates who intimidated me again for gathering evidence.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 221-233

(The following pages are BW-16, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-16

FURTHER LEAK AND WITNESS INTIMIDATION

3-6 April 2026

🖼️ Figure 1



Caption: On or around 3 April 2026 at 12:50 pm, when I logged into my X account again and began to like some posts about the negativity surrounding Keir Starmer, I observed another advertisement strategically placed right below the post about him, featuring a South East Asian woman with her hand near her private parts looking like she's masturbating (Although she didn't actually do it in the photo. They just chose something that could allude to it). This is how they use imagery to make references to my sexual acts, which were believed to have been non-consensually filmed with the hidden cameras, which also recorded audio, over the years and then distributed / live-streamed to various places for profit. They just kept their illegal enterprise secret for years, which may amount to money laundering, violence against women and crime against humanity.

The police denied everything when I made multiple complaints and also denied it again through their legal representatives. But at the same time, together with their Co-respondents, such as Elon Musk and Meta Platforms, appear to keep giving me hints via social media posts, advertisements and films, for instance, that they have actually secretly filmed me over the years and distributed the illegal recordings to various places for profit, including porn sites.

---

4 April 2026

🖼️ **Figure 2**



Caption: Also on 4 April 2026 at approximately 17:12 pm, immediately after I finished cooking, I observed Person B and her friend exiting the property again heading towards the grocery store next door.

Her friend then turned around and looked right at my tablet, which I placed by the window as my CCTV to gather evidence of surveillance-like and harassing activities. It looked therefore like they're instructed to come out, so that they could see that I was gathering evidence. They could

then use this to intimidate me by making it look like I was recording people going in and out of property for nothing.

It looks therefore like a setup, again. The harassment and intimidation just seem to escalate as I make progress in my injunction application. The escalation in intimidation could also stem from what I have written in my witness statement, evidence I have added, and so on, which may anger them more. Because I reasonably believe that they are illegally monitoring my devices to control me and to gain insight into my legal strategies, so that they can prepare their defenses.

It's like this every single time and every single day when I am cooking or doing something in the kitchen and putting my phone or tablet by the window to record surveillance-like behaviour as evidence. The Respondents know this both via the constant monitoring of my devices and via hidden cameras. They then appear to instruct my housemates to come out and intimate me and to convey surveillance at the same time.

🖼️ **Figure 3**



Caption: Upon their return, Person B raised her middle finger toward my tablet by the window while shouting, “gotcha,” before going back into the property.

🖼️ **Figure 4**



Caption: Some time later, on 4 April 2026 at approximately 19:28 pm, immediately after I stood up to go to the bathroom, I heard someone exit the property and slam the main door shut. When I quickly ran to the window to investigate, I observed Person A exiting the property and walking across the street into the side road **The Lynch** opposite our residence. When I took the picture, he'd already disappeared from my view. But I observed him walking toward a white truck, as seen in the image above.

🖼️ **Figure 5**



Caption: A little later, on 4 April 2026 at approximately 19:31 pm, I went to the bathroom and placed my tablet by the window to record surveillance-like behaviour as usual. Then, immediately after I finished using the bathroom, I heard someone return to the property and slam the main door shut forcefully. It turned out to be Person A again, as seen in the screenshot above, which was from the video that my tablet had recorded while I was using the bathroom.

He stood and looked directly at my tablet camera while saying something. Probably pretending to not understand why I am recording people going in and out. This looks therefore like a setup to intimidate me once again, to make me stop gathering evidence. I therefore believe that the Primary Respondents and/or their agents might have told him to exit the property and slam the main door shut at the precise moment I finished using the bathroom, to both convey surveillance

and intimidate me. So, upon his return, he would then have the opportunity to pretend to discover that I was recording people going in and out. He then used this to intimidate me, so that I would stop gathering evidence.

When he got back inside the property, he immediately came to knock on my door, demanding me to come out to explain to him why I was recording people going in and out of the property. He kept knocking hard on my door several times. When I didn't answer him and didn't open the door, he threatened to report me to the landlord and left. This is a classic witness intimidation. Consequently, I have not tried to gather evidence as much as I wanted to since, only discreetly, and I am too scared to leave my room due to fear of violence will be used against me.

This whole thing just looks like a setup once again. Particularly, when I am nearly done with the preparation for my injunction application, start to be active on social media, liking and commenting on posts about the negativity surrounding Keir Starmer, add more crucial information and evidence regarding Ai-generated content linked to Elon Musk, etc. The harassment and intimidation then intensify accordingly. They have used this tactic many times before to intimidate, distract and silence me - a possible attempt to violate my human right Article 10 (Freedom of Expression) as well. Particularly the police and/or their Co-respondents, who I reasonably believe are behind or complicit in all this.

These individuals are like actors when they collectively harass me and convey surveillance - either knowingly or unknowingly. Then, when I try to gather evidence, they jointly intimidate me and act like they are victims. I therefore reasonably believe they're all instructed how to intimidate me and to play the victim card.

Thus, I reasonably believe that my housemates, the University of West London, its lecturers and staff, tech platforms, tech executives, such as Mark Zuckerberg and Elon Musk, writer and film production company Respondents, for instance, who jointly misuse my private information, hold vital information as to who directed or instructed these acts.

Therefore, a Norwich Pharmacal Order, Disclosure Order, or similar, is essential for the interest of justice.

This disclosure is necessary and proportionate to identify those responsible for orchestrating and financing the harassment campaign, to prevent further wrongdoing, and to preserve crucial evidence that may otherwise be concealed or destroyed.

Because I cannot pursue my claim effectively without knowing who directed or instructed these acts, and without disclosure of CCTV, communications, and records showing how these campaigns are coordinated.

---

5 April 2026

🖼️ **Figure 6**



Caption: On 5 April 2026 at approximately 12:32 pm, immediately upon looking out of the window, I observed the aircraft flying right past my window to convey surveillance from the air as well. It's like this every day despite pending court proceedings and an injunction application.

They even seem to escalate the surveillance from all angles - even from the air. The abuse, surveillance, secret filming, exploitation, dissemination, harassment and intimidation just get more and more severe and intense, the more I soon finalise my preparation for an urgent injunction application, which once again strongly suggests that they're constantly monitoring all my online activities and communications illegally, to observe the progression of my preparation and to gain insight into my legal strategies.

---

6 April 2026

🖼️ **Figure 7**



Caption: On 6 April 2026 at 18:47 pm, immediately upon logging into my X.com account, I observed an advertisement strategically placed near the top of my feed depicting a woman touching the side of her car seat in a manner that was unmistakably designed to allude to my masturbation again, as believed to have been unlawfully filmed by the Primary Respondents, such as the police, with the hidden cameras. This incident occurred directly after I began to like and comment on some posts on X.com about the negativity surrounding Keir Starmer, add more crucial information and evidence to the Exhibits regarding Elon Musk and Grok Ai, including possible hidden death threats against my daughter from Elon Musk (**As seen in Exhibit BW-10, pages 185-188),** for instance, serving as clear and compelling evidence of the Respondents' ongoing retaliatory conduct and their continued misuse of my illegally obtained private materials, which could also constitute **harassment by publication**.

The Respondents' consistent use of such specific, recognisable imagery, particularly in a retaliatory context, constitutes an implied admission of their knowledge, possession, and unlawful exploitation of my highly intimate recordings. This act further demonstrates a deliberate course of harassment intended to cause me severe distress and to punish me for asserting my legal rights. The use of a widely accessible platform like X.com, Instagram, TikTok, YouTube and similar for such advertisements and posts/videos indicates an intent for mass distribution of these allusions, thereby exacerbating the psychological and reputational harm already inflicted. Furthermore, the Respondents' concerted efforts to block my access to legal representation have directly impeded my ability to obtain timely injunctive relief, allowing them to continue and expand the dissemination of my private materials and allusions thereto.

It's like the more I expose their alleged misconduct, add more crucial information and evidence to my Witness Statement and Exhibits, intend to add more defendants to my claim, raise concerns about the potential abuse of my daughter as well, like or comment on social media posts, particularly about the negativity surrounding Keir Starmer, or just to go online, the harassment, dissemination and retaliation increase accordingly. It's also another clear indication of the illegal monitoring of all my online activities and communications at all times to gain insight into my legal strategies, so that they can prepare their defences and intimidate me immediately, including controlling and retaliating by disseminating my private materials even more or almost immediately. Especially, whenever I like or comment on social media posts about Keir Starmer. They don't even seem to hide it anymore that they are constantly monitoring all my online activities and communications. The illegal monitoring of my devices 24/7 also seems to be for the purpose of coercive control.

On 2 May 2026 at approximately 10:18 am, immediately upon editing the previous paragraph about Keir Starmer, I heard a male housemate cough a few times nearby. This is also one of the many methods frequently used by the Primary Respondents, to let me know that my devices are being constantly monitored to exert coercive control. Just like another UK survivor of covert surveillance and organised harassment, who has also experienced constant device monitoring and how her perpetrators kept giving her hints that she's being constantly watched, as described in **Exhibit BW-08, pages 175-177.**

This is again **“Harassment by Proxy," “Harassment by Publication” and "Malicious Misuse of Private Information”** to cause severe emotional distress as retaliation. This is therefore also **intentional infliction of emotional distress**. Because of:

- **Targeted Nature:** The advertisements are targeted at me directly or deliberately placed in my view to cause distress.
- **Knowledge of Distress:** The person or entity publishing the content must know—or a reasonable person would know—that doing so would cause me alarm or distress. In my case, it happens consistently since 2023, and escalating, across all platforms, which shows actual malice and intent.
- **No Reasonable Expectation of Privacy Required:** Unlike a specific "misuse of private information" claim, a harassment claim does not require me to prove the information is strictly private. If someone is aggressively using *any* information about me to cause me distress, it can be harassment. In my case, most of the information is strictly private. Because most of them are from the non-consensually recordings, as I said earlier.

Potential Overlapping Legal Claims

Therefore, the persistent harassment by publication may also constitute, for example:

- **Misuse of Private Information:** If the information in the posts or films is highly personal (e.g., health data, financial records, private communications, or even sexual acts), I have the right to sue to stop the publications and seek damages for loss of control of that data.
- **UK GDPR / Data Protection Act Breach:** If an organisation is using my specific personal data to target me with ads or films, which most of the Respondents do, without a legal basis, it is a breach of data protection laws.
- **Defamation/Libel:** Publishing false statements that damage reputation.
- **Online Harassment/Cyberbullying:** Digital bullying, including social media abuse or doxxing.
- **Malicious Communications:** If the posts or messages are sent directly to me and are indecent, highly offensive, or threatening, it could be a criminal offense under the Communications Act 2003 or Malicious Communications Act 1988. I also repeatedly receive emails making references to my private information and intimate materials. Sometimes, the emails also contain highly offensive or threatening cryptic messages.

Key Arguments for the Injunction Application:

1. **Imminent and Irreparable Harm:**
    - **Argument:** The advertisements or social media posts, appearing immediately after I added them as co-defendants, added more crucial information and evidence to my Exhibits, like and comment on social media posts, especially concerning the negativity surrounding Keir Starmer, and so forth, demonstrate a clear pattern of immediate retaliation and an intent to continue disseminating my private materials. This constitutes an imminent threat of further, irreparable harm

to my privacy, dignity, and mental well-being. The nature of the persistent "hints" – alluding to intimate acts – is particularly distressing and invasive.
    - **Legal Basis:** Courts grant injunctions to prevent harm that cannot be adequately compensated by damages alone. The widespread distribution of intimate images and allusions to them causes harm that is difficult, if not impossible, to fully reverse or quantify financially. The ongoing nature of the dissemination, especially through platforms like X.com, Instagram and TikTok, including through films, means the harm is continuous and escalating.
2. **Continued Breach of Privacy and Data Protection:**
    - **Argument:** The advertisements and films are further evidence of the Respondents' continued breach of my right to privacy under Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) and the Data Protection Act 2018 (DPA 2018). It indicates that they are still in **'enormous'** possession of and actively misusing my illegally obtained private materials. **'Enormous'** because I reasonably believe they began to illegally install hidden cameras and non-consensually record me doing sexual acts since 2013 to present.
    - **Legal Basis:** The DPA 2018 provides individuals with rights regarding their personal data, including the right to have it processed lawfully and securely. The ECHR Article 8 protects private and family life, and its breach can be remedied through injunctions.
3. **Retaliation and Victimisation:**
    - **Argument:** The timing of the advertisements, immediately after I added more defendants, like or comment on social media posts about about the negativity surrounding Keir Starmer, or add more crucial evidence and info about Elon Musk, for instance, strongly suggests it is a direct act of retaliation and victimisation for asserting my legal rights and freedom of expression. This demonstrates a deliberate attempt to intimidate and distress me, and to undermine the legal process.
    - **Legal Basis:** Courts view retaliation negatively, especially when it involves further unlawful acts. This behaviour can be seen as an abuse of process and a contemptuous disregard for my rights and the legal system.
4. **Mass Distribution and Accessibility:**
    - **Argument:** The use of platforms like YouTube, X.com, Instagram and TikTok, including film production companies to make films based on my private information, for dissemination means my private materials, or allusions to them, are being exposed to a vast, global audience. This constitutes "mass distribution" and significantly amplifies the harm.
    - **Legal Basis:** The scale of potential dissemination underscores the urgency for injunctive relief to prevent further widespread exposure.
5. **Obstruction of Justice (Blocking Access to Lawyers):**
    - **Argument:** The Respondents' alleged actions of blocking my access to lawyers directly impede my ability to seek timely legal remedies, including injunctions. This deliberate obstruction allows them more time to disseminate my private materials, making the need for immediate court intervention even more critical.

- **Legal Basis:** Interfering with a party's access to legal representation can be considered an attempt to obstruct justice, which is a serious matter for the courts. It highlights the Respondents' intent to evade accountability and continue their unlawful activities.

Again, on 2 May 2026 at approximately 14:04 pm, immediately upon adding the information above regarding **"Harassment by Publication,"** I heard a housemate exit the property and slam the main door shut. When I looked out the window, I observed Person C, an elderly male housemate residing in room 2, I think, exiting the property. When I looked up in the sky, I then observed the aircraft "drawing lines" in the sky again, forming a "target-like," an "X" or "No.10" symbol as usual. This is how they appear to use third parties, such as my housemates, to both convey surveillance (both physical via hidden cameras and digital via cyberstalking) and to act as messengers or decoys, to make me go look out the window and will then see the threatening symbol in the sky drawn by the aircraft.

🖼️ **Figure 8**



Caption: Photo of the aircraft in the act of drawing an "X" or "No.10" symbol in the sky right outside my window at approximately 14:04 pm on 2 May 2026.

EXHIBIT BW-17 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Photos of housemates and police officers who are believed to be acting in concert to harass and intimidate me once again.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 234-240

(The following pages are BW-17, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-17

WITNESS INTIMIDATION

12 April 2026

On Sunday, 12 April 2026 at approximately 13:56 pm, immediately after I added more information to my Witness Statement concerning the PM Keir Starmer, I observed Person C exiting the property and slamming the door shut forcefully. The time also concluded with the digit 6 once again, symbolically referring to the violation of my human right **Article 6 (right to a fair trial)** under the ECHR, which I reasonably believe Keir Starmer may be behind the blocking of my access to lawyers.

That's why I am also personally suing him for the obstruction of justice (Article 6 ECHR Violation), for the alleged “honey trap” operation as described in **paragraphs 15.5-15.10 (pages 75-79) and in Exhibit BW-02 (pages 143-147),** his alleged involvement in the illegal surveillance, secret filming, image-based sexual abuse, mass misuse of my private information, unjust enrichment, gross human rights violations, misfeasance in public office, misconduct in public office, and many more illegal acts, committed against me and my daughter.

On 4 June 2026, at approximately 21:37 pm, when I was just about to finish typing the previous paragraph regarding Keir Starmer and his alleged involvement in this, I heard someone suddenly approach the main door. When I looked out of the window, I observed a delivery man delivering takeaway to someone here. The delivery man also wore a hoodie in **army green** colour. His delivery bag was also in **orange** colour from JustEat, a company originally established in **Denmark**. My daughter and I are also from **Denmark**. This is how the Primary Respondents appear to use all kinds of subtle methods to let me know this is intentional.

Again, on the same day, at precisely **22:01 pm**, when I was just about to finish typing the previous paragraph, I observed a fire truck suddenly emerging and driving past my window. The time symbolically ended in the “No.01,” which can be read as the “**No.10**” in reverse as usual.

I have also taken some pictures of both the delivery man wearing a hoodie in army green colour and the fire truck as evidence. But I can't include them here for now. Otherwise, this injunction application bundle will be very long. If the court requests them, I can provide them later.

🖼️ **Figure 1**



Caption: Later on the same day, 12 April 2026, at approximately 14:46 pm, again, immediately after I continued to add more information to my Witness Statement concerning Keir Starmer, I observed Person B exiting the property and slamming the door shut forcefully, as seen in a red circle in the image above. The time also concluded with the digit 6, again. These two incidents further indicate that all my online activities are being illegally monitored at all times, and they want me to know it to exert control.

The door slamming shut continues daily. Particularly whenever I add crucial information and evidence to my Witness Statement and Exhibits, like or comment on social media posts concerning the negativity surrounding the PM Keir Starmer, or just to go online. The persistent door slamming, and sometimes very forcefully, has now caused me permanent ringing in my left ear. Because my room is very close to the main door / entrance. So, the impact is considerable. Hence, the Primary Respondents seem to know this from the hidden cameras and hidden audio recording devices, and then intentionally increase the door smacking.

I therefore seek a Norwich Pharmacal Order and an urgent injunction on the grounds that the Respondents are engaged in a dangerous, sophisticated and coordinated campaign of **witness intimidation** and **harassment**, which begins to affect my health significantly - particularly the constant ringing in my left ear. This campaign utilises **unlawful real-time surveillance** to coordinate physical intimidation—specifically, high-impact acoustic harassment and intimidation (door slamming, sometimes very forcefully!)—timed to coincide with my legal activities and freedom of speech.

Without an immediate order, I will suffer **irreparable harm** consisting of:

1. The permanent destruction of privacy and reputation through the ongoing, retaliatory dissemination of intimate materials.
2. Severe, cumulative physical and psychiatric injury resulting from a 'conspiracy to harm by unlawful means' designed to obstruct the course of justice.
3. The daily **loud door slamming** and **severe harassment** appear to be designed as a **slow kill**, which could eventually lead to a heart failure or cardiac arrest. As I added this information to this Exhibit on 4 April 2026, at precisely 8:01 am, I heard a heavy vehicle drive past my window. The time ended in the number “01” again, which can be read as No.10 in reverse, seemingly alluding to something or someone as always. This is how they appear to use a combination of symbolic numbers and hints of cyberstalking to intimidate me.
4. **The Threshold of Seriousness:** The Respondents’ conduct has systematically bypassed the threshold of civil annoyance and entered the realm of targeted psychological victimisation, designed to exhaust my mental faculties and deter the pursuit of justice.
5. **The Necessity of the Court’s Intervention:** Because the Respondents view themselves as "above the law" (as evidenced by their continued acts during pending proceedings, injunction application and even after the claim has been struck out), only a **Penal Notice** attached to an injunction—which carries the threat of imprisonment for contempt of court—will suffice to protect my and my daughter's safety.

Physical/Mental Integrity: Because the daily harassment and intimidation threaten my ability to function and pursue my legal rights. If the harassment causes a health collapse, I lose my "Right of Access to a Court" under Article 6 of the ECHR.

Article 2 – Right to Life

This relentless harassment is not limited to physical threats but takes the form of persistent psychological torture, including:

- Constant reminders via various means of hidden surveillance and death threats
- Symbolic intimidation
- Severe harassment
- Cyberstalking

These acts are calculated to maintain me in a permanent state of stress, anxiety, and hyper-vigilance. Medically established evidence demonstrates that prolonged exposure to such extreme stress can cause heart palpitations, cardiovascular collapse, stroke, or sudden death.

I therefore submit that the persistent and extreme nature of the Respondents' conduct, including but not limited to illegal surveillance, dissemination of private materials, cyberstalking, severe harassment and intimidation, creates a real and immediate risk to my physical and mental health, thereby engaging the substantive obligations under Article 2 of the European Convention on Human Rights (Right to Life). I contend that the cumulative and prolonged exposure to such severe psychological stress, as medically established, carries a foreseeable and preventable risk of serious adverse health outcomes, including but not limited to cardiovascular events, which could be life-threatening. The Respondents' actions, therefore, constitute a direct threat to my right to life, requiring urgent protective measures.

Psychological Torture and its Medical Consequences

The relentless harassment perpetrated by the Respondents extends beyond physical threats, manifesting as persistent psychological distress through continuous reminders of covert surveillance, symbolic intimidation, and relentless cyberstalking. This course of conduct has resulted in me experiencing a permanent state of heightened stress, anxiety, and hyper-vigilance. I aver that prolonged exposure to such extreme levels of psychological pressure is medically recognised to be a significant risk factor for severe physiological consequences, including but not limited to heart palpitations, cardiovascular collapse, stroke, and sudden death. This grave and foreseeable risk to my health and life underscores the urgent necessity of injunctive relief.

The State's Positive Obligation Under Article 2

Article 2 of the ECHR imposes a positive obligation on the State to take appropriate steps to safeguard the lives of those within its jurisdiction, particularly where there is a real and immediate risk to life. I submit that the ongoing and escalating nature of the Respondents' actions, including alleged involvement of law enforcement personnel and public officials, creates such a risk. Each successive act of harassment exacerbates the danger to my health and well-being, demonstrating a pattern of conduct that poses a clear and present threat to my life, thereby necessitating the immediate intervention of this Honourable Court.

Legal Liability and Reputational Risk

The gravity of these allegations, particularly concerning the alleged involvement of individuals within law enforcement agencies and public officials, carries significant legal liability and reputational implications for the Respondents. I assert that the continuation of these acts, if not immediately restrained, will result in irreparable harm and further exacerbate the breaches of fundamental human rights, including the right to life.


Given the immediacy and severity of this risk, an urgent injunction is necessary to prevent further irreparable harm and protect my fundamental right to life.

Therefore, monetary damages are inadequate as they cannot restore my health, my life, or the sanctity of my private data once disseminated.

---

15 April 2026

🖼️ **Figure 2**



Caption: On 15 April 2026**,** two police officers walked past my window at approximately **15:35 pm**, immediately after I came out of the bathroom, as seen in the screenshot above. I was in the bathroom cleaning the shower after taking a shower. This screenshot was taken from the video captured with my mobile phone camera placed by the window to record surveillance-like behaviour, which acted as my CCTV since I didn't have money to install CCTV.

🖼️ **Figure 3**



Caption: Screenshot of Person A, who also intimidated me on 4 April 2026. This screenshot was taken from the video recorded with my mobile phone placed by the window again before I went to the bathroom at approximately **15:38 pm** on 15 April 2026, 3 minutes after the two police officers walked past my window at **15:35 pm**, as seen in the previous image**.** Because immediately upon sitting down on the toilet to urinate, I heard someone exit the property and slam the main door shut with full force. When I ran out to investigate, I saw him standing right in front of my phone camera, threatening to report me to the landlord once again, claiming it's **disgusting** that I was filming people going in and out for nothing. He further added that he did nothing wrong and just came out of his flat. The use of the word "**disgusting**" also appears gaslighting or sarcastic by subverting the fact that it's **disgusting** for them to non-consensually film me 24/7, even when I defecate, and may even have distributed / live-streamed it to voyeuristic websites or dark web for profit. This is not the first time that they seem to project their own behaviour upon me as a form of coercive control and gaslighting. It's their known modus operandi.

This looks therefore like another witness intimidation. Particularly when this happens soon after I, this morning, posted a link on X.com to my blog where I wrote about my complaint about the conduct of the two police officers **PC Jonathan Miotti** and **PC Andrew Ngo** made on 20 January 2023, which was not upheld.

Also, this morning, prior to this incident, I also drafted a Cast List and included the PM Keir Starmer as the primary Defendant as well.

Loss of Livelihood: Consequently, the harassment, intimidation and blacklisting, including the mass dissemination of my **Private Materials and Information**, are interfering with my ability to work, form a relationship, socialise, or stay in my home. This may be their ultimate goal to isolate and destroy me in every way possible.

EXHIBIT BW-18 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Screenshot of another AI-generated content by Grok AI making references to hidden cameras and the non-consensually recordings of me, again.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 241-246

(The following pages are BW-18, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-18

FURTHER RELEASE

Wednesday, 15 April 2026

Also, on 15 April 2026, I revised my **Draft Order** to include the prohibition order that the Respondents must also not sexually abuse and exploit my daughter via secret filming, voyeurism and dissemination. Because I reasonably believe they are also targeting her.


On 30 April 2026 at approximately 10:35 am, soon after adding the above-mentioned paragraph into this Exhibit, I went to the bathroom. While using the bathroom, I would hear housemates exit/return to the property and **forcefully** slam the main door shut!

I also created an **Urgency Retaliation Chronology**, pages 31-38, which also included details of Ai-generated content by Grok xAi (owned by Elon Musk) believed to be generated from my Private Information and Intimate Materials, and added more Defendants to my Schedule of Defendants.

On 5 June 2026, at approximately 00:05 am, immediately upon reading the first paragraph regarding my daughter, who I reasonably believe the Respondents, particularly the UK-based Primary Respondents (The State Actors), have also subjected her to the same sexual abuse and exploitation via hidden cameras, I heard a car drive past my window. This is how the Respondents appear to start using vehicles and motorcycles a lot lately, particularly after my claim was struck out, to convey surveillance - both surveillance via hidden cameras and via cyberstalking. The time also symbolically ends in the number “05” again, which can be read as the number “50” in reverse. My claim number also ends in the number “50” (KB-2025-004650). This is how the Respondents also frequently use numbers as a form of secret communications and intimidation.

Thursday, 16 April 2026

🖼️ Figure 1



Caption: Screenshot of “Grok xAI” advertisement strategically placed at the top of my feed immediately upon logging into my X.com account at 11:21 am on 16 April 2026, which appears to reference, reflect, or incorporate materials relating to my private information and intimate materials unlawfully obtained via various means, including via hidden cameras. This specific ad seems to make references to the hidden camera in the bathroom as well, and how it captures me when I am habitually leaning forward to relax my lower back while using the bathroom. They appear to reflect back to me almost immediately. Because yesterday or two days ago, I went to the bathroom and sat exactly like this. Again, this is harassment by publication and intentional infliction of emotional distress by letting me know almost immediately that everything I do has been non-consensually recorded and disseminated or live-streamed in real time. This offensive ad on X also appeared the day after I had added a prohibitory order in my Draft Order that “the Respondents must also not sexually abuse and exploit my daughter via secret filming, voyeurism and dissemination,” as I also said earlier at the beginning of this Exhibit.

On 7 June 2026, at approximately **22:51 pm**, immediately after typing at the end of the previous paragraph that “This offensive ad on X also appeared the day after …,” I heard someone smack

something shut nearby again. This occurs almost every time I raise concerns about my daughter that the Respondents, particularly the State Actor Respondents, may have subjected my daughter to the exact same sexual abuse and exploitation via hidden cameras. The time also ended in the number "**51**" again, as if to symbolically allude to the number "**15**" as usual, when it can also be read as the number "**15**" in reverse, seemingly referring to something or an entity again.

But I hadn't even finished typing yet. I had just typed the first half of it "This offensive ad on X also appeared the day after …." But whoever is tasked with hacking my device seems to have guessed in advance what I was about to write about. Maybe this person had already read at the beginning of this **Exhibit, p.242,** where I already wrote: "Also, on 15 April 2026, I revised my Draft Order to include the prohibition order that the Respondents must also not sexually abuse and exploit my daughter via secret filming, voyeurism and dissemination."

This is what the Respondents, particularly the State Actor Respondents, seem to be doing by disseminating my intimate materials almost immediately as retaliation after I have raised concerns about my daughter or try to protect her, such as when I also add a prohibitory order in my Draft Order yesterday, to prohibit the Respondents not to sexually abuse and exploit my daughter via hidden cameras as well.

Perhaps, that's why Keir Starmer allegedly blocks my access to lawyers - not because he doesn't want to pay for compensation - but it may be because he and his Co-respondents, particularly the police and possibly other Unknown Public Official Respondents as well, want to keep torturing, sexually abusing and exploiting me and my daughter via hidden cameras to no end, amounting to an obsession and/or a determination to destroy us and won't stop until they succeed. Hence, they appear to obstruct justice in every way possible to allow themselves time to accomplish their mission. This is severe inhuman or degrading treatment, violating both our human rights Articles 3 and 8 ECHR, violence against women, misfeasance in public office, a double hate crime and possibly crimes against humanity as well. Particularly, when they have been sexually abusing and exploiting me via hidden cameras for more than a decade and still continue to do so despite knowing I am taking legal action against them.

On 5 June 2026, at approximately **00:32 am**, when I was just about to finish editing the previous paragraph, I heard someone begin to make some noise near my room again. I can't talk about Keir Starmer, the police and/or other Unknown Public Official Respondents, they would immediately give me some signs that they're reading everything I type in real-time via cyberstalking / hacking to exert coercive control, censorship, or to intimidate. The time also ended in the number "**32**" again, which can also be read as the number "**23**" in reverse, as if to symbolically refer to the year I made a police complaint about the two police officers, PC Jonathan Miotti and PC Andrew Ngo, which was in January **2023**. Because this specific number has also been used consistently in the harassment campaign against me

The caption "**into videos**" also appears to allude to the illegal recordings of me taken with the hidden cameras. This is how the Respondents appear to use cryptic messages or language as

their secret communications: What they are doing to me, and possibly to my daughter as well, to cause severe distress.

It's also a form of **sexual harassment / harassment by proxy / malicious misuse of private information / harassment by publication.**

This is unlawful retaliation, victimisation, harassment and intentional infliction of emotional distress - on top of the illegal surveillance, secret filming, misuse of private information, unjust enrichment and other related illegal acts.

Therefore, I aver that the unlawful dissemination of my private materials extends beyond direct sharing by the Respondents. I have observed repeated instances where my private materials and information appear to have been unlawfully used for the creation of advertisements, films, and AI-generated content, for instance, specifically on platforms associated with Respondent Elon Musk, including through the use of Grok AI. This suggests a broader pattern of exploitation and dissemination of my highly sensitive data, potentially facilitated by the UK Respondents who illegally filmed me over many years and disseminated the illegal recordings widely.

The fact that my private materials might be used to train or generate AI content amplifies the severity of the harm. It implies a perpetual and potentially uncontrollable form of exploitation, where my private information and images can be manipulated and disseminated endlessly without my consent. This goes beyond static image sharing and represents a new frontier of digital abuse.

The involvement of advanced AI technology and a global platform significantly increases the scale of potential harm and the difficulty of remediation, reflecting the unprecedented nature of the exploitation and the potential for long-term, widespread damage to my reputation and privacy.

This introduces a modern and particularly egregious form of exploitation and harassment, demonstrating the Respondents' willingness to use cutting-edge technology to perpetuate and amplify their abuse - further justifying a high compensation and interim payment demands and why an injunction should be granted.

This exhibit, among many other exhibits, is therefore relied upon in support of my allegations of, for example, illegal surveillance, secret filming, voyeurism, misuse of private information, unlawful processing of personal data, dissemination of private materials without consent, harassment, unjust enrichment and possibly copyright infringement as well, including severe human rights abuses particularly Articles 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14 ECHR and a conspiracy to injure & exploit by unlawful means.

Demonstrating a Pattern of Exploitation: The core of my claim is the illegal filming and dissemination of my private materials. The alleged use of my materials to generate Grok AI content, especially when linked to a Respondent (Elon Musk) and his associated platforms, provides compelling evidence of the *extent* and *nature* of the dissemination. It suggests that the initial illegal filming by the UK Respondents may have led to a much wider, technologically

advanced form of exploitation, potentially facilitated by their actions. This strengthens the argument that the UK Respondents' actions have far-reaching and severe consequences beyond direct human sharing.

Later, at approximately **21:01 pm**, immediately after consulting chatgpt about Elon Musk and the Ai-generated content by his Grok Ai that appears to incorporate my Private Materials and Information, I heard a housemate return to the property and slam the main door shut. The time symbolically ended in the number "01" again, which can also be read as the "**No.10" in reverse.**

This is another compelling circumstantial evidence that all my online activities are being constantly monitored to control and intimidate me. Because whenever I add sensitive or vital information to my legal documents or consult chatgpt about important aspects of this case, some individuals would suddenly begin to converse loudly nearby, or a housemate would suddenly exit/return to the property and slam the main door shut when the time often ends in the "No.01" or "No.10" or sometimes "50" (my case number also ends in the number "50"), and sometimes also numbers 15 and 51. This is how they also appear to use numbers to intimidate me as well as alluding to something or someone / entity, as one of their means of secret communications.

The same with numbers 2, 3, 4, 6, 8 and 13 that also seem to be used by the Respondents quite often to symbolically refer to the alleged attempts or violations of my human rights Articles 2, 3, 4, 6, 8 and 13 of the ECHR. It's one of their ways, again, to always remind me of their violations of my human rights, as a form of psychological torture and mental abuse.

Just like how they also seem to harass me and repeatedly remind me of the invasion of my privacy through films and advertisements by disseminating or selling my private materials and information to third parties, who then produce films or advertisements incorporating my Private Materials and Information. So, everywhere I go or visit online, I will see how my privacy has severely been violated across all platforms. It's a new form of profound and pervasive psychological torture, mental abuse and harassment by publication, which the Respondents appear to utilise to a great extent, and even escalate it day by day as I work on this case alone without the help of a lawyer. Thus, they appear to take advantage of my lack of legal representation to allow themselves to continue the abuse and exploitation under the radar and even intensify it.

Thus, the Respondents have collectively engaged in a severe and coordinated campaign of digital harassment against me. This conduct directly mirrors the **Common Tactics of Digital Harassment** formally recognised by the United Nations Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR). Specifically, the Respondents have deployed a combination of **cyberstalking, social media threats, and the weaponisation of doxxing materials**. The OHCHR recognises that these combined tactics are intentionally designed to intimidate, isolate, and inflict severe psychological distress. Because these actions constitute a continuous breach of my fundamental rights to privacy and personal security, an immediate injunction is critically necessary to prevent ongoing and irreparable harm.

EXHIBIT BW-19 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: A screenshot of a WhatsApp message received from the Estate Agent, a photo of an "X" symbol being drawn in the sky by the aircraft, which may suggest further intimidation, and some more screenshots that appear to make references to the hidden cameras, illegal surveillance and the dissemination of my private materials again.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 247-255


(The following pages are BW-19, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-19

EVIDENCE OF THIRD-PARTY HARASSMENT VIA ESTATE AGENT

17 April 2026

🖼️ Figure 1



Caption: As I continued to actively add more information to the previous Exhibits regarding Ai-generated content by Grok and Elon Musk, at 12:11 pm on 17 April 2026, immediately after I **passed gas**, I received a WhatsApp message from my estate agent, **Bridgeway Estates Ltd.** (D171, Schedule of Defendants, p.43), warning me not to record people going in and out. This is how they also convey the message that the hidden cameras also record audio when I received the WhatsApp message immediately after passing gas. Because this is not the first time that I have received hints that audio surveillance is also operating, as described in **paragraphs 26.1-26.10, pages 99-102.**

Therefore, I further aver that since the court stayed the claim on or around 19 December 2025, the harassment has expanded to my housing security. My estate agent **Bridgeway Estates Ltd** engaged in threatening communications. I believe this is a coordinated attempt by the Respondents to exert 'all-angles' pressure on me, specifically designed to cause serious distress and force me to abandon these legal proceedings.

This is how the Respondents - possibly the police or Keir Starmer, or even Elon Musk, or all of them - appear to use third parties as tools for conveying surveillance, harassment and intimidation at once. Because this is not an isolated incident. This tactic has been used repeatedly in the past to both convey surveillance and intimidation. They frequently use this intimidating technique whenever I am on the verge of filing an injunction application, initiating court proceedings, adding more crucial information and evidence to my injunction application, and similar. It's one of their favourite ways to intimidate, exert control and pressure, to cause me so much distress and fear so I may be unable to effectively and safely prepare or pursue my case.

This may constitute **witness intimidation**, amounting to a **conspiracy to pervert the course of justice** and **an abuse of process**.

🖼️ Figure 2




Caption: About 3 minutes later after receiving the WhatsApp text message from the estate agent, **Bridgeway Estates Ltd,** at approximately 12:14 pm, I heard several housemates going in and out of the property and slam the main door shut with full force as if to both intimidate me and to make me look out of the window as always. When I did look out of the window, I observed several “X" (presumably referring to a “No.10” symbol or an X.com’s logo owned by Elon Musk) or “target-like” marks drawn in the sky by the aircraft near my residence once again.

Consequently, the intimidation appears to escalate after I have added more and more vital information and evidence to my Exhibits about possible death threats against my daughter from Elon Musk, Ai-generated content by Grok and the offensive advertisements on X, including liking and commenting on some posts on X.com regarding the negativity surrounding Keir Starmer.

At approximately **12:36 pm**, immediately upon adding the above-mentioned incident regarding **housemates slamming the main door shut**, I observed Person B exiting the property and slamming the main door shut. This suggests once again that all my devices, online activities and communications, are being constantly monitored, and they want me to know it by repeating the exact same act I have just mentioned as a form of intimidation and control.

The time also symbolically concludes with the digits 3 and 6 again, to symbolically refer to the violations of my human rights Articles 3 and 6 under the ECHR as usual.

🖼️ Figure 3




Caption: At approximately 13:32 pm, immediately after I went to the bathroom, I received a push notification from Deliveroo. It does this quite often, so much that I have to turn off the push notifications from all apps. Because this is also one of the methods the Primary Respondents appear to use daily to convey surveillance through third parties - either knowingly or unknowingly - to make me feel uncomfortable around the clock, knowing that I am being constantly watched via hidden cameras as a form of modern-day torture.

🖼️ Figure 4



Caption: Screenshot of my broken phone, which I threw on my bed about a few months ago and it bounced back onto the floor and broke. Because I was angry and frustrated due to receiving constant hints that I am being watched, recorded and live-streamed via the hidden cameras 24/7. I can't get any help from any lawyer either when my access to legal representation also appears to be blocked, which has increased my frustration even more.

🖼️ Figure 5



Caption: At 16:41 pm on 17 April 2026, immediately upon logging into my X.com account. I observed an ad near the top of my feed featuring two cats. One was throwing the mobile phone on the bed, just as I did, as seen in the previous image, causing the phone to break.


This appears to be an immediate retaliation by further disseminating my private materials non-consensually filmed with the hidden cameras after I added more evidence and information about Grok Ai-generated content, including about Keir Starmer.

🖼️ Figure 6



Caption: Later, after adding the cat incident advertisement in the previous image, which I observed on X platform, at approximately 17:57 pm, I observed another “X” symbol, looking more like the logo of the platform “X” owned by Elon Musk, with one thin line and one thick line crossing each other.

🖼️ Figure 7



Caption: On 27 December 2025, I also observed a similar “X” symbol looking like the logo of the platform X owned by Elon Musk. This happened almost immediately after I added crucial information and evidence to this injunction application bundle concerning Elon Musk and Grok Ai-generated content.

Figure 8


 **Caption:** A closeup of the “X” logo or symbol, as mentioned in the previous image.	 **Caption:** The “X” logo or symbol in the picture on the left looks very much like the “X” logo of the X Corp. Corporation.

EXHIBIT BW-20 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Photos and screenshots of distressing events happened on 18 April 2026 concerning helicopter harassment once again, relied upon in support of my allegations of illegal surveillance, hacking, intimidation, harassment, and sexual abuse & sexual harassment via voyeurism.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 256-261

(The following pages are BW-20, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-20

FURTHER WITNESS INTIMIDATION BY A HELICOPTER

18 April 2026

🖼️ Figure 1



Caption: At approximately 15:08 pm on 18 April 2026, immediately after I briefly scratched my private parts, **Person E,** residing in room 3, was observed exiting the property, as seen in the image above. The time also concluded with the digit “8” again, to symbolically refer to the violation of my human right article 8 (right to privacy) under the ECHR as usual.

This further indicates that the Primary Respondents are monitoring me 24/7; every move I make, big or small, is being observed and recorded. They then reflect this back to me once in a while to taunt me and, perhaps, even achieve some kind of enjoyment as a form of entertainment from sexually humiliating and psychologically torturing me daily!

Additionally, this further suggests that they may project the footage onto a big screen to be able to watch my every move closely and to precision. Because even this type of slight and brief

movement or activity is being echoed back to me within seconds. It's like they have their people working full time to watch me daily and then coordinate the hints of surveillance and harassment immediately. My housemates then seem to be on constant standby waiting for instructions. Thus, my housemates may get paid for it as if it's their full-time job to act as messengers - whether they know the real purpose of it or not.

On 5 June 2026, at approximately 12:18 pm, immediately upon editing the previous paragraph, I heard someone begin to make some noise just briefly. This is again how they also convey cyberstalking and intimidation immediately after I have typed in crucial information into this document. The time also ended in the number 8 again, as if to symbolically refer to the violation of my human right article 8 (right to privacy) of the ECHR as usual.

On the same day, within seconds of me typing the previous paragraph, at precisely 12:22 pm, I heard someone slam something shut near my room, which could be from a microwave or a door. Shortly after, someone also exited the property and slammed the main door shut, which could be the same person. When I immediately looked out the window, the individual was nowhere to be seen as usual.

🖼️ Figure 2



Caption: At approximately 15:55 pm, within minutes of me typing about "**they may project the footage onto a big screen to be able to watch my every move closely and to precision**" in the previous image**,** I heard a helicopter flying low near my residence, as seen in the image above. It's been flying in a circle near my residence within my line of sight for a prolonged period.

🖼️ Figure 3




Caption: Before disappearing, the helicopter made a turn and flew right toward my window once more as if to make me feel intimidated. I reasonably believe this was a targeted act of intimidation and surveillance, consistent with previous incidents where aircraft and helicopters have been consistently used to harass and intimidate me. The helicopter's prolonged presence appeared intended to make me feel monitored and powerless. I suspect they may have also been photographing or filming me from above. Their coordinated and persistent appearance is a direct response to my actions, confirming that the Primary Respondents and/or their associates are actively monitoring my online activities in real time.

Digital Surveillance and Hacking

The harassment intensifies whenever I work on legal documents or collect evidence. I therefore reasonably believe that my devices are hacked and monitored to identify when I am working on sensitive or strategic documents. This information appears to be used to time harassment and intimidation events, maximise psychological harm, and prepare a defence strategy to unfairly advantage the Respondents.

On 4 April 2026, at approximately 9:44 am, immediately after I had typed about the helicopter, I heard someone exit the property and slam the main door shut.

🖼️ Figure 4



Caption: Later, on 18 April 2026 At approximately 16:23 pm, immediately after I took a screenshot of the X post above, I heard an individual exit the property and slam the main door shut with full force!

EXHIBIT BW-21 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Screenshots of X posts regarding calls on Keir Starmer to resign, which I had liked and reposted on the morning of Sunday 19 April 2026. Within hours, I observed a distressing X post concerning the alleged dissemination of my **Private Materials** once again, relied upon in support of my allegations of misuse of private information, image-based sexual abuse (IBSA), harassment and retaliation.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 262-267

(The following pages are BW-21, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-21

SUBSEQUENT DISSEMINATION

19 April 2026

🖼️ Figure 1



Caption: Screenshots of some of the X posts regarding calls on Keir Starmer to resign, which I had liked this morning on 19 April 2026.

On 5 June 2026, at approximately 12:37 pm, immediately upon reading the above-mentioned paragraph to see if it needed editing, I observed Person F exiting the property and slamming the main door shut. He also wore a **red** hoodie today. **Red** colour, among **navy blue and green**, for instance, is also one of the main colour signifiers often used in the harassment campaign against me.

🖼️ Figure 2



Caption: At approximately **15:31 pm**, immediately upon spreading the Nutella onto the bread, I observed a police vehicle emerging and driving past my window.

🖼️ Figure 3



Caption: About 10 minutes later on the same day, 19 April 2026, at approximately 15:42 pm**,** immediately upon logging in to my X account, I observed a post near the top of my feed posted by a user called **HJB News, also a Respondent / Defendant (PU6, Schedule of Defendants, p.48),** as seen in the screenshot above, which appeared to contain material derived from or reflecting my **Private Materials** once again**.** The post featured a naked man lying in bed with a picture of Keir Starmer's face on it looking like he's masturbating and falling asleep afterwards, seemingly alluding to my own sexual acts non-consensually filmed with the hidden cameras over the years, while I was living at my Putney flat (Selhurst Close, Putney, London, SW19 6AZ - from between 2013-2024). This particular image is too precise, reflecting everything to perfection, which they could only have known by non-consensually observing and recording me via hidden cameras.

As if to add a finishing touch, the number “18” that conceals his private parts also appears to symbolically refer to the violations of my **Human Rights Article 8 (right to privacy) and Article 18 (prohibition of misuse of power) of the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR)**. Because the numbers “8” and “18” have been used consistently in the harassment campaign against me whenever they want to allude to these exact human rights violations.

On 7 May 2026, at precisely **22:18 pm, the end of the time referring to Articles 8 and 18 again,** as I was continuing adding more information to this Exhibit, particularly regarding number 8 and its allusion to **Article 8 violation**, I immediately heard a helicopter flying low past my residence. Shortly after, at approximately 22:44 pm, I heard someone return to the property and slam the main door shut.

Here's the link to the post: https://x.com/i/status/2045814590466896025

Here's the link to his X account https://x.com/HJB_News__

When I clicked on his linktree on his X profile, it led to or redirected to several pornographic websites. One of them was this link https://qp-dt-lwx.com/j/a68c96a0?tds_ac_id=

After I had added the information about the linktree redirecting to porn sites, as mentioned above, when I later clicked on his linktree again, it linked to normal sites. This is how the Respondents, particularly the Primary Respondents, appear to illegally monitor all my legal strategies via hacking 24/7 and then immediately prepare their defence or conceal evidence.

On 5 June 2026, at approximately 12:58 pm, immediately after finishing typing the previous paragraph, I observed Eddy exiting the property and slamming the main door shut. The time symbolically ended in the digit 8 again, apparently referring to the violation of my human right article 8 (right to privacy) of the ECHR once again.

Again, at precisely 13:10 pm, immediately upon typing the previous paragraph, I heard someone suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut. As usual, when I looked out of the window, the individual quickly disappeared. The time symbolically ended in the “No.10” again.

Ongoing Dissemination of Private Materials:

I therefore reasonably believe that the Respondents may have uploaded/sold my images and videos unlawfully filmed with the hidden cameras to those pornographic websites as well, including redtube.com, as I said earlier, and this is their another hint. Additionally, by relentlessly using these non-consensually recordings to persistently harass me, suggesting that their aim is also to cause me shock, distress and humiliation.


This further suggests that the Respondents are illegally monitoring all my online activities and retaliating immediately by disseminating my **Private Materials** each time I talk about Keir Starmer and his alleged involvement in the abuse and exploitation of me and my daughter, like some social media posts about the negativity surrounding him, especially the calls on his resignation, add more defendants to my claim, or on the verge of filing my injunction application.

I therefore reasonably believe that Keir Starmer may also be in a huge possession of all my intimate materials, most of them non-consensually taken with the hidden cameras over the

years and the illegal filming via hidden cameras continues unabated. Even after knowing I am preparing to apply for an injunction to stop precisely this.

The knowledge that my private materials have been illegally disseminated "all over the place" and the uncertainty of where else they might appear causes extreme mental anguish. This is an ongoing harm that continues to affect my daily life and sense of security.

🖼️ Figure 4



Caption: The linktree on **HJB News'** account appeared to be linking or redirecting to various adult sites, as I said earlier. The screenshot above shows just one of them. I therefore reasonably believe that the Respondents may have leaked/uploaded/live-streamed my intimate images and videos non-consensually filmed with the hidden cameras over the years to these pornographic sites as well, including RedTube.com.

EXHIBIT BW-22 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Screenshots of the alleged hacking and the letter from the court, notifying me that my claim has been struck out.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 268-285


(The following pages are BW-22, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-22

HACKING / UNLAWFUL INFORMATION GATHERING / MISUSE OF PRIVATE INFORMATION / STRIKE-OUT OF THE CLAIM

Thursday, 23 April 2026

🖼️ Figure 1



Caption: Screenshot of my Draft Order document that I edited yesterday on 22 April 2026, containing an application for Anonymity Order and the words "**identifies**" and "**identify**."

🖼️ Figure 2



Caption: Screenshot of an ad on X strategically placed near the top of my feed again, as if to make sure I would see it as soon as I logged in at approximately 1:30 am on 23 April 2026. As usual, the ad was laced with references to my private information, intimate materials and even my legal strategies, to let me know it's intentional, for example:

- The first half of this user's handle is "**Irish**," which seems to refer to an Irish man's name, whose name I privately uttered several times during intimate moments, which were believed to have been non-consensually filmed with the hidden cameras between 2023-2024, while I was living at my previous address in Putney (Selhurst Close, Putney, London, SW19 6AZ). This particular allusion regarding the word "Irish" appears to be referring to the period between 2023-2024, when I began to utter this Irish man's name a lot while I was in my most intimate states - unaware that everything had been non-consensually filmed, with audio as well. Hence, they appear to know whose names I have uttered, who these individuals are, etc.

- “**E-book”** and “**only €1**” appear to refer to the conversation I had with the staff at Uxbridge Jobcentre (D164, Schedule of Defendants, p.42) on 21 April 2026, when I had my appointment there with my work coach **Olamide**. We talked about my upcoming “**e-book**,” in which I said I wanted to sell it for “**only £1”** to begin with.

- The words “**identity**” and “**identifies**” then also appear to allude to my **Draft Order** containing the words “**identifies**” and “**identify,**” as described in Figure 1, p.295. The word “**identity**” in the ad above could also refer to the unauthorised use of **my identity** in advertisements, films, books, and so forth.

- But both the words “**identity**” and “**identifies**” could also act as an intimidation/blackmail/psychological abuse that the Respondents may seek to make sure that my identity can be identified. All in all, this is how they appear to use language as their weapon as well to cause me distress as a form of mental abuse and psychological torture / psychological warfare. Particularly when they're doing this repeatedly on all platforms.

This is just one of the many examples of how they may have unlawfully obtained my information via various means, such as via hacking and via hidden cameras, for malicious purposes such as for harassment, for profit by selling it further to commercial entities as well (e.g., corporations or advertisement agencies, film production companies, tech platforms).

Thus, the example above is another clear indication of a possible conspiracy to injure & exploit by unlawful means in which whatever I say to the staff at the Uxbridge Jobcentre, or just anywhere for that matter, appears to be passed onto the Respondents, particularly Keir Starmer and the police, who then use this unlawfully obtained information to harass me and to disseminate it further. This may constitute a systemic violation of my privacy (Article 8 ECHR), and they want me to know it, to cause distress and humiliation crossing the threshold of seriousness. Especially, when it has been going on for years.

They just escalated it as soon as I began to find out in late 2024, **as explained in Exhibit BW-03 (pages 149-151)** regarding the alleged misuse of my private information, the sexual abuse via voyeurism, the dissemination of my non-consensually recordings, my subsequent initiation of court proceedings, and my preparation for an urgent injunction application. Therefore, this may be unlawful retaliation and victimisation for exposing their alleged misconduct & corruption and to assert my rights.

I therefore aver that the Respondents have engaged in a sophisticated and pervasive pattern of real-time surveillance, whereby private, offline communications are systematically intercepted and 'echoed' back to me via online platforms and physical displays to cause psychological distress and intimidation. Another example is on 29 January 2026 when I walked into an Accessorize shop and gave the staff member my CV. She then suggested that I should go to another branch located at 'Canary Wharf’ because she’d heard they're hiring at the moment. This private oral recommendation regarding employment at 'Canary Wharf' was then

immediately reflected in a targeted post on my Tumblr feed; similarly, a private inquiry regarding 'Liverpool Street' taking place in Earl's Court Station was followed by the strategic placement of a vehicle bearing that specific identifier in my immediate vicinity.

An additional example is also on 29 January 2026, in which I experienced another coordinated act of digital-to-physical surveillance and harassment. After searching for directions to 'Prontaprint Uxbridge' on a private mobile device at 13:17 pm, I arrived to find a **police vehicle** pre-positioned directly in front of the premises. This sequence of events strongly indicates unauthorised, real-time monitoring of my online activities by the Primary Respondents to facilitate physical intimidation. Furthermore, I allege collusion between the police and the shop's staff, evidenced by the staff member's arrival immediately following the police presence and a prior history of insulting, sexually suggestive email communications from the shop. This incident demonstrates a specific instance of the Primary Respondents' ongoing campaign of victimisation and 'intercept' harassment. See images of the police car below that had already been parking in front of the 'Prontaprint Uxbridge' printing shop upon my arrival on 29 January 2026:

🖼️ Figure 3



Caption: This was the police car that I immediately saw upon my arrival at the 'Prontaprint Uxbridge' printing shop on 29 January 2026 at approximately 13:17 pm.

🖼️ Figure 4



Caption: A close-up of the police car that I saw upon my arrival at the 'Prontaprint Uxbridge' printing shop on Thursday, 29 January 2026. The number plate also contains the number “23” seemingly alluding to when I made a formal complaint about the two police officers, which were PC Jonathan Miotti and PC Andrew Ngo, in January 2023.

🖼️ Figure 5




Caption: A little later, immediately after I left the shop, the police also drove away.

I therefore further contend that the Police Respondent have committed Misfeasance in Public Office by allegedly misrepresenting my legal status to third parties (including potential employers and members of the public), to coerce the disclosure of my private information for exploitation and to facilitate a 'blacklisting' campaign. This conduct constitutes a severe breach of **the Protection from Harassment Act 1997**, **the Misuse of Private Information, the Defamation Act 2013 and the Employment Relations Act 1999 (Blacklists) Regulations 2010**, as it serves no legitimate law enforcement purpose and is calculated to isolate me from employment and legal support.

At 11:26 am on 23 April 2023, immediately after I finished typing the phrase "**Misuse of Private Information**" in the previous paragraph, because I edited this part of this Exhibit today as well, I heard someone exit the property and slam the main door shut again. When I quickly ran to the window to investigate, the individual was nowhere to be seen. This is how they appear to tell the housemates or the individuals to avoid being detected, most of the time, by instructing them to immediately turn left after exiting the property, so that I could not see them. Because my room is on the right side of the property. So, if they immediately turn left after exiting the property, I will not be able to see them just by trying to peer out of the window. Unless, I open the window and pop my head out to look. But this window can't be opened.

Following the submission of my final settlement offer letters to certain Defendants, particularly to the police and Facebook UK, I have experienced a significant and alarming escalation of harassment, intimidation and rapid dissemination of my **Private Materials**. This has manifested as intensified surveillance, including frequent police drive-bys outside my residence, overt instances of being followed by police on foot and in cars, and their conspicuous presence in almost every public place I visit. Notably, on numerous occasions, immediately upon exiting my accommodation, police vehicles have suddenly appeared and driven past me, creating a deliberate and palpable sense of being watched and targeted. These actions constitute clear retaliatory behaviour and an abuse of power, designed to psychologically coerce and intimidate me into abandoning my legal claims, further violating my right to privacy and freedom from harassment.

🖼️ Figure 6



Caption: On 4 May 2026, at 11:15 am, immediately after finishing editing the previous image with a description about frequent police drive-bys outside my residence, I heard the sirens nearby. When I looked out the window, I observed a police car driving past my window as seen in the photo above. It's from a screenshot of a video that I just took of the police car. They shortly made a u-turn and turned on the sirens briefly at the precise moment I sat back down in my bed before vanishing.


This is another compelling, circumstantial evidence of hacking / cyberstalking, when the police appear to respond to what I type in real time. Again, this may constitute litigation misconduct, witness intimidation and perverting the course of justice.

🖼️ Figure 7



Caption: Screenshot of the time I last edited this document, which was at 11:14 am on 4 April 2026, just a minute before the police car emerged and drove past my window at 11:15 am.

🖼️ Figure 8




Caption: The sudden increase in police drive-bys outside my residence could also stem from what I wrote yesterday in my Schedule of Defendants, in which I had added the names of **PC Jonathan Miotti** and **PC Andrew Ngo** as Respondents as well, as seen in the screenshot above. It's like this almost every time I mention these two police officers, particularly PC Jonathan Miotti, I will immediately experience swift escalation in harassment and intimidation.

🖼️ Figure 9



Caption: Screenshot showed when I last edited my Schedule of Defendants yesterday, 3 May 2026, in which **PC Jonathan Miotti** and **PC Andrew Ngo** had been added as Respondents as well.

🖼️ Figure 10



Caption: At 9:40 am on 23 April 2026, I received an email from HM Courts & Tribunals Service via CE-filing that Documents have been distributed, which turned out to be the Order of Master Dagnall notifying me that my claim had been struck out on 2 April 2026.

Figure 11

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE
KING'S BENCH DIVISION

Claim No. KB-2025-004650

Before Master Dagnall

HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE
23 Apr 2026
QUEEN'S BENCH DIVISION
KB-2025-004650

BETWEEN

Buppha Wittaya-Amponpunt — **Claimant**

- and -

1. The Commissioner of Police of the Metropolis
2. University of West London — **Defendants**
3. Mark Zuckerburg

4. Meta Platforms,Inc
5. Elon Musk
6. X Corp
7. TikTok Information Technologies UK Limited
8. Alistair Stefan Law
9. Adam Michael Presser
10. Persons Unknown

ORDER

UPON the Claimant having issued a Claim Form on 17 December 2025

Caption: Screenshot of The Order of Master Dagnall to inform me that my claim had been struck out on 2 April 2026.

Figure 12

AND UPON the Claim Form and Particulars of Claim having been referred by the Court Officer to the Master pursuant to Civil Procedure Rules ("CPR") Practice Direction 3A paragraph 2 and which provides for the Master to be able to stay the claim or make other orders in accordance with the CPR and the overriding objective in CPR1.1

AND UPON CPR3.4(2) providing that the Court may strike out any part or all of a statement of case on the grounds that (a) it does not disclose reasonable grounds for bringing the claim or (b) is an abuse of the court's process [and which is likely to be the case if the claim is clearly bound to fail] or otherwise likely to obstruct the just disposal of the proceedings or (c) there has been a failure to comply with a rule, practice direction or order

AND UPON the Court having considered the Claim Form and associated Particulars of Claim And made an Order dated 19 December 2025 ("the December Order") staying the Claim and requiring by 31 March 2026 (and in default of which the Claim would be automatically struck-out) the Claimant to file at court:

"a. their replacement proposed Particulars of Claim, and which have numbered paragraphs, and in which:

i. They provide a concise statement of the facts upon which they rely; and so as to include a chronological history of the key events, and which events must be clearly specified (including by reference to date, time and place)

ii. They comply with the provisions of the CPR and the PDs and the general law set out above

iii. They set out in relation to each of their Claims separately against each Defendant separately:

1. What duty or obligation is said to have arisen upon the Defendant, and the facts giving rise to such duty or obligation

2. How the duty or obligation is said to have been breached by the Defendant, or the Defendant is said to have committed the relevant wrong, setting out what the Defendant is alleged to have done or failed to do. That must be specific identifying in relation to each specific event relied upon precisely what the relevant Defendant did or failed to do which was wrong

3. What loss or damage the Claimant is said to have suffered as a result

4. How such loss or damage is said to have been caused by the alleged breach of duty or of obligation or wrong

5. What amount of damages is claimed and how it has been calculated

Figure 13

b.In relation to any of the Defendants who are located outside the jurisdiction of the Court, either a statement setting out the Claimant's reasons as to why permission to serve out of the jurisdiction is not required Or an application (with appropriate witness evidence in support) which complies with CPR6.37 for permission to serve out of the jurisdiction

c.A witness statement setting out their key evidence as to each event which they contend involved a wrong by a Defendant, identifying the relevant date, time, place and Defendant and why they say that the Defendant then engaged in the relevant wrongful conduct and what evidence is relied upon in support of such contentions

d.A report(s) from a medical practitioner(s) setting out their expert opinion of the Claimant's present condition (including mental condition), what personal injury (including injury to mental health) the Claimant has suffered, and how, from the asserted wrongs, and providing a litigation capacity assessment

AND UPON the Claimant having filed:

(1)A proposed Amended Claim Form adding some 163 proposed additional defendants But where no amendment has yet taken place as the Claim is presently stayed And where the Court considers that such would vastly overcomplicate and render unmanageable the Claim

(2)Proposed replacement Particulars of Claim (with a Schedule of Loss) But which, except in relation to the Second Defendant (against whom covert filming is alleged but without any particulars of places or times) and, possibly, the First Defendant (against whom it is said that police officers did not make reports or investigations sought by the Claimant but without any particularised basis as to why they would have been under an actionable duty to do so) do not make any particularised claims (apart from very general allegations) against any specific Defendant And which further seek to claim 10 billion pounds

(3)A Witness Statement but which does not provide any sufficient evidence of any event which would or could involve a wrong on the part of any Defendant whether as required by the December Order or at all. Although allegations are made of covert filming, no specific dates, times or places are identified, and no evidence is adduced as to any such covert filming having occurred

AND UPON the Claimant not having filed any report of a medical practitioner whether as required by the December Order or at all

AND UPON the Court concluding that reasonable grounds are not stated for bringing any Claim And that the Claim is an abuse of process And that there has been non-compliance with the CPR and with the December Order

Figure 14

AND UPON the Claimant being a litigant-in-person and CPR3.1A requiring the Court to consider that in making its case management orders

AND UPON the Claimant asserting that they are, and seemingly being, vulnerable and the Court having regard to that and the overriding objective in CPR1.1 and CPR1.6 and PD 1A and further having regarding to CPR Part 21 regarding the need for the Claimant to have litigation capacity


AND UPON the Defendants not having yet been served with the Claim Form and not having had notice of the matters above or an opportunity to be heard

IT IS ORDERED THAT (and of the court's own initiative and without a hearing):

1. The Claim shallbeand is struck-out
2. The Claim is declared to be and have been totally without merit.
3. Any party may apply to have this order set aside or varied within 14 days of service of it upon them.

FURTHER REASONS


1. These reasons are in addition to what is set out above.
2. The Amended Particulars of Claim do not comply with the December Order and are completely vague. At present they appear to have the status of a mere conspiracy theory without any proper factual basis or proper evidence.
3. The main allegation in the Amended Particulars of Claim, and in the Witness Statement, is covert filming (at least by the Second Defendant) but no evidence is given of such at all. The are no identified dates, times or places. There is no evidence of any camera (hidden or otherwise) or as to why the existence of such should be inferred by the Court. Serious allegations of this nature require some evidential basis for them to be advanced.
4. The Court's requirement for a medical practitioner report to deal with both the question of litigation capacity (and where the nature of the Claims raise a question regarding such) and the claims for "therapy" etc. (and which are said to justify a item of damage of £4 million) has not been complied with.
5. The Claimant has had ample time to comply with the December Order.
6. The Claimant (and the Defendants) are protected by the ability to apply to have this order set aside or varied.



Dated this 2nd day of April 2026

Caption: The fourth page of the Order of Master Dagnall.

🖼️ Figure 15



Caption: On 23 April 2026, after receiving the notification from the court in the morning informing me that my claim had been struck out, later that evening at approximately 22:32 pm, I observed an advertisement on X strategically placed near the top of my feed again, featuring a woman in a hospital bed looking sad and helpless. The time ended in the number “32” again, which can be read as the number “23” in reverse, as if to symbolically refer to the year I made a formal police complaint about the two police officers, PC Jonathan Miotti and PC Andrew Ngo, in January 2023.

On 24 April 2026, the day after receiving the document from Master Dagnall that my claim had been struck out, my housemates would exit/return to the property and slam the main door shut **with full force** throughout the day. Particularly when I used the bathroom, to both intimidate me and to convey surveillance. Therefore, it appears that after my claim has been struck out, it gives them the green light to continue the illegal surveillance, abuse, harassment and intimidation.

The ad I saw on X with a woman in a hospital bed yesterday evening might therefore act as a forewarning that they're going to make me hospitalised, as retaliation through severe intimidation and harassment with repeated door slamming with full force all day long and every day, now that they have allegedly obstructed my access to justice with my claim being struck out. Because the increase in door slamming shut just happened immediately after my claim was struck out.

Also, they have persistently used some forms of forewarnings in the past about what is going to happen to me, or what they're going to do to me, as retaliation. Therefore, this is not an isolated incident. Clearly, there is a pattern of behaviour going on.

🖼️ Figure 16



Caption: At approximately 14:26 pm on 29 April 2026, immediately after finishing using the bathroom and beginning to wipe myself, I heard the emergency sirens outside. When I ran to the window and looked out, I observed a police vehicle driving past my window. They did it yesterday as well at the precise moment I finished doing something, such as taking something out of the fridge or finishing cooking, to convey surveillance via hidden cameras and audio recording devices. They don't seem to hide it anymore that they are constantly surveilling me illegally, particularly after my claim has been struck out.

EXHIBIT BW-23 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Screenshots of my intention to take the social media sites to the European Court of Human Rights and a WhatsApp message received from the Estate Agent, warning me once again about filming or taking pictures of other tenants.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 286-290


(The following pages are BW-23, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-23

FURTHER WITNESS INTIMIDATION

29 April 2026

🖼️ Figure 1




Caption: On 29 April 2026, I consulted Ai about the possibility of taking tech platforms to the European Court of Human Rights as well, as seen in the screenshot above.

🖼️ Figure 2



Caption: Screenshot showed the time when I last edited the document, as seen in the previous image, which was at 18:45 pm on 29 April 2026.

🖼️ Figure 3




Caption: Within minutes of me privately stated my intention to take tech platforms to the European Court of Human Rights as well, on the same day 29 April 2026 at approximately 18:56 pm, immediately upon logging into my X account, I observed a post by Elon Musk near the top of my feed with the word "**Berlin**" in the caption, which I reasonably believe it was to signal his knowledge of where my daughter lives, which is **Berlin**, as if to imply a threat against my daughter again.

His implied knowledge of where my daughter lives further suggests that the Primary UK-based Respondents may have unlawfully shared this information with him to incite intimidation and retaliation. It's their known modus operandi by allegedly cyberstalking me 24/7 and then alerting other Respondents to intimidate me as retaliation.

On 30 April 2026 at approximately 14:00 pm, immediately after editing the incident above regarding Elon Musk and Berlin, I heard a housemate exit the property and slam the main door shut with full force. It turned out to be Person A again. They appear to consistently let me know that all my online activities are being illegally monitored at all times.

🖼️ Figure 4



Caption: A little later, on 29 April 2026, after adding the screenshot regarding Elon Musk and Berlin to this Exhibit, at 19:20 pm, immediately upon sitting down on the toilet in the bathroom to urinate, I received a text message from my estate agent Bridgeway Estates Ltd., warning me again regarding me filming tenants going in and out of the property. Prior to this, just before I went to the bathroom, I also observed a police vehicle driving past my window.

The same technique has been used consistently throughout the harassment campaign against me, in which I often receive warning or threatening messages or emails at the precise moment I am using the bathroom - to both convey surveillance and to intimidate. As also described in **Exhibit BW-13 (p.209)** when I received an aggressive, threatening response email to my Cease and Desist letter from my ex-husband, Jørgen Mortensen, at the exact moment I was using the bathroom.

EXHIBIT BW-24 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Screenshots and photos of ongoing illegal surveillance, voyeurism, harassment, cyberstalking, intimidation, Article 10 violation (freedom of expression), and so on, after my claim has been struck out.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 291-296

(The following pages are BW-24, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-24

ONGOING ILLEGAL SURVEILLANCE, VOYEURISM AND WITNESS INTIMIDATION

1 May 2026

🖼️ Figure 1



Caption: At approximately 10:41 am on 1 May 2026, while I was editing my Witness Statement to add a potential witness intimidation from my estate agent Bridgeway Estates Ltd., to my **Exhibit BW-23 (p.290),** I heard a housemate exit the property and slam the main door shut as if to make me go look out the window again. When I did go look out of the window, I observed two police officers walking on the other side of the road. This is how they appear to use my housemates as messengers and police officers or police vehicles as a discreet intimidation.

🖼️ Figure 2



Caption: Screenshot of another AI-generated content by Grok and posted by Elon Musk again on 1 May 2026 at 21:22 pm, which appeared to mock me again, possibly referring to what I said about his Grok Ai-generated content in my **Exhibits BW-12 (pages 196-201) and BW-18 (page 243)** that appeared to make references to my private information and intimate materials.

Thus, every confidential, important information or evidence I have added to my Witness Statement and Exhibits concerning other Respondents appears to have been passed onto them immediately, such as to Elon Musk, to encourage retaliation. Because he seems to immediately mock me by posting something about Ai-generated content, the very subject I have privately talked about in my Exhibits, as mentioned in the above-mentioned paragraph. It therefore appears that he may have been told that my claim has been struck out. Hence, he seems to continue to misuse my private information, particularly by incorporating it in his Grok Ai-generated content, as if he is now given a green light to misuse my private information however he wants, now that my claim has been struck out.

This further indicates a conspiracy to pervert the course of justice and to injure & exploit by unlawful means, including a clear indication that my devices are being constantly monitored, and therefore it's not safe for me to continue to work on my case when the illegal surveillance still continues. This may also amount to **litigation misconduct and an abuse of process.**

This is also how they seem to illegally monitor all my online activities at all times, to gain insight into my legal strategies and then use the unlawfully obtained information to incite other Respondents to retaliate and to warn them how to prepare their defences.

Conspiracy to Injure and Exploitation of Fraudulent Strike-Out:

I therefore bring to the Court's immediate attention that the Co-respondents—including the Police Force, X Corp, and Elon Musk Respondents—have actively escalated the systemic misuse of my private data and algorithmic harassment immediately following the receipt of the paper strike-out order. This coordinated surge in retaliation is not a coincidence; it demonstrates that the Co-respondents are treating this irregular, paper-based strike-out as a 'green light' to amplify their unlawful campaign with perceived impunity.

The deployment of targeted, offensive advertisements utilising my intimate data—orchestrated via the platform infrastructure of X Corp—directly following an irregular court order provides compelling circumstantial evidence of an active **Conspiracy to Injure by Unlawful Means** and an ongoing attempt to **Pervert the Course of Justice**. The Co-respondents are actively leveraging their technological and structural powers to break my resolve and cover up their structural fraud while ensuring I am blocked from securing the legal counsel required to halt this abuse.

🖼️ Figure 3



Caption: At precisely 22:10 pm, immediately after I finished typing the last paragraph in the previous image regarding Elon Musk and Ai-generated content, I observed a police vehicle driving past my window. The photo above was taken when it already drove past my window. But you can still see the blue light from the sirens a little bit.

🖼️ Figure 4



Caption: On 3 May 2026 at approximately 11:15 am, immediately after I finished using the bathroom, I heard some housemates begin to converse loudly nearby again, as if they're on their way out. I then ran out of the bathroom with my mobile phone ready to take pictures of them as evidence. At the precise moment I stood by the window ready, a police car was observed driving past my window as seen in the photo above.

EXHIBIT BW-25 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Screenshots of my X posts that may have triggered a swift retaliation once again.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 297-311

(The following pages are BW-25, paginated continuously with the full bundle)


EXHIBIT BW-25

WITNESS INTIMIDATION ESCALATION & ONGOING SURVEILLANCE

4-6 May 2026

On 4 May 2026, I edited my draft order to include my daughter as well, to protect her from the same sexual abuse and exploitation via hidden cameras, including from the honey trap operation. I also posted a series of X posts about police misconduct, corruption investigation, the prevention of an unfair trial and miscarriage of justice, etc. Within hours of me posting this, housemates began to exit/return to the property and slam the main door shut with full force all over once again.

🖼️ Figure 1



respondent' means all of the respondents.

4. This order is effective against any respondent on whom it is served or who is given notice of it.

INTRODUCTION

5. This is an urgent application by the Applicant for interim injunctive relief, including without notice relief, arising from the unlawful recording, dissemination, and commercial exploitation of highly private and intimate materials depicting the Applicant and possibly her daughter as well.
6. The application is made in the context of anticipated proceedings concerning long-term sexual

Caption: On 4 May 2026, I added the information in my Draft Order to include my daughter as well. Because I reasonably believe that the State Actor Respondents also sexually abuse and exploit my daughter via hidden cameras. Just like what they do to me.

🖼️ Figure 2



Caption: On the same day, at 22:13 pm, I also posted on X about the escalation of harassment and surveillance.

🖼️ Figure 3




Caption: At 22:55 pm, I further posted about my intention to publish every piece of evidence to prevent an unfair trial and a miscarriage of justice.

🖼️ Figure 4



Caption: On 5 May 2026 at 0:39 am, I posted on X about a possible corruption investigation.

🖼️ Figure 5



Key Protections

- **No Mandatory Police Presence:** You do **not** need police permission to speak to a solicitor. If you are not in custody, you can contact any law firm directly. If you are being told otherwise, that statement itself is evidence of misconduct.
- **Right to Review:** If the police drop an investigation into their own misconduct to protect themselves, you have the Victims' Right to Review (VRR). [16, 17, 18]

Caption: Screenshot of what I had consulted Ai about police misconduct**,** the blocking of my access to lawyers, and saved it on my device at approximately 2:40 am on 5 May 2026.

Then**,** at approximately 5:53 am on 5 May 2026, while asleep, I heard a male housemate exit the property and slam the main door shut so violently that it woke me up and caused my ear to go deaf for a moment. I still have ringing in my left ear as I type this. I only feel the ringing in my left ear is because my right ear is deaf. The Primary Respondents appear to know this from unlawful information gathering. Hence, the housemate appeared to slam the main door shut with full force as soon as I turned around in bed from left to right, which would expose my left ear to the loud noise of door smacking. This is how they seem to use hidden cameras, not just to illegally monitor me for harassment and voyeurism purposes but also for planning their attacks - similar to the knife block incident as described in **Exhibit BW-04, p.154.**

The housemate who slammed the main door shut at approximately 5:53 am might be Person F, residing in room 3, possibly living with his mother (Person E). I am not sure if they're from Romania or not. But I heard him speak Eastern European, probably on the phone, before exiting the property and aggressively slamming the main door shut. They also look like they're from that region.

Again, at approximately 7:34 am on the same day, another door slammed shut occurred. All in all, since this morning, a series of door slamming shut by housemates started within hours of me posting on X concerning police misconduct, corruption, miscarriage of justice, and so forth.

It's also sleep deprivation when they also seem to do it to disrupt my sleep, so that I cannot prepare my case effectively and may miss the deadline to apply to the Court to set aside the strike-out of my claim. Because I reasonably believe it's a fraudulent misrepresentation, pursuant to the ruling in Zurich v Hayward.

The Retaliatory Cycle: Each time I published a blog post or post on social media exposing the illegal surveillance, sexual abuse via voyeurism & cybersex trafficking, the potential that the

Primary Respondents may also sexually abuse & exploit my daughter via hidden cameras, obstruction of justice, harassment, intimidation, or just to like and comment on some posts about the negativity surrounding Keir Starmer, the Respondents, probably Keir Starmer and the Police, immediately escalated their harassment by increasing surveillance hints, instructing housemates to violently slam the main door shut all day, or direct intimidation.


Article 10 and Public Interest: My blogging and posting on social media are protected "political speech" or "public interest journalism" concerning state misconduct. The public has a **right to receive information** about the unlawful actions of public officials.

Impact on the "Equality of Arms": The intimidation was not just distressing, but a tactical strike to exhaust my resources and mental capacity, making it physically impossible to meet the court's deadline.

The Crime of Intimidation: **Sections 39 and 40 of the Criminal Justice and Police Act 2001** prohibit the intimidation of witnesses in civil proceedings with the intent to obstruct justice.

Therefore, my life and my human rights are at grave risk if the injunction is not granted. I live in fear daily, thinking I might not survive this if the Court does not intervene. The intimidation and harassment are so severe and relentless, causing the threshold of seriousness. It's like a form of public lynching. This blog from another UK survivor of covert surveillance and organised harassment has explained perfectly well what I am experiencing daily https://bounceblack.org/resources/organised-harassment/

🖼️ Figure 6



Caption: At 12:29 pm, on 5 May 2026, immediately upon editing the description of my **Exhibit BW-14 (p.213)** regarding the court striking out my claim despite my injunction application is still pending, I heard a helicopter flying low nearby. When I looked out the window to investigate, a **blue** car, as seen in the photo above, suddenly emerged. The man behind the steering wheel also appeared to laugh a bit while accelerating past my window.

Figure 7



Caption: A close-up of the blue car and the man behind the steering wheel, apparently wearing an all-black outfit. The number plate may be blurry because it's a screenshot from a video that I took with my tablet.

🖼️ Figure 8



Caption: At 15:24 pm, on 5 May 2026, immediately upon editing my witness statement regarding "**death threats"** under the section "**REPEATED DEATH THREATS & WITNESS INTIMIDATION**," page 87-88, I observed Person B exiting the property and loudly slamming the main door shut. The woman in a black outfit, as seen in the image above with a red circle, is Person B.

On 5 June 2026, at approximately 15:35 pm, immediately upon editing the previous paragraph regarding "**death threats,"** I heard someone exit the property and slam the main door shut. As expected, when I ran to the window to investigate, the individual was nowhere to be seen. The time suspiciously ended in the number "35" again. My daughter is also approximately 35 years old. This is, once again, how they use numbers to symbolically refer to something or someone to intimidate me.

The Outcome: After this incident, I was too shaken to continue, thus proving the "tampering" was successful in stopping the evidence flow.

I believe this constitutes a criminal attempt to tamper with a witness and suppress evidence through intimidation, to ensure they cannot be held accountable for their underlying crimes.

Therefore, the Respondents are utilising illegal 24/7 electronic surveillance to monitor my litigation prep. They use this intelligence to trigger coordinated psychological harassment (e.g., housemates slamming doors) at the exact moments I add crucial information or evidence to my statement or Exhibits. This is a deliberate attempt to pervert the course of justice by making my home environment too hostile for case preparation, thereby tampering with the evidence through intimidation. Therefore, the surveillance gives the state actors an unfair advantage by allowing them to see my legal strategies and evidence in real-time (violating Article 6).

The "Impossibility" of Compliance: The relentless nature of the harassment has "impeded my participation". The time and emotional energy required to fend off state-actor intimidation has directly diverted me from meeting the court's schedule.

The Chilling Effect on Article 10: Thus, the State Actors are using their powers to retaliate against my protected Article 10 Freedom of Expression, specifically to intimidate me into silence regarding their misconduct.

Perverting the Course of Justice": By harassing me in direct response to my public disclosures, they are attempting to pervert the course of justice by preventing me from preparing my evidence effectively.

Requesting "Special Measures": Under Practice Direction 1A, the intimidation has made me a "vulnerable party." I therefore ask the court to take "proportionate measures" (like extending the deadline) to ensure I am on an "equal footing" with the state actors and other Defendants.

Relevant Legal Offences

- **Perverting the Course of Justice (Common Law)**
- **Witness Intimidation (Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994)**
- **Intimidation in Civil Proceedings (Criminal Justice and Police Act 2001)**

Tendency: The acts were likely to result in the course of justice being obstructed.

Legal Basis for My Application

Thus, their actions violate:

- **Article 10 ECHR**: Public bodies have a legal duty under the Human Rights Act 1998 to respect my freedom of expression. Retaliation to silence a "whistleblower" or critic is generally considered a disproportionate interference.
- **Abuse of Process**: Because the intimidation is affecting my case prep, I ask the judge for **"Directions"** or a "**Prohibiting Order"** to stop specific behaviours that interfere with the "equality of arms" (the principle that both sides must have a fair chance to present their case).

- **Contempt of Court:** If they are deliberately trying to "prejudice" or "undermine" pending proceedings and injunction application through intimidation, this may be Contempt of Court.

🖼️ Figure 9



Caption: On 5 May 2026 at approximately 18:29 pm, immediately after I finished using the bathroom, I heard a housemate exit the property and slam the main door shut, as if to both convey surveillance as usual and to prompt me to go look out the window again. When I did, I observed a red car parking right outside my residence. A white elderly man was shortly observed coming back to his car. The number plate was also significant. Because it contained the No.10 again.

At approximately 19:18 pm, immediately upon adding the “No.10” to the previous paragraph, I heard someone exit the property and slam the main door shut.

🖼️ Figure 10




Caption: A closeup of the man and the number plate, which read: VK10 JTY.

🖼️ Figure 11



Caption: Soon after adding the image of a red car with its number plate containing the "No.10," at approximately 19:30 pm, I logged into my X account and immediately observed a disturbing post about a woman "who had her head blown off." It was also strategically placed under a post about Keir Starmer. It looked therefore like another hidden death threat again.


Figure 12



Caption: The second part of the post showing the time and date when it was posted.

Later, on 5 May 2026, at approximately 23:41 pm, immediately after coming out of the bathroom, I heard someone exit the property in sync with my movement. It's like the more I go online to live a normal life like others, the more they'll punish me by increasing the surveillance hints, to make me feel uncomfortable around the clock. This is an abuse of power and a violation of my human right Article 10 (freedom of expression). Because just before I went to the bathroom, I had just been online liking some posts on X.

🖼️ Figure 13



Caption: At approximately 23:46 pm, immediately after I finished typing the previous incident, a police car was observed driving past my window, as seen in the photo above. Then, immediately after I finished typing this paragraph, a second police vehicle was observed driving past my window once again.

Within minutes of me finishing typing the previous paragraph, at approximately 00:14 am, on 6 May 2026, immediately upon logging into my X account again and starting to like some posts about Keir Starmer's possible resignation, a third police vehicle was observed driving past my window.

Again, at approximately 00:21 am, immediately after putting my phone away to try to get some sleep, a fourth police vehicle was observed driving past my window.

EXHIBIT BW-26 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Screenshots showing a possible hacking, screenshot of what I typed at the end of this bundle detailing the reasons why I have added the PM Keir Starmer as a Defendant as well, and photos of multiple "X" or "No.10" symbols drawn in the sky by the aircraft immediately afterwards, which I perceived as a threat or a warning. It also suggests that all my devices are being illegally monitored at all times.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 312-323


(The following pages are BW-26, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-26

HACKING & WITNESS INTIMIDATION ESCALATION

6 May 2026

Figure 1




D5), he has never responded to my Cease and Desist letter.

17.9 Despite my Cease and Desist letters to both of them, they continue to leak my private information regardless. This kind of defiant action, despite knowing it's unlawful and even after being put on notice, strongly suggests that they have been reassured by the UK-based Respondents and/or their associates not to worry about legal consequences and just continue to leak and misuse my private information as usual.

17.10 Just like the UK-based Respondents, who appear to continue to authorise/permit the illegal installation of

Caption: In my Witness Statement, there's also a word “worry” that Elon Musk seemed to have mirrored in his X post this morning, 6 May 2026, as seen in Figure 5 further down in this Exhibit.

Figure 2




24.4 **Obstruction of Justice**: These threats constitute a direct attempt to pervert the course of justice by creating a climate of fear that prevents me from following the court's directions.

24.5 **Impact on the Extension of Time**: Due to the severity of the ongoing threats against me and my daughter, including persistent and severe harassment, my time has been entirely consumed by fear, worries, nightmares, and the like. Consequently, I have been physically and mentally unable to prepare my case as fast as I want to.

24.6 **Incident on Monday, 1 September 2025 – Elon Musk's X.com activity and linked harassment**

Caption: Yesterday, 5 May 2026, I also added more information on "Impact on the Extension of Time" that also contained the word "worries."

🖼️ Figure 3




Caption: Screenshot showing the date I last edited this injunction application bundle when I added the word “worries,” which was on 5 May 2026.

🖼️ **Figure 4**



Caption: At approximately 9:30 am when I logged into my X account again on 6 May 2026, I observed a post by Elon Musk strategically placed at the very top of my feed. He posted this post at 8:16 am today, as seen in the screenshot above. The video in the post featured two guys talking to each other that appeared to allude to the hacking again.


🖼️ Figure 5



Caption: The video showed the word “worry” seemingly referring to what I wrote in my Witness Statement regarding the words “worry” and “worries.”

This is not the first time that everything I privately type in my legal documents, my scripts or plot ideas, since I am also a writer, appears to be echoed back to me almost immediately and everywhere across all platforms, including through email communications. It's also not the first time that Elon Musk appears to pass on the message to me that all my devices and communications are being illegally monitored 24/7.

🖼️ Figure 6



Caption: This morning, 6 May 2026, at 10:32 am, at the end of this bundle, I also added more reasons why I am suing Keir Starmer in his personal capacity.

🖼️ Figure 7



Caption: Screenshot of the time when I last edited my bundle when I wrote about my intention to sue Keir Starmer as well, which was at 10:32 am today 6 May 2026.

🖼️ Figure 8




Caption: Shortly after, after adding the reasons to add Keir Starmer as a defendant / respondent as well, on 6 May 2026 at approximately 11:00 am, I heard someone exit the property and slam the main door shut while I was using the bathroom, as if to prompt me to go look out the window as usual. When I came out of the bathroom and looked out of the window, I observed several large “X” or “No.10” symbols being drawn in the sky by the aircraft right outside my window again, as seen in the image above, as if to make me feel intimidated. The time, 11:00 am, also contains the “No.10” again.

On 5 June 2026, at approximately 16:37 pm, immediately after finishing adding more information to the previous paragraph concerning Keir Starmer, I heard the sound of someone slam the main door shut again.

This further indicates once again that everything I type is being read in real time. Hence, the swift response to what I have just written. The lines or X symbols were also drawn in such a way that looked like two large guillotines.

🖼️ Figure 9



Caption: As I continued to add more information about Keir Starmer at the end of this injunction application bundle, at approximately 12:40 pm, I heard the sound of a loud car horn outside, as if to prompt me to go look out the window as usual. When I did go look out the window, I observed the aircraft “**drawing a line**” in the sky right outside my window again and continuing to draw some more, as seen in the image above.

🖼️ Figure 10



Caption: At approximately 16:11 pm, I added a new paragraph toward the end of this injunction application bundle, p.603, which stated:


“In light of the evidence detailed above, which I believe demonstrates a serious and systematic attempt to pervert the course of justice through witness intimidation and illegal surveillance, I respectfully invite the Court to consider whether it is appropriate to refer this matter to the relevant authorities—such as the Director of Public Prosecutions or relevant Bodies—for a formal investigation into potential misconduct in public office.”

After adding the above-mentioned paragraph, I immediately heard a housemate return to the property and slam the main door shut while I was picking up something on the floor near the wall that was close to the main door. They're very calculated and malicious by allegedly

choosing to intimidate me and attempting to damage my hearing as well when they chose to do this at the precise moment my ear would be exposed to the impact of the loud door slamming noise. This strongly suggests that they are observing my every movement very closely and 24/7 via hidden cameras, to be able to carry out their attacks to precision.

Then, a minute after the door-slamming incident, at 16:12 pm, I immediately observed a police vehicle driving past my window as seen in the photo above.

🖼️ Figure 11



Caption: At approximately 17:33 pm on 6 May 2026, immediately upon wiping myself after finishing using the bathroom, I heard the sirens outside. When I ran out of the bathroom and looked out of the window, I observed another police vehicle driving past my residence.

EXHIBIT BW-27 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Screenshots of my emails containing links to my Letter Before Action, Settlement Offer Letter, and relevant documents, sent to certain Respondents / Defendants with no response. My last correspondence with the 2nd Respondent's (The Police) legal team resulted in an increase in harassment, intimidation and police presence immediately after.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 8 June 2026


Bundle pages: 324-357

(The following pages are BW-27, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-27

LETTER BEFORE ACTION AND SUBSEQUENT WITNESS INTIMIDATION

🖼️ Figure 1



FORMAL LEGAL NOTICE – JAMES GUNN / GREG CAVIC
1 message

Buppha Wittaya-Amponpunt <hello@buppha.com> Sat, 28 Mar 2026 at 19:15
To: Neil.Adleman@unitedtalent.com, CavicG@unitedtalent.com, ahurwitz@fkks.com
Cc: legal@unitedtalent.com, info@unitedtalent.com, max.ulanoff@unitedtalent.com

Dear James Gunn / Agents / Legal Representatives,

Attention: This is a **formal legal notice regarding James Gunn,** and we will rely on it in any subsequent proceedings – please forward immediately to the relevant legal representative or provide a valid address for service within 7 days.

Attached are the **Letter Before Action**, **Settlement Offer Letter** and supporting documents, regarding **James Gunn** and a legal claim for misuse of private information and related matters concerning the film ***Superman (2025)***.

As we do not currently hold a valid address for service for your client, we are sending this correspondence to you as a known and reasonable point of contact in accordance with the **Pre-Action Protocol and the Civil Procedure Rules**.


These documents are also sent to all known legal representatives, agents, and associated companies to ensure **effective notice in accordance with the Pre-Action Protocol**.

The documents are provided in PDF format and can be downloaded without requiring a Google account. Due to file size limitations, the full document bundle has been provided via the following secure links:

1. Letter Before Action https://docs.google.com/document/d/188pN21BuOTj8RkwMon14aEm22uetFOFEbEutlohvlrU/export?format=pdf
2. Settlement Offer Letter https://docs.google.com/document/d/1a3phdM0LaMDFBjlki6MKJwuOQkvJIwQS3D8xoOhhbFo/export?format=pdf
3. Identification of Films https://docs.google.com/document/d/1l9NFSQlqUQp5L7CafBLKTJjyaQLbmGmAhq-jQDjq0l8/export?format=pdf
4. Schedule of Loss https://docs.google.com/document/d/1oKyWV012GodWIbLgZH_goGrxu5JO97cRqa92vFBWKPQ/export?format=pdf
5. Schedule of Undertakings https://docs.google.com/document/d/1In38EW_l0q3GBdU7Rzb46nvkrAGl6oBRxv-1euaZoTQ/export?format=pdf
6. Schedule of Defendants https://docs.google.com/document/d/1rHIeGxv-Nb5trhuY7e8z540jl0P-UC80Iyv5WfcahAM/export?format=pdf
7. Evidence Preservation https://docs.google.com/document/d/133dw1J50aZqR-39UmYQehJ9Z8UrPQ_TN6gN5fkJDEcs/export?format=pdf

If you experience any difficulty accessing the files, or require the documents in an alternative format, please let us know and we will provide them promptly.

Request for Confirmation of Authority to Accept Service



If you experience any difficulty accessing the files, or require the documents in an alternative format, please let us know and we will provide them promptly.

Request for Confirmation of Authority to Accept Service

Please confirm, by return and in any event within **7 days**, whether you or your firm are authorised to accept service of proceedings on behalf of **James Gunn.**

If you are not so authorised, we request that you:

- Provide a valid address for service within the jurisdiction of England and Wales; or
- Confirm that this correspondence has been forwarded to your client and/or their legal representatives.

In the absence of such confirmation, we reserve the right to:

- Apply to the Court for **substituted service pursuant to CPR 6.15** and/or service out of the jurisdiction; and/or
- Seek **pre-action disclosure under CPR 31.16**, where appropriate.

We will rely on this correspondence on the issue of costs.

We respectfully invite your cooperation in providing this information promptly. Failure to respond may result in us seeking disclosure, Norwich Pharmacal Order, or other remedies through the court.

Thank you for your attention to this matter and we look forward to hearing from you soon.

Yours faithfully,
Buppha Wittaya-Amponpunt

Caption: Screenshots of my email sent to the writer / director / producer **James Gunn**'s agents and legal representatives on 28 March 2026, with links to my Letter Before Action, Settlement Offer Letter and relevant documents. No response received.

On 29 May 2026, at approximately 15:11 pm, immediately upon searching for James Gunn's name in my Google Doc search bar, to locate my documents sent to his agents and legal representatives to add to this Exhibit, I heard an individual suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut.

Again, at approximately 15:18 pm, within minutes of me writing about the door-slamming shut in the previous paragraph, I heard another individual suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut once again. This occurred immediately after I was just about to finish typing the previous paragraph. When I looked out the window, I observed Person A bending right outside my window within my line of sight with his buttocks facing me, wearing black clothing, pretending to collect garbage into a black bin bag.

Once again, at approximately 15:26 pm, within minutes of me writing about the previous paragraph and was just about to finish typing it, I heard another individual suddenly exit the property and aggressively slam the door shut, as if to prompt me to go look out of the window. Because they have conditioned me to the violent door-slamming shut, to intimidate and to make me go look out of the window. When I did, I observed the aircraft **“drawing a line”** right outside my window again.

This looks more and more like witness intimidation and distraction, when it happens consistently whenever I am about to add crucial information or evidence to this injunction bundle. It also suggests constant cyberstalking / hacking once again.


🖼️ Figure 2



Caption: Photo of a line drawn by the aircraft in the sky right outside my window on 29 May 2026, at 15:26 pm, the very moment I mentioned the name of the writer / director / producer, **James Gunn**, also a Respondent / Defendant (D133, Schedule of Defendants, p.39), in the previous image.

On 29 May 2026, at approximately 15:45 pm, immediately upon typing “D133” linked to James Gunn, into the previous paragraph, I heard someone suddenly exit the property and violently slam the main door shut once again.

Figure 3



FORMAL LEGAL NOTICE – PAUL THOMAS ANDERSON / BRYAN LOURD – RESPONSE REQUIRED

1 message

Buppha Wittaya-Amponpunt <hello@buppha.com> Sun, 29 Mar 2026 at 19:47
To: info@caa.com

Dear Paul Thomas Anderson / Bryan Lourd,

Attention: This is a **formal legal notice regarding Paul Thomas Anderson** – please forward immediately to the relevant legal representative or provide a valid address for service within 7 days.

We are writing to formally notify you of a serious matter concerning the feature film titled ***One Battle After Another (2025),*** written, co-produced and directed by **Paul Thomas Anderson.**

Attached are the **Letter Before Action**, **Settlement Offer Letter** and supporting documents, regarding **Paul Thomas Anderson** and a legal claim for misuse of private information, unjust enrichment and related matters concerning the film ***One Battle After Another (2025).***

As we do not currently hold a valid address for service for your client, we are sending this correspondence to you as a known and reasonable point of contact in accordance with the Pre-Action Protocol and the Civil Procedure Rules.

The documents are provided in PDF format and can be downloaded without requiring a Google account. Due to file size limitations, the full document bundle has been provided via the following secure links:


1. Letter Before Action https://docs.google.com/document/d/188pN21BuOTj8RkwMon14aEm22uetFOFEbEutlohvlrU/export?format=pdf
2. Settlement Offer Letter https://docs.google.com/document/d/1a3phdM0LaMDFBjlki6MKJwuOQkvJIwQS3D8xoOhhbFo/export?format=pdf
3. Identification of Films https://docs.google.com/document/d/1I9NFSQlqUQp5L7CafBLKTJjyaQLbmGmAhq-jQDjq0l8/export?format=pdf
4. Schedule of Loss https://docs.google.com/document/d/1oKyWV012GodWIbLgZH_goGrxu5JO97cRqa92vFBWKPQ/export?format=pdf
5. Schedule of Undertakings https://docs.google.com/document/d/1In38EW_l0q3GBdU7Rzb46nvkrAGl6oBRxv-1euaZoTQ/export?format=pdf
6. Schedule of Defendants https://docs.google.com/document/d/1rHIeGxv-Nb5trhuY7e8z540jI0P-UC80Iyv5WfcahAM/export?format=pdf
7. Evidence Preservation https://docs.google.com/document/d/133dw1J50aZqR-39UmYQehJ9Z8UrPQ_TN6gN5fkJDEcs/export?format=pdf

If you experience any difficulty accessing the files, or require the documents in an alternative format, please let us know and we will provide them promptly.

Caption: Screenshot of my email sent to the writer / director / producer **Paul Thomas Anderson's** agent on 29 March 2026, with links to my Letter Before Action, Settlement Offer Letter and relevant documents. No response received.

Paul Thomas Anderson is also a Respondent / Defendant (D135, Schedule of Defendants, p.39).

Figure 4



FORMAL LEGAL NOTICE – MAGGIE GYLLENHAAL / Strand Conover – RESPONSE REQUIRED
1 message

Buppha Wittaya-Amponpunt <hello@buppha.com> Sun, 29 Mar 2026 at 18:53
To: patti@ftsllp.com, star@ftsllp.com, sconover@wmeagency.com
Cc: inquiries@wmeagency.com, EScherz@wmeagency.com, courtney@mgmt-entertainment.com

Dear Maggie Gyllenhaal / Agents / Legal Representatives,

Attention: This is a **formal legal notice regarding Maggie Gyllenhaal** – please forward immediately to the relevant legal representative or provide a valid address for service within 7 days.

We are writing to formally notify you of a serious matter concerning the feature film titled ***The Bride! (2026),*** written, co-produced and directed by **Maggie Gyllenhaal.**

Attached are the **Letter Before Action**, **Settlement Offer Letter** and supporting documents, regarding **Maggie Gyllenhaal** and a legal claim for misuse of private information, unjust enrichment and related matters concerning the film ***The Bride! (2026).***

As we do not currently hold a valid address for service for your client, we are sending this correspondence to you as a known and reasonable point of contact in accordance with the Pre-Action Protocol and the Civil Procedure Rules.

These documents are also sent to all known legal representatives, agents, and associated companies to ensure **effective notice in accordance with the Pre-Action Protocol**.

The documents are provided in PDF format and can be downloaded without requiring a Google account. Due to file size limitations, the full document bundle has been provided via the following secure links:

1. Letter Before Action https://docs.google.com/document/d/188pN21BuOTj8RkwMon14aEm22uetFOFEbEutlohvlrU/export?format=pdf
2. Settlement Offer Letter https://docs.google.com/document/d/1a3phdM0LaMDFBjlki6MKJwuOQkvJIwQS3D8xoOhhbFo/export?format=pdf
3. Identification of Films https://docs.google.com/document/d/1l9NFSQlqUQp5L7CafBLKTJjyaQLbmGmAhq-jQDjq0l8/export?format=pdf
4. Schedule of Loss https://docs.google.com/document/d/1oKyWV012GodWlbLgZH_goGrxu5JO97cRqa92vFBWKPQ/export?format=pdf
5. Schedule of Undertakings https://docs.google.com/document/d/1In38EW_l0q3GBdU7Rzb46nvkrAGl6oBRxv-1euaZoTQ/export?format=pdf
6. Schedule of Defendants https://docs.google.com/document/d/1rHleGxv-Nb5trhuY7e8z540jl0P-UC80Iyv5WfcahAM/export?format=pdf
7. Evidence Preservation https://docs.google.com/document/d/133dw1J50aZqR-39UmYQehJ9Z8UrPQ_TN6gN5fkJDEcs/export?format=pdf

If you experience any difficulty accessing the files, or require the documents in an alternative format, please let us know and we will provide them promptly.

This correspondence constitutes formal notice, and we will rely on it in any subsequent proceedings.

Page 1 of 2

Caption: Screenshots of my email sent to the writer / director / producer **Maggie Gyllenhaal's Agents and Legal Representatives** on 29 March 2026, with links to my Letter Before Action, Settlement Offer Letter and relevant documents. No response received.

On 29 May 2026, at 16:03 pm, immediately after I took a screenshot of my email sent to **Maggie Gyllenhaal's Agents and Legal Representatives**, I heard someone exit the property and slam the main door shut. It turned out to be Person B and her son again.

Maggie Gyllenhaal is also a Respondent / Defendant (D138, Schedule of Defendants, p.39).

🖼️ Figure 5



Caption: Again, on 29 May 2026, at precisely **16:10 pm**, immediately after I copied Maggie Gyllenhaal's name to add to this Exhibit, I observed Person A once again exiting the property and violently slamming the main door shut. The time symbolically ended in the "**No.10**" again.

Figure 6

Attention: Legal Department - Letter Before Action & Settlement Offer
1 message

Buppha Wittaya-Amponpunt <hello@buppha.com> Fri, 27 Mar 2026 at 01:43
To: wbds@warnerbros.com

Dear Warner Bros Team / Legal Department,

Please find attached a **Letter Before Action, a Settlement Offer Letter** and supporting documents below, addressed to your company and its subsidiaries for the unauthorized use of the Claimant's (Buppha Wittaya-Amponpunt) private information, private materials, personal story, and creative works in certain films or media productions such as the film ***Superman (2025).***

For your records, these **letters will also follow shortly** via post to your UK branch: **Warner Bros. Entertainment UK Limited**, Chiswick Park Building 2, 566 Chiswick High Road, London, W4 5YB, United Kingdom.

The documents are provided in PDF format and can be downloaded without requiring a Google account. Due to file size limitations, the full document bundle has been provided via the following secure links:

1. Letter Before Action https://docs.google.com/document/d/1VEAbuoH0ydMhGt5sWKFYiKRvcYw3Gk-d-cu39orxTHA/export?format=pdf
2. Settlement Offer Letter https://docs.google.com/document/d/1DC2Su0XiM23yvsqF1ODsJqqpUMkAihVi6vewCSTqbbI/export?format=pdf
3. Identification of Films https://docs.google.com/document/d/1l9NFSQlqUQp5L7CafBLKTJjyaQLbmGmAhq-jQDjq0l8/export?format=pdf
4. Schedule of Loss https://docs.google.com/document/d/1oKyWV012GodWIbLgZH_goGrxu5JO97cRqa92vFBWKPQ/export?format=pdf
5. Schedule of Undertakings https://docs.google.com/document/d/1In38EW_l0q3GBdU7Rzb46nvkrAGl6oBRxv-1euaZoTQ/export?format=pdf
6. Schedule of Defendants https://docs.google.com/document/d/1rHIeGxv-Nb5trhuY7e8z540jl0P-UC80Iyv5WfcahAM/export?format=pdf
7. Evidence Preservation https://docs.google.com/document/d/133dw1J50aZqR-39UmYQehJ9Z8UrPQ_TN6gN5fkJDEcs/export?format=pdf

If you experience any difficulty accessing the files, or require the documents in an alternative format, please let us know and we will provide them promptly.

We kindly request that you **forward these documents to your legal department** or advise us of the correct email address and registered office address for the legal team responsible for handling such matters.

This correspondence constitutes formal notice, and we will rely on it in any subsequent proceedings.


We would be grateful if you could **confirm receipt of this email and the attached letters** at your earliest convenience. The letter sets out the matters in dispute and requests early disclosure of information, in line with the Pre-Action Protocol, to facilitate resolution and avoid further legal proceedings.

We encourage you or your organisation to review the attached documents carefully and respond within the timeframe set out in the Letter Before Action and Settlement Offer Letter.

We respectfully invite your cooperation in providing this information promptly. Failure to respond may result in us [illegible] Norwich Pharmacal Order, or other remedies through the court.

Page 1 of 2

Thank you for your attention to this matter and we look forward to hearing from you soon.




Caption: Screenshots of my email sent to **Warner Bros** on **27 March 2026**, with links to my Letter Before Action, Settlement Offer Letter and relevant documents. No response received.

On 30 May 2026, at approximately 18:16 pm, immediately upon editing this part of the Exhibit concerning Warner Bros, I heard someone suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut.

On 5 June 2026, at approximately 16:58 pm, immediately after adding more information about my Letter Before Action and Settlement Offer Letter to James Gun, Paul Thomas Anderson, Maggie Gyllenhaal and Warner Bros, I heard the sound of someone smack something shut in the kitchen or nearby. As expected, when I went out to investigate, the individual was nowhere to be seen. This looks therefore like both cyberstalking and witness intimidation.

Figure 7



FORMAL NOTICE OF FRAUD AND INTENT TO PURSUE INTERNATIONAL REMEDIES Inbox

Buppha Wittaya-Amponpunt 12 May

to wbdprivacy, dpo, info

From Buppha Wittaya-Amponpunt • hello@buppha.com

To wbdprivacy@wbd.com

Cc dpo@discovery.com
info@warnerbros.com

Date 12 May 2026, 23:52

See security details

Dear Data Protection Officer / The Board of Directors / General Counsel of Warner Bros,

Please find attached a **Letter Before Action** regarding the unauthorised use and misuse of my private information within your film productions, including Formal Notice of Fraud And Intent To Pursue International Remedies.

This matter involves a serious breach of UK GDPR / EU GDPR / California Consumer Privacy Act, and I am formally putting Warner Bros. Discovery on notice of my intent to commence legal proceedings.

I have outlined the specific details of the misuse in the attached letter. I look forward to receiving your response within 7 **days.**

Kind regards,
Buppha Wittaya-Amponpunt


Mobile: 07538738084
Email: hello@buppha.com
Website: https://www.buppha.com/

I look forward to receiving your response within 7 **days.**

Kind regards,
Buppha Wittaya-Amponpunt

Mobile: 07538738084
Email: hello@buppha.com
Website: https://www.buppha.com/

Abuse in Plain Sight documentary https://buppha.com/abuse-in-plain-sight/



1

Letter Before Action

Media and Communications Claim (High Court – King's Bench Division) - Claim number: KB-2025-004650

FORMAL NOTICE OF FRAUD AND INTENT TO PURSUE INTERNATIONAL REMEDIES

To:

1. Warner Bros. Entertainment UK Limited, Chiswick Park Building 2, 566 Chiswick High Road, London, W4 5YB, United Kingdom.

2. Warner Bros. Discovery, Inc. (US Parent / Global Operations), 230 Park Avenue South, New York, NY 10003, USA.

PDF FORMAL N...AUD.pdf


Caption: A copy of my Letter Before Action sent to **Warner Bros** again via email on **12 May 2026**. No response received as well this time.

This is not an isolated oversight by the Respondent. I had issued previous, separate Letters Before Action to the Warner Bros Respondent on **27 March 2026**, as seen in the previous screenshots, regarding similar conduct, all of which were similarly ignored. This established pattern of non-engagement demonstrates that the Respondent's current silence is not accidental, but is a deliberate and willful choice to ignore formal legal notices. Consequently, the Respondent can claim no valid excuse or ignorance regarding these proceedings.

The Respondent's conduct is part of a wider issue involving other parties who have similarly ignored my Letters Before Action. Due to the extreme urgency of this application for injunctive relief, the full correspondence with those separate parties has been omitted from the current exhibit bundle to avoid delay.


However, this documentation is fully preserved and can be provided to the Court immediately upon request or filed ahead of the return hearing.

🖼️ Figure 8




Caption: On 11 May 2026, I sent a legal notice to the police's legal team once again.

Figure 9



Caption: On 11 May 2026, I received a reply from David Shannon, a paralegal, working in the police legal team department.

Figure 10



Caption: On 11 May 2026, I also received a reply from Chloe Cambridge.

Figure 11



From Terry.Sullivan@met.police.uk

To bupphawitt@gmail.com

Date 13 May 2026, 14:16

Standard encryption (TLS).

See security details

Dear Ms Wittaya-Amponpunt,

Thank you for your e-mail and the attachments , I confirm receipt of the same.

Please note I have overview of this matter whilst my colleague Mr Shannon is away from the office, therefore do send me any future correspondence.

Kind regards,

Terry Sullivan


T/Legal Executivel Directorate of Legal Services



Caption: Screenshots of Terry Sullivan's email sent to me on 13 May 2026 at 14:16 pm.


Immediately after adding these screenshots to my Exhibit on 27 May 2026, at approximately 1 pm onward, individuals began to exit/return to the property and violently slam the main door shut all over again.

🖼️ Figure 12



Caption: On the very day, 13 May 2026, within minutes of me receiving a reply email from Terry Sullivan, from approximately 14:43 pm onward, I observed an increase in police presence near my vicinity, including a degrading treatment from a security staff at Uxbridge Jobcentre. Because I went out to Uxbridge Jobcentre to attend my appointment at 14:30 pm on that day as well.

🖼️ Figure 13



Caption: Photo of a security guard at Uxbridge Jobcentre, who bent over right in front of me at approximately 14:10 pm, while I was sitting on a sofa waiting for my appointment.

He just pretended to bend over right in front of me with his buttocks facing my direction within my line of sight, to make me feel uncomfortable as a form of sexual harassment or degrading treatment, as if he was instructed to do – possibly by the police. Because this is not an isolated incident. Also, soon after this type of incident happens, I almost always observe police vehicles in my vicinity right after or just before it happens, as if to suggest that they're behind the sexual harassment. The photo above was taken when I left the Jobcenter at approximately half an hour after the incident.


On 5 June 2026, at approximately 17:12 pm, immediately upon editing the line “He just pretended to bend over right in front of me with his buttocks facing my direction within my line of sight … as if he was instructed to do – possibly by the police,” I heard the sound of someone return to the property and slam the main door shut.

🖼️ **Figure 14**



Caption: Also, at 13:45 pm, as I was on my way to Uxbridge Jobcentre for my appointment, I observed a plane flying overhead. This is not an isolated incident either. It occurs almost every time I try to assert my legal rights, such as sending Settlement Offer Letters to the defendants or corresponding with the defendants' legal teams, such as today with the police's legal team, they would bombard me with all kinds of harassment techniques. Air harassment is also one of them.


🖼️ **Figure 15**



Caption: Matching red. The matching-colour harassment technique has also been used in the harassment campaign against me quite often.

There's also an increase in colour harassment, in which I would persistently encounter individuals wearing very bright colours such as red, pink.

Figure 16




Caption: This man also wore a pair of shorts in very bright red.

🖼️ Figure 17




Caption: This woman also wore a very bright pink jacket and a pair of red Nike shoes. **Nike & Adidas** brands have also been used consistently in the harassment campaign against me.

🖼️ Figure 18



Caption: This woman also wore a very bright pink top or jumper, matching the bright pink jacket in the previous image.

Figure 19




In the context of organized harassment, often referred to as gangstalking, the use of two specific, identical, or matching colours is a tactic designed to create psychological distress, sensory sensitization, and fear. According to reports from individuals who self-identify as targeted, this form of harassment serves several purposes:

- **Sensitization and Conditioning:** Victims are conditioned to associate specific colors (often red, yellow, or bright colors) with surveillance or harassment. By seeing these colors repeatedly—on cars, clothing, or household items—the victim is intended to feel watched and overwhelmed.
- **Signaling Surveillance:** The primary goal is to show the victim they are being watched, that their surroundings are controlled, and to create a sense of persistent, unconsensual contact.
- **Gaslighting and Discrediting:** This tactic is used to make victims feel "crazy" or paranoid. Because the action is subtle (e.g., two people wearing the same color), it is difficult to prove, and if reported, can make the victim appear irrational to others.
- **Creating Paranoia and Fear:** The constant, coordinated appearance of specific colors is designed to create a "surveillance" atmosphere where the victim feels they cannot escape the harassment, even in public.
- **"Brighting" and Color Mimicry:** Similar to "brighting" (using high beams), using specific colors is a form of overt intimidation where stalkers coordinate to make their presence known through visual cues.

ohchr

Caption: The explanation of organised harassment, using conditioning technique. Colour-conditioning technique is also one of the techniques used to sensitise the targets.

Figure 20



Harassment techniques include:

1. Surveillance (being followed, electronic surveillance, computer and phone hacking, monitoring all online activities, and bugging of home)

2.Profiles are built to identify any and all weaknesses and insecurities to be used later. Information often obtained through sexual partners or trusted people in their lives.

3.Conditioning where victims are sensitised to certain stimuli such as everywhere you go someone will cough as they walk past you. The goal is to make you self police and then think anyone who coughs is involved in the harassment. People report of conditioning to include hand gestures, an overt use of colour in peoples clothing (like everyone you see wearing red) or cars a certain colour or with headlights on (also known as brighting).

4. Anchoring where someone will provide false rationales for the harassment. Often many possible rationales are planted to keep the victim confused and the focus of the harassment cycles from one possible reason to another round and around so as to keep the victim guessing in a self perpetuating guessing game where every scenario you are to blame and causes self doubt, fear, intimidation, and ultimately a cycle of destructive thoughts

5. Mobbing (or true gangstalking) where everywhere you go you will see an overt display of people following you and often use words you have been conditioned to or your name to draw your attention

6. Street theatre where a group will "perform" an overt activity like a fake confrontation or scenarios designed to test your reactions. Often takes the form of a couple who position themselves near you and then have a conversation using many of your conditioned words or pertaining to your particular situation and sensitivities.

7.The use of directional speakers which have the ability to direct sound to a single individual in a crowded room. This particular item is ultimately the most used and is often referred to as V2K or Voice to Skull and is used extensively in the psychological breakdown of the victim. The use initially is to cause the victim to doubt their sanity and drive them into the mental health system, and later to just torment and never give the victim a moment of privacy or peace. If they do enter the mental health system, then harassment escalates dramatically as the victim has now been "discredited" and can be labeled as someone with a mental problem if they do speak out about the harassment.

8.The use of electronics to effect your eyesight and balance which was originally developed for the military and police crowd control called "dazzling" and even the ability to make you vomit.

9. Sound campaigns which is a community harassment technique where abnormal levels of sound are directed at the victim and their home. This includes cars deliberately breaking or accelerating when they pass, people talking excessively loudly at all hours of the night, amplification of sound using directional speakers so that external sounds that previously couldnt be heard now can, garbage trucks and other heavy vehicles, and countless other deliberate tactics

10.Sleep deprivation - this is perhaps one of the most insidious tactics used where victims are woken multiple times a night and can be kept awake for days at a time. The effects are dramatic and completely debilitating effecting concentration, mood, irritability, appearance, and significantly impacts all areas of their life - job performance, relationships, and significantly aids in discrediting the victim as


Caption: Colour harassment is also one of the harassment techniques often used in the torture and harassment campaign against dissidents, whistleblowers, human rights defenders, and the like, as recognised by the ohchr - https://www.ohchr.org.

The State Actor Respondents have therefore subjected me to a coordinated course of conduct consisting of colour harassment, 24/7 intrusive surveillance, mass misuse of private information, commercial exploitation on an industrial scale, relentless harassment, cyberstalking, social media threats, and the weaponisation of doxxing materials. These exact methods are formally recognised by the United Nations Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR) as core tactics of physical and digital harassment designed to inflict severe psychological distress and compromise personal safety.

See United Nations Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR), *Harassment Techniques Framework*, available at: https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/Documents/Issues/Torture/Call/Individuals/Harrassmenttechniques.pdf. This official submission repository outlines the systemic deployment of colour harassment, 24/7 illegal surveillance, both with video and audio surveillance devices, hacking, continuous digital monitoring, phone tracking, information gathering designed to build psychological profiles and exploit target vulnerabilities, and many more harassment techniques, aimed at violating as many human rights articles as possible and to completely destroy the victims the will to live.

On 27 May 2026, at approximately 12:54 pm, immediately after I finished editing the previous paragraph, I observed Person B exiting the property and violently slamming the main door shut. She and other individuals did this multiple times throughout the day whenever I added crucial information and evidence to this injunction bundle.

🖼️ **Figure 21**



Caption: At 14:40 pm, as I was just about to walk past a grocery shop, two individuals suddenly walked out of the shop to startle me!


The startle and colour harassment techniques have been used in the harassment campaign against me quite often. As you can see in the image above, one of them also wore a hoodie with a **blue** stripe at the front, and blue colour is also among the key colour signifiers frequently used in the harassment campaign against me. Especially, whenever I make progress in this case, expose their misconduct, add more crucial information and evidence to my legal documents, on the verge of filing my injunction application, and so forth.

The State Actor Respondents utilise unauthorised real-time surveillance, including hidden cameras and phone tracking, to coordinate a series of ‘shock’ encounters involving housemates and various third parties whenever I go out. These encounters are timed to coincide with my legal filings and legal correspondences, intentionally creating a climate of fear to coerce me into abandoning the proceedings and injunction application.

This strongly suggests they may have been receiving real-time instructions from the State Actor Respondents or their agents on when to emerge to cause maximum psychological disruption. This type of startle tactic—timed perfectly to moments of transition—is a repeated pattern designed to harass and destabilise me. Given the precision of their appearance and the context, it further supports the presence of ongoing surveillance and coordinated interference with my movements and peace of mind.

The timing, symbolic clothing, since one of them also wears a hoodie with a “blue” stripe on it, and an abrupt exit appears intended to startle me and reinforce the message that I am being constantly surveilled and controlled.

🖼️ **Figure 22**




Caption: At 14:42 pm, just about 2 minutes later, I observed another individual walking past me with a clenching fist as if deliberate, to make me feel intimidated.

Figure 23



Caption: A closeup of his clenching fist.

🖼️ **Figure 24**



Caption: Two minutes after encountering the man with a clenching fist, at 14:44 pm, when I was on my way home, I observed another police car driving past me. Before it, there's also a bus with an advertisement of a ***Batman*** film on its side, as if to let me know that this film may have also incorporated my private information into it. Because they have repeatedly used this type of hints to let me know, where my private information may have been disseminated / sold to and misused for profit.

🖼️ **Figure 25**



Caption: At 14:46 pm, just 2 minutes after seeing the police vehicle, and I was just about to reach home, I observed an elderly male housemate (Person C, residing in room 2) walking past me with his fist clenching as well.

🖼️ Figure 26



Caption: This is a closeup of his clenching fist, which seems intentional once again.

EXHIBIT BW-28 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Screenshots of my draft petition documents, photos of police on foot and police vehicles in my vicinity soon after I had drafted my petition and left the property, and more threatening lines and X / No.10 symbols being drawn in the sky by the aircraft afterwards.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 358-383

(The following pages are BW-28, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-28

HACKING, POLICE STALKING, VOYEURISM & WITNESS INTIMIDATION ESCALATION

19-21 May 2026


🖼️ Figure 1



Caption: On 19 May 2026, I began to draft my petition to publish it on change.org when the aggressive door-slamming started as I drafted it.

On 21 May 2026, at 22:22 pm, immediately after typing the above-mentioned paragraph, especially about the door-slamming, I heard an individual exit the property and slam the main door shut. This further indicates once again that the Respondents, particularly the public officials, are illegally cyberstalking me at all times to gain insight into my legal strategies and immediately intimidate me according to what I type.

🖼️ Figure 2




Caption: This is another draft petition document that I created on 20 May 2026 that appeared to immediately trigger even more violent and aggressive door-slamming at approximately 8:43 am on the same day, which broke off the lock of the main door.

Upon looking out the window, I observed two young Black men exiting the property immediately after the loud door-smacking, hopping on their bicycles and fleeing the scene. Right after, I also observed Pablo exiting the property.


It looks therefore like they're waiting by the main door with Pablo. Then, as soon as I finished editing my document above, they appeared to receive the instruction from Pablo to violently smack the main door. Because this is not the first time that I observe Pablo appearing to instruct others to harass or intimidate me. Because he himself also seems to stalk and harass me from time to time.

🖼️ Figure 3




Caption: The screenshot shows that I created this petition document on 20 May 2026.

🖼️ Figure 4



Caption: Photo showing the lock of the main door had been broken after the violent door-smacking this morning. I took this photo on my way out to my appointment at Uxbridge Jobcentre later that day.

🖼️ Figure 5



Caption: Later, on the same day, the estate agent sent someone out to fix it.

🖼️ Figure 6



Caption: On 23 May 2026, shortly after I added more information in **Figure 2, p.387,** regarding Pablo, at precisely 10 am, he immediately exited the property and slammed the main door shut, as seen in the photo above. He immediately turned left after exiting the property to avoid being photographed by me as evidence as usual. This suggests once again that all my online activities and communications are being illegally monitored at all times. Once again, the time symbolically contained just “No.10” (10 am).

🖼️ Figure 7



Caption: A closeup of him, apparently wearing a **dark army green** hoodie, one of the main colour signifiers frequently used in the harassment campaign against me.

🖼️ Figure 8



Caption: A more clear picture of Pablo taken from another day, who is believed to be originally from the Bahamas.

🖼️ Figure 9



Caption: I didn't take pictures of the two young Black men, who allegedly broke the lock of the main door, as described on pages 360-363. Because when I looked out the window ready to take pictures of them as evidence, one of them looked right at me, who also wore a **green** jacket, one of the key colour signifiers frequently used in the harassment campaign against me, so I didn't dare to take pictures of them right in front of their faces.

But after they're gone, at 8:43 am, I observed these three individuals, as seen in the photo above, walking past my window in a manner that looked arranged. This is what appears to be one of their methods of conveying surveillance. Because every time I look out of the window, some individuals will suddenly emerge as if to let me know that all my movements within my own room are being tracked and observed 24/7 via hidden cameras - to make me feel uncomfortable around the clock, as a new form of torture.

Moreover, the hidden cameras also appear to non-consensually film me with audio as well around the clock. The illegal recordings then appear to be distributed and live-streamed to various places for profit, as I have mentioned elsewhere in this injunction bundle.

🖼️ Figure 10



Caption: A closeup of one of them.

🖼️ Figure 11




Caption: Then, there's also a white van with the name “enterprise” on its side, which appears to mock me regarding the “illegal enterprise” that I have also mentioned elsewhere in this injunction bundle. It also seems to allude to something else as well. All in all, this further suggests that all my legal strategies are being monitored, stolen and reflected back to me to also taunt me.

🖼️ Figure 12



Caption: On 22 May 2026, at 19:21 pm, immediately after I finished editing the last paragraph under the previous photo regarding the word “enterprise” (because I edited this part of this Exhibit today, 22 May 2026), I heard a motorcycle accelerating aggressively past my window, as if to make me go look out the window again. When I did, I observed a large “X” or “No.10” symbol being drawn in the sky by the aircraft right outside my window again.

🖼️ **Figure 13**



Caption: On 20 May 2026, after the door-smacking incident in the morning that caused the lock of the main door to break, at 14:21 pm, I left the property heading to my appointment at

Uxbridge Jobcentre. Immediately after exiting the property, I observed two police officers on foot just walking past my line of sight, as seen in the photo above. This is how the 2nd Respondent (The Police) appears to let me know that the police are also stalking and surveilling me at all times.

🖼️ Figure 14




This is a closeup of them.

🖼️ Figure 15



Caption: On the same day, a minute later, I also observed a police vehicle driving past me.


🖼️ **Figure 16**



Caption: This is a closeup of it. The number plate also seems to contain number 18 and the last three letters “BUU.” My first name also begins with “BU.” This is how the Respondents appear to be using vehicle registration numbers as their secret communications. One of harassment techniques, including **colour harassment**, often used in organised harassment as recognised by many well-established UNs.

On 23 May 2026, at approximately 10:39 am, immediately after editing the previous paragraph, Eddy was observed exiting the property and slamming the main door shut.

🖼️ Figure 17



Caption: Screenshot of what another UK survivor, Nikki Adebiyi, of organised harassment and covert surveillance has also described the use of license plates as a typical means of secret communications.

Source:
https://bounceblack.org/2025/01/09/hidden-in-plain-sight-workplace-retaliation-and-organised-harassment/

🖼️ **Figure 18**




Caption: On 23 May 2026, at 12:31 pm, as I was highlighting the phrase "license plates are a typical means of secret communications" in the previous image before adding it to this Exhibit, Eddy was observed exiting the property again. It's like this almost every time I privately expose their misconduct in my legal documents, or add crucial information / evidence to this injunction bundle, someone will immediately exit/return to the property and slam the main door shut, to let me know that all my legal strategies are being monitored 24/7 via unauthorised access to my devices. It's like a kind of coercive control.

🖼️ Figure 19



Caption: A closeup of Eddy wearing a **navy blue Adidas** jacket as usual. As I said earlier, **navy blue** colour, **Adidas** and **Nike** brands, have been used consistently in the harassment campaign against me, to let me know it's intentional.


🖼️ **Figure 20**



Caption: At 15:05 pm, when I was just about to wipe myself after using the bathroom, I heard an individual approach the main door and stop. Then, immediately upon wiping myself, the individual exited the property in sync with my movement.


When I ran out of the bathroom to take pictures of the individual as evidence of a coordinated harassment to convey surveillance, I observed Person A leaving the property and standing in front of the residence for a brief moment as if intentional. It's like, he knew I would run out of the bathroom and take pictures of him as evidence. Because by standing with his back to me, I would then see his "**navy blue**" backpack from "**Nike**."

🖼️ Figure 21



Caption: Later, also on 20 May 2026, I also edited another legal document concerning the 1st Respondent (UK PM Keir Starmer).

Figure 22



Caption: The screenshot shows that I created the document on 20 May 2026.

🖼️ Figure 23



Caption: Soon after creating the document as seen in Figure 21, p.404, regarding Respondent Keir Starmer, the aircraft began to "**draw a line**" right outside my window again.

On 30 May 2026, at approximately 19:05 pm, immediately after I finished typing the above-mentioned paragraph regarding Keir Starmer, I heard an individual suddenly exit the property again and violently slam the main door shut.

🖼️ Figure 24



Caption: The aircraft continued to draw lines and X symbols throughout the day and night right outside my window.

🖼️ Figure 25


20 May 2026
20:16 28, New Windsor Street, England, UB8 2TU, United Kingdom

Figure 26


20 May 2026
20:16 28, New Windsor Street, England, UB8 2TU, United Kingdom

EXHIBIT BW-29 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: I have exhibited photographs and/or records of certain vehicles and registration numbers believed to be associated with individuals involved in or connected to the alleged harassment and related conduct. I respectfully submit that the identities of the registered keepers and/or users of such vehicles may assist in identifying persons involved in organising, coordinating, facilitating, or participating in the matters complained of. I therefore seek such disclosure, investigation, or identification measures as the Court considers just and appropriate in the interests of justice.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 384-398

(The following pages are BW-29, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-29

CARS & ESCALATION IN WITNESS INTIMIDATION

🖼️ Figure 1



Caption: At 19:11 pm, on 17 May 2026, immediately upon closing the window, a car emerged from the side road The Lynch opposite my residence. The man driving the car also appeared to wear a green top or jacket; one of the main colour signifiers frequently used in the harassment campaign against me. He even seemed to smile slyly and knowingly while driving past my window.

It's like this almost every single time I open or close the window, or just to be near the window, a car would suddenly emerge from this side road opposite my residence - often navy blue cars with specific number plates that seem to convey secret communications.

Figure 2



A closeup of it.

🖼️ Figure 3



Caption: On 21 May 2026, at 6:45 am, immediately after I habitually leaned myself forward a bit while using the bathroom to ease my lower back, a car door was heard being slammed shut as usual. Because this is not an isolated incident when they have repeatedly conditioned me to the types of external sounds they often used to convey surveillance: a sound of a car door being slammed shut is one of them. They use it a lot particularly when I turn around in bed, either from left to right or right to left, to make me feel uncomfortable that this sound is synonymous with surveillance hints.

Figure 4



Caption: The driver also wore a cap with some red colour, and red colour is one of the main colour signifiers often used in the harassment campaign against me to let me know that it's intentional. Because they knew that I would come out of the bathroom and take pictures of him as evidence.

🖼️ Figure 5



Caption: He also wore orange gloves and a burgundy jacket. Orange and burgundy colours have also been used consistently in the harassment alongside **navy blue, red, pink and army green**, for instance.

🖼️ Figure 6



Caption: This is the number plate of his van, which seems to convey a secret communication as usual. Because DK appears to refer to Denmark. My daughter and I are also from Denmark. The number “6” then either refers to “sex” and the sexual abuse of both of us via hidden cameras (voyeurism, distribution, live-streaming = cybersex trade) or the violation of our human right Article 6 (right to a fair trial) or both.This is how they appear to convey multiple secret communications of theirs in one object, imagery, outfit, paragraph of text, etc.

🖼️ Figure 7



Caption: On 22 May 2026, at approximately 00:03 am, immediately upon logging into my X account, I observed this post near the top of my feed, featuring a van similar to the picture of a van in the previous image. This further indicates once again that some of the Respondents are illegally monitoring all my legal strategies at all times and disseminating my confidential information all over the place, including mocking and taunting me by reflecting everything back to me through social media posts, advertisements and films, for instance. This may constitute once again litigation misconduct, perverting the course of justice and an abuse of process.

🖼️ Figure 8



Caption: On 21 May 2026, at **16:03** pm, immediately upon habitually leaning myself forward a bit to ease my lower back while using the bathroom, a car door was heard slamming shut. When I ran out to investigate, I observed a white car parking outside. The time ended in a symbolic number 3 again, apparently referring to the violation of my human right Article 3 (Prohibition of torture, inhuman or degrading treatment) of the ECHR as usual. There were also two individuals, wearing black-and-white outfits, walking on the other side of the road making it 3 in total.

🖼️ Figure 9



Caption: This is a close-up of it showing a number plate: Y512 CDE. This looks like a secret communication alluding to a hidden threat as usual. Because number 12 seems to refer to how many years my daughter and her ex-boyfriend were together before they broke up, which is 12 years. Then, the letter “DE” at the end appears to refer to Germany, where my daughter lives. This is how they also appear to use registration number plates to convey their secret communications. It’s a known technique often used in organised harassment.

🖼️ Figure 10



Caption: On the same day, at 16:20 pm, immediately upon adding the previous images concerning a white car to this Exhibit, the emergency sirens from an ambulance were heard outside as if to prompt me to go look out the window as usual.

🖼️ Figure 11




Caption: When I did, I observed a **dark army green** truck emerging and driving past my window. This is a screenshot taken from the video that I took with my tablet. The man in the picture also wore a **Nike** jumper and carried a **blue** bag with a **red** plastic bag sticking out. These colours (**black & white, blue, red, army green**) are also some of the main colour signifiers often used in the harassment campaign against me, including **Adidas** and **Nike** brands.

🖼️ Figure 12



Caption: The phrase on the side of it **“Warning, Keep Clear”** appears to be a hidden threat as usual. So, the ambulance seems to act as a messenger to get me to go look out the window, so I will see this implied threat.

🖼️ **Figure 13**



Caption: On 22 May 2026, at approximately 4:31 am, immediately upon habitually grabbing my nightgown between my legs while using the bathroom, so it didn't fall into the toilet, I heard someone slam a car door shut. It's this white van as seen in the image above. This is how they sexually humiliate me while I am using the bathroom to retaliate as an extra punishment almost every time I expose their misconduct. Because before I went to the bathroom, I had just finished drafting a formal letter intended to send to all commercial entities, such as film production and tech companies, who are believed to have unlawfully received my private information from the state actors I am suing and then incorporated it into their films and commercials, making lots of

profit from it without me receiving anything for it. This is the title of the letter: **Notice Of Material Corporate Risk, Criminal Liability, And Compliance Failure Under The UK Bribery Act 2010, Proceeds Of Crime Act 2002, And Economic Crime Legislation.**

I therefore believe it triggered the extra punishment. Because the car door-slamming shut while I habitually lean myself forward or grab my shirt between my legs while using the bathroom mostly happens after I have exposed their misconduct or planned my legal strategies in my legal documents. It again further suggests that the state actor respondents are illegally monitoring my devices at all times. Furthermore, it also strongly suggests that they have projected the footage onto the big screen to be able to observe my tiny movements and plan their attacks to precision, to give me a sense of extreme privacy violation, sexual humiliation and uneasiness when even my tiniest movements are being meticulously monitored and reflected back to me in real-time amounting to severe psychological torture.

I now have a credible fear that they may do the exact same thing to my daughter. Particularly when she's much younger than me, and especially when she is being prevented from ever knowing that she may also be a victim of the same sexual abuse and exploitation via hidden cameras, **as described in paragraphs 4.1-4.8 (pages 66-67) and 16.1 (p.82).**

Thus, the ongoing and escalating nature of the Respondents' conduct, including the repeated unlawful installation of hidden cameras, covert filming, voyeurism and dissemination of private materials, demonstrates a clear pattern of **predatory behavior** rather than isolated incidents. This mirrors concerns raised in other high-profile cases, such as the recent lawsuit against Will Smith, where the plaintiff alleged a 'sequence of events' and 'circumstances... all point to a pattern of **predatory behavior rather than an isolated incident'** in the context of sexual harassment and retaliation. The Respondents' continued actions, particularly after complaints, constitute escalating retaliation and victimisation, necessitating immediate injunctive relief to prevent further irreparable harm and to halt this pervasive pattern of abuse.

EXHIBIT BW-30 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Photos of vehicles that parked their cars in front of my window or individuals exited/returned to the property when I was using the bathroom. The times also often symbolically started or ended in the "No.10." I also added another cause to my Draft Order which was "**The Proceeds of Crime Act 2002 (POCA)**" that appeared to trigger more threatening symbols in the sky once again.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026


Bundle pages: 399-417

(The following pages are BW-30, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-30


VOYEURISM, ESCALATION IN WITNESS INTIMIDATION & NO.10 HINTS

🖼️ Figure 1



Caption: At 5:10 am on 22 May 2026, immediately upon typing a caption under the previous image - particularly about how I think they may have “projected the footage onto the big screen to be able to observe my tiny movements and plan their attacks to precision” - a car door was heard slamming shut outside. It was from a **navy blue** car as seen in the image above. The time symbolically ended in the “No.10” again.

🖼️ Figure 2



Caption: At approximately 10:37 am, within hours of me drafting the letter “**Notice Of Material Corporate Risk, Criminal Liability, And Compliance Failure Under The UK Bribery Act 2010, Proceeds Of Crime Act 2002, And Economic Crime Legislation,”** writing about my suspicion that the footage may be projected onto a big screen to be able to observe me down to my tiniest movements, my suspicion that they may be targeting my daughter as well and the “No.10” symbol, when I woke up in the morning I observed the aircraft “**drawing lines**” and “target-like” or “X” / “No.10” symbols again right outside my window. It's like this almost every time I expose their misconduct, particularly raising concerns about my daughter potentially being targeted as well, even privately in my legal documents.

On 23 May 2026, at precisely 04:35 am, immediately after typing the phrase, “particularly raising concerns about my daughter potentially being targeted as well,” in the previous paragraph, because I added this info today, a car was observed driving past my window. My daughter is also approximately 35 years old. The time, 04:35 am, also contains the number “35” matching my daughter’s age.

This is how they seem to use vehicles, ambulances, police vehicles, motorcycles, individuals, and sometimes even helicopters / aircraft, a lot lately to drive, walk past my window, or fly past my residence, at the specific time - mostly when the time contains number 10, 18, 6, 3, 8, 35, 11, 15, 23, 24, 46 or 50 - to symbolically allude to something or someone as always.


On 8 June 2026, at approximately **10:28 am**, immediately upon editing the text regarding "**Proceeds Of Crime Act 2002"** on p.432, I observed Person A exiting the property and slamming the main door shut. He even turned around and gave me a fierce look before walking away. He also wore a **navy blue** jacket today. The time symbolically concluded with the digit **"8"** again.

🖼️ Figure 3



Caption: The aircraft continued to "draw lines" and "target-like" or "X" / "No.10" symbols right outside my window and residence throughout the day.

🖼️ Figure 4




🖼️ **Figure 5**



This one also looked like a sword.

Figure 6




🖼️ Figure 7



Caption: At 15:25 pm, immediately upon logging into my X account, I observed Person B, the one in a red circle, exiting the property and slamming the main door shut. This looks again like coercive control and suppression of freedom of expression (Article 10), when this happens almost every single time I am using social media as if to get me off the internet by illegally monitoring my devices at all times to control me, and then immediately intimidate me through their proxy agents whenever I am using social media.

🖼️ Figure 8



Caption: Immediately upon editing another paragraph about **predatory behaviour**, an NHS app sent me a text message about "**breast screening."** This looks therefore like a **sexual harassment and an obsession, including cyberstalking,** using third parties as tools for sexual harassment - either knowingly or unknowingly. The time symbolically ended in the "**No.10"** again.


On 8 June 2026, at approximately **11:51 am**, immediately upon editing the previous paragraph regarding "**predatory behaviour"** once again, I heard someone begin to converse loudly on the phone right outside my room, which sounded like Person F, residing in room 3 opposite my room. The time symbolically ended in the number "**51**" again, which can be read as the number "**15**" in reverse as usual.

🖼️ Figure 9



Caption: At precisely **18:10 pm**, as I began to edit this injunction bundle, a man's voice could be heard talking on the phone loudly while walking past my window, as seen in the image above in a red circle. I took a video of him when he was almost gone from my view. Consequently, the harassment seems to escalate exponentially the more I add more crucial information and evidence concerning **the 1st Respondent (Keir Starmer).** The time symbolically ended in the "**No.10**" once again.

🖼️ **Figure 10**



Caption: As I continued to edit my injunction bundle, at precisely **20:10 pm**, I heard a motorcycle suddenly accelerating aggressively past my window once again, as if to prompt me to go look out the window again. When I did, I observed the aircraft "**drawing a line"** in the sky right outside my window again. The time also symbolically ended in the "**No.10"** once again.

On 23 May 2026, at approximately 4:23 am, immediately upon habitually twisting my right hand a bit while defecating, a man’s voice was heard shouting briefly outside my window. This is how they seem to target my habits and my tiniest movements to convey that I am being watched 24/7 via hidden cameras. By signalling to me that everything I do has been unlawfully recorded and projected onto a big screen to zoom in, analyse and study in detail to be able to attack me when I habitually do something that you almost won't notice, unless everything has been zoomed in and studied in detail, they create a sense of disturbance as a form of psychological torture - not just mere voyeurism.


When I quickly came out of the bathroom and looked out of the window to investigate, the individual quickly disappeared. It's like this almost every time when I let my guard down by not placing my mobile phone by the window while I am using the bathroom or do something to record surveillance-like behaviour, because I cannot keep doing it every single time, they will immediately employ this tactic. It's like, it's fun for them to play cat & mouse with me.

🖼️ Figure 11



Caption: A bit later, immediately after reaching for the toilet roll and putting it down, a car was captured on my mobile phone camera driving past my window, as seen in the screenshot above. Because I decided to capture surveillance-like activities this time after the previous incident. This is how they also began to use vehicles and motorcycles a lot lately, to convey surveillance.

🖼️ Figure 12



Caption: On 23 May 2026, at precisely 8:01 am, immediately after I made a slight move in bed, an elderly male housemate Eddy exited the property. The time symbolically ended in the number “01” again, which could be read as the “No.10” in reverse. I took some photos of him upon his return from the grocery shop next door. Hence, the time showed “02” instead of “01” when he came back a minute later.

🖼️ Figure 13



Caption: A white van behind him with a navy blue sign also had the phrase “front runn” prominently shown right next behind him. This suggests a very well organised harassment. Because it indicates once again that all my movements are being tracked inside my own room 24/7, when they appear to know that I was waiting by the window to take pictures of him as evidence upon his return. Hence, they immediately arranged this van with the phrase “front runn” to drive past my residence at the same time he returned to the property. So, when I took pictures of him, this van would also be in the pictures conveying a secret communication again.

failure—to prevent the prosecution of this claim, the Respondents may try to **pervert the course of justice**. This is a flagrant attempt to silence a witness and litigant through 'extra-judicial' means, and the Court must exercise its inherent jurisdiction to stop this abuse immediately.


4. ABUSE OF PROCESS & MISLEADING THE COURT

The Respondents obtained a strike-out of this claim by intentionally misleading the Court, utilising illegal monitoring of the Applicant’s devices to "front-run" legal strategies. This strike-out is now being used as a "shield" to accelerate the blackmail. The Court’s inherent jurisdiction must be used to **Set Aside the Execution** of the strike-out to allow a full hearing on these abuses.

Caption: The phrase “front run” on the side of the van may refer to the word “front-run” in my injunction bundle.


On 30 May 2026, at approximately 19:25 pm, immediately after I finished editing the above-mentioned text regarding “front-run” legal strategies, I heard an individual suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut.

🖼️ **Figure 14**



Caption: On 23 May 2026, at 14:31 pm, I edited my Draft Order and added "**The Proceeds of Crime Act 2002 (POCA)**" to it, which sat at the very top of it.

🖼️ Figure 15



Caption: Screenshot showing the time when I added "**The Proceeds of Crime Act 2002 (POCA)**" to it.

🖼️ Figure 16




Caption: Within minutes of me adding this, at 15:06 pm, I heard an individual exit the property and slam the main door shut, as if to prompt me to go look out of the window as usual. When I did, I saw a large “X” or “No.10” symbol being drawn by the aircraft in the sky right outside my window once again, as seen in a red circle in the photo above. The time symbolically ended in the number “6” again, referring to the violation of my human right Article 6 (right to a fair trial) of the ECHR as usual.

🖼️ Figure 17



Caption: At precisely 16:10 pm, immediately after editing the caption under Figure 16, p., regarding "**The Proceeds of Crime Act 2002 (POCA)**," a helicopter was heard flying low past my residence, as if to prompt me to go look out the window again. When I did look out the window, I observed the aircraft "**drawing a line"** right outside my window. The time also symbolically ended in the "**No.10"** once again.

🖼️ **Figure 18**



Caption: The aircraft continued to draw a “target-like” / “X” / “No.10” symbol right outside my window as usual.

EXHIBIT BW-31 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Screenshots and photos of series of events happened between 24-27 May 2026, in which police vehicles would frequently drive past my window every time I added crucial information and evidence to this injunction bundle. Particularly, concerning my daughter that the primary respondents may also be sexually abusing and exploiting her via hidden cameras. Screenshots of X suspending my account, immediately after I posted some evidence and information on X that my daughter may also be a victim of the exact same abuse.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 418-442

(The following pages are BW-31 paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-31

INCREASE POLICE PRESENCE, X SUSPENDED MY ACCOUNT & FURTHER WITNESS INTIMIDATION

🖼️ Figure 1



Caption: On 24 May 2026**,** at approximately 8:59 am, immediately after turning around in bed to lie flat facing the ceiling, I heard some rattling sounds outside my window, which were from the vehicle as seen in the photo above.

🖼️ Figure 2



Caption: This is its licence plate which is: LN20 YHH.

🖼️ Figure 3



Caption: Later, on 24 May 2026, at approximately 14:21 pm, immediately after adding the names of other Respondents, such as **Meta Platforms and Elon Musk,** to the top of my injunction bundle under the title **"Immediate Irreparable Harm ("Hot Pursuit"),** page 5, Eddy was observed exiting the property and slamming the main door shut. He wore a cream top today, as seen in the image above, and this colour has been used consistently in the harassment lately.

🖼️ Figure 4




Caption: On 24 May 2026, at approximately 16:48 pm, immediately after typing the information about “stolen data” into this injunction bundle, such as on page 113 and other pages, I heard an individual exit the property and violently slam the main door shut. It was the younger Black man wearing a **green** pilot jacket on the right in the picture above. He does this almost every time lately whenever I add more crucial information and evidence to this injunction application bundle concerning the 1st & 2nd Respondents (Keir Starmer and the Police), including regarding “stolen data” and the possibility that **Keir Starmer, the Police, and other unknown public official respondents,** may also be sexually abusing and exploiting my daughter via hidden cameras.

On the same day, at approximately 19:46 pm, he did it again immediately after I added more information to this injunction application bundle on page 427, concerning **The Proceeds of Crime Act 2002 (POCA), Money Laundering,** and my belief that the 1st & 2nd Respondents' illegal enterprise also extends to my 35-year-old daughter. Because I reasonably believe they have also illegally installed hidden cameras in her residence to live-stream her private life for profit, now that they have gotten rid of her ex-boyfriend.

On 8 June 2026, at approximately 12:42 pm, immediately upon adding the information about my daughter into the previous paragraph regarding "I reasonably believe they have also illegally installed hidden cameras in her residence to live-stream her private life for profit, now that they have gotten rid of her ex-boyfriend," I heard the sound of someone making some noise in front of my room, as if the individual was about to exit the property. When I went to the window waiting to see who would come out of the property, the individual suddenly went back inside and didn't go out.

All in all, individuals would exit/return to the property and violently slam the main door shut throughout the day, as I add more crucial information and evidence to this injunction bundle - especially concerning my daughter.

🖼️ Figure 5



Caption: On 26 May 2026, at approximately 03:40 am, immediately after I added the previous paragraph under the previous image concerning my daughter, a housemate upstairs began to make some noise. Shortly after, I heard an individual run down the stairs, probably the same person who made some noise earlier, and apparently get into the car before it drove away.

🖼️ Figure 6




Caption: On 24 May 2026, at approximately 9:44 am, immediately upon looking out of the window, I observed two individuals suddenly emerging within my line of sight wearing two different shades of **blue**. Again, **blue** colour is also one of the key colour signifiers often used in the harassment campaign against me (colour harassment).

🖼️ Figure 7



Caption: This is a closeup of them.

🖼️ Figure 8



Moreover, I inform the Court that I have reason to believe the Primary Respondents' illegal enterprise extends to my 35-year-old daughter. I believe they have also illegally installed hidden cameras in her residence to live-stream her private life for profit (Voyeurism and Sexual Exploitation). This indicates a coordinated **criminal enterprise** for financial gain.

My daughter is being targeted for her age to maximise the revenue from illegal live-streaming. This constitutes **Money Laundering** of the proceeds from crime.

6. ADVERSE INFERENCE FROM BLOCKING LEGAL COUNSEL

The Respondents' systematic efforts to monitor my communications and block my access to lawyers should therefore be viewed by the Court as an **implied admission of guilt**. They are attempting to prevent the discovery of these criminal acts by ensuring I remain a "trapped" litigant in person, unable to present this evidence through professional counsel.

The blocking of my access to privacy and 'image-based sexual abuse' speciali
a deliberate tactic to protect this rev
stream and shield the public officials
involved from criminal prosecution.

Requesting "Search and Seizure" (Anton Piller Order)

Because there is a risk they will delete the footage as soon as they see your application, you can ask the Judge for a **"Search Order"** (formerly an Anton Piller Order). This allows an independent solicitor to enter their premises to seize the servers or devices containing the illegal recordings.

- **Why ask now?** Even if the judge doesn't grant it immediately, putting the request on the record makes the judge aware of the **destruction of evidence**.

International Escalation

Since your daughter is also a victim, she
(or you on her behalf) can file a separate

Caption: Screenshots of what I had added to the top of this injunction bundle on 24 May 2026 under the title "**Cover Letter to the Court,"** pages 12-14, concerning my daughter that seemed to have triggered violent-door slamming shut by individuals / housemates throughout the day, including police vehicles frequently driving past my window afterwards

## International Escalation Since your daughter is also a victim, she (or you on her behalf) can file a separate report to the **UN Special Rapporteur on Violence Against Women and Girls** and the **UN Special Rapporteur on the Right to Privacy**. • **The Argument:** The state actors, including the PM Keir Starmer, are potentially using technology to commit **gender-based violence** and digital enslavement.	
Caption: Screenshots of what I had also asked Ai about.	**Caption:** On 24 May 2026, at approximately 20:41 pm, I also took a screenshot of Elon Musk mentioning **Germany** again, seemingly alluding to my daughter who also lives in **Germany**.

🖼️ Figure 9



Caption: On 24 May 2026, at approximately 20:49 pm, within minutes of me taking a screenshot of Elon Musk talking about Germany, I observed a police vehicle driving past my window once again. This happens repeatedly whenever I expose their conspiracy, misconduct, gather evidence, log into my X account, raise concerns about my daughter, or mention the 1st & 2nd respondents (Keir Starmer and the Police) in this injunction bundle, for instance, housemates / individuals would immediately exit / return to the property and violently slam the main door shut, causing me significant distress and anxiety. At the same time police vehicles have also been observed frequently driving past my window right after they have aggressively slammed the main door shut.


🖼️ Figure 10



Caption: On 24 May 2026, at approximately 22:31 pm, I observed a police vehicle driving past my window again immediately after I posted on X with pictures of evidence about housemates / individuals who would immediately exit / return to the property and violently slam the main door shut, including frequent police presence near my residence right after they have slammed the main door shut. Because this happens repeatedly whenever I add more crucial information and evidence regarding my daughter to this injunction bundle. I also posted the picture of a police car driving past my window, as seen above, on X immediately after.

Once again, this looks like witness intimidation and coercive control. Because whenever I type sensitive information into this injunction bundle, particularly concerning my daughter or that Keir Starmer may directly be behind this or complicit in this, violent door-smacking shut by housemates / individuals and police drive-by near my residence will immediately occur. Again, this strongly suggests that they are illegally monitoring all my legal strategies and immediately retaliating, which may amount to witness intimidation and perverting the course of justice.

🖼️ **Figure 11**



Caption: On 24 May 2026, at approximately 23:06 pm, again, immediately after I finished adding more info to this injunction bundle concerning my daughter once again, another police vehicle was observed driving past my window.

🖼️ **Figure 12**




Caption: On 25 May 2026, at precisely 00:18 am, immediately after I finished using the bathroom, I heard some noises outside and ran out to investigate. I then observed an individual, wearing a red sport top, bicycling past my window. At this point, I decided to post all evidence on X. So, there's a public record of what they are doing to me on a daily basis. Just because I try to expose corruption, stop the abuse and to assert my rights through court.

🖼️ Figure 13



Caption: This is a closeup of the individual wearing a red sport top, and red colour has also been consistently used in the harassment campaign against me.

🖼️ Figure 14



7. TEMPORAL LINK AND LITIGATION RETALIATION

I explicitly bring to the Court's attention that the sudden, severe escalation of harassment by publication and the distribution of my private materials to commercial entities occurred in direct temporal proximity to my active preparation and filing of this Injunction Application. Because I reasonably believe the 1st & 2nd respondents are unlawfully monitoring my electronic devices and intercepting my litigation strategies in real time, my attempts to seek judicial protection have directly triggered this wave of retaliation. This is a clear, textbook example of **Witness Intimidation**, tactical blackmail, and **Perverting the Course of Justice**. The Respondents are actively weaponising my intercepted legal data to inflict maximum distress, explicitly calculated to force me to abandon my claim.

I am therefore requesting that a Judge review my papers immediately to grant an interim order to stop the severe harassment, witness intimidation, the leaks, the illegal surveillance, the mass misuse of my private information and the commercial exploitation on an industrial scale, amounting to money laundering under **The Proceeds of Crime Act 2002 (POCA).**

8. CRIMINAL ENTERPRISE AND EXPLOITATION OF A FAMILY MEMBER

Moreover, I inform the Court that I have reason to believe the 1st & 2nd Respondents' illegal enterprise extends to my 35-year-old daughter. I believe they have also illegally installed hidden cameras in her residence to live-stream her private life for profit (Voyeurism and Sexual Exploitation). This indicates a coordinated **criminal enterprise** for financial gain.

My daughter is being targeted for her age to maximise the revenue from illegal live-streaming. This constitutes **Money Laundering** of the proceeds from crime.


On 24 May 2026, throughout the day, immediately after raising concerns about my daughter, as described above, I observed police vehicles frequently driving past my window. Housemates also began to exit/return to the property and violently slam the main door shut.

9. AGGRAVATING FACTORS: ILLEGAL RECORDING AND SEXUAL EXPLOITATION OF MY DAUGHTER AS WELL

I have reason to believe that the Respondents' illegal enterprise - particularly the 1st and 2nd Respondents - includes the installation of hidden cameras

Caption: This is the last piece of information that I posted on X, which might have caused X to immediately suspend my account permanently. It's like this almost every time I raise concerns about my daughter or expose the Respondents' misconduct, the retaliation is swift. It's also an abuse of power.


🖼️ Figure 15



Caption: On 25 May 2026, at 00:42 am, X sent an email to me, notifying me that they have permanently suspended my account. This occurred almost immediately after I posted the screenshot, as seen in the previous image, on X.

On 30 May 2026, at approximately 19:35 pm, immediately upon editing this Exhibit regarding X suspending my account right after I posted some information on X concerning my daughter, which I reasonably believe the State Actor Respondents are also sexually abusing and exploiting her via hidden cameras, I heard a motorcycle accelerate aggressively past my window.

🖼️ Figure 16



Caption: Screenshot of the message displayed on X that my account has been suspended. This occurred around 00:43 am on 25 May 2026.

They also hid all my posts, so no one could see the evidence and information concerning this matter.

On 26 May 2026, at precisely 09:55 am, immediately after I finished typing the previous sentence “They also hid all my posts, so no one could see the evidence,” a car horn was heard outside. The time ended in “55” again as if to mock me and express their trump, since they've done this often. Because “55” in Thai means “ha…ha” in English, which is sometimes used to express a mocking laugh, sarcasm or triumph

Because just before my account was suspended, I posted some pieces of information and evidence on X, illustrating how the police appeared to drive past my window several times and almost every time I updated my injunction bundle with new crucial information and evidence - particularly concerning the potential that the public official Respondents, such as Keir Starmer and the Police, may be sexually abusing and exploiting my daughter via hidden cameras as well. Because this happens almost every time I raise concerns about my daughter regarding sexual abuse via hidden cameras.

The timing of the platform's actions, in conjunction with the public official respondents' knowledge of my legal filings, raises serious concerns regarding the integrity of these proceedings.

Also, immediately after X had permanently suspended my account, I observed a police vehicle driving past my window again.

The temporal proximity between the restriction of my digital speech and the deployment of police resources to my residence suggests a coordinated effort to monitor my legal strategy and intimidate me into silence.

It has happened before as well on other platforms, such as Pinterest and Quora.

Therefore, the X platform's action is not an isolated technical event but a direct response to my public disclosures, which is an **act that facilitates the respondents' efforts to suppress the truth, thereby functioning as a form of witness intimidation and evidence tampering by preventing the public dissemination of information relevant to the court proceedings.**

The suspension of my account, occurring immediately after I published evidence of the public official respondents' misconduct, has the effect of silencing a witness and obstructing the disclosure of evidence, which constitutes an abuse of process that undermines the possibility of a fair trial.

The "Chilling Effect": The platform's actions, when combined with the physical intimidation I have experienced, create a cumulative environment of fear.

By the way, my X account remained active during previous disclosures, but was suspended immediately following my post regarding my daughter. This contrast shows that the suspension was not a neutral application of policy, but a targeted response to a specific, high-stakes disclosure.

The simultaneous occurrence of the account suspension and the increase in physical intimidation suggests a coordinated strategy. This is **evidence of a "consciousness of guilt," as the primary respondents are allegedly reacting to the exposure of their misconduct by attempting to isolate me and silence my warnings to my family and to communicate to the public.**

The X platform's intervention at this critical juncture serves as further evidence of a coordinated effort to silence my testimony.


I therefore ask the court to take notice of these patterns.

Given the sequence of these events, I respectfully submit that there is a reasonable inference of ongoing monitoring of my legal strategy, which constitutes an abuse of process and a violation of my Article 10 and Article 6 rights.

I therefore believe that the suppression is not merely a technical glitch but a targeted action that violates my rights under Article 10 of the Human Rights Act 1998 and view this ban as a direct consequence of the 1st, 2nd and unknown public official Respondents' influence. This demonstrates a pattern of behaviour that constitutes an abuse of process.

The Respondents' covert tactics create an acute, ongoing 'chilling effect.' By weaponising my private data to orchestrate immediate retaliation following online speech, the Respondents are intentionally creating an environment of fear. This acts as a severe, indirect prior restraint, coercing me into self-censorship to protect my personal safety. The loss of freedom of expression, even for minimal periods, constitutes per se irreparable harm that cannot be adequately remedied by an award of damages at trial.


🖼️ Figure 17



Caption: On 25 May 2026, at 11:26 am, immediately after I created a new document to notify the police's legal team about their client's abuse of process, this individual, as seen in the image above, suddenly exited the property and violently slammed the main door shut. He does this very often whenever I expose the police’s misconduct or type in crucial, sensitive information into this injunction bundle.

On 26 May 2026, at approximately 10:17 am, immediately after finishing typing the previous paragraph, two individuals were observed exiting the property and slamming the main door shut once again. One of them was Pablo.


🖼️ Figure 18



Caption: On 25 May 2026, at 12:11 pm, again, immediately after I created a new section “Aiding and Abetting A Criminal Enterprise” in my draft letter to the police’s legal team, another individual was observed exiting the property and violently slamming the main door shut. It's the individual in a white top, as seen in the image above.

On 26 May 2026, at approximately 10:27 am, immediately after finishing typing the previous paragraph, I heard a sound of an individual exit the property and slam the main door shut once again. I didn't even bother to look out of the window anymore to see who it was. Because all the housemates and their visitors appear to be involved in this. They just employ “distraction” and “coercive control” tactics every time I expose their misconduct.

🖼️ Figure 19



Caption: Screenshot of the document I was reading on 25 May 2026, at approximately 13:30 pm, regarding my daughter, whom I reasonably believe the public official respondents, including the primary respondents, may be targeting as well. Immediately upon finishing reading it, housemates instantly execute rapid exits, entries, and violent door-slamming in succession all over again.

🖼️ Figure 20



Caption: Photo of Person B, who exited the property and violently slammed the main door shut, immediately after I finished using the bathroom. This seems to serve two purposes: Firstly to intimidate me on the behalf of the public official respondents regarding the inclusion of vital information raising concerns about my daughter in my Witness Statement, as seen in the previous screenshot, and secondly to convey surveillance as always.

The Pattern of Coordinated Environmental Intimidation

The Respondents have thus deliberately utilised the unauthorised surveillance network within the Property to conduct a systematic campaign of psychological intimidation, passing the threshold of severe harassment and degrading treatment.

Specifically, the Respondents utilise real-time monitoring of my movements inside private spaces, including the bathroom, to trigger immediate, coordinated physical disruptions in the

shared areas of the property. This is executed to explicitly signal to me that my most private actions are being constantly watched, thereby maximising psychological distress.

By way of example, a consistent and documented pattern of conduct occurs as follows: every time I enter or utilise the bathroom facility, a co-occupant of the property immediately exits or enters the premises, repeatedly slamming the main structural door with excessive force.

This sequence of events is not accidental or coincidental; it is a repetitive, targeted, and synchronised reaction to my physical location inside the property, as described elsewhere in this injunction bundle.

This continuous course of conduct has had a devastating impact on my mental and physical integrity. It has created a hostile, volatile living environment where I am denied basic privacy, causing me severe and ongoing psychological distress, sleep deprivation, and acute anxiety regarding my physical safety inside my own home.

🖼️ Figure 21



Caption: On 25 May 2026, at 17:16 pm, immediately after adding information concerning the 1st Respondent (Keir Starmer) in this injunction application bundle, I observed an individual suddenly exiting the property and violently slamming the main door shut. He does it almost every time I talk about Keir Starmer and his alleged involvement in this.

🖼️ Figure 22



Caption: Photos of the same individual, who often exits / returns to the property every time I mention Keir Starmer (the 1st Respondent). Today, 27 May 2026, at approximately 18:50 pm, he immediately exited the property and violently slammed the main door shut, at the exact moment I was just about to edit the CERTIFICATE OF URGENCY - IMMEDIATE REVIEW REQUIRED cover letter to the court.

The photo above was taken upon his return.
At precisely 20:01 pm, as I resumed editing my CERTIFICATE OF URGENCY - IMMEDIATE REVIEW REQUIRED cover letter to the court, a motorcycle suddenly accelerated aggressively past my window. This is also noise harassment, aimed at causing severe distress and anxiety. The time symbolically ended in the “01” again, which can also be read as “No.10” in reverse.

The motorcycles also often aggressively accelerate past my window at the precise moment I add sensitive information and evidence to my injunction bundle.

As I continued to edit my CERTIFICATE OF URGENCY - IMMEDIATE REVIEW REQUIRED cover letter to the court, individuals suddenly started to exit / return to the property and violently slam the main door shut all over again, as if to both intimidate and to cause distraction.

EXHIBIT BW-32 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Photo of a male housemate, Person A, who intimidated me once again. Photos of a helicopter, emerging at the exact moment I created another document detailing my intention to seek summary judgment.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 443-469


(The following pages are BW-32 paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-32

HACKING, VOYEURISM & FURTHER WITNESS INTIMIDATION

28 May 2026

🖼️ Figure 1



Caption: On 28 May 2026, at 14:45 pm, immediately upon looking out the window to take some pictures of the harassers as evidence relevant to this claim within my place of residence as usual, Person A, acting as an express or implied agent on behalf of certain Respondents, possibly of the Public Official Respondents, suddenly returned home. He immediately looked at me and shook his head before going back into the property. His abrupt emergence seemed orchestrated once again. When he went back inside, while walking past my room, I heard him cryptically say: "**You actually need to leave. Because you're done here."** He also wore a **sky blue** top, and blue colour has also been used consistently in the harassment.

This statement was delivered in an aggressive, intimidating manner calculated to induce fear, force my eviction from my home, and prevent me from lawfully gathering evidence for these proceedings.

Given that the underlying Respondents are public officials/state actors, the use of residential housemates to execute targeted harassment constitutes a severe abuse of power, designed to exploit my living situation and make me feel unsafe in my own home.

I respectfully submit that this conduct amounts to flagrant witness intimidation and an intentional interference with the administration of justice. This escalation demonstrates why an immediate, urgent injunction is required; damages alone cannot remedy a situation where my safety and ability to access the Court are actively being compromised.

🖼️ Figure 2

Chronological Coincidences Suggesting Surveillance and Subsequent Manufactured Evidence



Caption: Some time later, I was sitting in my room drafting the legal text detailing the previous incident involving Person A. I have strong reasons to believe my electronic devices or digital communications are subject to unlawful surveillance by the State Actor Respondents to compromise my legal strategy, as I have also mentioned several times elsewhere in this injunction bundle.

At 15:03 pm, at the precise moment I completed and saved the draft text, Person A immediately exited the property and confronted me again. Also, as soon as he exited the property, he immediately turned around and looked right at me, as if he already knew I would be standing there to see who it was this time. This exact temporal coincidence strongly indicates that the State Actor Respondents are monitoring my real-time legal preparations and deploying their agent in response.

Upon confronting me this second time, he immediately began filming me on his mobile phone. I had not spoken to him, approached him, or engaged in any provocative behaviour.

It was entirely evident from his demeanor that this filming was not a reactive measure, but a proactive, bad-faith attempt to intimidate me and manufacture a false narrative. By filming an unprovoked interaction, the State Actor Respondents' agent is attempting to invert the roles of victim and perpetrator, creating a false record to claim I am harassing him.

This highly coordinated behaviour demonstrates that the State Actor Respondents are actively abusing their positions to stay 'one step ahead' of these proceedings, manipulate evidence, and frustrate the administration of justice. This calculation underscores the absolute necessity of an immediate interim injunction.

On 28 May 2026, at approximately 17:05 pm, immediately after pasting the text above into this Exhibit, I heard an individual suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut.

🖼️ **Figure 3**



Caption: At 15:42 pm, immediately after typing in one of my legal documents my intention to seek summary judgment, I suddenly heard the sound of a helicopter flying low past my residence, as seen in the image above.

🖼️ Figure 4



Caption: A closeup of it.

🖼️ Figure 5




Caption: While I was still actively working on the section about “summary judgment,” I heard a motorcycle suddenly accelerate aggressively past my window again, as if to prompt me to go look out of the window as usual. When I did, I observed a large “X” or “No.10” symbol being drawn in the sky by the aircraft right outside my window again. It almost disappeared when I took a photo of it.

Later on the same day, while I was taking a nap, at precisely 18:01 pm, I heard someone exit the property and loudly slam the main door shut, waking me up. The time symbolically ended in “01” which could be read as “No.10” again.


This is how they also use hidden cameras as tools for torture. Because they are illegally observing me 24/7 via hidden cameras and can see that I am taking a nap. They then appear to get my housemates or their visitors to violently slam the door shut as a form of sleep deprivation. They have done this almost every time I try to get some rest. This is again unlawful retaliation and victimisation.

🖼️ Figure 6



Caption: On 28 May 2026, at 22:40 pm, immediately upon opening my draft cover letter to the judge, “CERTIFICATE OF URGENCY - IMMEDIATE REVIEW REQUIRED” and reading the passage about my daughter to edit it, I heard an individual suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut.


🖼️ Figure 7



Caption: 4 Minutes later, at 22:44 pm, immediately after I started editing the passage concerning my daughter, as seen in the previous image, Person B also suddenly exited the property and aggressively slammed the main door shut. The photo above is not clear since I took it in the dark. But it's her. Maybe, it's also her who slammed the main door shut at 22:40 pm, 4 minutes earlier.

Again, immediately after I finished typing the previous paragraph, at approximately 22:50 pm, I heard someone also suddenly exited the property and slammed the main door shut.

🖼️ Figure 8



Caption: On 29 May 2026, at 7:58 am, immediately after I turned around in bed from left to right, I heard someone exit the property and slam the main door shut. It's Person F again, residing in room 3 opposite my room.

He also wore a bright **burgundy** top; one of the main colour signifiers frequently used in the harassment campaign against me, to let me know it's intentional to convey surveillance.

The time also concluded in the digit “8” again, to symbolically refer to the violation of my human right article 8 (right to privacy) of the ECHR as always.

Everything just seems so organised and planned to precision. This is shocking despite knowing that I am preparing for the injunction, the illegal surveillance and abuse just continue unabated.

🖼️ Figure 9



Caption: At 8:25 am, immediately after coming out of the bathroom and lying back down in bed, I heard someone exit the property again. It was Eddie again this time, wearing a black-and-white outfit. This combination of colours or just all black in outfits have been used a lot recently, as I am preparing my injunction application.

In the picture, Eddy and 2 black cars form a number 3 again, as if to also symbolically allude to the violation of my human right article 3 (the right to be free from torture and inhuman or degrading treatment) of the ECHR.

This is therefore a highly synchronised, covert performance that is deliberately designed to mimic normal public behaviour but carry psychological cues aimed at intimidating, disorienting, or gaslighting me. Eddy has done this type of performance quite often as well.


I therefore reasonably believe he and the rest of the housemates possess vital information capable of identifying individuals responsible for coordinating, directing, instructing, or facilitating the alleged conduct (e.g., to convey surveillance, harass, intimidate).

🖼️ Figure 10



Caption: This car next to him also had number 3 in its number plate, symbolically referring to Article 3 once again.

Figure 11



Caption: At precisely 9:03 am, I also heard someone exit the property and slam the main door shut once again, as if to prompt me to go look out of the window as usual. When I did, I observed a large “X” or “No.10” symbol being drawn in the sky right outside my window by the aircraft as usual.

The aircraft continued to extend the symbol, so it also looked like a sword.

The time symbolically concluded with the digit “3” once again.


I also had an appointment at Uxbridge Jobcentre, also a Respondent / Defendant (D164, Schedule of Defendants, p.42), since they're also actively participating in the harassment campaign against me, at 11:40 pm and experienced a sharp escalation in harassment at the Jobcentre as well - both by the staff members and security personnel. The security guards also seemed to monitor me at all times, as if intentional as if they're instructed to. Because they were not like that before. Most of the staff members at the jobcentre also wore outfits in **army green** colour, which appeared excessive and intentional. Just because I previously wrote about **colour harassment**. The public official respondents seemed to have gained knowledge of this via cyberstalking as usual and immediately increased the colour harassment. The staff members at the jobcentre also often walked right out of the rooms or round the corner to startle me. This startled harassment technique has also been used often in the harassment. They particularly escalate this specific harassment technique whenever they want to express retaliation because of what I have written in this injunction application bundle.

Therefore, I cannot safely prepare my case as long as they still monitor all my devices. Once again, this is an abuse of process and perverting the course of justice. The fact that the unlawful monitoring of my devices, along with other illegal acts, still continues unabated despite knowing full well that I am preparing my injunction application, suggesting that they act with impunity.

Everywhere I went after coming out of the jobcentre, I also observed an increase in colour harassment, in which almost everybody who's walking past me or whom I had to talk to wore some of the key colour signifiers frequently used in the harassment campaign against me such as **navy blue, army green and red.** This suggests a huge amount of funds and resources being spent on harassing me alone, which could be coming from the public funds and resources.


The sharp increase in harassment today may be because I drafted in another document yesterday to prepare my application for summary judgment, as described on p.447.

🖼️ Figure 12



Caption: At approximately 12:40 pm, I arrived at Central Uxbridge Surgery, located at Cobden Cl, Uxbridge UB8 2YJ, to book my appointment. I then observed two individuals talking to the receptionist. The male individual wore a hoodie in **army green**, as seen in a red circle, one of the key colour signifiers often used in the harassment campaign against me. I then knew right away, it's intentional.

🖼️ **Figure 13**



Caption: She then asked them if they wanted to be transferred to a GP in **Ruislip** instead or something like that. I just didn't pay attention to what they're talking about, since it didn't concern me. So, I didn't listen to the entire conversation. But when I heard her say the word “**Ruislip**” out loud that was when I knew the conversation was orchestrated to insult me.

The exact same incident also occurred on 13 May 2026 after I received a reply email from the police's legal team at approximately 14:13 pm. Because after that, I attended my appointment at Uxbridge Jobcentre. The work coach seemed to pretend to ask me if I was interested in working in **"South Ruislip."** Because there's a job as a volunteer in a shop in **"South Ruislip."** I then knew right away, she was instructed to do this to insult me - either knowingly or unknowingly.

These two incidents where two individuals from different sectors say the exact same word "Ruislip" appear to refer to a man living in **"South Ruislip"** or just in **"Ruislip,"** who had visited me twice when I was living in my Putney flat.


Thus, the State Actor Respondents, such as Keir Starmer and the police, appear to use this as their weapon to insult me by repeatedly suggesting that everything has been non-consensually filmed with the hidden cameras, which also recorded audio. Hence, they know precisely where he lives, which is in **"South Ruislip."** Because that's what he told me where he lives when he visited me.

This type of insult, where the Respondents repeatedly refer to the non-consensually recordings taken with the hidden cameras over the years, happens almost every time I receive some kind of communication from their legal representatives or mention Keir Starmer and his alleged involvement in the illegal surveillance and abuse. This therefore may constitute blackmail, intentional infliction of emotional distress, harassment, misuse of private information, breach of confidence, misfeasance in public office and perverting the course of justice.

It's like this each time I have sought to expose, document, report, or take steps to challenge the alleged misconduct complained of, the conduct directed towards me has appeared to intensify. I have accumulated a substantial quantity of photographs, videos, screenshots, correspondence, and other evidential material which I believe supports my concerns. However, it is not practical or proportionate to exhibit every item at this stage without causing the application bundle to become excessively lengthy and unmanageable.

For the purposes of this urgent application, I have therefore exhibited only representative examples of the material presently available. The primary objective of this application is to obtain urgent relief to prevent any continuing dissemination, publication, misuse, or exploitation of my private and intimate material. Should the Court require further evidence, I am able to provide additional material from my records and evidence archive.

🖼️ Figure 14



Caption: On 29 May 2026, at approximately 17:41 pm, immediately after I finished editing the information concerning **PC Jonathan Miotti** in my Schedule of Defendants, as seen in the Screenshot above, I heard Person B and her son suddenly exit the property and aggressively slam the main door shut. They're both heading to the grocery shop next door.

About a minute later, as I took a screenshot of the Schedule of Defendants to add as evidence in this Exhibit, I heard someone violently slam the main door shut again, which could be Person B and her son again upon their return.

Again, on 29 May 2026, at approximately 18:16 pm, immediately upon opening my **Draft Order** document to download it ready to send to the court alongside my injunction application bundle, I heard another individual suddenly exit the property and aggressively slam the main door shut once again.

Immediately after I finished typing the previous paragraph, at approximately 18:20 pm, I heard another individual suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut. They're more careful this time by quickly disappearing before I could take pictures of them as evidence.

At approximately 18:31 pm, immediately after I downloaded a Draft Order document to send alongside my injunction application bundle, ready for the Judge to edit and sign, I once again heard an individual suddenly exit the property and violently slam the main door shut.

🖼️ Figure 15




Caption: On 29 May 2026, at approximately 20:20 pm, immediately upon editing my petition to post on change.org, I heard an individual suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut. When I looked out of the window to see who it was, I observed the aircraft "**drawing a line"** right outside my window again.

On 29 May 2026, at approximately 9 pm, immediately after I turned around in bed from right to left, I heard an individual suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut. I gave up running to the window to see who it was. Because they would quickly disappear as usual.

Just before this happened, I had also written in my draft petition that the 24/7 intrusive surveillance for more than a decade amounts to torture, not just mere voyeurism. Particularly when they also deliberately keep giving me hints that I am being constantly watched, to make me feel uncomfortable around the clock.


At approximately 21:22 pm, within minutes of me writing the above-mentioned paragraph, I observed an emergency vehicle driving into a small side road **The Lynch** opposite my residence.

🖼️ Figure 16




Caption: According to the OHCHR, “Profiles are built to identify any and all weaknesses and insecurities to be used later” (OHCHR, Harassment Techniques). This supports my observation that my online activities and communications are being constantly monitored, including through covert sources, and sensitive personal information is being exploited to cause distress, as seen in the image above in point 2.

🖼️ Figure 17



Caption: 21:51 pm, immediately after finishing typing the previous paragraph in the previous image regarding the OHCHR, I observed the blue sirens light shining through my window as if intentional, as seen in the image above.

🖼️ Figure 18




Caption: The sirens light was from this mini-ambulance or a police car. It emerged from a small side road **The Lynch**. It was probably the same emergency vehicle that I saw a bit earlier at 21:22 pm. Also, just before this emergency vehicle emerged, I also heard an individual return to the property and slam the main door shut loudly. Then, at the same time this emergency car emerged, there's also a knock on the main door. It's a deliveryman delivering a takeaway to someone here, as seen in the image above with a red circle around him.

It looks therefore like these individuals / housemates receive free food, clothes and maybe money as well, as a form of financial inducement for harassing and intimidating me on the Respondents' behalf. Particularly the State Actor Respondents. They don't even seem to hide it, when the emergency vehicle appears to intentionally shine their sirens light through my window while a deliveryman delivers the takeaway to someone in this property.

The emergency or police vehicles also seem to appear near my residence again shortly after I mentioned **PC Jonathan Miotti** in this Exhibit, as described on p.460.

Figure 19



Caption: On 30 May 2026, at approximately 12:06 pm, I edited the copy of this injunction application bundle intended to publish it to the public, to include the information about my daughter as well.

🖼️ Figure 20



Caption: At 12:23 pm, within minutes of me including the information about my daughter as well in the copy of my injunction application bundle, as described in the previous paragraph, I heard someone suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut. It turned out to be Person A again. He wore a **blue** top today, as seen in the image above in an orange circle. There's also another individual walking past him wearing a **blue** top as well and a red car. Together, they form number 3 as usual to symbolically refer to the violation of my human right article 3.

The Respondents also appear to tell him to walk in a defiant manner after aggressively slamming the main door shut, to provoke me further. Sometimes, after slamming the door shut, he also begins to light a cigarette in a confident and provocative manner as well.

On 31 May 2026, at approximately 9:01 am, immediately after typing, “he also begins to light a cigarette in a confident and provocative manner,” in a previous paragraph, I heard a motorcycle suddenly accelerate past my window. The time symbolically ended in the number “01” again, which can be read as “No.10” in reverse once again.


Figure 21



Caption: When I looked up in the sky, I also observed several enormous “target-like”, “X”, or “No.10” symbols in the sky again. So, Person A appears to act as a messenger as usual by exiting the property and slamming the main door shut, to make me go look outside the window and will then see the threatening symbols right outside my window.

It also looked like a Star of David, Hexagram, Unicursal Hexagram, or a Pentagram (Satanic Symbol).

🖼️ Figure 23



Caption: Shortly after, at 12:31 pm, two more large “X” / “No.10” symbols or “target-like” marks were drawn in the sky by the aircraft right outside my window again, as if to specifically suggest or confirm that both my daughter and I are being targeted.

This proves that the abuse, harassment and witness intimidation are still continuing and even escalating, justifying the grant of the injunction to prevent irreparable harm.

On 30 May 2023, at approximately 13:27 pm, immediately after I finished typing the previous two paragraphs, Eddy was observed exiting the property and aggressively slamming the main door shut, wearing a **navy blue Adidas** jacket as usual.

On 30 May 2023, at approximately 15:43 pm, when I was just about to continue to edit this Injunction Application bundle, I observed Person A suddenly exiting the property and violently slamming the main door shut.

On 30 May 2026, at approximately 20:06 pm, immediately after I finished editing this Exhibit, I heard an individual suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut.

On 30 May 2026, at approximately 22:37 pm, immediately upon opening the copy of this injunction application bundle to edit it for publication, in case the Public Official Respondents have successfully silenced me for good before anyone knows what's going on, I heard an individual suddenly exit the property and aggressively slam the main door shut. Consequently, I am in a very dangerous situation and court's intervention is therefore of the utmost necessary.

EXHIBIT BW-33 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Photo of a white, tall male individual, whom I have never seen before, appearing to coordinate the harassment and loud door-slamming shut.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 470-497

(The following pages are BW-33 paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-33

ONGOING HACKING, VOYEURISM & WITNESS INTIMIDATION

31 May 2026

On 31 May 2026, at approximately 2:34 am, while I was sleeping on my right side with my left ear exposed, I heard an individual exit the property and violently slam the main door shut, waking me up. It appeared, they attempted to damage my left ear again. Because they have previously damaged my left ear via violent door-slamming shut, as described in **Exhibit BW-25, page 301.** This is how they also utilise hidden cameras to plan their attacks, not just to surveil and sexually abuse me.

On 8 June 2026, at approximately 16:05 pm, immediately after finishing typing the previous paragraph regarding how they have damaged my left ear, I heard someone begin to make some loud noise nearby, possibly in the kitchen. The loud noise started in a sudden, aggressive way as if to make me feel intimidated. Shortly after, the individual left the area. It's like this almost every time I expose their misconduct or a conspiracy to injure by unlawful means, even in my legal documents, someone would suddenly make loud noise in a sudden way or exit the property and aggressively slam the main door shut. There's no doubt by now that the state actor Respondents are illegally monitoring all my legal strategies via hacking or other unlawful means and immediately intimidating me whenever I expose their wrongdoing, to exert coercive control and to silence me. Again, this looks more and more like witness intimidation.

🖼️ Figure 1



Caption: On 31 May 2026, at 8:28 am, immediately after I finished using the bathroom, I heard a loud thud outside. It turned out to be from this white van, where the driver was throwing some delivery boxes onto the ground.

At 8:40 am, immediately after typing “I heard a loud thud outside” in the previous paragraph, I heard an individual suddenly exit the property and violently slam the main door shut. When I looked out of the window, the individual quickly disappeared as usual.

Once again, this is coercive control and witness intimidation by repeatedly letting me know that they're cyberstalking me at all times and then choosing to intimidate me at the exact moment I was about to add crucial information into this injunction application bundle.

🖼️ Figure 2



Caption: This is the number plate of it, which is **NU68 JZC.**

🖼️ Figure 3



Caption: Also, at the exact same moment I looked out the window to see where the loud thud was coming from, I observed an individual suddenly emerging and bicycling past my window, carrying an **orange** backpack as well to let me know it's intentional to convey surveillance. Because **orange** is also one of the key colour signifiers frequently used in the harassment campaign against me, as I have said elsewhere in this injunction application bundle.

There's also a **sky blue** car parking right opposite my window. The **sky blue** colour has also been used in harassment quite often lately. Thus, the colour harassment continues daily despite the pending injunction application.

The increase in surveillance hints also seems to be caused by what I wrote yesterday. Because, yesterday, before I went to bed, I edited **paragraph 4.6 on page 67:**

“4.6 The Public Official Respondents and their co-respondents are actively preventing my daughter from discovering this exploitation by intercepting my warnings and manipulating her digital environment. This ensures she remains 'under the radar' and unable to seek her own legal or medical protection, which is a flagrant violation of her **Article 6 rights and Article 8 rights**.”

Yesterday, at **Exhibit BW-27, pages 325-336**, I also exhibited copies of emails sent to the agents and/or legal representatives of James Gunn, Paul Thomas Anderson and Maggie Gyllenhaal, who are all writers/producers/directors, writing and directing movies for Warner Bros, together with correspondence sent to Warner Bros. Those emails enclosed my Letter Before Action, Settlement Offer Letter, and supporting documents. The correspondence relates to concerns that my private information, intimate material, and/or creative works may have been incorporated into their films, screenplays, or related productions. Despite repeated attempts at correspondence, I have received no substantive response.

On 31 May 2026, at approximately 20:35 pm, immediately upon adding the name of Warner Bros into the previous paragraph, I heard an individual suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut. About 5 minutes later, at 20:40 pm, as I continued to edit the previous paragraph, concerning Warner Bros, I observed Pablo exiting the property and slamming the main door shut before hopping on his bicycle and riding away.

Since then, I also experienced a sharp increase in violent door-slamming shut and surveillance hints.

🖼️ Figure 4



Caption: Again, on 31 May 2026, at 9:39 am, immediately after I added the text regarding my daughter into this Exhibit in the previous page (p.475), I observed Person B suddenly exiting the property and violently slamming the main door shut. Shortly after, I also heard an individual begin to make some loud noise in the kitchen next to my room by, for example, violently closing the microwave or something. It's like this almost every time I am about to expose the Public Official Respondents' misconduct in my legal documents. It's one of their favourite ways of exerting coercive control and witness intimidation.

🖼️ Figure 5



Caption: Also, at 9:38 am, soon after I typed on page 493, regarding Person A, how he stopped and lighted a cigarette in a confident, provocative manner after aggressively slamming the main door shut, I observed another individual suddenly exiting the property and drinking his coffee facing in my direction in a confident and provocative manner as well. He never did this before.

Again, this looks like after the Respondents / their agents, who are cyberstalking me, have read what I have just typed, they appear to use that exact same harassment technique - both to convey surveillance via cyberstalking and to provoke me further. Therefore, cyberstalking is not just for controlling and for gaining insight into my legal strategies but also for planning real-world harassment and intimidation. It also appears to prevent me from exposing the truth. Hence, the

immediate intimidation after I have just typed in crucial information or added some vital evidence to the Exhibits.

At precisely **13:10 pm**, immediately after I added information about repeated loud door-slamming by housemates to intimidate me and to increase my stress level into my draft letter to send to the police's legal team, I observed Person A suddenly exiting the property and violently slamming the main door shut. He does it too frequently and often right after I have typed in crucial information into my injunction application bundle. The time symbolically ended in the **No.10** again.

Throughout the day, whenever I began to add more information or evidence to this injunction application bundle, individuals also began to suddenly exit/return to the property and aggressively slam the main door shut, as if to distract, intimidate and hinder me from completing my injunction application.

🖼️ Figure 6




Caption: On 31 May 2026, at approximately 18:04 pm, immediately upon sitting down on the toilet to use the bathroom, I heard someone suddenly exit the property and violently slam the main door shut. It turned out, it's Pablo, as seen in the orange circle in the image above.

🖼️ Figure 7



Caption: At 18:06 pm, a few minutes after Pablo exited the property and violently slammed the main door shut, my mobile phone camera, placed by the window before I went to the bathroom to capture surveillance-like behaviour, also captured this tall white man, wearing a black-and-white outfit as well, exiting the property and aggressively slamming the main door shut - more violent and aggressive than others have ever done before. I have never seen him before either.

🖼️ Figure 8



Caption: A closeup of him upon his return, in which he also slammed the main door shut very violently. He also wore wireless earbuds, which most of the individuals believed to be agents of the Respondents are also wearing.

All in all, most of the harassers started wearing all black or black-and-white clothing very recently.

🖼️ Figure 9



Caption: This woman also held a plastic bag from NEXT, deliberately held it in a way facing my phone camera. Similar threatening words or phrases have been used before in the past.

For example, between 23-30 April 2025, while I was staying at Queen Elizabeth Hostel in Fulham, I went to Sainsbury's supermarket nearby to buy my breakfast. While I was waiting behind an elderly woman to pay for my purchase, I observed her buying a magazine and putting it in her basket in a way directly facing me. The bold text on it read, “Your NEXT …” or similar, which could be interpreted as “You’re Next.” Because at the time, I was also actively preparing my case and my injunction application, while also enduring 24/7 unlawful and intrusive surveillance in every place I lived or stayed at, even in shared hostels, severe harassment and intimidation. I didn't have a lawyer to help me prepare my case either.

Queen Elizabeth Hostel is also a Defendant (D179, Schedule of Defendants, p.44), just like other hostels I have stayed at in the past, for facilitating or allowing hidden cameras to be installed in the shared rooms, bathrooms and shower rooms. The staff members in every hostel I have stayed at are also actively participating in the harassment and intimidation against me.

🖼️ Figure 10



Caption: He appeared to be instructing others what to do as well. So, it's likely that he may be an agent of the Public Official Respondents sent to organise the harassment and intimidation. I have therefore also added him as a Respondent / Purposed Defendant (PU1, Schedule of Defendants, p.47).

---

1 June 2026

At approximately 1:30 am, immediately upon wiping myself after using the bathroom, I heard the sounds of sirens nearby, which could be from a police car or an ambulance. They do this occasionally, often targeting my most intimate moments such as wiping myself after using the bathroom to sexually humiliate me as a form of torture.

At approximately 1:40 am, immediately after coming out of the bathroom and lying back down in my bed, I heard an individual suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut.


At approximately 1:44 am, immediately upon opening my injunction application bundle to edit it, I heard another individual suddenly begin to briefly converse loudly nearby - either on the phone or with someone - before disappearing again.

Then, shortly after, I took a sip of my drink, I heard a car suddenly drive past my window. It's like this every day now, in which whenever I come out of the bathroom, pick up something, put something down, begin to eat, halfway through my meal, finish doing the dishes, finish preparing breakfast, and so on, it will trigger external sounds in sync with my movements. This

is a severe torture. Because it occurs daily and around the clock. Hence, they block my access to lawyers, so that they can torture me to death under the radar. The Public Official Respondents have thus broken both the UK and international law against torture. At precisely **2:01 am**, immediately after typing “international law against torture,” I heard a car drive past my window again.

At precisely 1:55 am, immediately after I finished typing the word “torture,” in the previous paragraph, I heard a car drive past my window again. The number “55” once again expresses satisfaction or triumph. Because the number “55” in Thai is pronounced as “ha…ha” in English. They use this number often whenever they want to express satisfaction or triumph, especially whenever I type in sensitive information into my legal documents concerning sexual abuse & exploitation via hidden cameras, to induce anger and to provoke.

🖼️ Figure 11




C. Their screenplays, films and other commercial / entertainment products may have incorporated my private information, intimate materials and/or creative works without my knowledge or consent; and

D. This data had been obtained via unlawful means, specifically through hidden cameras, unlawful information gathering by extracting information from the people I know via various means such as via a “honey trap” operation, the unauthorised hacking of my personal devices and Google Drive.

On 1 May 2026, at 8:57 am, immediately upon adding the previous paragraph in point D regarding “**unlawful information gathering by extracting information from the people I know via various means such as via a “honey trap” operation**,” I received a text message from Uxbridge Jobcentre notifying me that I have a video appointment with the Jobcentre tomorrow. A copy of this text message is attached at **Exhibit BW-34, pages**

Caption: Screenshot of what I had written in this injunction application bundle at 8:57 am before Uxbridge Jobcentre sent me a text message at 8:58 am. See the screenshot below:

🖼️ Figure 12




Caption: Screenshot of a text message sent to me by Uxbridge Jobcentre immediately after I had just written about the “honey trap” operation, as seen in the previous screenshot. The time symbolically concluded with the digit “8” again, to repeatedly allude to the violation of my human right article 8 (right to privacy).

At 9:45 am, immediately upon editing the previous paragraph, I heard the sound of someone exit the property and slam the main door shut.

At 9:46 am, immediately after typing the previous paragraph, I then also heard an individual begin to converse loudly while walking past my window. I cannot run to the window to take pictures as evidence every time. Otherwise, I will never finish completing this injunction application.

My claim number also contains the number “46” (KB-2025-004650) and this number has also been used consistently in the harassment campaign against me lately, as a form of subtle intimidation. They would often start with the number “45” first with some kind of incident and then followed with the number “46” right after with another incident, as demonstrated in this scenario.

Figure 13




On 1 May 2026, at 8:57 am, immediately upon adding the previous paragraph in point D regarding "**unlawful information gathering by extracting information from the people I know via various means such as via a "honey trap" operation**," I received a text message from Uxbridge Jobcentre notifying me that I have a video appointment with the Jobcentre tomorrow. A copy of this text message is attached at **Exhibit BW-34, pages**

Immediately after typing the above-mentioned paragraph regarding an unexpected text message from Uxbridge Jobcentre, I heard someone briefly make some noises near my room before disappearing. This is how the Public Official Respondents appear to use all kinds of hints, both subtle just like this one and not so subtle such as loud door-slamming, to let me know that they may be behind the harassment through third parties.

Caption: Immediately after typing "This is how the Public Official Respondents appear to use all kinds of hints … to let me know that they may be behind the harassment through third parties," I heard an individual exit the property and slam the door shut. See a picture of him below:


🖼️ Figure 14



Caption: This is him who slammed the door shut. The time symbolically ended in the No.10 again.

This is how the UK Primary / Public Official Respondents seem to use third parties to both convey surveillance and distract me, as soon as I begin to edit my injunction application bundle.

🖼️ Figure 15




Caption: Again, at 10:25 am, immediately upon opening this document to resume working on my injunction application, I observed Person B exiting the property and slamming the main door shut. She's the one in a black outfit. There're also 2 other individuals in the photo forming the number 3 again, symbolically referring to the violation of my human right article 3 (freedom from torture, inhuman or degrading treatment) of the ECHR once again.

The time also ended in the number "25." I had also just mentioned the use of numbers from my claim number as a form of subtle intimidation as well on page 484. They then appear to use this precise tactic again to convey cyberstalking and to taunt. Because in my claim number, it also contains the number "25" (KB-2025-004650).


Everything seems to have been planned and executed to precision. It has become their known modus operandi.

Figure 16



Caption: At approximately 11:22 am, I created and saved this document regarding an “Affidavit of Source” and “Money Laundering.”


🖼️ **Figure 17**



Caption: Immediately after creating the document about an “Affidavit of Source” as seen in the previous image, I observed an individual exit the property and slam the main door shut, as seen in the image above.

At approximately 12:35 pm, immediately after I finished creating another document concerning digital surveillance and unlawful data sales, I observed a police vehicle driving past my window.

🖼️ Figure 18



Caption: Again, at approximately **13:10 pm**, I created another document about "**Cyber-Espionage, Spoliation of Evidence, and Interference with Legal Privilege**," to draft it for my Witness Statement, I observed some “lines” and “X” or “No.10” symbol drawn by the aircraft in the sky right outside my window again. The time also symbolically ended in the “**No.10” (13:10 pm).**

At approximately 13:52 pm, immediately after finishing typing, “**the time also symbolically ended in the “No.10” (13:10 pm)**, in the previous paragraph, I heard a motorcycle accelerate past my window. The rider seemed to intentionally increase the acceleration at the precise moment the motorcycle passed my window.

Since creating these crucial documents to add to my Witness Statement, I also experienced an escalation in surveillance hints, sexual humiliation and harassment as usual. For example, immediately upon using the bathroom, I heard an individual suddenly exit the property and violently slam the main door shut. Then, when I begin to wipe myself after using the bathroom, I also hear another individual exit the property and aggressively slam the main door shut.

It happens almost every time I try to assert my rights or add some crucial information to my injunction application.

🖼️ Figure 19



Caption: On 1 June 2026, at approximately 14:27 pm, I began to edit the copy of my injunction application bundle intended for public appeal and public exposure when it concerns an intense public interest, to ensure transparency in order to prevent an unfair trial and a miscarriage of justice.

Immediately after I started editing the document, I heard someone exit the property and violently slam the main door shut. I then looked out of the window to see who it was and saw this car in the image above, with the word “RED” prominently written on its side. I have seen this same type of car with the word “RED” prominently written on its side near my vicinity and my residence on several occasions, as if intentional to, perhaps, refer to a well-known porn site where my and my daughter’s intimate images/videos non-consensually taken with the hidden cameras may have been uploaded to.

---

1. At approximately 15:28 pm, immediately upon editing my Draft Order to include “**Order For Provision Of Information (Affidavit Of Source),”** I heard an individual suddenly exit the property and aggressively slam the main door shut. I stop running to the window to see who it was, only occasionally, since I know it's their distraction and intimidation tactic. If I fall into their trap by stopping editing my injunction application and running to the window every time, I may never finish preparing my injunction application.

2. The intimidation and distraction occur every single time I try to edit my injunction application bundle or my draft document for public appeal and public exposure, to ensure transparency in order to prevent an unfair trial and a miscarriage of justice. All in all, I cannot begin to edit any legal documents linked to my injunction application without triggering an immediate violent door-smacking shut. The witness intimidation continues unabated. This looks therefore like an attempt to prevent me from completing my injunction application.

3. Thus, the abuse and intimidation intensify as I soon finalise my injunction application, in which each time I am about to edit my injunction application bundle, an individual would suddenly exit / return to the property and slam the main door shut. Sometimes very aggressively.

4. On 1 June 2026, at approximately 19:13 pm, I added this paragraph into my Draft Order:

“AND UPON the Court being satisfied that because certain Respondents have already publicly admitted to / implied the unlawful acquisition of this data, private information and intimate materials, an order forcing the disclosure of the scope and destination of that data does not breach the Privilege Against Self-Incrimination, as the underlying conduct has already been voluntarily disclosed by certain Respondents.”

5. Immediately after finishing typing the above-mentioned paragraph in my Draft Order, I heard an individual suddenly exit the property and aggressively slam the main door shut. This strongly suggests once again that the Respondents or their associates continue to unlawfully monitor my devices at all times despite knowing full well that I am preparing for an injunction to stop this very illegal act, among many other unlawful acts.

6. At approximately 21:21 pm, immediately upon scratching my private parts slightly, I heard a thud coming from nearby, probably from upstairs. Therefore, I reasonably believe they may have

projected the footage onto a big screen to be able to intrusively observe me 24/7 and can thereby plan their attacks to precision, such as the thud occurred at the exact moment I began to scratch my private parts, it's too precise to be a coincidence, and this is not an isolated incident either, **demonstrating a pattern of predatory behaviour** - even down to the slightest movements - to let me know that this is not just a typical illegal surveillance and voyeurism but an extreme form of torture and sexual humiliation via hidden cameras, with the aim to break me down completely by treating me as if I am not a human being and for more than a decade.

7. At approximately **21:23 pm**, immediately after typing in the previous paragraph “by treating me as if I am not a human being,” I heard a motorcycle accelerate past my window. The time ended in the number “23” again as if to symbolically allude to the year I made a formal police complaint which is 2023. Because this number “23” has also been used consistently in the harassment. Particularly whenever I talk about torture, retaliation, victimisation, sexual abuse via hidden cameras, raise concerns about my daughter that they may be targeting her as well, and the like.

8. At approximately **22:01 pm**, immediately after adding more information to point 6 “**demonstrating a pattern of predatory behaviour**,” I heard an individual suddenly and briefly make some noises near my room before disappearing. The time symbolically ended in number “01” again, which can be read as **No.10** in reverse once again.

9. It happens too often that whenever I talk about “predatory behaviour”, “obsessive surveillance” or similar, someone will suddenly make some noises, exit/return to the property and slam the main door shut, a motorcycle or car accelerate past my window, a kid shout outside my window, and so on. Sometimes, I manage to take pictures or videos as evidence. Also, oftentimes, when this happens, the time always ends in the number “**01” or “10.”**

---

2 June 2026

At approximately 11:49 am, immediately after I had created a new Facebook account, because my previous account tied to my old mobile phone, which I no longer have access to, I heard an individual exit the property and slam the main door shut. When I quickly looked out the window to see who it was, the individual was nowhere to be seen. They immediately disappear as usual.


At approximately **13:10** pm, immediately after I added an individual, whose name is Jamie or James, to my Schedule of Defendants as an additional defendant in my claim, alleged to have used his mobile phone to secretly film me while I was performing sexual acts, I heard an individual suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut. The time symbolically ended in the **No.10** again.

The reason I have added him as a proposed Defendant as well is because I reasonably believe this individual, Jamie or James (D183, Schedule of Defendants, p.45), has secretly filmed me

performing sexual acts at his place on multiple occasions in 2024 before I moved out of my Putney flat by the end of July 2024. He probably used his mobile phone to non-consensually film me while I was performing sexual acts, and I believe he did it on behalf of the Primary Respondents. Because these precise sexual acts appear to have been circulating around among the rest of the Respondents as well by incorporating or making references to these non-consensually recordings in social media posts or advertisements such as in TikTok's advertisements. I refer to Exhibit **BW-11, p.191**, which contains a screenshot of one of TikTok's advertisements and further particulars of the matters described above.

On 7 June 2026, at approximately 8:46 am, immediately upon editing the previous paragraph, I heard someone exit the property and slam the main door shut. It was Pablo, wearing a very **bright red-and-blue** outfit.

Figure 20



Caption: At approximately 14:23 pm, immediately upon asking Ai about how money laundering can be traced and investigated, I heard an individual suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut again. They kept doing this whenever I asked Ai about this subject, suggesting once again that all my online activities are being illegally monitored 24/7.

Again, at approximately 14:59 pm, immediately upon opening this injunction bundle to edit it, I heard Person A and Person B suddenly walk past my room and begin to converse loudly before disappearing. I had recorded their conversation on video as evidence. This is how the Respondents, particularly the Primary Respondents, seem to use discreet methods to intimidate me and exert coercive control by getting individuals to pretend to briefly make some noises or converse loudly near my room before disappearing almost every time I am about to edit my injunction application, add new information / evidence to this bundle, or conduct a search on the internet related to this claim, to let me know that all my online activities and communications are being illegally monitored at all times.

At approximately 16:15 pm, while I was doing the dishes in the kitchen, I observed two traffic wardens or similar, wearing black uniforms, walking past my window. The Primary Respondents appear to use this tactic a lot as a form of subtle intimidation and gaslighting, in which whenever I expose their misconduct - either privately in my legal documents or publicly - I will immediately after observe an increase in security personnel or traffic wardens wearing similar uniforms in my vicinity, to make me feel intimidated, constantly surveilled, or just to gaslight. They used this precise tactic at the Uxbridge Jobcentre as well the other day. This is what many victims of a retaliation programme call an "**excessive monitoring**" as a form of retaliation.

At approximately 17:29 pm, immediately after coming out of the bathroom and sitting back down in my bed to resume my work on my injunction application, I heard someone suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut. It turned out to be Eddy again wearing a navy blue Adidas jacket as usual. When I tried to take pictures of him as evidence, my phone couldn't take any more pictures. Because it ran out of space. At the exact moment my phone couldn't take any more photos, I observed two individuals, one was wearing a very bright orange jacket and another one a very bright green jacket, suddenly emerging and walking past my window. This is how they continue to provoke by increasing the colour harassment at the precise moment my phone can't take any more photos or videos as evidence.

Eddy's abruptly exiting the property at the exact moment I sat down in my bed also appeared to serve two purposes: First to convey surveillance, and second to make me go look out the window and would then see a black car parking right outside my window with a number plate: **YF62 EUW.** I don't know what this is meant to refer to. But it must allude to something, since they have consistently been using licence plates as one of their secret communications. I have also just conducted an internet search for money laundering, and how the criminals committed money laundering can be investigated internationally, as seen in Figure 20 on page 495.

At approximately 18:57 pm, I tried to transfer the money between my accounts but couldn't do so. I just got an error message every time I tried. I then chatted with the bank staff via my bank mobile app. The name of the bank staff member also suspiciously called **John**. Because the names that start with "J" have also been used consistently in the harassment campaign against me, such as **Jo and John.** This could be because my ex-husband's name is **Jørgen Mortensen**. Hence, PC **Jonathan Miotti** appears to be intentionally assigned as an

investigating officer when I reported a hate crime incident in 2022, which I now believe the police were behind this themselves. He's also one of the two police officers I had made a formal complaint about in January 2023. As you can see, these two names are very identical: **Jørgen Mortensen** and **Jonathan Miotti.**

This is how the Primary Respondents seem to use similar names or even similar looks to the people I know or have known, to evoke bad memories or just to harass by discreetly suggesting that nothing in my life is private anymore.

Immediately after I began to chat with John, the bank staff, I could transfer the money between my accounts without any issues. Right after I could successfully transfer the money, I heard an individual suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut.

At approximately 19:10 pm, immediately upon opening the email correspondence between me and the Police's legal team to take some screenshots of it to add to my Exhibit, I heard someone suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut.

EXHIBIT BW-34 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Photos of the letter from the estate agent, seemingly implying constructive eviction and a housemate who suggested the same.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 498-509


(The following pages are BW-34, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-34

FURTHER IMPLIED CONSTRUCTIVE EVICTION, WITNESS INTIMIDATION, SEXUAL HARASSMENT & VOYEURISM

3 June 2026

🖼️ Figure 1





Caption: Today, 3 June 2026, around midnight, while I was using the bathroom, I heard someone making noises in front of my room before disappearing. When I opened my door, I saw a letter sent to me from my estate agent **Bridgeway Estates Ltd,** placed in front of my room, as if intended for me to see. The letter dated 26 May 2026. I also observed that this number has been used consistently in the harassment campaign against me lately, as if to act as a forewarning as usual. This is how the State Actor Respondents often use some kind of a forewarning to let me know what they're going to do to me next.

There also seems to be a stamp from the British **Heart** Foundation on the envelope. This looks therefore like a subtle hint. The violent door-smacking shut that occurs daily also started around the same time this letter was sent to me. I have also written many times that the aggressive door-slamming shut daily, particularly whenever I expose the respondents' misconduct or conspiracy, appears intentional as if to cause me a **heart** attack. It's like a form of constructive eviction.

Especially when Person A also cryptically said on 28 May 2026: "**You actually need to leave. Because you're done here**." I refer to **Exhibit BW-32, page 444,** with a photo of him and more description of the matter.

🖼️ Figure 2





If your landlord serves you a notice seeking possession before 1 May 2026

The changes explained in this document may not apply to your tenancy on 1 May 2026 if your landlord serves a notice seeking possession under section 8 or section 21 of the Housing Act 1988 before 1 May 2026.

If this happens, your landlord may still be able to take you to court to end your tenancy under the previous rules. You should seek advice if this happens to you.

Changes to fixed terms

You might have a fixed term tenancy. For example, your tenancy agreement may say the tenancy would last for 12 months.

After 1 May 2026, it will not be possible for assured tenancy agreements to have a fixed term or a set end date. All tenancies will automatically become rolling tenancies from 1 May 2026 (sometimes known as 'periodic tenancies').

Your tenancy will continue on a rolling basis. This will usually be monthly, unless your tenancy agreement sets out a shorter period, for example weekly or fortnightly.

Change to the r Assured Shorth

Your tenancy agreer tenancy an 'Assurec This is the name of tenancy system unt

Assured Shorthold abolished on 1 May previously called ar Tenancy will autom Assured Periodic T tenancy will not en

Increasing the

Your tenancy agre rent review clause the agreement tha increase the rent.


Rent review claus rent increases afte a rent review clau agreement, it will

Landlords must i in section 13 of t increasing the re only increase th will need to give proposed rent i before that incr

Caption: Photos of the first two pages of the Renters' Rights Act Information Sheet 2026 that the **Bridgeway Estates Ltd.** estate agent sent me. Their staff are also actively participating in the harassment and surveillance hints themselves, possibly acting under the instructions of the Primary Respondents. Just like many other Respondents who appear to act under the instructions of the Primary Respondents, including my housemates and the Uxbridge Jobcentre.

****Shortly after, I have no more warm water in my room. I have to do the dishes with cold water instead.****

🖼️ Figure 3



Caption: On 3 June 2026, at approximately 8:42 am, immediately upon opening this injunction application bundle to continue to edit it, I heard someone exit the property and slam the main door shut. It's Person A again, as seen in the image above wearing a beige hoodie and a hat. Before walking away, he told me he's spoken to the landlord that I film people going in and out.

It's another hint of constructive eviction again. Hence, the repeated door-slammings, sometimes very violently, as if to force me to leave.


This also happened soon after I added evidence and descriptions in Figure 1 & 2 regarding the suspicious letter from the estate agent, sent to me at around the same time the violent door-slamming shut started. Plus that the staff at the estate agent also seem to participate in the harassment and intimidation campaign against me themselves.

Therefore, the cyberstalking also seems to be used for another malicious purpose as well, in which whenever they see I add vital evidence or information to this injunction bundle concerning other Respondents / Defendants, in this scenario is my estate agent, **Bridgeway Estates Ltd.,** they then appear to immediately use this stolen information to incite retaliation. Just as they also appear to incite Elon Musk, Mark Zuckerberg and TikTok Platform, for instance, immediately after I have added crucial information or evidence regarding them to my injunction application bundle or to my legal documents in general.

As I continue to work on my injunction application, individuals also continue to exit/return to the property and slam the main door shut. Particularly immediately after I begin to edit my injunction application.

They also seem to have turned off hot water in my room. Therefore, this looks like a constructive eviction through severe intimidation, harassment, illegal surveillance, turning off hot water, and so on, to force me to move out.

🖼️ Figure 4



Caption: At approximately 18:13 pm, after finishing editing the text in the screenshot above on pages 12-13 in this injunction application bundle, I went to the grocery shop next door.

Immediately after going out, I was surrounded by their harassers as usual, wearing key colour signifiers as always, such as **red and navy blue**, to let me know they're coming to harass and not just typical pedestrians or customers in the shop. There's also an elderly man allegedly being sent into the grocery shop at the exact moment I was paying for my purchase, as if to make me feel uncomfortable as usual through degrading treatment. He also appeared to intentionally stand by the door where I would have to walk past him in close proximity, since there's not much space, to make me feel uncomfortable.

Because the Respondents, which I reasonably believe it's Keir Starmer and the Police, have been using this tactic very often, which is to send an elderly man out to sexually harass me. Often at critical junctions, for example, whenever I raise concerns about my daughter, on the verge of filing my injunction application, initiating court proceedings, applying for help with court fees, adding more defendants to my claim, exposing their misconduct, conspiracy, etc.

Also, at approximately **18:30 pm**, immediately after leaving the grocery shop, I observed a police vehicle driving past me. This occurs almost every time I experience an increase in intimidation and harassment, including sexual harassment by an elderly man. They also often do this when I cannot take pictures or videos of them as evidence. Because I was charging my phone, so I didn't have my phone with me. The police know this via hidden cameras and phone tracking.

This is shocking when they know full well that I am preparing my injunction application but still refuse to remove the hidden cameras, **continue to torture me**, non-consensually filming me 24/7, live-streaming it for profit, disseminating my intimate materials unlawfully recorded with the hidden cameras, cyberstalking, stealing my legal strategies via hacking, harassing and intimidating me on a daily basis.

At precisely **19:03 pm**, immediately after I had typed “**continue to torture me**” in the previous paragraph, I heard some individuals begin to laugh loudly outside my window just briefly before disappearing, as if to mock the violation of my human right **article 3** (freedom from torture, inhuman or degrading treatment) of the ECHR as usual, when the time also precisely concluded with the digit **3 (19:03 pm).** The police also drove past me at **18:30 pm** and time also contained the number **3**.

---

4 June 2026

🖼️ Figure 5



Caption: At 11:17 am, immediately after coming back from the grocery shop, I saw the handyman standing in the middle of the small hallway pretending to fix the fire alarm, blocking me again and to make me feel uncomfortable, having to walk past him in close proximity in such a narrow corridor. They used this tactic yesterday as well in the grocery shop regarding an elderly man.

🖼️ **Figure 6**



Caption: This is a clearer picture of him. I think his name is Sam. He also wore a pair of **Puma** jogging pants, to let me know it's intentional sexual harassment. Because the **Puma** brand has also been used consistently in the harassment campaign against me. My daughter also used to wear the **Puma** brand.

Before going to the grocery shop, I had also just finished editing my supplemental sheet for my N244 form, specifically concerning an unfair trial due to the constant monitoring and stealing of my legal strategies through an unauthorised access to my devices and the blocking of my access to lawyers.

At approximately 12:31 pm, immediately after I was just about to defecate, I heard some individuals begin to converse loudly outside. When I quickly ran out of the bathroom to investigate, I observed Pablo and another woman in a very bright **green** hoodie, **green** and **army green / olive** are also some of the main colour signifiers often used in the harassment campaign against me, conversing loudly just outside my window.

Pablo also consistently acts as a messenger to convey surveillance. He often exits/returns to the property and slams the main door shut whenever I use the bathroom, begin to edit my legal document, etc. Immediately after typing this, I heard the sirens in the distance. It suggests once again that everything I type is being read in real-time, and they want me to know it via various means to exert coercive control.

Before I went to the bathroom, I had also just finished editing **Exhibit BW-02, pages 143-147,** concerning my daughter and **the alleged honey trap (PU9), particularly on page 146,** believed to have been employed by Keir Starmer and/or the police to deceive my daughter, control/manipulate her, suppress the truth and gather information to pass it onto his bosses for later exploitation.

Section 66(b) of the Sexual Offences Act 2003

What Counts as an “Intimate State”?

They are participating in or engaging in a sexual act;
They are doing something a reasonable person would consider sexual;
Their genitals, buttocks, or breasts are exposed;
They are urinating or defecating; or
They are performing any personal care associated with those acts.

How the Law Views This Conduct

- **Voyeurism & Sexual Offences Act 2003 (Section 66A/B)**: Under UK law, intentionally directing surveillance, recording, or coordinated intrusion at someone who is urinating, defecating, or engaging in personal care in a bathroom constitutes a severe violation of an **intimate state**. If they are using hidden feeds or real-time device tracking to timing-attack my bathroom use, this is a serious criminal matter.
- **Article 3 (ECHR)**: The Human Rights Act 1998 protects me from **Inhuman or Degrading Treatment**. Coordinated psychological "conditioning" designed to humiliate a

person around the clock and strip them of their dignity in their own home violates the absolute threshold of Article 3.

At approximately 17:22 pm, immediately after I finished urinating, I heard some individuals begin to suddenly converse loudly with high-pitched voices. They increased their voices and the way they suddenly began to converse in such a way that caused me to startle. Occasionally, Pablo also does the same.

This happens daily as if to cause me physical harm. See more explanation below:

“A sudden shout, scream or conversation without any legitimate reason is deliberately timed to catch me off guard, directly weaponising the physical **"Startle Response."** Unlike predictable or constant background noise, these sudden, violent spikes in sound cause an immediate, involuntary activation of my sympathetic nervous system.”

EXHIBIT BW-35 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Photos of Person A who intimidated me again for gathering evidence, possible undercover officers or public officials believed to be involved in this as well, and drones believed to have been used to surveil me across multiple locations.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026


Bundle pages: 510-532

(The following pages are BW-35, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-35

ONGOING WITNESS INTIMIDATION, DRONE SURVEILLANCE, UNDERCOVERS & VOYEURISM

🖼️ Figure 1



Caption: On 5 June 2026, at 13:14 pm, immediately after I had added more crucial information to **Exhibit BW-21, pages 265-266,** regarding the violation of my human right Articles 8 & 18, I heard someone exit the property and slam the main door shut. I went to the window and observed Person A exiting the property again. After taking a picture of him as evidence, he immediately turned around and shouted at me that I was taking pictures of him. This looks therefore like another setup again, to make me take pictures of him as evidence and then instruct him beforehand to immediately turn around to catch me red-handed taking pictures of him, so that he could intimidate me. He intimidates me quite often, as described elsewhere in this injunction application bundle. This is again a classic example of witness intimidation and a conspiracy to injure or to pervert the course of justice.

🖼️ Figure 2




Caption: On 5 June 2026, at approximately 13:51 pm, while taking pictures and videos of the harassers as usual, I observed what looked like a drone disguising as a bird. Because it appeared to fly very stiff like a robot, lingering directly right above my window and circling in front of my window in a surveillance-like behaviour within my line of sight, as if they're surveilling me from above via a drone as well, as seen in a red circle in the image above.

The image may not be that clear. Because I took a screenshot from my video taken through a dirty glass window. The drone was also quite tiny like a bird. This is also not the first time that I observe what looks like a drone in my vicinity and sometimes even flying right above me within my line of view, as if it's both surveilling me from above and taking pictures or videos of me as well. Also, immediately after I started filming it, it suddenly flew away.

On 6 June 2026, at approximately 11:48 am, immediately upon editing this section of this Exhibit again regarding the drone, I heard someone begin to make some noise nearby or in the kitchen next to my room. The individual began to talk on the phone in Eastern European language. I therefore think it might be Person F again, residing in room 3, believed to be originally from Romania or somewhere from that region.

🖼️ Figure 3



Caption: At approximately 13:57 pm, I then asked Ai about bird-like drones. It then produced some answers, as seen in the screenshot above. Immediately after asking Ai about this, I heard the sound of someone exit the property and slam the main door shut.

I have experienced drone surveillance in other locations before. So, this is not an isolated incident. See more details below:

Tuesday, 22 April 2025 - Helicopter Intimidation & Drone Surveillance

These are some old incidents. But I just want to include it here because it's also the day that I experienced being surveilled by 3 helicopters and a drone as well.

At approximately 10:50 am, on 22 April 2025, after consulting Ai about the possible involvement of other law enforcement agencies as well, I went to the shared bathroom. At the time, I stayed at a hostel in a shared room near Liverpool Street station (St Christopher's Inns Liverpool Street, 52 Wilson St, Finsbury, London EC2A 2ER, United Kingdom) from 18-22 April 2025, thinking that by staying in a shared room at a hostel instead, they might not unlawfully install hidden cameras there as well. Because if they did, they would be violating the privacy of others as well. But I was wrong, when hidden cameras have been illegally installed in every shared room and shared bathroom & shower facilities at every hostel and temporary accommodation I have stayed at - even in Denmark.


These hostels and accommodations have therefore been added as Defendants as well for facilitating, failing to prevent, or complicit in the abuse and illegal surveillance by allowing the unlawful installation of hidden cameras in shared rooms and shared bathroom & shower facilities (D163-D182, Schedule of Defendants, pages 42-45).

Precisely after I had just finished defecating and wiping myself, the same female cleaner from the previous day abruptly opened the door to the shared bathroom and shower room. The timing of her entry – immediately after I had completed a private and vulnerable act – strongly suggests that hidden surveillance cameras are also being illegally installed in the shared bathrooms. This was clearly timed to signal to me that I am being watched at all times, including while defecating, which is perverse, sadistic, and indicative of intentional psychological torment.

This is not an isolated incident. Similar incidents have occurred repeatedly across all locations and across Europe, including Denmark, as I said earlier, establishing a clear pattern of sadistic voyeurism and daily violations of my bodily privacy. It constitutes intentional infliction of emotional distress and ongoing psychological torture.

As soon as I typed the above incident, especially about the possible involvement of other law enforcement agencies as well, I heard several helicopters flying nearby. When I looked out the window, I saw 3 helicopters flying low, repeatedly passing by the building, back and forth, as if to ensure I noticed them. Their coordinated and persistent appearance is a direct response to my actions, confirming that the State Actor Respondents are actively monitoring my online activities in real time. See Figure 4 below for the picture of helicopters, which may not be that clear since I took the pictures through a glass window, and the helicopters were flying on the other site near the opposite building:

Figure 4



I have marked one of the helicopters in a red circle, as seen in the image above. There's also a man with a navy blue backpack emerging on a bicycle, as seen in the second red circle, at the same time the helicopter appeared. I also immediately heard the sirens as soon as the helicopters emerged. It's like this almost every time, in which whenever I see a helicopter, I will also hear the sirens shortly after.

They appear to retaliate immediately after I try to expose other unknown public officials' misconduct as well. Because very often, the escalation in voyeurism hints, abuse, harassment or intimidation, happens almost immediately after I have expressed my suspicion that other unknown public officials may also be involved. I have thereby included them as Respondents / Purposed Defendants as well (PU1, Schedule of Defendants, p.47).

On 5 June 2026, at approximately 19:51 pm, immediately after mentioning that "other unknown public officials" may also be involved, I heard someone exit the property and slam the main door shut. It turned out to be Person A again. The time also symbolically ended in the number "51," which can be read as the number "15" in reverse, seemingly alluding to something / entity again.

🖼️ Figure 5



Caption: Later, I went down to the reception to extend my stay. While walking down the stairs, I noticed a woman wearing wireless headphones who appeared to be secretly filming or taking pictures of me, it's her in the photo above, as if she knew beforehand that I was going to walk

down the stairs soon. This can only come from the State Actor Respondents, which I think are the police and/or other unknown public official Respondents, who are phone tracking me at all times and monitoring me via the hidden cameras in the shared room and via the CCTV around the hostel for the purposes of stalking, harassment, intimidation, control, sexually abuse and exploit.

When I suspiciously looked at her and pulled out my phone to record her, she immediately changed her posture and walked away quickly. This indicates she may have been instructed to monitor or record me covertly.

🖼️ Figure 6




Caption: Almost immediately after the woman had walked away, I observed a man, as seen in the photo above with sunglasses, wearing a similar outfit to the woman I just saw, also emerging from round the corner and walking past me. His mannerism, haircut and an identical outfit suggest that he may be behind this as well. Maybe he's also a public official, working for law enforcement or similar.

🖼️ Figure 7



Caption: After that, I stepped outside the hostel to try to take some clearer pictures of the helicopters. They had already stopped circling. But I noticed what appeared to be a drone hovering near the top of a building opposite the hostel, as seen in a red circle in the image above. Even though I was visibly recording it, the drone lingered for a while before eventually disappearing. This strongly suggests a continued pattern of illegal surveillance now also involving aerial and drone technology.


🖼️ Figure 8



A close-up of the drone. The drone immediately emerged from the direction where the woman I saw earlier just went.

Finally, the presence of a woman taking pictures of me, combined with the airborne surveillance, contributes to a growing sense of fear, violation, and unease. It raises concerns about further smear tactics or manipulation of my image and data.

🖼️ Figure 9



Caption: On 5 June 2025, at approximately 22:06 pm, immediately after I finished cooking my dinner, I heard a motorcycle accelerate aggressively past my window, as if to both intimidate me and to make me go look outside the window as always.

When I did, I observed what looked like a large “X” or “No.10” symbol again in the sky, drawn by the aircraft right outside my residence, as seen in the image above.

The time also ended in the number “6” again, as if to symbolically refer to the violation of my human right Article 6 (right to a fair trial) of the ECHR as usual.

The aircraft seemed to continue to draw the symbol. So, when the time was precisely 22:10 pm, it looked more like a sword, as also seen in the image above. The time also ended in the “No.10” again.

Just before I started preparing my dinner. I had also added the information about the drones with pictures, as described on pages 518-519. I had also added a picture and description of a man who I think may be a public official working for the law enforcement, and who may be involved in this as well, as seen in Figure 6, pages 517-518.

At precisely 22:46 pm, immediately upon eating a snack, I heard the sirens from the emergency vehicle driving past my window, which could be from a police car or an ambulance. Anyway, the purpose is to convey that I am being constantly watched via hidden cameras. Because they, the State Actor Respondents, such as the Primary Respondents, have done this a lot in the past as well whenever I expose their misconduct, add more evidence or crucial information to my legal documents, on the verge of filing my injunction application, or raise concerns about my daughter that they may be sexually abusing her via hidden cameras as well, they immediately increase the frequency of surveillance signals via various means as retaliation, to cause me extra distress and feel uncomfortable around the clock even more. The time also symbolically ended in the number “46” again (22:46 pm), as if to discreetly intimidate me once again using numbers as usual. Because my claim number also contains the number “46” (KB-2025-004650).

---

6 June 2026

On 6 June 2026, at approximately 10:01 am, immediately after I finished using the bathroom, I heard someone exit the property and violently slam the main door shut. It turned out to be Person A again. I had also just mentioned him earlier in this Exhibit. This is how the State Actor Respondents, both known and unknown, appear to read what I write in real time via hacking and then reuse the very person I have just mentioned to harass me.

The timing is also significant, as the minute ended in the “No.01,” symbolically referencing the “No.10” again.

---

17 May 2025

Reception Encounter And Suspected Surveillance by Undercover Agent
At Clink261 Hostel, 261-265 Grays Inn Rd, London WC1X 8QT, United Kingdom

More incidents regarding possible undercover officers or public officials, who were believed to be involved in this as well.

🖼️ Figure 10



Caption: Also on 17 May 2025, while staying at a hostel called Clink261, when I came down to the reception to check out of my previous room and move to a new one—since my current room was no longer available—I noticed a stark change in the receptionist's attitude. Whereas he had been friendly on the day I arrived, he now seemed cold and distant. Standing near him was a Black man wearing wireless earbuds who appeared to be expecting me. I have seen him multiple times before, especially when I return to the hostel or approach the reception desk.

On some occasions, he even stands behind the reception as if he works there, possibly posing as a handyman. The receptionist seemed to defer to him, reinforcing the impression that he is not a regular guest but someone known to the staff. His timing and positioning suggested that he knew I would be coming downstairs—information he could only have known through hidden cameras in my room, hostel CCTV, and illegal phone tracking. This exact same pattern occurs at every hostel I stay in: an individual who appears to be an undercover officer or agent is positioned strategically to watch me and reinforce the psychological harassment I experience daily.

As soon as he saw me, I noticed a sly smile on his face—one that contrasted sharply with the receptionist's indifference. This expression mirrored what I have repeatedly observed in all previous hostels: individuals, often seated in front of laptops near the reception desk, appear to be waiting for me and immediately smirk when I enter, as if acknowledging their awareness of my movements. These smiles seem to suggest a shared conspiracy and the disturbing possibility that I am being watched live via hidden cameras in my room and that this surveillance is being broadcast to others. The consistent timing of these encounters, coupled with their behaviour, strongly suggests that my actions are being monitored in real time through hidden cameras, phone tracking, and internal coordination with hostel staff.

I therefore reasonably believe he may be another undercover agent or public official sent to surveil me, organise the illegal installation of hidden cameras in this hostel as well, and orchestrate the harassment.

On 6 June 2026, at approximately 13:08 pm, immediately after I added the picture and information about another suspected undercover or public official, as seen in image above, I observed Eddy suddenly exiting the property and slamming the main door shut. He also wore a navy blue Adidas jacket again today. The timing is also significant, as the minute ended in the number "8" again.

---

20 May 2025

Near Clink261 Hostel

Symbolic Intimidation (Skywriting "X" Patterns) and another Possible Agent sent by some of the Respondents, Possibly by the Primary Respondents

🖼️ Figure 11



Caption: Once again, on the morning of 20 May 2025, I observed multiple thick lines and X symbols being drawn in the sky by the aircraft over King's Cross Station, precisely timed to occur at the exact moment I went out to buy my breakfast.

🖼️ Figure 12



Caption: More of them when I was heading back to the hostel.

🖼️ Figure 13



Caption: At approximately 10:49 am, on 20 May 2025, I also observed a man wearing an army green top, Adidas shoes, and wireless earbuds, as seen in the photo above, walking past me near the hostel (Clink261). His behaviour and timing strongly suggested he may be one of the Primary Respondents' agents or a public official himself, believed to be involved in this as well.

This occurred at the same time I looked up and saw large X symbols being drawn in the sky again, as seen in the previous image—repeating a pattern that has occurred before whenever I prepare legal filings, including settlement letters or injunction applications. Later, at around 7:20 pm, as I added a photo of this man to my exhibit for May 20, I immediately heard a helicopter fly past the hostel again. The timing strongly implies that the Primary Respondents or their agents

are continuing to monitor my legal activities in real time, and are orchestrating intimidation tactics—including aerial surveillance—whenever I collect evidence or name their operatives. These acts are not coincidental; they are calculated retaliatory responses that aim to punish, intimidate, and mentally exhaust me for seeking legal recourse.

Again, on 6 June 2026, at approximately 13:46 pm, immediately after I added the information about another suspected undercover or public official in the previous paragraph, I heard the sound of someone exit the property and slam the main door shut. When I looked out the window, the individual was nowhere to be seen.

Again at approximately 13:49 pm, on 6 June 2026, when I was just about to edit this section regarding another possible undercover or public official, I heard someone exit the property and aggressively slam the main door shut. It was Eddie again.

Again at approximately 13:51 pm, on 6 June 2026, immediately upon continuing to edit this section about another possible undercover or public official, I heard someone exit the property and aggressively slam the main door shut. It was Person A again. He wore a top in army green colour this time.

Again at approximately 13:53 pm, on 6 June 2026, immediately upon typing the previous paragraph, I heard someone violently smack the main door shut again. When I looked out the window, the individual was nowhere to be seen.

At approximately 15:08 pm, on 6 June 2026, immediately upon editing the description of Exhibit BW-31 in the Urgency Retaliatory Chronology about the potential that they are also targeting my daughter, I observed another individual exiting the property and slam the main door shut. It's a white middle-aged man this time, maybe also British, bald, also wearing a top in army green colour and carrying a backpack in navy blue colour. The time also symbolically ended in the number 8, as if to refer to the violation of my daughter's human right Article 8 (right to privacy) of the ECHR as well, to induce anger even more.

At approximately 16:56 pm, on 6 June 2026, immediately upon scratching my private parts a bit, I heard someone slam the microwave or something shut in the kitchen next to my room.

At approximately 00:04 am, on 7 June 2026, immediately after coming out of the bathroom and lying back down in my bed, I heard someone slam the microwave or something shut in the kitchen next to my room.

The more I work on this injunction application, add more crucial information and evidence to it, the more they harass and intimidate me. Therefore, it's not safe for me to continue to use my devices to prepare my case when the Respondents, particularly the State Actor Respondents, continue to illegally monitor all my devices for malicious purposes, for example, for gaining insight into my legal strategies so they can prepare their defence, for harassment, intimidation, and coercive control. It's also shocking that despite knowing I am preparing my injunction

application to stop precisely this and other related unlawful acts, they continue to do this to me unabated, suggesting once again that they act with impunity. Maybe because they have already made sure that I don't have legal representation due to themselves blocking my access to lawyers. So, they can do whatever they want.

At approximately 07:34 am, on 7 June 2026, immediately after turning around in bed from left to right, I heard the sound of someone slam the car door shut. When I stood up to go look out the window to take pictures of it as evidence of another surveillance signal, I observed a black car immediately driving away. I managed to memorise the number plate which is: **GW17 NZE**. I'm not sure if I remember it correctly. But this is the closest of it.

Getting people to slam a car door shut almost every time I turn around in bed or after using the bathroom is one of their favourite methods to convey surveillance or voyeurism.

Also, all night, I could feel the vehicles accelerate a bit or drive the cars in a way that makes heavy sounds - creating “**low-frequency sound waves,” "structural resonance" and "ground-borne vibration**” - which then penetrate through the walls and cause me to feel the impact of them.

They don’t simply rev the engine; they deliberately idle and drive the vehicle at a low-frequency pitch that matches the resonance of my property. This causes the walls, windows, and my bed to physically vibrate.

"Internal vibration sensation," "somatic resonance," "phantom vibration," or "vibroacoustic stress"

Due to being subjected to hours of continuous, low-frequency structural vibration while trapped in my bed, my body absorbed the kinetic energy. Upon waking, and continuing into the day, I suffer from a severe, continuous internal buzzing and full-body vibration sensation. My body feels as though it is still physically shaking, causing profound nausea and disorientation.

"Intentional sleep deprivation," "prolonged fight-or-flight response," and "severe psychological distress”

The Respondents’ actions are a calculated tactic of intentional sleep deprivation. The inescapable physical vibration triggers an immediate, involuntary fight-or-flight adrenaline spike, making sleep impossible. This has left me physically exhausted, anxious, and unable to feel safe or rest in my own home.

Because the drivers appear to purposefully accelerate aggressively past only my house or create this type of vibration harassment at the exact moment they drive past my residence, and repeat this loop multiple times.

There is no lawful or mechanical reason for the vehicles to be operated in this manner at those hours. This kind of harassment technique also escalates whenever I add more crucial information or evidence to this injunction application bundle, suggesting once again that the Respondents, particularly the State Actor Respondents, are illegally monitoring all my legal strategies at all times via unauthorised access to my devices, as I have also described elsewhere in this injunction application bundle.

This specific form of intimidation is commonly called **vibration harassment or acoustic stalking.** When a driver intentionally uses the sheer weight, low-end torque, or modified exhaust of a vehicle to create heavy, floor-shaking ground vibrations, they are engaging in a highly targeted form of noise harassment or vehicular stalking.

Unlike high-pitched engine revving, this tactic relies on low-frequency noise (LFN) and infrasound, which easily penetrates walls, windows, and foundations to physically shake a house or bed, disrupting sleep and causing intense psychological distress.

Psychological and Legal Terms for This Behaviour

- **Intentionally Inducted Sleep Deprivation:** Using a vehicle to repeatedly wake someone up at specific hours is a recognized tactic of psychological harassment aimed at causing physical and mental exhaustion.
- **Targeted Noise Harassment:** The deliberate use of loud or vibrating machinery directed at a specific residence to disturb the peace and comfort of the occupants.
- **Stalking / Intimidation:** If a specific individual is driving past your home repeatedly to make you feel their presence, it crosses the line from a simple noise violation into criminal stalking or intimidation.
- **Statutory Nuisance:** Under UK law, artificial noise and vibrations that unreasonably interfere with the use or enjoyment of a home are legally classified as a statutory nuisance.

How This Affects the Home


- **Resonance**: Low frequencies match the natural vibration frequency of residential structures, causing walls, floors, and furniture to act as amplifiers.
- **Tactile Perception:** You do not just hear the vehicle; your body physically feels the micro-vibrations through your mattress or floor, which triggers an immediate adrenaline spike and fight-or-flight response.

---

7 June 2026

On 7 June 2026, at approximately 9:07 am, after I had copied the text above regarding "**vibration harassment or acoustic stalking and its impact**" from another document and was just about to paste it into this Exhibit, I heard someone clear their throat loudly as if the individual was about to spit it out while walking past my room before exiting the property and slam the main door shut. It was another housemate as seen in the image below, which was not from today but just to illustrate whom I was talking about.

🖼️ Figure 14



The escalation in harassment, noise harassment and intimidation appears to intensify after I had added more information to this Exhibit yesterday, 6 June 2026, regarding drone surveillance and pictures of individuals believed to be public officials, who I think may be involved in this as well, as described on pages 516-526 in this Exhibit.

Also, yesterday, before I went to bed, I had just added more information about Keir Starmer and his alleged involvement in this, which is why I have added him as a Respondent / Purposed Defendant as well for **Misfeasance in Public Office, Misuse of Private Information, Unjust Enrichment, Harassment, Intimidation, Right to Work Infringement** and other related unlawful acts, as described on pages

On 7 June 2026, at approximately **12:23 pm**, immediately upon adding more crucial information regarding Respondent / Purposed Defendant D183, whose name is Jamie or James, to my Schedule of Defendants p. 45, describing that I believe he has used his mobile phone to secretly filmed me while I was performing sexual acts at his place, I observed Eddie exiting the property and slamming the main door shut.

On 7 June 2026, at approximately 13:07 pm, I was adding more information to my Schedule of Defendants p. 45, regarding another Respondent / Purposed Defendant, who lives or used to live in flat number ##, Selhurst Close, Putney, London, SW19 6AZ, opposite my previous flat number ##. Because I reasonably believe he's also involved in this and may be an undercover / a police officer himself. Immediately upon adding this information to my Schedule of Defendants p. 45, I observed Person B exiting the property and slamming the main door shut. She seemed to wait for a sec before abruptly slamming it shut in a way that gave me a sudden impact and caused me an immediate startle. This looks more and more like a conspiracy to injure by unlawful means, or even an attempted murder when I could eventually collapse and die of a heart attack, which seems to be their ultimate goal, and witness Intimidation to pervert the course of justice. Because they have been doing this daily. Particularly when I add more crucial information or evidence to my legal documents or this injunction application bundle. It then gradually builds up the consequences on my health and wellbeing. They appear to know this fact very well when they keep doing it until I collapse.

On 7 June 2026, at approximately 13:28 pm, immediately after finishing typing the previous paragraph, I heard the sirens outside, which could be from a police vehicle or an ambulance. When I ran to the window to investigate, it had already disappeared.

Shortly after, I heard what sounded like Person F, residing in room 3, who began to talk loudly on the phone before abruptly exiting the property and slamming the main door shut. They appear to repeat the exact same harassment technique I had just mentioned. The harassment, intimidation and distraction seem to intensify, as I soon finalise my injunction application, including whenever I add more crucial information or evidence to my legal documents.

On 7 June 2026, as I was nearly done with the preparation of my injunction application, I would experience a sharp escalation in harassment, intimidation via repeated loud door-slammings

and surveillance hints to maximise my distress as usual - often timed to symbolically refer to the violations of my human rights such as **Articles 3 and 8 of the ECHR.** For example, at approximately **19:18 pm**, immediately after I finished my dinner, I heard someone return to the property and slam the main door shut. The time symbolically ended in the **number 8** again.

Again, within minutes of me typing the above-mentioned paragraph and was just about to finish typing it, at approximately **19:26 pm**, I heard someone exit the property and slam the main door shut once again. The time ended in the **number 6** this time**,** as if to mock me by also symbolically referring to the violation of my human right **Article 6 (right to a fair trial) of the ECHR**.

Thus, I have no doubt by now that the Respondents, particularly the State Actor Respondents, are illegally monitoring all my devices, online activities and communications. Especially, when they appear to persistently let me know it.

EXHIBIT BW-36 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Description: Photos of individuals exiting/returning to the property and slamming the main door shut and ongoing hints of hidden cameras & voyeurism to cause distress as retaliation.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 533-586

(The following pages are BW-36, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-36

ONGOING WITNESS INTIMIDATION, HARASSMENT, SEXUAL HARASSMENT & VOYEURISM

8 June 2026

On 8 June 2026, at approximately **00:58 am**, immediately after I finished wiping myself after using the bathroom, I heard the emergency sirens briefly outside my window. After the emergency vehicle had driven past my window, they immediately turned off the sirens. I wasn't sure if it's a police vehicle or an ambulance. Anyway, they seemed to convey the message again that I was being watched during my most vulnerable moment to sexually humiliate me as always. The fact that the time ended in the number 8 again, as if to symbolically refer to the violation of my human right **Article 8 (right to privacy) of the ECHR** as usual, suggesting once again it was intentional.

Immediately after I was just about to finish typing the previous paragraph, at approximately **1:15 am** on the same day, I heard a car accelerate slightly past my window. The time ended in the number "**15**" again, as if to symbolically allude to something or an entity again.

On 8 June 2026, at approximately 3:10 am, while I was asleep, I heard the sirens outside, waking me up. I then observed an emergency vehicle driving past my window. Due to the curtain, I could not visibly confirm whether it's a police vehicle or an ambulance. Then, about a minute later, at 3:11 am, I also observed another emergency vehicle driving past my window. The times symbolically ended in the "No.10" again the first time (3:10 am) and the second time in the "No.11" (3:11 am). The number 11 appeared to symbolically allude to my daughter. Because I have said many times in my legal documents that I have not seen my daughter since she was approximately 11 years old. The number 11 has since been used in the harassment campaign against me quite often as a form of discreet intimidation, as I have said elsewhere in this injunction application bundle how the Respondents also use numbers as their secret communications.

Later, at approximately 6:48 am, immediately upon stretching myself in bed, I heard someone slam the car door shut outside. When I looked outside the window, I observed a white car parking right outside my window, but on the other side of the road. Perhaps, so that I could not take pictures of the number plate as evidence. A little later, I observed the driver coming out of the grocery shop next door, wearing what looked like a pair of trousers in **army green** colour, heading back to the white car. The car-door-slamming-shut technique has also been used daily to convey surveillance.

Then, immediately after I laid back down in bed, at approximately 6:50 am, I heard someone exit the property and loudly slam the main door shut. It turned out to be Eddie again, who resides in room 11. The time symbolically ended in the number 50 again. My claim number also ended in the number 50 (KB-2025-004650).

On 8 June 2026, at approximately 13:48 pm, immediately upon logging into my YouTube account and watching some videos about Keir Starmer. Particularly the one about the recent argument between him and the US Vice President JD Vance, I observed Person B exiting the property and slamming the main door shut. I am not sure if it's because I watched the video concerning the negativity surrounding Keir Starmer or because I just went online, using social media, to live a normal life like everyone else. Anyway, it's a sign of another attempt to violate my human right Article 10 (freedom of expression) of the ECHR as well. They have apparently got X to ban me already, after I posted my concerns about my daughter that they may be sexually abusing and exploiting her via hidden cameras as well. This is also another strong circumstantial evidence that they are unlawfully monitoring all my online activities and communications 24/7 to control and silence me.

Within minutes of me writing the above-mentioned paragraph, individuals began to exit/return to the property and slam the main door shut. For example, at precisely **14:10 pm**, I heard someone make some noise nearby. Then, when the time was precisely **14:11 pm**, this individual went to the bathroom nearby. Shortly after, I observed Eddy exiting the property and slamming the main door shut wearing a **navy blue** Adidas jacket again. So, it's probably him who made noises and used the bathroom before exiting the property. The time also symbolically ended in the "**No.10"** and "11" respectively. Number 11 appears to refer to my daughter again. Because I have mentioned in my legal documents on several occasions in the past that I have not seen my daughter since she was about 11 years old, and the State Actor Respondents seem to use this number to repeatedly harass and intimidate me since. This also indicates that they allegedly monitor all my devices to also detect sensitive information to be used in their harassment campaign against me, to cause me psychiatric injury when they relentlessly harass and intimidate me daily.

On 8 June 2026, as I continued to edit this Exhibit, particularly about individuals who I believe may be undercovers / public officials involved in this as well, such as the one seen on page 517 (fig.6), page 522 (fig.10) and page 526 (fig.13). They have all therefore also been added as Respondents / Purposed Defendants (PU1, Schedule of Defendants, p.47).

Also, on 8 June 2026, at precisely **18:50 pm**, immediately after coming out of the bathroom, I heard the sound of someone suddenly exit the property and slam the main door shut. When I looked out of the window, the individual was nowhere to be seen as usual. This is how they have conditioned me to know that these extra voyeurism hints are a form of retaliation and punishment for trying to assert my rights by trying to hold them accountable. The time also symbolically ended in the number **50** again, and my claim number also ended in the same number (KB-2025-004650).

Again, on 8 June 2026, at precisely **19:50 pm**, immediately after wiping myself after using the bathroom, I heard someone exit the property and aggressively slam the main door shut. When I ran out of the bathroom to investigate, it was Person B who had just exited the property. The time also symbolically ended in the number 50 again. It's like the more I talk about number 50

and how I think the State Actor Respondents use this number to discreetly intimidate me, since my claim number also ends in number 50, the more they will use this number to harass me and to provoke. Once again, there's no doubt in my mind by now that they're unlawfully monitoring my devices at all times, since they don't even seem to hide it.

Immediately after typing the last line in the previous paragraph, I heard someone cough loudly nearby.

Furthermore, immediately after beginning to edit this Exhibit, vehicles and motorcycles also began to accelerate aggressively past my window.

---

9 June 2026

At approximately 6:58 am, while I was using the bathroom, at the precise moment I habitually shifted my right foot a bit, I heard an individual begin to converse loudly outside my window. Probably on the phone or with someone else. When I ran out to investigate, the individual was nowhere to be seen as usual. They started to target this exact habit of mine, shifting my right foot a bit, very lately. They then do this daily every time I use the bathroom and habitually shift my right foot a bit, so that I know I am being observed and studied closely via hidden cameras. Also, they only do this when I don't place my phone by the window to record the surveillance-like behaviour. Because I can't keep doing it every time.

They appear to target my specific habit for a while before moving on to the next. For example, it used to be whenever I habitually shifted my left hand a bit while using the bathroom that triggered a specific external sound, such as an individual suddenly beginning to converse loudly. Now, the external sound occurs whenever I habitually shift my right foot slightly while using the bathroom instead.

It's like a form of psychological torture using a conditioning technique via a specific sound. In this case, an individual suddenly begins to converse loudly - either on the phone or with someone else. They then do this repeatedly to torture me, knowing that I am being watched via hidden cameras around the clock.


Therefore, I have no doubt by now that the footage must have been projected onto a big screen for them to be able to study my habits in detail, even the tiniest ones, so that they can use that information to torture me daily by targeting my subconscious habits. Especially very subtle, unnoticeable habits. Because they know the impact will be even more harmful and disturbing, when I am aware that even my tiniest movements or subconscious habits that are not obvious have been observed and reflected back to me on a daily basis. This matches the definition of extreme psychological torture. Particularly when it carries on around the clock and every day.

At 7:25 am, again, immediately upon habitually resting my right hand on my chest while using my phone, I heard the sound of a car horn outside. This targeted psychological torture also started very recently as well. Probably around the same time I wrote my blog post about Keir Starmer on 3 June 2026. The increase in voyeurism hints also happens almost every time I raise concerns about my daughter that they may also be sexually abusing her via hidden cameras and live-streaming her life for profit. Because yesterday before I went to bed, I just added a paragraph to this injunction application bundle on page 99, saying that: “I also reasonably believe that they may have unlawfully installed hidden cameras and audio recording devices in her place right after her breakup with her ex-boyfriend in or around early August 2023. Now that they have gotten rid of her boyfriend. She now has to live alone, making her an easy target.”

At 7:46 am, immediately after shifting my left leg a bit while lying in bed, I heard an individual begin to converse loudly while walking past my window. It's like the more I talk about their torture methods or conditioning techniques, they will use that precise methods even more to psychologically torture me and to provoke.


At approximately 9:23 am, immediately upon editing a paragraph 2.1, page 64, regarding a possible hidden death threat from Instagram against my daughter, I heard someone exit the property and slam the main door shut.

🖼️ Figure 1



Caption: At 15:39 pm, on 9 June 2026, I downloaded my injunction application bundle from my Google Drive and saved it on my tablet, ready to submit it for my urgent injunction application that same day.

Figure 2



Summer Course Offer!
1 message

LFS Workshops <workshops@lfs.org.uk> Tue, 9 Jun 2026 at 16:13
Reply to: LFS Workshops <workshops@lfs.org.uk>
To: bupphawitt@gmail.com

LONDON FILMWORKS
LONDON FILM SCHOOL
lfs.org.uk


SUMMER DISCOUNTS

Despite the summer heat disappearing for a while, the preparation for our summer courses is ramping up, and places are filling up! To mark this final run up to summer we've decided to offer an exclusive discount to our newsletter audience: the Director's AI Package This is a 20% discount if you book both Tim Fywell's Prep and Shoot course for directors and our AI Summer Filmmaking Studio, a **saving of over £500**. Just use the code **SUMMER20** at checkout!

Caption: At 16:13 pm, on 9 June 2026, I suddenly received an email from London Film School, containing a woman in a **bright pink** jumper, with one of her shoulders exposed, as seen in the image above, touching her necklace, which seems harmless and normal to the general public. But for me, I know right away it's meant to hint at how my life is being non-consensually recorded 24/7 with the hidden cameras, which also record audio, studied in detail, and distributed / live-streamed for profit as well, amounting to human trafficking (cybersex trafficking). Because this precise image appears to reflect my habit again. Because I occasionally scratch my left chest placing my hand exactly like her in the photo. It may also suggest sexual harassment. Because they've seen me naked and doing sexual acts in the past via hidden cameras and must therefore have stored a huge collection of my highly intimate materials, non-consensually filmed with the hidden cameras for more than a decade.

This tactic, **harassment by publication**, has been used persistently across all platforms to psychologically torture me being reminded of daily and everywhere that they're violating my privacy via hidden cameras 24/7 to cause me psychiatric injury, as a form of unlawful retaliation and victimisation for suing them. Some may knowingly participate in the harassment campaign against me and some may not. By having her wear a **very bright pink** jumper, I will then know it's intentional harassment and to convey the message that my life and everything I do has been illegally recorded via hidden cameras around the clock. Because **pink colour** has also been used consistently in the harassment campaign against me, among **navy blue and army green**, for instance. These colours then act as **colour signifiers** to signal that this is another **harassment by proxy** to convey voyeurism and therefore it's not a coincidence. The man standing next to her in the picture also wore a **custard yellow** jumper, and this colour has also been used in harassment quite a lot lately.

🖼️ Figure 3



Caption: At 16:22 pm, on 9 June 2026, I finally submitted my injunction application via CE-filing. Therefore, the email harassment from London Film School sent to me at 16:13 pm regarding a woman wearing a very bright pink jumper to convey voyeurism - either knowingly or unknowingly - appeared to be a retaliatory act as usual. Because I had just downloaded my

injunction application bundle ready to submit it moments earlier at 15:39 pm, as seen in Figure 1, p.538. This is how they illegally monitor all my devices to gain insight into my legal strategy, not just for preparing their defenses but also for harassment and intimidation.

On 10 June 2026, at precisely 17:18 pm, immediately after I was just about to finish typing the previous paragraph, because I added more information to it today, a fire alarm started out of the blue. I knew right away it's intentional. Because this has happened on several occasions in the past as well whenever I was just about to finish typing crucial information in my legal documents, a fire alarm suddenly started by itself. It's their psychological warfare. When I went out to investigate, I saw that the cabinet, where the fire alarm controller was inside, was open slightly as if someone had intentionally triggered the fire alarm. Person A came out and turned it off. He threatened me again not to film him. I then told him to stop harassing me first. He pretended to look surprised when I said this and denied that he didn't harass me before going back into his room (room 4). Immediately after the fire alarm had been turned off, I heard someone walk past my room and humm, which sounded like Pablo. When the fire alarm suddenly started, the time symbolically ended in the number 8 again (17:18 pm). At approximately 17:20 pm, while I was editing a photo taken of Person A earlier when he came out and turned off the fire alarm, since he was suspiciously wearing an **army green** hoodie as well, I heard the sirens briefly outside before the emergency vehicle quickly drove away. So, I couldn't see if it's a police vehicle or an ambulance.

At approximately 16:20 pm, immediately after I had submitted my injunction application bundle via CE-filing, I heard Person A return home and slam the main door shut.


Again, at approximately 16:35 pm, immediately upon opening this injunction application bundle to add more information, I heard another individual exit the property and slam the main door shut. When I looked out of the window, the individual was nowhere to be seen as usual.

At approximately 19:56 pm, while I continued to work on my memoir, I heard someone knock on the main door and some individuals started coming, going upstairs and making lots of noises right above my room. They're probably visiting my housemate living upstairs. This is how the Respondents appear to use all kinds of discreet distractions to delay me. Often when I work on something important such as working on my book, on my case.

---

10 June 2026

🖼️ Figure 4



Caption: On 10 June 2026, at 10 am, within minutes of me checking my text messages and calendar to see the date and time of my appointment with the Jobcentre tomorrow, I received a text message from Jobcentre. This is how they seem to let me know immediately that I am being constantly watched both via hidden cameras and cyberstalking. The time symbolically ended in the "No.10" again.

On 10 June 2026, at precisely 18:44 pm, immediately after typing this line in my draft memoir **Abuse in Plain Sight**, p. 3, "I also reasonably believe the perpetrators may have subjected my daughter to the exact same sexual abuse and exploitation via hidden cameras to upset me as unlawful retaliation," I heard someone exit the property and aggressively slam the main door shut. When I looked out of the window to investigate, the individual was nowhere to be seen as usual.

On 10 June 2026, at precisely 19:53 pm, immediately after editing this line in my draft memoir **Abuse in Plain Sight**, p. 8, "**When you share this book, you trigger the precise global consumer awareness and ESG market pressure needed to force these boards to drop the corrupt state actors to save their own shares**," I heard someone exit the property and violently smack the main door shut again. When I looked out of the window to investigate, the individual was nowhere to be seen.

Around 21:46 pm, immediately upon getting up to prepare my dinner, I heard the housemate upstairs begin to make some noise and walk with heavy footsteps. As I typed this, he seemed to increase the heavy footsteps according to what I typed. He rarely made loud noises or walked with heavy footsteps. Today, he appeared to start doing it. Possibly soon after I had added this passage below in my upcoming memoir **Abuse in Plain Sight,** concerning a former neighbour living upstairs above me while I was living in my previous Putney flat in South West London:

“He also starts making lots of noise. Particularly whenever I concentrate on writing or on my filmmaking project. He appears to precisely know my every movement, and what I am doing at the minute. He then seems to reflect it back to me via various means.”

This is what the State Actor Respondents appear to be doing by immediately reusing the exact harassment technique I have just talked about to harass me, to provoke and to let me know that everything I write has been monitored via constant cyberstalking.

At approximately 10 pm, soon after I started talking about Keir Starmer in my upcoming memoir **Abuse in Plain Sight,** vehicles and motorcycles began to aggressively accelerate past my window all over again.

---

11 June 2026

Around midnight onward, immediately after I began to edit my memoir again, my housemate upstairs also started walking in his room with heavy footsteps and making some loud noise all over again. He was completely quiet before.

Later, I went to the bathroom. At precisely 00:33 am, soon after I finished using the bathroom and wiped myself, I suddenly heard the sirens outside just briefly before driving away. I wasn't sure if it was from a police vehicle or an ambulance. It was likely a police vehicle. Because they have done this a lot in the past, and I have on occasions taken some pictures and videos of them as well. The time ended in the number “33” again, as if to symbolically refer to the double violation of my and my daughter’s human right article 3 of the ECHR as usual. Because this number “33” has also been used in the harassment campaign against me very often.

At precisely 6:10 am, immediately after I had typed in the caption of the video “heavy cars driving past the window,” I heard the housemate upstairs make some noise. The time symbolically ended in the No.10 again.

Because I woke up this morning with palpitations in my chest and at the same time noticed that heavy vehicles and regular cars appeared to drive past my window in a way that created a combination of loud cars, accelerating, heavy, floor-shaking ground vibrations.


They must have been doing this all night while I was sleeping, which ultimately caused me chest palpitations.

At 6:53 am, immediately after finishing typing the word “palpitations” in the previous paragraph, I heard someone briefly shout which startled me. This is how they also use a sudden loud sound (someone suddenly shouts, suddenly begins to talk loudly outside my window, a car horn, a motorcycle suddenly accelerates past my window) quite often to startle me and to cause a spike in my anxiety, heart rate or adrenaline.

This specific form of intimidation is commonly called **vibration harassment** or **acoustic stalking**. When a driver intentionally uses the sheer weight, low-end torque, or modified exhaust of a vehicle to create heavy, floor-shaking ground vibrations, they are engaging in a highly targeted form of **noise harassment or vehicular stalking**.

It's also a form of **"Sound Campaigns."** Harassment techniques can include intentionally loud cars, accelerating, or braking abruptly near the victim's home to cause distress and health consequences.

🖼️ Figure 5




Caption: At approximately 8:01 am today, immediately after finishing typing the last paragraph, as seen in the screenshot above regarding my daughter, in my **Formal Open Letter** intended to send to the police's legal team, I heard someone cough while walking past my room. The time symbolically ended in the No.01 again, which can be read as the No.10 in reverse.

🖼️ Figure 6



Caption: When I looked out the window to investigate, I observed a male housemate just exiting the property, as seen in a red circle in the image above.


🖼️ Figure 7



Caption: It appears to be this housemate, as seen in the image above. This picture is from 1 June 2026. But just to show you whom I was referring to. In this photo, he also exited the property when the time symbolically ended in the No.10.

At 10 am, I have an appointment at Uxbridge Jobcentre. As usual, when I was in there, someone would suddenly walk right out of a room that I was just about to walk past to startle me. Some of the staff there also wore light pink jackets similar colour to a pink top my daughter used to wear.

🖼️ Figure 8




Caption: At around 10:52 am, while travelling by bus number U3 to Hillingdon Hospital Emergency Department located on Pield Heath Road, Uxbridge, Middlesex, UB8 3NN, for my persistent chest pain and palpitations, I observed a police car driving past the bus I was on, as seen in a red circle in the image above. The man sitting by the window also wore a **green Adidas** top and jacket. **Green** colour, among **red and orange**, for instance, is also one of the key **colour signifiers** frequently used in **colour harassment**.

I went to the A&E because of the persistent left-side chest pain that I have due to being exposed to loud door-slammings daily, as mentioned previously elsewhere in this injunction application bundle.


On 12 June 2026, at precisely 9:01 am, immediately upon searching on the internet for the address of Hillingdon Hospital, I heard a motorcycle accelerate aggressively past my window. Because I added this new information today as well. The time symbolically ended in the No.01 again, which could be read as the No.10 in reverse.

🖼️ Figure 9



Caption: This is a clearer picture of him. He also wore wireless earbuds, which many of the harassers acting on behalf of certain Respondents, particularly the State Actor Respondents, also wear.

🖼️ Figure 10




Caption: At approximately 10:59 am, within minutes of me seeing a police vehicle driving past the bus, an elderly man got on the bus and came to sit right next to me, as seen in the photo above. This video was taken after I stood up. Because I knew it was a sexual harassment as usual.

Because this method has been used consistently to sexually harass me in public to make me feel uncomfortable. This type of sexual harassment often occurs immediately after or soon after I have raised concerns about my daughter that the State Actor Respondents may have also subjected her to the exact same sexual abuse and exploitation via hidden cameras, including via a honey trap, as I said earlier elsewhere in this injunction application bundle. Because yesterday, 10 June 2026, I had also written about this concern regarding my daughter in my upcoming memoir and posted a video on YouTube as well regarding a helicopter harassment and intimidation, which occurred the very next day after raising concerns about my daughter (see a screenshot of my YouTube video regarding helicopter harassment in Figure 10 below). This might have caused them to retaliate immediately the day after. Because it's not just this sexual harassment that they had subjected me to today, but an escalation in all kinds of harassment techniques as well. It's like this almost every single time I raise concerns about my daughter, or talk about Keir Starmer that he may personally be involved in this as well. Because yesterday, I also talked about him in my upcoming memoir.

On 12 June 2026, at precisely 10 am, immediately after adding the information about Keir Starmer in the previous paragraph, I heard someone return to the property. The time symbolically referred to the No.10 again. Immediately after finishing typing this, at 10:03 am, I heard someone slam something shut nearby. At 10:04 am, immediately after typing the previous incident occurring at 10:03 am, I heard an individual exit the property and slam the main door shut.


🖼️ Figure 11



Caption: Yesterday, 10 June 2026, I also posted a video on YouTube about helicopter harassment. Here's a link https://youtube.com/shorts/A9x9tacsTxI?is=P5zk832kc49c7QbG

In the video caption, I also added hashtags related to **Keir Starmer**, as seen in the screenshot above as well.

🖼️ Figure 12



Caption: Yesterday, 10 June 2026, in my upcoming memoir **Abuse in Plain Sight**, I also added information about PC Jonathan Miotti and PC Andrew Ngo, whom I had made a formal police complaint about on 20 January 2023, as described elsewhere in this injunction application bundle as well. This might have contributed to the increase in harassment and intimidation today as well. Because also every time I mention the names of these two police officers, particularly PC Jonathan Miotti, the retaliation is swift.

While I was waiting to be seen at the hospital, the staff there also occasionally walked right out of a room or from round the corner to startle me. Everything I said to the nurses and doctors during the assessments of my chest pain and chest palpitations, also appeared to be passed onto the State Actor Respondents immediately. Because it's being reflected back to me in real-time via ads and videos on YouTube.


At approximately 13:57 pm, immediately after the nurse had performed an ECG on my chest and pulled my top down after finishing it (I didn't wear a bar), I heard someone cough outside as if to convey surveillance or voyeurism via CCTV in that room as well. Because this is not the first time that they have given me hints almost everywhere, to let me know that CCTV cameras have also been misused for malicious purposes or that hidden cameras have also been unlawfully installed in public sectors or private sectors to violate my privacy and sexually harass me everywhere I go - even in public bathrooms. Another example is when I had a dental treatment at a dental clinic in Slough about 3-4 months ago, in which immediately after the treatment was over and I stood up, through the open window, I observed a woman wearing a **bright blue** top standing at the gas station opposite the clinic filling the patrol in her car. Because **blue** colour has also been used consistently in the harassment campaign against me, to let me know it's intentional to convey surveillance.

At approximately 15:32 pm, while waiting in the waiting room at the hospital, I began to eat a banana. When I was halfway through it, the receptionist / nurse suddenly received a phone call. I knew right away it's to convey surveillance as always that even in the hospitals and everywhere else, they are also actively monitoring me via CCTV to let me know they are surveilling me everywhere I go, not just via hidden cameras in my own home but everywhere! This precise method of giving me a surveillance or voyeurism hint at the exact moment I am halfway through my meal / snack has also been used consistently when I am at home, to let me know they are severely violating my privacy via hidden cameras 24/7 and via CCTV as well everywhere.

On 12 June 2026, at approximately 9:15 am, immediately after finishing typing “I began to eat a banana. When I was halfway through it, the receptionist suddenly received a phone call,” I observed Eddie exiting the property wearing a **navy blue Adidas** jacket as usual.

Again, on 12 June 2026, at approximately 9:22 am, immediately upon typing the previous paragraph, I heard another individual exit the property and slam the main door shut.

🖼️ Figure 13



The Hillingdon Hospitals NHS Trust NHS

The Hillingdon Hospital
Pield Health Road
Uxbridge
Middlesex
UB8 3NN

GEORGE STREET
UXBRIDGE
UB8 1UB

Emergency Department
Tel: 01895 238282
Fax: 01895 279362
Date: 11/Jun/2026 16:25:17

Dear R SARWAR

Name: WITTAYA AMPONPUNT, BUPPHA
[redacted]
Gender: Female

Address: [redacted]

Previous Emergency Department attendances in the last 12 months:1

Your patient attended the Emergency Department on: 11/Jun/2026 13:36:00

Source of Referral: *Other Hospital-Hillingdon*

Incident occurred at: *Medical / clinical area*

Seen by: *Zena Putris*

Presentation:

Brief Summary:
Requested Actions for GP: patient seen in QED

Patient presented with 2 weeks history of intermittent left sided chest pain , described as sharp pain , sometimes radiating across the chest or left arm , sometimes associated with palpitations and SOB. No history of fever/cough/cold. Pain is worse in the morning when waking from sleep when she feels tightness, pain is not related to exertion. Patient has been having lots of stress where she lives , as she lives in HMO accommodation and there is problems with one of the house mates who wants her to leave. Patient otherwise eating and drinking well, no urinary symptoms , suffers from constipations. Patient is pain free at the moment. No menstrual periods for few years

Person Name WITTAYA AMPONPUNT, MS BUPPHA

11/Jun/2026 16:25:19

NHS Numbe[redacted]

1 of 4

Caption: This supplementary evidence confirms that on 11 June 2026, I required emergency medical evaluation at an A&E department due to acute physical symptoms induced by ongoing


environmental harassment within the accommodation. The attached note confirms the objective existence of this severe stress, which directly threatens my physical well-being.

The A&E Discharge Summary: The official hospital note confirming my attendance, the clinical findings, and the diagnosis of severe environmental stress.

While the attending clinician confirmed that my physical symptoms are driven by acute environmental stress within my shared accommodation, the medical note omits the specific, primary trigger of this distress. This stress is caused by continuous, daily exposure to high-impact noise harassment—specifically, the violent slamming of doors adjacent to my room—which has directly induced the sharp, persistent chest pain that required emergency hospital evaluation.


The attached medical note confirms the objective existence of acute, stress-induced physical symptoms requiring emergency hospital evaluation on 11 June 2026. The underlying environmental trigger—deliberate, high-impact noise harassment—is documented throughout this injunction bundle, which the systemic domestic framework has failed to fully record.

🖼️ Figure 14



Caption: I was on my way home from the hospital. At 16:42 pm, at the exact moment I got off bus number U1 in front of Uxbridge Library, I observed a group of young men whistling directly at me, appearing to intentionally flirt with me in a derogatory manner. All of them also looked in my direction, as seen in a red circle in the image above.

🖼️ Figure 15



Caption: Not so far from them, on the opposite side of the road, I also observed a police van parking on the street, as seen in the image above.


On 11 June 2026, I was back at home again, at approximately 17:20 pm, immediately upon sitting down in my bed, I heard the sound of someone returning home and slamming the main door shut.

At approximately 18:18 pm, I drafted a new document with new information to submit to my injunction bundle, which I had already submitted on 9 June 2026, as an additional evidence to also include the A&E Discharge Summary: “The official hospital note confirming my attendance on 11 June 2026, the clinical findings, and the diagnosis of severe environmental stress, as it establishes an official, independent record of my medical attendance and the physical symptoms I am experiencing.” Immediately after adding this information, I heard an individual exit the property and loudly slam the main door shut. After a few minutes, the individual returned to the property.

At 18:50 pm, I added new information to my draft document regarding “NOTICE OF SYSTEMATIC CONTEMPT FOR JUDICIAL AUTHORITY” to add to my letter to the police’s legal team and the lawyers of other defendants as well. Immediately after adding this new information intended to send to their lawyers, I observed another individual exiting the property and slamming the main door shut.


At 19:21 pm, immediately upon opening this document to add the abovementioned paragraph, I heard Person B walk past my room and cough before exiting the property and slamming the main door shut.

🖼️ Figure 16



Caption: At 00:10 am on 11 June 2026, I also created a new document regarding Uxbridge Jobcentre, whose staff also appear to be participating in the harassment campaign against me.

🖼️ Figure 17



Caption: Screenshot showing when I created the above-mentioned document regarding Uxbridge Jobcentre.

On 12 June 2026, within hours of me creating this document, at precisely 3:06 am, I heard the housemate upstairs begin to make some loud noises again by abruptly walking with heavy footsteps to wake me up. The time ended in the number 6 again, as if to symbolically refer to the violation of my human right Article 6 (right to a fair trial) of the ECHR as usual. Because the number 6 has also been used consistently in the harassment campaign against me shortly after I have raised concerns about an unfair trial due to the blocking of my access to lawyers, violating my human right Article 6. I have also mentioned many times that I believe Keir Starmer is behind this. So, whenever I talk about Keir Starmer or unfair trial, they immediately use the No.6 or No.10 to harass me: It's called number harassment, just like colour harassment technique, among many other harassment techniques recognised by the **United Nations Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR)** frequently used to harass dissidents, human rights defenders, whistleblowers and similar.

Source: Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, 'Harassment techniques' (UN OHCHR Document Repository) ohchr.org.

The housemate upstairs continued to make lots of noise for about one hour or so, as if he had some visitors to come and help him move or repair something in his room. But it's very unusual to do this at 3 am in the morning.

I therefore know right away it's another noise harassment as a sleep deprivation, to exhaust me as much as possible. Particularly while I am actively preparing my letters to the police's legal team and to all other defendants, to notify them of the unfair trial and miscarriage of justice, including intense psychological torture and physical harm, regarding the relentless harassment and intimidation via repeated violent door-slamming, inflicted upon me as a retaliation and maybe even as a slow kill.


I am also actively working on my upcoming memoir detailing the horrific experience I am going through. Simultaneously, I am also working on my petition to bring public attention to this hideous crime committed against me and my daughter.

🖼️ Figure 18



Caption: I typed the previous paragraph regarding "I am also actively working on my upcoming memoir detailing the horrific experience I am **going through**," at 12:25 pm on 12 June 2026.

🖼️ Figure 19




Caption: Within minutes of me typing about "going through" as seen in the previous screenshot, when I logged into my YouTube account, I observed the ad, as seen in the screenshot above, placed before a video I was just about to watch regarding Keir Starmer.

The expression in his eyes also appeared intentional as always, to both convey voyeurism and uncanniness - something disturbing. Because this harassment method has also been used

consistently, to let me know it's deliberate. The phrase that he said “the career you hated at first” also seemed to convey sexual harassment linked to Keir Starmer and voyeurism / illegal surveillance, crossing the line from harassment to sexual harassment, racism, manipulation and gaslighting.

🖼️ Figure 20




Caption: Another screenshot that conveys further sexual harassment, manipulation, gaslighting or provocation. Therefore, tech platforms appear to help the State Actor Respondents harass

me as well. Because this is not an isolated incident. It happens across all platforms on a daily basis, as if an attempt to get me off the internet, to violate my human right article 10 as well (freedom of expression). YouTube / Google is also a defendant in this claim. It looks therefore like an incitement to retaliation.

On 12 June 2026, at approximately 13:46 pm, immediately upon opening my email to read and save an email from a **Shakespeare Martineau LLP** law firm, based in Birmingham, sent to me to notify me that they're now representing **The University of West London**, one of the defendants, I heard lots of individuals coming in to the property and make lots of noise while making their way up upstairs. They appeared to be moving or doing some work in the room right above my room, making lots of noise, again, just as they did at 3 am this morning, as I said earlier. This looked therefore like another attempt to distract me.


At precisely 14:16 pm, immediately upon sitting back down in my bed, I heard someone exit the property. It turned out to be Person C, a white elderly man residing in room 2. The time symbolically ended in the number 6 again.

🖼️ Figure 21




Caption: At approximately 14:40 pm, on 12 June 2026, I created this document and began to write about hacking.

🖼️ Figure 22



Caption: At 14:46 pm, immediately after typing this line in the previous paragraph, “Because the state actor defendants are constantly monitoring my devices” I observed a police vehicle driving past my window. The time symbolically ended in the number 6 again.

🖼️ **Figure 23**



Caption: On 12 June 2026, at approximately 16:40 pm, immediately upon reading the answer from Ai, as seen in the screenshot above, which I had asked about hacking and litigation misconduct, I heard a motorcycle accelerate past my window. The rider seemed to intentionally

increase the acceleration abruptly at the precise moment the motorcycle was about to ride past my window, to cause a sudden spike in my stress and anxiety, which may lead to severe health consequences over time such as heart failure or even a sudden heart attack. This occurs daily. So, it's not an isolated incident. Especially after I had already submitted my injunction application on 9 June 2026.

At 16:46 pm, immediately upon finishing editing the previous paragraph, I heard someone exit the property and loudly slam the main door shut.

At approximately 18:01 pm, immediately after finishing using the bathroom, I heard someone exit the property and slam the main door shut. Right after, I heard a motorcycle accelerate aggressively past my window and appear to intentionally increase the acceleration abruptly at the precise moment the motorcycle was passing my window, to cause a spike in my stress and anxiety, mirroring the previous incident at 16:40 pm. The time ended in the No.01 again, symbolically referring to the No.10 once again.

This is what the State Actor Respondents seem to be doing by illegally monitoring my devices at all times, to detect my sensitivities and vulnerabilities to certain stimuli. Then, as soon as I write about one of their harassment techniques and how it affects me, they will immediately reuse that technique and repeat it for maximum impact.

At approximately **18:23 pm**, immediately after typing the above-mentioned paragraph, I heard someone slam something shut in the kitchen next to my room. The time symbolically ended in the number "**23"** as usual. Because this number has been used in the harassment campaign against me a lot lately. The year I complained about **PC Jonathan Miotti and PC Andrew Ngo** was also in **2023**, as mentioned elsewhere in this bundle.

🖼️ Figure 24



Caption: When I looked out the window to investigate, I observed a bus with a **Prime** Video advertisement on its side driving past my window. So, the door slamming appeared to act as a messenger as always to make me look out the window and see a **Prime** Video advertisement on the side of the bus, as if to symbolically refer to someone as usual. I took the photo above after it had already driven past my window.

🖼️ Figure 25



Caption: This is a closeup of it.

Still on 12 June 2026, at approximately 18:25 pm, immediately upon standing up from my bed, I observed Person B exiting the property and slamming the main door shut. Immediately upon sitting back down again, I heard Eddy cough nearby. This is how they simultaneously attack me from all angles to cause me exhaustion and increase my distress, stress and anxiety, including feeling hypervigilant whenever I hear a slight noise outside or nearby.

🖼️ Figure 26



Caption: At approximately 18:58 pm, after I had been working on this petition bundle, I went into the kitchen to make my dinner. I then observed several X or No.10 symbols being drawn in the sky right outside my window by the aircraft again. The time symbolically ended in the number 8 again.

🖼️ Figure 27



Caption: The aircraft continued to draw the X or No.10 symbols for the rest of the evening.


At approximately 19:54 pm, on 12 June 2026, immediately upon opening my document regarding my A&E visit on 11 June 2026, **as described on pages 554-555**, in which the doctor confirmed my stress and anxiety due to the problem with one of the housemates. Although she omitted the fact that the repeated violent door-slammings also caused my stress and anxiety, her medical note did confirm:

- **The Reality of My Physical Symptoms:** It proves I required urgent evaluation at an A&E department for persistent chest pain, moving the issue from an abstract complaint to a documented medical reality.

- **The Existence of Severe Environmental Stress:** The doctor's inclusion of housing-related stress directly links my physical symptoms to my immediate living environment, providing the court with a clear basis for the distress I am under.

Within minutes of me sitting down to type the above-mentioned incident regarding the doctor confirming my stress, at approximately 20:02 pm, I heard someone exit the property and slam the main door shut. When I looked out of the window, the individual was nowhere to be seen as usual. It appeared to act as a signal of cyberstalking, intimidation and distraction to increase my distress and anxiety being constantly reminded of surveillance - both online and offline.

🖼️ Figure 28




Caption: At approximately 22:34 pm, immediately after posting this post on Instagram, I heard the sound of someone exit the property and slam the main door shut. I didn't even bother to go look out the window to see who it was. Because the individual would quickly disappear as usual.

It's like this almost every time I post something about Keir Starmer, like or comment on social media about him and the negativity surrounding him, or just to go online and use social media like everyone else. Therefore, I have a good reason to believe that he's illegally surveilling me both online and offline, to control me, to violate my freedom of expression, to gain insight into my legal strategy and to steal my confidential information for exploitation.

---

12 June 2026

🖼️ Figure 29



Caption: On 12 June 2026, at 11:27 pm, I posted a blog post about Keir Starmer again. In the same blog post, I also raised concerns about my daughter that he and his Co-defendants may also have subjected her to the exact same sexual abuse and exploitation via hidden cameras.

This is the link to the blog post
https://buppha.com/2026/06/12/i-think-keir-starmer-is-a-control-freak/

---

13 June 2026

This morning, I also drafted my petition: “I am currently being unlawfully isolated inside the United Kingdom. The Prime Minister’s office, along with senior police figures and corporate-state entities, have actively intercepted my communications and blocked my legal team from representing me. They are using the power of the state to silence a whistleblower and bury evidence of corruption.”


At precisely 9:10 am, immediately after finishing drafting my petition, I heard an individual exit the property and slam the main door shut again. As always, when I looked out the window, the individual was nowhere to be seen.

At precisely 9:33 am, immediately upon adding the draft letter to MP to my WordPress blog, for people to contact their own MP (or other representative if outside the UK) regarding the alarming issue of organised harassment and the abuse of power through retaliation and revenge-for-hire schemes, I heard a motorcycle accelerate aggressively past my window. As usual, the rider appeared intentionally to increase the acceleration at the exact moment the motorcycle was passing my window.

They also like playing cat and mouse. For example, if I stand by the window waiting to take pictures of a housemate when this individual is about to harass me and to convey surveillance, this person would stop going out of the property. But at the exact moment I give up and sit back down in my bed, this individual will immediately exit the property and slam the main door shut. This further confirms a very organised harassment and the use of hidden cameras & audio recording devices as tools for torture by using them to see and hear my movements, so that they can plan their attacks for maximum impact and severe suffering, amounting to intense psychological torture and a crime against humanity.

Soon after I had written the previous paragraph, particularly regarding how they seem to use hidden cameras & audio recording devices as tools for torture, they did exactly that both to taunt and torture me. Because at around 3 pm, I went to the bathroom to defecate. At the precise moment my last piece of faeces dropped into the toilet, I heard some individuals suddenly begin to converse loudly outside my window. Then, immediately after I flushed the toilet, I heard someone shout abruptly and briefly as if to confirm that there are also hidden audio recording devices in my room and bathroom capable of recording even the faintest sounds. Also, to give me a sense of something very disturbing, and that this is an extreme form of psychological torture and sexual humiliation. They especially do this as retaliation whenever I try to assert my freedom of expression by publicly talking and exposing their criminal enterprise on my blog, social media, or even just to add vital information or evidence in my legal documents. Because just before I went to the bathroom, I had also just added some more important information to my Formal Open Letter to the Police's legal team. It is therefore a further clear indication that the police are illegally monitoring all my devices to gain insight into my legal strategies as well and then immediately escalate their retaliation.

🖼️ Figure 30




Caption: On 13 June 2026, at **21:48 pm,** immediately upon logging into my TikTok account, I observed this video of a doll right underneath the “For You” feed with a hand of someone making it dance up and down. This specific video seems to refer to one of my sexual acts again non-consensually taken with the hidden cameras over the years. TikTok has done this repeatedly, as seen in **Exhibit BW-11 as well (page 191),** strongly suggesting that my non-consensually recordings have been provided to them, to allow them to use them for harassment as retaliation to cause me severe psychiatric injury, knowing that my private life has been stolen from me for years - even during the most intimate moments. They then choose something that will reflect my private life and intimate moments perfectly well, which only I know what it’s supposed to refer to. The time also ended in the number 8 again (21:48 pm), to symbolically refer to the violation of my human right article 8 (right to privacy) of the ECHR as usual.

This is the link to the video https://vm.tiktok.com/ZNRcpnHFs/

---


14 June 2026

🖼️ Figure 31



Caption: Again, on 14 June 2026, at approximately 11:52 am, immediately upon logging into my Instagram account via the app, I observed another video from Mubi UK strategically placed right at the top of my feed, with the actor undressing or getting dressed with his back to the camera. This particular video appears to refer to me getting dressed or undressing with my back to the light bulb, where I believe hidden cameras have been unlawfully installed. This is how the State-Actor Defendants seem to use third parties to repeatedly convey surveillance / voyeurism, and that my privacy has severely been violated 24/7 to cause me psychiatric injury. Again, the video will look harmless to others, and only I know what it's referring to. Instagram is also actively participating in this harassment campaign against me, just like TikTok and many other platforms, amounting to a conspiracy to injure by unlawful means. TikTok, Instagram and Mubi UK are also defendants in my claim, suggesting a conspiracy to retaliate as well.

🖼️ Figure 32





Caption: On 14 June 2026, at approximately 16:28 pm, again immediately upon logging into my TikTok account via the app, I was presented with this video of a girl crying on camera, talking about something with the word “deep” as well, which I believe is taken right out of my email communications of sexual nature obtained via hacking or other unlawful means. Because this word has also been used repeatedly in the harassment campaign against me, to humiliate me by letting me know as well that my intimate email communications have also been hacked and disseminated.

This is how they mentally abuse me as well by repeatedly reflecting it back to me via various means, how I would feel inside right now being illegally monitored, filmed around the clock with the hidden cameras, distributed or live-streamed for profit and for humiliation, and my access to lawyers is also blocked.

This is not the only post from this user Ivy that appears to refer to me. There are lots of posts on her profile that seem to make lots of references to me as well, strongly suggesting that the State-Actor Defendants have also paid social media influencers to harass me - either knowingly or unknowingly. This is also not the first time either that I have observed social media influencers appearing to make content referring to me. Clearly, there's a pattern of behaviour going on.

🖼️ Figure 33




Stats
TRAFFIC
INSIGHTS
SUBSCRIBERS
Days
13 Jun 2026
About Me 3
Perverting the Course of Justice 3
I Think Keir Starmer Tries to Harm Me 3
View more
Referrers
Referrer
Views
Reddit 43
Facebook 4
Instagram 1
Search Engines 1
Google Search 1
Clicks
Link
Clicks
change.org/p/urgent-appeal-uk-pm-keir-starmer-a... 3
members.parliament.uk/FindYourMP 2
bounceblack.org/resources/organised-harassment/ 1
linkedin.com/in/buppha/ 1
bupphawitt.wordpress.com/2025/10/17/denying-jus... 1

← Stats

TRAFFIC | INSIGHTS | SUBSCRIBERS

Days ▾ 13 Jun 2026 < >

Clicks

Link	Clicks
change.org/p/urgent-appeal-uk-pm-keir-starmer-a...	3
members.parliament.uk/FindYourMP	2
bounceblack.org/resources/organised-harassment/	1
linkedin.com/in/buppha/	1
bupphawitt.wordpress.com/2025/10/17/denying-jus...	1
instagram.com/bupphaofficial	1


View more

Authors

No data for this period


Countries





Caption: Yesterday, 12 June 2026, I posted my blog post titled “I Think Keir Starmer is a Control Freak” on Reddit and gained lots of visitors from Reddit alone, see the screenshots above.


🖼️ Figure 34



Caption: In my yesterday blog post "I Think Keir Starmer is a Control Freak," it also contained my concerns about my daughter that they may also have subjected her to the exact same sexual abuse and exploitation via hidden cameras as well as via a honey-trap operation, as seen in the screenshot above. Maybe that is why it was removed. Because there's a pattern of behaviour going on in which whenever I post on social media talking about my daughter, and that she may also be a victim of the exact same sexual abuse and exploitation via the hidden cameras, the post was removed shortly after. Sometimes, they also seem to get social media platforms to ban or restrict me as well immediately after raising concerns about my daughter on social media such as X platform owned by Elon Musk, as described in **Exhibit BW-31, pages 425-436.**


At precisely 14:12 pm, immediately upon writing about being banned or restricted on social media in the previous paragraph whenever I post about my concerns about my daughter, I heard someone exit the property and loudly slam the main door shut. As usual, when I tried to look out of the window, the individual was nowhere to be seen.

🖼️ Figure 35



Caption: Today, when I got up in the morning, my post was removed, as seen in the image below.

🖼️ Figure 36



Caption: On 14 June 2026, at approximately 11:40 am, immediately after I posted this to Threads “Write to your MP NOW to demand answers to state-led illegal surveillance, harassment and intimidation https://buppha.com/2026/05/11/end-state-led-gender-based-harassment/” I observed Person A exiting the property and slamming the main door shut.

At approximately 12:10 pm, immediately upon editing this bundle intended for my petition, I observed individuals starting to enter the property, going to the room upstairs above me and starting making lots of noise again. This is how they appear to immediately distract me, as soon as I am about to edit an important document. Hence, they want to continue to monitor my devices at all times to be able to distract me just at the right time.

---

18 June 2026

At approximately 8:06 am, immediately upon habitually twisting my left hand a bit while using the bathroom, I heard someone begin to converse outside, not that loud but enough for me to hear it. The time ended in the number 6 again, as if to symbolically allude to the violation of my

human right article 6 (right to a fair trial) of the ECHR as usual. Because this number, among other key numbers such as 3 and 8, has been used consistently in the harassment campaign against me, as I have also mentioned elsewhere in this document. Particularly, whenever I talk about Keir Starmer and his alleged involvement in this or my belief that he has also blocked my access to lawyers, this number then appears to be used to harass me immediately as if to always remind me of him and his abuses.

The psychological torture, mental abuse, sexual harassment or intimidation also often occurs immediately after I mentioned Keir Starmer and his alleged misconduct, strongly suggesting that he has his people to cyberstalk me at all times to gain insight into my legal strategies and to control me.

They also target my habits for a certain period of time before moving onto the next. For example, in the past the external sounds, such as the individual suddenly beginning to converse or a car driving past my window, often triggered at the exact moment I habitually leaned myself forward or shifted my right leg a bit while using the bathroom. Now, it triggers when I habitually twist my hand a bit instead to let me know that all my habits - big and small - have been observed in detail via the hidden cameras, and that the footage may have been projected onto the big screen as well to be able to closely observe me, so that even the slightest movements will also be detected. They then reflect all my very subtle movements or habits back to me via various means to make me feel extremely disturbed by what they have subjected me to. It's an extreme form of psychological torture.

🖼️ Figure 37



Caption: On 18 June 2026, at 8:28 am, immediately after typing “psychological torture” in the previous paragraph, I observed an ambulance driving past my window. It also happens almost every time I raise concerns about my daughter, hidden cameras, psychological torture or similar. It's like a form of discreet gaslighting as well when the ambulance suddenly drives past my window whenever I talk about these sensitive subjects. Hence, they are illegally monitoring all my devices 24/7, to be able to detect sensitive information to be used against me later or immediately. Just as the ambulance driving past my window immediately after I typed “psychological torture.”

The time ended in the number 8 again (8:28 am), to also symbolically refer to the violation of my human right article 8 (right to privacy). Because this number has also been used consistently in the harassment campaign against me, to always remind me of this very violation!

EXHIBIT BW-37 COVER PAGE

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION – MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS LIST

Claim No: KB-2025-004650

Legal Framing Note: Organised Harassment, Illegal Surveillance, Sexual Exploitation and Discrimination, including Illustrative Precedents.

Exhibited to: Witness Statement of BW dated 14 June 2026

Bundle pages: 587-605

(The following pages are BW-37, paginated continuously with the full bundle)

EXHIBIT BW-37

Table of Contents

1. Protection from Harassment Act 1997
2. Misuse of Private Information
3. Data Protection Act 2018
4. Sexual Offences Act 2003 and Related Legislation
5. Modern Slavery Act 2015
6. Hate Crime and Discrimination Dimension
7. Human Rights Law
8. Conclusion

---

1. Protection from Harassment Act 1997

- **Course of Conduct:** Continued camera installation, non-consensually filming, live-streaming, sharing intimate images/videos, cyberstalking, racial & sexual harassment and intimidation. This meets the requirement of the Protection from Harassment Act 1997 for conduct on at least two occasions.
- **Causing Alarm or Distress:** The repeated installation of hidden cameras to sexually abuse & exploit, dissemination, misuse of private information, offensive academic materials, manipulation of social media feeds, intimidation, and threats constitute a sustained course of conduct intended to cause alarm or distress, and it did cause severe shock, distress, anxiety and PTSD.
- **Intent or Knowledge:** The Respondents knew or ought to have known that their conduct amounted to harassment.
- **Aggravated Damages:** The retaliatory nature of the harassment and the involvement of high-ranking politicians, public officials, law enforcement, tech companies, giant corporations and major film studios and where harassment is carried out by multiple individuals and networks, it constitutes aggravated harassment under section 4A.
- The repeated, coordinated acts of stalking, intimidation, and harassment (including symbolic harassment, colour-coded clothing, spitting, coughing, and staged communications) constitute a course of conduct causing alarm and distress, contrary to sections 1 and 2 of the Act.
- The coordinated acts by multiple Respondents demonstrate malice, oppressive behaviour, and a deliberate intent to cause severe emotional harm.

---

2. Misuse of Private Information

- The Respondents knowingly obtained and used my private and sensitive information, including personal and medical data, without consent.
- Such acts constitute misuse of private information under common law and breach of the Data Protection Act 2018.
- I reasonably believe that my private information, intimate materials, legal strategies and creative works have been extensively shared between the Respondents, contributing to a systematic and unlawful dissemination of my personal information.

---

3. Data Protection Act 2018 (incorporating UK GDPR)

- The unlawful installation of hidden cameras, recording of my private life, and dissemination of intimate material are serious breaches of data protection law.
- Processing such personal data without consent, for voyeurism, pornographic distribution, and commercial gain, is illicit, unfair, and unlawful.
- Under section 170, it is a criminal offence to knowingly or recklessly obtain or disclose personal data without consent.

---

4. Sexual Offences Act 2003 and Related Legislation

- Secret filming of intimate acts without consent falls under voyeurism (section 67).
- Dissemination of such recordings constitutes sexual exploitation and may fall under revenge pornography offences (Criminal Justice and Courts Act 2015, section 33).

---

5. Modern Slavery Act 2015

- Treating me and my daughter as “properties for business” by generating ongoing profits from the non-consensual distribution of our private lives amounts to exploitation through slavery and servitude.
- Section 1 prohibits holding a person in slavery or servitude. Section 2 criminalises human trafficking, including sexual exploitation for profit.
- The pattern of repeated exploitation shows we are being used as assets in an illegal enterprise.

---

6. Hate Crime and Discrimination Dimension

- I am a South East Asian woman, my daughter is also half Thai and half white, and our race and gender have made us specific targets for exploitation.
- The decade-long sexual exploitation, voyeurism, and symbolic harassment are informed by prejudice and racialised sexual stereotyping.
- If I were white, this treatment would likely not have occurred in the same way. My access to legal representation has also been deliberately obstructed, preventing me from stopping the abuse in good time.
- Many white victims of voyeurism or image-based sexual abuse in the UK are able to secure lawyers swiftly, obtain injunctions, and halt dissemination. I have not been afforded this access, leaving me more vulnerable.

Relevant Frameworks:

- **Article 14 ECHR** (Prohibition of Discrimination)
- **Crime and Disorder Act 1998 (Section 28)** – racially aggravated offences
- **Equality Act 2010** – unlawful denial of services/opportunities based on race or sex

---

7. Human Rights Law

- **Article 2 ECHR** – right to life
- **Article 3 ECHR** – freedom from torture, inhuman or degrading treatment
- **Article 4 ECHR** – freedom from slavery and forced labour
- **Article 5 ECHR** – right to liberty and security
- **Article 6 ECHR** – right to a fair trial
- **Article 8 ECHR** – right to privacy and family life
- **Article 10 ECHR** – freedom of expression
- **Article 12 ECHR** – right to marry and start a family
- **Article 13 ECHR** – access to effective remedy
- **Article 14 ECHR** – prohibition of discrimination
- **Protocol 1, Article 1** – right to peaceful enjoyment of your property
- **Protocol 1, Article 12** – right to education
- **Article 4 UDHR** – prohibition of slavery and human trafficking in all its forms

All in all, they appear to violate all articles of my human rights.

---

8. Comparative Context for Damages or Illustrative Precedents

- **Harvey v. Netflix (the 'Baby Reindeer' case):**

In Harvey v Netflix (“Baby Reindeer”), the claimant is seeking $170 million in damages for a single portrayal in a Netflix drama, based primarily on defamation and reputational harm. By contrast, the present case is far more serious and extensive. The State Actor Respondents have unlawfully filmed my private life with hidden cameras continuously over a period of more than a decade and continue to do so, distributed and livestreamed this material on a global scale, and monetised it through commercial exploitation (e.g., films, commercials, and entertainment formats akin to Big Brother).

Scale of Harm and Monetisation

My life has effectively been turned into a commercial product without my consent. Unlike Fiona Harvey, who challenges one dramatized portrayal, I face a malicious and ongoing campaign of 24/7 intrusive surveillance designed to strip me of my dignity, cause humiliation, and generate profit. These actions constitute not only voyeurism and misuse of private information, but also racial harassment, sexual exploitation, obstruction of justice, and breaches of multiple Articles under the European Convention on Human Rights.

Entitlement to an Account of Profits

It is clear that the Respondents have profited significantly from this unlawful conduct. Just as Fiona Harvey’s legal team argues she is entitled to lost profits from Netflix’s revenues, I am entitled to an account of profits from all commercial uses of my unlawfully obtained private information and commercial exploitation. Given the global reach of the internet and the entertainment industry, I reasonably believe that millions worldwide have viewed content derived from my life, generating substantial revenues for the Respondents.

- **Clift v Slough Borough Council [2010] EWCA Civ 1171:**

  Where the Court of Appeal held that disproportionate and widespread circulation of defamatory material by a public authority breached Article 8 and defeated any reliance on qualified privilege.

  Similarly, the Respondents have circulated my private information, intimate images/videos and fabricated allegations to a wide and uncontrolled audience including:

  - film production and distribution companies
  - writers and filmmakers
  - advertisement agencies
  - pornographic platforms
  - employers
  - unions
  - housing providers
  - other public bodies
  - unknown third parties

Consequently, I have suffered profound and ongoing psychological injury, financial loss, and damage to my personal and professional reputation.

I therefore seek an urgent interim injunction to restrain the Respondents from further disseminating private and confidential information, including intimate images/videos and false allegations, and from continuing their course of harassment. The Respondents, particularly those acting within law enforcement agencies, are public authorities subject to the Human Rights Act 1998. Their actions constitute a grave and disproportionate interference with my right to private and family life under Article 8 ECHR.

- **Ms A v Mr B (Emmott Snell Solicitors):**

  A single civilian perpetrator's voyeurism and live-streaming led to a five-figure settlement. My case is significantly more severe, involving multiple powerful actors, including high-ranking state actors, prolonged abuse and systemic retaliation.

- **Georgia Harrison v Stephen Bear:**

  £207,900 awarded for a single act of image-based abuse. The multiple illegal acts committed against me over a decade justify substantially higher compensation.

Legal Element in Bear Case	My Escalation
Duration & Scale A single recorded event, later uploaded online.	Unlike a singular event, the Defendants, particularly the State Actor Defendants, subjected me to a systematic, continuous live-stream spanning over a decade, turning my entire private life into a permanent broadcast without my knowledge.
Intent to Profit / Cause Distress Uploaded to a subscription site for financial gain and to humiliate.	They have monetised my stream, shared it across private and commercial networks, such as with film production companies, as described elsewhere in this injunction application bundle, and used it to derive voyeuristic power and control over my life.
Lack of Remorse Bear mocked the victim, denied charges, and craved publicity.	The defendants have shown active malice. Rather than ceasing upon discovery, they have weaponised the footage and launched a relentless campaign of severe harassment and intimidation, including continuing to illegally install hidden cameras in every place I live to carry on the non-consensually filming for profit

despite knowing full well that I am preparing my injunction to stop this. Their intimidation is an attempt to escape the severe prison sentences dictated by modern cyber-voyeurism guidelines.

The Element of Subverted Consent: Much like the case of Georgia Harrison, the material in my case was captured and compiled entirely without my knowledge or consent. However, while Ms. Harrison's case involved a discrete timeframe, the violation of my privacy is sustained continuously for over ten years and is still ongoing and even expanding exponentially. Because my private life stolen via hidden cameras and other unlawful means has been transformed into commercial products such as advertisements and films, making continuous substantial profit for the Defendants.

The Purpose of Intimidation: They are also relentlessly harassing and intimidating me daily because they fear long prison sentences. This is therefore not just as a personal dispute, but a deliberate attempt to obstruct justice. Consequently, their campaign of intimidation has compounded my emotional distress.

Proportionality of Damages (Civil Claim): Because my abuse went undetected for more than a decade and was followed by severe intimidation, the impact on my life, mental health, and safety is exponentially higher, warranting substantial judicial intervention.

Misuse of Private Information: My reasonable expectation of privacy was and is systematically violated.

Course of Conduct: The intimidation is not an isolated incident, but a continuous, deliberate campaign to silence me.

Aggravating Factors: The more-than-ten-year duration, the breach of trust, and the subsequent victim-blaming/intimidation are severe aggravating factors that demand a harsher remedy or sentence.

This has caused me severe shock and distress of realizing this had been happening behind my back and my private life was stolen for more than a decade, and continues to be stolen. Furthermore, they also refuse to remove the hidden cameras and continue to non-consensually film me 24/7, live-stream and disseminate it for profit, as I said earlier.

The loss of safety in my own home is therefore significant.

Their relentless harassment and intimidation campaign over the last four years, since 2023 after the police complaint in January 2023, and even escalating day by day, has caused me extreme exhaustion.

I also have to live under 24/7 illegal, intrusive surveillance, being live-streamed and distributed around the clock, while preparing this case and injunction application on my own. Because they have also blocked my access to lawyers.

On 7 June 2026, at approximately 4 pm onward, as soon as I began to edit this section regarding the Georgia Harrison v Stephen Bear precedent, individuals also started to exit/return to the property and slam the main door shut. I also heard motorcycles keep accelerating aggressively past my window.

---

9. Conclusion

The conduct against me is not merely harassment. It constitutes:

- a criminal enterprise involving trafficking and sexual exploitation,
- serious breaches of privacy and data protection,
- ongoing inhuman and degrading treatment,
- aggravated wrongdoing with a hate crime and discriminatory element, and possibly a crime against humanity as well when the abuse lasts more than a decade and still continues till this day despite pending injunction application and court proceedings, and that my access to lawyers is also blocked,
- violations of both domestic criminal law and international human rights law.

Immediate judicial intervention is necessary to:

1. Stop the harassment and exploitation,
2. Order disclosure of those involved (via Norwich Pharmacal Orders and forensic investigation), and
3. Ensure accountability under the above legal frameworks.

Therefore, the numbers 1-3 Defendants/Respondents + PU1 (the 'Unknown Public Authority Defendants') are additionally liable for the **Illegal Surveillance, Misuse of Private Information, Severe Harassment, Gross Human Rights Violations, Sexual Abuse via Decade-long Voyeurism, Unjust Enrichment, and Breaches of the Data Protection Act 2018 arising from the unauthorised disclosure of my sensitive personal data to third-party commercial entities.** I aver that officers or employees of the Public Authority Defendants, acting in the course of their employment, unlawfully accessed and/or sold my private data, or alternatively, that the Public Authority Defendants/Respondents and the rest of the Defendants/Respondents are vicariously liable for such acts.

Furthermore, I plead **Misfeasance in Public Office, asserting that the Defendants/Respondents acted with targeted malice or reckless indifference by concealing the unauthorised data egress and failing to investigate the misconduct,**

thereby facilitating the ongoing commercial exploitation of my and my daughter's private lives.

This is a non-exhaustive list of incidents that demonstrate a pattern of retaliatory dissemination, mass misuse of private information, commercial exploitation on an industrial scale and real-time monitoring of my private and public digital activities by the Respondents - particularly by the Public Authority Respondents.

Therefore, the ongoing and escalating nature of the Respondents' conduct, including the repeated unlawful installation of hidden cameras, covert filming, dissemination of private materials, severe harassment, intimidation and retaliation demonstrates a clear pattern of predatory behaviour rather than isolated incidents. The Respondents' continued actions, particularly after acknowledging my anticipated claim and after the strike-out of the claim, constitute escalating retaliation and victimisation, necessitating immediate injunctive relief to prevent further irreparable harm and to halt this pervasive pattern of abuse.

I contend that this pattern of behaviour constitutes not only a severe and ongoing invasion of privacy but also a calculated form of psychological harassment, psychological torture and intimidation. The deliberate synchronisation of push notifications received, housemates' movements coinciding with my private actions, and police drive-bys that are also in sync with my private activities, for instance, serves to reinforce my awareness of constant surveillance, creating an environment of extreme distress and undermining my sense of safety and autonomy within my own home.

This conduct is evidence of a malicious intent to exploit my most private habits for intimidation and for psychological torture to break me, to silence me, not to mention for their own financial gain as well.

Section 66(b) of the Sexual Offences Act 2003

What Counts as an “Intimate State”?

They are participating in or engaging in a sexual act;
They are doing something a reasonable person would consider sexual;
Their genitals, buttocks, or breasts are exposed;
They are urinating or defecating; or
They are performing any personal care associated with those acts.

How the Law Views This Conduct

- **Voyeurism & Sexual Offences Act 2003 (Section 66A/B)**: Under UK law, intentionally directing surveillance, recording, or coordinated intrusion at someone who is urinating, defecating, or engaging in personal care in a bathroom constitutes a severe violation of an **intimate state**. If they are using hidden feeds or real-time device tracking to timing-attack my bathroom use, this is a serious criminal matter.

- **Article 3 (ECHR)**: The Human Rights Act 1998 protects me from **Inhuman or Degrading Treatment**. Coordinated psychological "conditioning" designed to humiliate a person around the clock and strip them of their dignity in their own home violates the absolute threshold of Article 3.

This is how they relentlessly cause me severe mental suffering and humiliation by giving me various hints daily that I am being constantly watched at the precise moment I, for example:

- Finish defecating or wiping myself after using the bathroom as a form of sexual humiliation and psychological torture, to suggest that everything I do is being illegally observed and recorded around the clock, including live-streaming and distributing it for profit.
- Come out of the bathroom.
- Undress or about to expose my private parts
- Turn around in bed from left to right, and vice versa.
- Sit down or get up from bed.
- Finish cooking or transferring food to a bowl.

Consequently, I only shower once every two months or so due to credible fear of being filmed and live-streamed. Thus, I smell very bad now. This is pure torture. They therefore violate my human rights Articles 3 and 8 ECHR. I therefore reasonably believe that they are punishing me for discovering the truth and trying to stop their illegal enterprise through the court.

The continuation of such tactics, even in the face of my stated intention to pursue a Norwich Pharmacal Order, legal action and injunction application, further underscores the perpetrators' perceived impunity and the urgent need for injunctive relief to prevent further irreparable harm to my physical and psychological well-being, including the irreparable damage to my reputation and the loss of control over my privacy due to the ongoing and escalating dissemination of my private information and intimate materials.

As illustrated in my Exhibits, shortly after drafting or finishing a private activity such as using the bathroom, a Respondent-related entity (e.g., X.com, Elon Musk, Instagram) published social media content/film snippets that directly reflected my private activity in real time or mocked/pre-empted the specific legal points I had just written. Or that a police vehicle would often emerge and drive past my window as soon as I use social media or the bathroom - particularly at night. Especially when I like, comment or repost some posts concerning the negativity surrounding Keir Starmer. This may match the definition of **Stalking / Intimidation,** when a specific individual is driving past your home repeatedly to make you feel their presence, it crosses the line from a simple noise violation into **criminal stalking or intimidation**.

The police vehicle sometimes also suddenly emerges and drives past my residence at the exact moment I step out of the door. Sometimes, they also stop their vehicle in the traffic right in front of my door, so that the very moment I step out of the door, I will see them right in front of me. It's a very organised harassment and intimidation campaign against me utilising surveillance tools,

such as a combination of hidden cameras and phone tracking, to know my movements in advance so they can plan their attacks to precision.

The cumulative effect of these incidents is a 'vicious cycle' of surveillance: as soon as I seek help or prepare evidence, the Respondents utilise their monitoring to block that route through intimidation, influence and manipulation. This is why I cannot safely submit the 'crucial evidence' mentioned in the main Witness Statement until a ban on monitoring is in place.

I therefore respectfully submit that the Court may draw an inference of unlawful monitoring or unauthorised access where the surrounding circumstances demonstrate that the Respondents appear to possess knowledge of my private legal strategy, confidential communications, or litigation preparations in circumstances where such information would not ordinarily have been available to them through lawful means. I rely upon the pattern, timing, and nature of events described in the Witness Statement and Exhibits as giving rise to a reasonable inference that private information may have been accessed, monitored, intercepted, or communicated without consent.

I acknowledge that direct technical evidence may not presently be available. However, I contend that the Court is entitled to consider circumstantial evidence and reasonable inference where repeated conduct appears to correspond closely with confidential matters known only to me and not publicly disclosed.

In light of the above, I seek an order permitting the forensic preservation, imaging, inspection, and analysis of relevant electronic devices, storage media, accounts, and associated records under the supervision of an independent expert. The purpose of such inspection would be to determine whether any surveillance software, unauthorised access mechanisms, copied data, retained metadata, or materials belonging to me are present.

I further submit that such relief is proportionate and necessary to preserve potentially relevant evidence, prevent destruction or alteration of digital material, and assist the Court in determining whether unlawful access to private information has occurred. Any inspection may be conducted subject to appropriate safeguards, including independent supervision, confidentiality protections, and limitation to material relevant to the issues in dispute.

Notoriety / Power of Defendants

The Respondents, holding positions of significant authority and influence within public sectors, law enforcement agencies, educational institutions, prominent tech companies, social media platforms, and major film studios, leveraged their institutional access and technological expertise to orchestrate and perpetuate the abuse.

Their positions of power enabled them to commit these acts with impunity, actively obstruct justice by covering up complaints, and influence other entities, including foreign law enforcement, as evidenced by unlawful surveillance in Denmark.

Shocking / Unusual Circumstances

The scale and sophistication of the surveillance—including continuous illegal installation of hidden cameras in multiple residences over more than a decade, live broadcasting of intimate moments, and industrial-scale distribution to pornographic sites, tech, advertisement and film companies—represent an extreme and unprecedented violation of privacy and human dignity.

The respondents' continuation of these acts, even after formal complaints and pending legal actions, and the use of intimidation tactics, including imitation firearms, demonstrate a shocking disregard for legal and ethical boundaries.

Social Relevance / Controversy (Systemic Failings, Systemic Racism and Discrimination)

This case is not merely a personal tragedy but a stark illustration of systemic failings within institutions entrusted with public safety and technological innovation, exposing a deeply troubling pattern of systemic failings, systemic racism and discrimination.

The persistent racial and sexual harassment, both direct and through third parties, highlights systemic racism embedded within the respondents' conduct. This echoes findings from inquiries such as the Stephen Lawrence Inquiry, where institutional racism was identified as a barrier to justice.

The involvement of tech companies in the dissemination of private materials, whether by direct participation or failure to prevent misuse, raises critical questions about corporate responsibility and regulation of digital platforms to protect fundamental human rights.

Harm and Damages (Connected to Systemic Nature)

The profound and enduring psychological, emotional, and reputational harm suffered by me is exacerbated by the realisation that these abuses were perpetrated by individuals in positions of trust and power and were enabled by systemic failures to prevent or address such misconduct.

The injunction and damages sought reflect not only individual suffering but also the imperative to deter future systemic abuses and hold powerful institutions accountable for both the actions of their employees and their failures to safeguard citizens' rights.

The highly intrusive surveillance operating 24/7, breach of privacy, voyeurism, cybersex trade/human trafficking, the global dissemination of my private materials, and possibly of my daughter as well, the severe harassment (both racial and sexual), intimidation, retaliation, victimisation, the reputational sabotage, the social ostracism, financial ruin, gross human rights

abuses, the ongoing pattern of staged encounters, the coordinated communications and the obstruction of justice has made my life extremely difficult.

The Respondents' actions, including the deliberate exceeding of the scope of initial consent, the abusive distribution of private images, including the private images and videos unlawfully taken with the hidden cameras, and the malicious obstruction of legal representation, demonstrate a level of calculated cruelty and disregard for my rights that goes far beyond typical privacy violations. Their intent to cause maximum harm and prevent legal recourse necessitates a significantly higher level of compensation. The damages sought are not merely for the initial privacy breach, but for the compounded and ongoing harm caused by their systematic and malicious efforts to exploit, humiliate, destroy and silence me. Therefore, the compensation or interim payment requested, while substantial, is a just reflection of the extreme and calculated nature of the Respondents' unlawful conduct and the profound, lasting damage inflicted.

I therefore seek full redress for the Respondents' sustained and escalating campaign of harassment, voyeurism, surveillance, sexual exploitation, and industrial-scale misuse of private information. I seek comprehensive remedies including injunctions, interim payment, disgorgement of profits, equitable compensation, interest, and declaratory relief to prevent further abuse.

The Respondents, some of them acting as public authorities (specifically, individuals within law enforcement agencies) and/or in concert with public authorities have, over a period exceeding a decade, subjected me to a systematic and sustained course of conduct that constitutes inhuman and degrading treatment, and potentially torture, in direct breach of my absolute rights under Article 3 of the European Convention on Human Rights, as incorporated by Section 6 of the Human Rights Act 1998.

I therefore seek an urgent injunction to restrain the Respondents, and those acting on their behalf, from continuing to engage in acts that constitute inhuman and degrading treatment, and potentially torture, in direct violation of my absolute rights under Article 3 of the European Convention on Human Rights, as incorporated by the Human Rights Act 1998. These acts include, but are not limited to:

- The illegal installation and use of hidden cameras in my private residences and maybe in my daughter's private residences as well.
- The covert filming of me or my daughter in an intimate state (during sexual acts).
- The live broadcasting and dissemination of these intimate images to third parties and pornographic websites.
- The ongoing cyberstalking and racial harassment of me and potentially of my daughter as well.
- The intimidation of me with imitation firearms.
- The influencing of legal professionals and organisations to prevent me from accessing legal representation and support.

These actions, perpetrated by individuals within law enforcement agencies, authorities and tech companies, are systematic, prolonged, and designed to cause severe mental anguish, humiliation, and distress, thereby meeting the threshold for inhuman and degrading treatment. The continuation of these acts, particularly after complaints have been made, after the initiation of court proceedings and injunction application, demonstrates a deliberate and malicious intent to inflict suffering, which is an affront to human dignity and a grave breach of an absolute human right.

Therefore, I decided to add the PM Keir Starmer as a Respondent / Defendant as well for, for example:

A. Misfeasance in Public Office

This is a common law tort that allows individuals to sue public officers for unlawful acts committed in their public capacity.

- **Public Officer:** The defendant must be a public officer, which includes politicians, civil servants, and others exercising public functions.
- **Unlawful Act:** The officer must have engaged in an act that was unlawful or beyond their powers (ultra vires).
- **Malice or Knowledge of Illegality:** The public officer either:
    - Acted with targeted malice towards me (i.e., intended to injure me).
    - Knew that their act was unlawful and would probably injure me, or was recklessly indifferent to that probability. This is often referred to as "conscious recklessness" or "targeted malice."
- **Damage:** I have suffered actual damage as a result of the unlawful acts as described in my Witness Statement and Exhibits.

B. Human Rights Act 1998

The Human Rights Act 1998 (HRA) incorporates the rights set out in the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) into UK law. Public authorities, including government departments, police forces, and other bodies exercising public functions, have a duty to act compatibly with these rights.

If a public authority (or an individual acting on its behalf) breaches my human rights, I can bring a claim under the HRA. Relevant rights in my situation include but not limited to:

- **Article 3: Prohibition of torture, inhuman or degrading treatment.** The severe and prolonged nature of the alleged abuse, including psychological torment and harassment, definitely engage Article 3.
- **Article 8: Right to respect for private and family life.** This is highly relevant given the illegal surveillance, covert filming, and dissemination of intimate images, videos and email communications of sexual nature.

- **Article 14: Prohibition of discrimination.** I also believe this is racial harassment due to my protected characteristics, this article could therefore be engaged in conjunction with other rights.

C. Data Protection Act 2018 and UK GDPR

The **Human Rights Act 1998**, specifically Section 6, which makes it unlawful for a public authority to act in a way which is incompatible with a Convention right (such as the Right to Privacy under Article 8).

If politicians or public officials are involved in the unlawful processing or sharing of my personal data (including intimate images/videos and surveillance footage), which I reasonably believe they are, they could be liable under the Data Protection Act 2018 and the UK General Data Protection Regulation (UK GDPR). These laws provide individuals with rights regarding their personal data and allow for compensation for material and non-material damage caused by infringements.

Because I reasonably believe Keir Starmer, along with the the police and other unknown public officials, may have sold my and my daughter's private information, intimate materials, legal strategies and creative works unlawfully obtained via various means, including via hidden cameras and hacking, to third parties, such as writers and film production companies, to permit them to write and make films using my and my daughter's private information and intimate materials as source materials without our knowledge or consent. I therefore think he does this for his own financial gain. He may even receive lifetime royalties for it. For example, the film ***Superman (2025)***, produced and distributed by Warner Bros, is believed to have been based on me and himself, as described in **paragraph 20.6 Representative Example 1, pages 90-91.**

On 6 June 2026, at approximately 20:32 pm, immediately upon editing the previous paragraph and reaching the part about “to permit them to write and make films based on me and my daughter … I therefore think he does this for his own financial gain,” I heard someone begin to make some noise nearby again. Then, at precisely 20:33 pm, the individual appeared to slam something shut loudly. It's like this almost every time I expose their misconduct, even privately in my legal documents, particularly when it concerns Keir Starmer, someone would suddenly make some noise, smack something shut, or exit/return to the property and violently slam the main door shut.

I also reasonably believe he has ordered the illegal installation of hidden cameras in every place I live and stay at, and potentially in every place my daughter lives and stays at as well, including in every place we go or travel to, and then distribute and live-stream our non-consensually recordings to various places, including pornographic websites, as I said earlier, earning substantial income from it as well. If this is proven, he may have committed human trafficking via cybersex trade, money laundering, unjust enrichment, corruption, misfeasance or misconduct in public office or both, gross human rights abuses, and more. Although I believe the illegal installation of hidden cameras and secret filming of me may have started since 2013, I think he

may have allowed it to continue as soon as he became PM in 2024, so that he could profit from the illegal enterprise himself as well.

He may also be directly behind or complicit in the "**honey trap"** operation targeting my daughter (AB), as described in **paragraph 15.5-15.7, pages 75-77.**

So, they supposedly don't just subject my daughter to sexual abuse and exploitation via hidden cameras but also via a honey trap, making this case even more heinous and unprecedented. The fact that they even attempt to prevent her from ever knowing the truth, as I said earlier regarding repeated evidence tampering and manipulation, suggests once again that they are also violating her right to a fair trial (Article 6 ECHR). Just like what they do to me. Consequently, this may not be a case of typical breach of privacy and misuse of private information but something far more serious than it already is and may amount to a premeditated double hate crime, money laundering, organised crime and crimes against humanity. Especially, when the victims are not allowed to know the truth and to have legal representation.

Again, on 6 June 2026, at approximately 22:07 pm, when I was just about to finish editing the previous paragraph regarding my daughter, I heard a motorcycle accelerate aggressively past my window.

I therefore reasonably believe Keir Starmer, and maybe other unknown public officials as well, may be behind the repeated disappearance of my court documents each time I try to apply for an urgent injunction, the blocking of my access to lawyers, industrial-scale harassment, the hacking to always keep an eye on my legal strategies and the strike-out of my claim, so he and his Co-respondents cannot be held accountable and are therefore free to continue to sexually abuse and exploit us via hidden cameras, including misusing our private information on an industrial scale.

Again, on 6 June 2026, at approximately 22:53 pm, when I was just about to finish typing the previous paragraph, I heard someone run down the stairs and begin to make some noise in front of my room, just briefly, before disappearing again.

All major platforms, for example, Google, Meta, X Corp, and giant film studios (Warner Bros., Disney), including top tech executives such as Elon Musk and Mark Zuckerberg, are also involved in this harassment and exploitation campaign against me, strongly suggesting that top public officials are behind this such as Keir Starmer.

Consequently, the industrial-scale harassment and misuse of private information campaigns against me are too much. I can't even go online without seeing my private information being disseminated all over the internet. I also receive insulting emails daily - even from the people I know - making lots of references to my private information and intimate materials. They have therefore turned almost everyone against me, even my own housemates, strongly suggesting severe social ostracism. This is unlawful retaliation and victimisation.

If Keir Starmer and other Respondents have committed illegal acts against me and my daughter, including preventing me from having legal representation, I have the right to seek remedies and hold all of them accountable through court.

D. Unlawful Inducement / Inducing Breach of Contract

A civil wrong (tort) that occurs when an outside party—such as a government official or regulatory authority—intentionally pressures or convinces an employer to break their contract with you.

I also reasonably believe Keir Starmer, and maybe the police and other unknown public officials as well, has unlawfully sabotaged my commercial relationships or employment opportunities through threats, intimidation, or coercion directed at my employers and potential employers (Tortious Interference with Business / Constructive Dismissal by State Action).

Because I receive job rejection for every job I have applied for. The same with solicitors, in which every solicitor I have contacted refuses to help me.

- **Human Rights Violations**

  Right to Work Infringement: A violation of Article 6 of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR), which guarantees the right of everyone to the opportunity to gain their living by work which they freely choose or accept.

  Deprivation of Livelihood: A broader legal and human rights concept used when state-imposed economic measures directly prevent an individual or family from securing basic survival needs.

E. Other Potential Torts

- **Trespass to the person:** Because physical intimidation or assault occurred.
- **Defamation:** If false and damaging statements were made about me.
- **Unjust Enrichment:** Because they are distributing and selling my private information and intimate materials for profit.
- **Negligence**

In light of the evidence detailed above, which I believe demonstrates a serious and systematic attempt to pervert the course of justice through witness intimidation, obstruction of justice and illegal surveillance, I respectfully invite the Court to consider whether it is appropriate to refer this matter to the relevant authorities—such as the Director of Public Prosecutions, Anti-Corruption Agencies, Human Rights Commissions, Supreme Audit Institutions (SAIs), Intelligence Oversight (like the UK's Investigatory Powers Commissioner's Office - IPCO) or relevant Bodies—for a formal investigation into potential misconduct in public office, corruption, money laundering and gross human rights abuses, for instance.

1. The "Inequality of Arms" as a Cloak for Misconduct

The Respondents, particularly the State Actor Respondents, have systematically obstructed my access to legal counsel. I believe this is a deliberate tactic to ensure that my evidence remains unrepresented and vulnerable, thereby avoiding the accountability that a professional legal review would bring. This is a clear violation of my Article 6 Right to a Fair Trial.

2. The Obstruction as Witness Tampering

Under the **Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994**, intimidating or obstructing a witness is a criminal offence.

By blocking my access to professional legal support, the Respondents are actively tampering with a witness. This obstruction prevents me from effectively giving evidence and participating in the proceedings. This is not a mere procedural hurdle; it is a criminal attempt to suppress evidence and pervert the course of justice by ensuring the full extent of their misconduct never reaches the Court's attention.

3. The Impact on the Administration of Justice

This conduct serves to insulate public officials from the consequences of their unlawful activities. If a witness is intimidated into silence or obstructed from presenting their evidence through the removal of legal aid/representation, the 'course of justice' has been diverted for the sole purpose of self-preservation by state actors.

Public Interest Disclosure and the Right to Truth

Public Interest Disclosure and the State's Obligation to Investigate

I maintain that my public disclosures via social media and my blog are protected acts of **Public Interest Disclosure**. Under **Article 10 ECHR**, the public has a fundamental right to receive information concerning the unlawful actions and misconduct of public officials. My choice to "go public" is not a mere grievance but a necessary measure of self-preservation and a demand for the **Right to Truth**—a principle recognised in international law as essential where grave violations of human rights occur.

Again, on 7 June 2026, at approximately 00:21 am, immediately after reading to the end of the previous paragraph, I heard someone briefly make some loud noise before disappearing again. It's like they have some individuals on standby ready to intimidate me by suddenly making some loud noise or slamming the door shut, at the exact moment I read or type in some crucial information. Once again, this may constitute Tech Abuse, Coercive Control and Witness Intimidation.

I believe the Respondents' persistent silence and their active attempts to intimidate me into silence constitute an admission by conduct. If the public officials involved have committed no wrongdoing, they should have no reason to obstruct my access to lawyers and an independent investigation. In civil proceedings, the Court is entitled to draw **adverse inferences** from a

party's failure to provide a credible explanation for serious allegations. I respectfully invite the Court to draw such inferences from the Respondents' refusal to engage with the substance of my claims.

Furthermore, under the **Human Rights Act 1998**, the state has a **positive obligation** to investigate credible threats to life and safety, particularly when they involve violence or intimidation against women. By escalating their harassment in response to my disclosures, the Respondents are not only breaching this obligation but are actively attempting to **suppress evidence**.

Like the victims in high-profile cases of systemic abuse, such as the Epstein matter, I am seeking accountability at significant personal risk. I frame these disclosures as a vital tool for transparency; if the state fails to investigate its own actors, the public eye is the only remaining safeguard against the continued perversion of the course of justice.

The Respondents are allegedly monitoring my private communications at all times. They cannot, therefore, claim in good faith that they are 'unaware' of my filings or my evidence. This is a gross breach of **the Common Law Duty of Candour** and the spirit of **the Hillsborough Law**. They are using their power to deceive the court while watching my every move.

Interception as a Tool of Coercive Control

Their actions in denying me legal representation and external help mirror the tactics of coercive control used by abusers to subjugate their victims. By cutting off all my routes to help, they have intentionally created a climate of fear and helplessness, designed to keep me under their absolute control and prevent any accountability for their actions.

Therefore, their systematic **interception of my private communications** serves as a core mechanism of the **coercive control** exerted against me. By monitoring and obstructing my digital and telephonic interactions, the authorities have effectively **isolated** me from all support networks, specifically my **legal counsel**. This is not merely an invasion of privacy under **Article 8 of the ECHR**; it is a strategic effort to dismantle my 'space for action' and prevent me from exercising my **Right to an Effective Remedy**. The deliberate targeting of **legally privileged communications** creates a dynamic of entrapment, where the very tools meant by defence are instead used to monitor, intimidate, and dominate me, mirroring the tactics used by abusers to maintain absolute power over their victims.